Nations Unies A/HRC/31/60/Add.2



Distr. générale 21 avril 2016 Français Original : anglais

Conseil des droits de l'homme

Trente et unième session Point 3 de l'ordre du jour Promotion et protection de tous les droits de l'homme, civils, politiques, économiques, sociaux et culturels, y compris le droit au développement

Rapport de l'Expert indépendant chargé d'examiner les effets de la dette extérieure et des obligations financières internationales connexes des États sur le plein exercice de tous les droits de l'homme, en particulier des droits économiques, sociaux et culturels, sur sa mission en Grèce*

Note du secrétariat

Le secrétariat a l'honneur de transmettre au Conseil des droits de l'homme le rapport de l'Expert indépendant chargé d'examiner les effets de la dette extérieure et des obligations financières internationales connexes des États sur le plein exercice de tous les droits de l'homme, en particulier des droits économiques, sociaux et culturels, Juan Pablo Bohoslavsky, sur la mission qu'il a effectuée en Grèce du 30 novembre au 8 décembre 2015. Dans le présent rapport, l'Expert indépendant analyse les faits nouveaux survenus au regard des préoccupations relatives aux droits de l'homme que suscitent la crise de la dette et les programmes économiques d'ajustement structurel mis en œuvre en Grèce depuis la visite de son prédécesseur, en avril 2013.

GE.16-06550 (F) 060516 090516





^{*} Le présent rapport a été soumis après la date limite afin que les faits les plus récents puissent être pris en compte.

Report of the Independent Expert on the effects of foreign debt and other related international financial obligations of States on the full enjoyment of all human rights, particularly economic, social and cultural rights on his mission to Greece**

Contents

I.	Intr	oduction				
II.	Current context					
III.	Huı	Human rights obligations in the context of economic adjustment				
	A.	Obligations of Greece				
	В.	Obligations of European institutions, the International Monetary Fund and Eurozone States				
	C.	Procedural obligations: human rights impact assessments and right to participation				
IV.	Stru	Structural adjustment in Greece				
	A.	Excessive austerity				
	B.	Adjustment measures envisioned under the third economic reform programme				
	C.	Social impact assessment of the European Commission				
	D.	Debt sustainability				
	E.	Truth Committee on Public Debt				
	F.	Response of national judicial and non-judicial protection mechanisms				
V.	Imp	Impact of the economic reform programmes on the enjoyment of human rights				
	A.	Right to work and to favourable conditions of work				
	B.	Right to social security				
	C.	Right to food, housing and health care				
VI.	Conclusions and recommendations					
	A.	Conclusions				
	B.	Recommendations to the Government of Greece and its international lenders				
	C.	Recommendations to the Government of Greece				
	D	Recommendations to international lenders				

 $[\]ensuremath{^{**}}$ Circulated in the language of submission only.

I. Introduction

- 1. Pursuant to Human Rights Council resolution 25/16, the Independent Expert on the effects of foreign debt and other related international obligations of States on the full enjoyment of all human rights, particularly economic, social and cultural rights conducted an official visit to Greece from 30 November to 8 December 2015, at the invitation of the Government. His visit was in follow-up to the visit of the previous mandate holder to Greece, which took place from 22 to 27 April 2013 (see A/HRC/25/50/Add.1) and during which he assessed the impact of the debt crisis and the structural adjustment programmes on the enjoyment of human rights. The main focus of the visit that is the subject of the present report was to collect recent information on the situation in Greece, which is currently implementing a third adjustment programme on the basis of an agreement between the Government of Greece and the European Commission on behalf of the European Stability Mechanism, signed on 19 August 2015.
- 2. During the visit, the Independent Expert met with the Deputy Prime Minister; the Minister of Economy, Development and Tourism; the Minister of Health; the Minister of Labour, Social Security and Social Solidarity; and the Alternate Minister for Migration Policy. He also met with senior officials from the Ministries of Education, the Economy, Finance, Foreign Affairs, the Interior and Administrative Reconstruction, Justice and Transparency and Human Rights as well as the Presidents of the Supreme Court and of the Council of State, Members of Parliament and members of the former Truth Committee on Public Debt. His programme included meetings with representatives of the Central Bank of Greece, the European Commission, the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the Greek Ombudsman, the Greek National Commission for Human Rights, trade unions and employer organizations, civil society representatives, academic experts and officials from the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees.
- 3. The Independent Expert thanks the Government of Greece for extending an invitation to him and for its full cooperation throughout the visit. He wishes to express his appreciation to all of his interlocutors for having taken time to meet him and engaged in an open and frank dialogue.
- 4. In follow-up to his visit to Greece the Independent Expert intends to undertake an official visit to institutions of the European Union during 2016.

II. Current context

5. Since May 2010, the Government of Greece has been implementing an economic adjustment programme as a precondition for securing financing packages from the International Monetary Fund, the European Commission and the European Central Bank, also known as the "troika" or "the institutions". On the European side, eurozone countries established the European Financial Stability Facility, and later the European Stability Mechanism, as vehicles through which financial support is provided. The programmes consist of stringent policy measures that entail deep public spending cuts, public sector job cuts, tax increases, the privatization of public enterprises and structural reforms, which are aimed at reducing the country's fiscal deficit. As noted by the previous mandate holder and more recently by the Committee on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights, past adjustment programmes had significant regressive impacts on in the enjoyment of human rights in

¹ Officially dissolved in November 2015.

Greece, in particular in the field of economic, social and cultural rights. ² An updated overview of some of these adverse impacts is provided in section V of the present report.

- 6. A number of changes have taken place since the visit of the previous mandate holder. In January 2015 a new Government was elected in Greece, largely on the basis of an agenda to end austerity. Not having completed the second economic adjustment programme (see A/HRC/25/50/Add.1, para. 24), the fiscal space of the new Government was very limited, as all expenditures and debt service had to be financed domestically, limiting de facto the ability of the Government to undertake any major reform with fiscal implications without the consent of the institutions and risking default.
- 7. In an effort to mitigate the particularly harsh impacts of the economic crisis on certain rights holders, in March 2015 the new Government introduced Law 4320/2015, which included certain "immediate measures to address the humanitarian crisis". The law provided persons and families living in poverty free electricity up to 1,200 kwh/month, a housing benefit (limited to not more than 31,369 households) and a food stamps programme. The Independent Expert welcomes these measures; however, there is consensus that with budgetary costs estimated at 0.1 per cent of gross domestic product (GDP),³ the measures will not be able to address in a holistic manner problems related to the affordability of the rights to housing or food for the increasing number of persons living in poverty in Greece.
- 8. During the first half of 2015 an uneasy relationship between the Government of Greece and its eurozone partners evolved owing to differences about how to deal with the ongoing financial and economic crisis. The partners largely insisted that Greece fully implement adjustment measures that previous Governments had agreed to without further delaying their implementation, ruling out any further debt cancellation. The Government continued to stress the need for debt relief.
- 9. No breakthrough could be reached in several rounds of negotiations, while the financial situation in Greece became increasingly dramatic. The Independent Expert issued a media statement on 2 June 2015 in which he urged the European institutions, IMF and the Government of Greece to show courage and reach an agreement. He expressed concern that without a compromise, Greece could sooner or later default, thereby worsening the crisis. The Independent Expert notes that economic and social rights could be further undermined in Greece by lack of flexibility and the courage to strike a mutually beneficial deal that respects human rights. He stresses the need to find better solutions to prevent economic reform policies undermining the enjoyment of human rights and urges that the burden of adjustment be shared in a fair manner and comply with the obligations that Greece and creditor States have assumed under the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, the European Social Charter and other international human rights standards.⁴

² See A/HRC/25/50/Add.1 and E/C.12/GRC/CO/2; see also concluding observations by other treaty bodies (CCPR/C/GRC/CO/2, paras. 7-10; CRC/C/GRC/CO/2-3, paras. 6, 18, 21, 28 and 29; CEDAW/C/GRC/CO/7, paras. 6, 28 and 40), European Parliament, *The Impact of the Crisis on Fundamental Rights across Member States of the EU: Country Report on Greece* (2015) and International Federation for Human Rights, *Downgrading Rights: The Cost of Austerity in Greece* (Paris, 2014).

³ European Parliament, *Employment and Social Developments in Greece* (2015), p. 35.

⁴ Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR), "Greek crisis: human rights should not stop at doors of international institutions, says UN expert", 2 June 2015, available from www.ohchr.org/EN/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=16032&LangID=E.

- 10. While efforts to secure a deal continued, on 5 June 2015 Greece postponed a \$300 million debt repayment to IMF to the end of the month, raising fears that the country was again close to insolvency. Neither the Summit of European Union Heads of State convened on 22 June 2015 nor subsequent discussions at the Eurogroup level resulted in an agreement acceptable to all parties. On 26 June 2015 the Government of Greece announced that it would hold a referendum asking for a yes or no vote on proposals made by the institutions, which included various adjustment measures and structural reforms, including further cuts to pensions and social welfare expenditure.
- 11. The referendum, held on 5 July 2015, resulted in 61.3 per cent voting "no" on the bailout conditions offered earlier by the institutions; the voter turnout was 62.5 per cent. At the same time, Greek public opinion continued to show strong support for keeping the euro as its currency.
- 12. Following the referendum, the Government decided to continue negotiations to avoid default and a possible exit from the European area. A new government proposal for a bailout package was endorsed by the Hellenic Parliament and on 12 July 2015, agreement was reached between the Greek authorities and eurozone leaders to negotiate a third memorandum of understanding, on the condition that the Parliament adopted upfront certain "trust-building measures". In this context, the Independent Expert urged Greece and European institutions not to adopt further austerity measures at the cost of human rights, and expressed concern that some of the measures could be incompatible with international human rights law.⁵
- 13. The third economic reform programme, including additional loans of €86 billion over the period 2015-2018 and detailed adjustment and structural reform measures, was outlined in a memorandum of understanding. However, during the vote in Parliament on the first set of measures (Law 4336/205), the Government lost its majority and had to rely on opposition parties for the adoption of the package, reflecting a defection by a number of lawmakers from the ruling Syriza party, who rejected the terms of the memorandum of understanding. A snap election was called for 20 September 2015, which resulted in a majority for the Syriza-Independent Greek coalition Government.
- 14. The deal between the eurozone States and Greece included a European Commission-funded Jobs and Growth Plan for Greece to support Greece over the 2014-2020 period with €35 billion provided through various European funds. About €3.9 billion, or 11 per cent of the entire package, are expected to be spent on active labour market and social inclusion policies, including the Youth Employment Initiative.
- 15. Since January 2015, another humanitarian and human rights crisis has been unfolding in Greece. An unprecedented influx of refugees and migrants has arrived on its territory, most of them fleeing conflict in Afghanistan, Iraq and the Syrian Arab Republic,. According to the Government, almost 950,000 refugees and migrants travelled through Greek-Turkish sea borders in 2015, while more than 66,000 arrived in January 2016. At least 272 migrants died in Greek territorial waters during the dangerous journey from Turkey to Aegean islands in 2015, and more than 150 were reported missing. Greece increased its efforts, including 2,500 search and rescue operations by the Hellenic Coast Guard, to rescue refugees fleeing war, crossing the Mediterranean in unseaworthy boats and

Media statement, 15 July 2015, available from www.ohchr.org/en/NewsEvents/Pages/ DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=16238&LangID=E.

Available from http://ec.europa.eu/economy_finance/assistance_eu_ms/greek_loan_facility/pdf/01_mou_20150811_en.pdf.

Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR). Information available from http://data.unhcr.org/mediterranean/country.php?id=83.

dinghies. The State has provided aid and encouraged solidarity and support from the local population. It also demonstrated flexibility in its policy towards refugees and irregular migrants, including by reducing administrative detention. However, owing to austerity and the economic crisis, the Greek State is in a difficult position and cannot respond adequately to the refugee crisis without additional European and international aid.

III. Human rights obligations in the context of economic adjustment

A. Obligations of Greece

- 16. When implementing structural adjustment measures, borrowing and lending States and lending institutions have obligations under international human rights law. Economic adjustment policies may raise important concerns regarding the protection of economic, social and cultural rights as they may be incompatible with the obligation of States to ensure that every individual enjoys an essential minimum level of such rights, or incompatible with the obligation to ensure their progressive realization and to avoid deliberate retrogressive measures.
- 17. The Committee on Economic Social and Cultural Rights has recognized that economic and financial crises impede the progressive realization of economic, social and cultural rights and can lead to retrogression in the enjoyment of those rights. With respect to austerity measures and other economic adjustment policies, the Committee underscored four requirements that any proposed policy change should meet. First, the policy must be temporary and limited to the period of crisis; second, the policy must be necessary and proportionate; third, the policy must not be discriminatory and must encompass all possible measures, including fiscal measures, to mitigate inequalities that may arise in times of crisis; and fourth, the policy must identify the minimum core content of the rights enshrined in the Covenant, or a social protection floor, as developed by the International Labour Organization (ILO), and ensure the protection of the core content at all times. In addition, States bear the burden of ensuring that austerity measures are introduced only after the most careful consideration of all other less restrictive alternatives (see E/2013/82, para. 18).
- 18. The guiding principles on foreign debt and human rights (A/HRC/20/23) also underscore that States should ensure that their rights and obligations arising from external debt agreements or arrangements do not hinder the progressive realization of economic, social and cultural rights.

B. Obligations of European institutions, the International Monetary Fund and eurozone States

19. While Greece holds primary responsibility for ensuring that human rights are protected within its territory, the obligations to protect, respect and fulfil human rights are also applicable to multilateral institutions, including international financial institutions, and States when deciding on conditionalities and adjustment measures attached to lending. States retain their international human rights law obligations when they participate in multilateral institutions or exercise effective control over lending institutions such as the European Financial Stability Facility. International institutions acting on behalf of member

⁸ Letter dated 16 May 2012 from the Chair of the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights addressed to States parties to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights.

States, such as the European Stability Mechanism, the European Commission, the European Central Bank or IMF, must, in the first instance, ensure that their policies respect international human rights standards. The guiding principles on foreign debt and human rights capture the content of those obligations.

- 20. It is recognized that States acting alone, jointly with others or through international organizations have human rights obligations beyond their own borders. Extraterritorial human rights obligations have been reaffirmed by United Nations treaty bodies in their general comments and in an increasing number of concluding observations⁹ and in the guiding principles on foreign debt and human rights.
- 21. According to the Treaty on the European Union, the European Union and its institutions are committed "to the values of respect for human dignity, freedom, democracy, equality, the rule of law and respect for human rights, including the rights of persons belonging to minorities" (art. 2) and "shall combat social exclusion and discrimination, and shall promote social justice and protection, equality between women and men, solidarity between generations and protection of the rights of the child" (art. 3 (3)). The institutions of the European Union, including the European Commission and the European Central Bank, are also bound to comply with the requirements of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, which includes, among others, the rights to fair and just working conditions, social security and social assistance and health care.¹⁰
- 22. While it should be noted that with the exception of the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, the European Union is not party to international human rights treaties, institutions of the European Union are nevertheless bound to comply with the human rights enumerated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights or which are part of customary international law or reflect general principles of international law.
- 23. It is the view of the Independent Expert that the European Commission remains bound by the full extent of European Union laws and the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and has to protect and respect the human rights enumerated therein, including when it acts on the basis of the treaty establishing the European Stability Mechanism. ¹¹ In addition, the European Stability Mechanism itself has to protect and respect the human rights enumerated in the Charter, given that despite having a legal basis separate from the treaties, it constitutes a vehicle for the exercise of public authority in the

⁹ See Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, general comment No. 12 (1999) on the right to adequate food, paras. 36-39; general comment No. 15, paras. 30-36 and general comment No. 19 (2003) on the right to water, paras. 52-58; and concluding observations relating to Austria (E/C.12/AUT/CO/4), paras. 11-12; Belgium (E/C.12/BEL/CO/4), para. 22; China (E/C.12/CHN/CO/2), paras. 12-13; Germany (E/C.12/DEU/CO/5), paras. 9-11; and Switzerland (E/C.12/CHE/CO/2-3), para. 24. Similar general comments and concluding observations relating to the extraterritorial application of international human rights treaties have been adopted by other treaty bodies

Treaty on the European Union, article 6 (1); Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, arts. 26, 27, 31, 34 and 35.

See also the view of Advocate General J. Kokott delivered on 26 October 2012 at the Court of Justice of the European Union in case C-370/12, *Pringle v. Ireland*, para. 178; M. Salomon, "Of austerity, human rights and international institutions", *European Law Journal*, vol. 21, No. 4 (July 2015); and O. De Schutter and M. Salomon, "Economic political conditionality, socio-economic rights and international legal responsibility: the case of Greece 2010-2015", legal brief prepared for the Special Committee of the Hellenic Parliament on the Audit of the Greek Debt, June 2015.

framework of the eurozone, as referred to in article 136 (3) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union. 12

- 24. The Independent Expert welcomes the provision in European Union regulation 472/2013 that "budgetary consolidation efforts set out in the macroeconomic adjustment programme shall take into account the need to ensure sufficient means for fundamental policies, such as education and health care" (art. 7 (7)); however it should be noted that the regulation makes no explicit reference to economic, social and cultural rights, except that the adjustment programme shall respect the right of collective bargaining and action (arts. 1 (4) and 7 (1)).
- 25. IMF is bound to act in compliance with general international law, including human rights provisions. As a specialized agency of the United Nations, IMF is bound to act in accordance with the principles of the Charter of the United Nations, which refers to the realization of human rights and fundamental freedoms as one of the purposes of the Organization, to be achieved in particular through international economic and social cooperation.

C. Procedural obligations: human rights impact assessments and right to participation

- 26. According to the guiding principles on foreign debt and human rights, "Lenders should not finance activities or projects that violate, or would foreseeably violate, human rights in the Borrower States. To avoid this eventuality, it is incumbent upon lenders intending to finance specific activities or projects in Borrower States to conduct a credible Human Rights Impact Assessment ... as a prerequisite to providing a new loan" (A/HRC/20/23, para. 40). Similarly the guiding principles on extreme poverty and human rights require carrying out human rights impact assessments; this obligation pertains as well to States implementing policy measures within their jurisdiction based on international agreements (A/HRC/21/39, paras. 61 and 92).
- 27. In the context of the adjustment programmes implemented in Greece, the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women, the Committee on the Rights of the Child, the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, the Independent Expert and the Greek National Commission for Human Rights had recommended to the Government of Greece and to the lending institutions that human rights impact assessments be conducted.¹³
- 28. It should be noted that the European Commission has also set out guidelines for undertaking systematic human rights or social impact assessments of its own legislative proposals and developed methodologies for conducting human rights impact assessments of

A. Bogdandy and M. Goldmann, "Sovereign debt restructurings as exercises of international public authority: towards a decentralized sovereign insolvency law", in C. Esposito, Yuefen Li and J. P. Bohoslavsky, eds., Sovereign Financing and International Law: The UNCTAD Principles on Responsible Sovereign Lending and Borrowing (New York, Oxford University Press, 2013), p. 39.

See CRC/C/GRC/CO/2-3, para. 29; CEDAW/C/GRC/CO/7, para. 40, E/C.12/GRC/CO/2, paras. 14, 24 and 32; A/HRC/25/50/Add.1, para. 91; Greek National Commission for Human Rights, "Impact assessment on human rights as an instrument for the protection of human rights in times of crisis" (in Greek), available from www.nchr.gr/images/pdf/apofaseis/oikonomikh_krish/Dilwsi_EEDA_%20metra_litotitas_2015.pdf.

its external policies, ¹⁴ but has so far failed to undertake any meaningful human rights impact assessments when designing the adjustment programmes.

- 29. The adjustment programmes implemented in Greece have also raised issues relating to the human rights principles of transparency, participation and accountability (see A/HRC/20/23, paras. 28-32). For example the European Parliament in a resolution denounced the lack of transparency in the negotiations on the memorandum of understanding, noting the need to evaluate whether formal documents were clearly communicated to and considered in due time by the national parliaments and the European Parliament and adequately discussed with the social partners. The Parliament also regretted the general "weak democratic accountability" of the troika, noting that when consulted, national parliaments were faced with a choice between eventually defaulting on their debt or accepting memorandums of understanding negotiated between the troika and national authorities, thus leaving only limited scope for public scrutiny or changing or improving adjustment programmes.¹⁵
- 30. It should be noted that European Union regulation 472/2013 now contains an article requiring member States undergoing adjustment to "seek the views of social partners as well as relevant civil society organisations when preparing its draft macroeconomic adjustment programmes, with a view to contributing to building consensus over its content" and recommends that "Member States should involve the social partners and civil society organisations in the preparation, implementation, monitoring and evaluation of financial assistance programmes, in accordance with national rules and practice." While the July 2015 referendum and the snap elections of September 2015 did to a certain extent allow the general public in Greece to express their views, concerns about the lack of adequate consultation and participation have remained, as negotiations between the institutions and the Government continue to take place largely behind closed doors. There is also a widely felt lack of ownership in the economic reforms implemented in Greece since 2010 as they are regarded as imposed from outside.

IV. Structural adjustment in Greece

A. Excessive austerity

- 31. By slashing public expenditure and internal demand, the first and second structural adjustment programmes significantly deepened the Greek economic crisis. GDP fell by about 25 per cent during the period 2008-2013 and growth rates have remained at about 0 per cent since 2014. According to economic forecasts, a further drop in GDP of 0.7 per cent is expected in 2016, followed by modest growth of 2.7 per cent in 2017, based on the assumption that adjustment measures will finally have the desired positive impact on the economy.
- 32. Under the austerity packages of 2010 and 2012, there were unprecedented cuts in government expenditure. According to Eurostat data accessed on 30 January 2016, ¹⁶

European Commission, "Operational guidance on taking account of fundamental rights in Commission impact assessments", document SEC(2011) 567 final, 6 May 2011; European Commission, "Guidance for assessing social impacts in the Commission impact assessment system", 17 November 2009; European Union Action Plan on Human Rights and Democracy (2015-2019):

[&]quot;Keeping human rights at the heart of the EU agenda", document JOIN(2015) 16 final, 28 April 2015. Resolution 2013/2277(INI) of 13 March 2014, paras. 30 and 56.

Slightly revised data for general government expenditure 2009-2013, including new data for the year 2014, was released by Eurostat after completion of the report. Revised Eurostat figures do not affect

general government expenditure was reduced from €128 billion to €108 billion during the period 2009-2013, reflecting cuts of 15.7 per cent, a figure that significantly surpassed similar reductions in other eurozone countries undergoing adjustment: 11.3 per cent in Ireland, 5.9 per cent in Spain and 2.7 per cent in Portugal.

33. The Independent Expert is concerned in particular that social protection expenditures were not sheltered at a time when they were most needed for covering an increasing number of persons in situation of vulnerability; instead, social protection expenditure was cut by 21.3 per cent from 2009 to 2013 (see table). This counters the overall trend in the eurozone, which saw an increase in social protection expenditure of 8.4 per cent during the same period (Portugal and Spain increased their social protection spending by 9.1 per cent and 6.8 per cent, respectively).

General government expenditure in Greece, by function, 2009-2013 (Millions of euros)

General government expenditure	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	Percentage change 2009-2013
General government expenditure	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2009-2013
Total general government expenditure	128 150	117 774	111 633	104 491	108 009	-15.7
General public services	28 365	27 590	26 672	20 796	17 645	-37.8
Social protection	44 473	42 908	42 422	39 799	34 997	-21.3
Old age	30 794	30 516	30 218	29 731	26 274	-14.7
Sickness and disability	3 974	3 919	3 682	3 435	2 680	-32.6
Survivors	3 645	3 365	3 385	3 395	2 751	-24.5
Family and children	2 342	2 361	1 384	1 178	1 201	-48.7
Unemployment	2 377	2 266	3 241	1 840	1 668	-29.8
Housing	817	145	200	45	209	-74.4
Social exclusion	189	81	160	71	36	-81.0
Economic affairs	12 735	9 805	8 398	12 987	27 535	116.2
Health	16 089	15 393	13 314	11 079	9 249	-42.5
Hospital services	9 696	9 308	7 483	6 722	5 639	-41.8
Medical products, appliances and equipment	5 230	5 063	4 708	3 680	2 658	-49.2
Outpatient services	770	745	800	452	740	-3.9
Education	9 636	9 007	9 164	8 607	8 189	-15.0
Pre-primary and primary education	3 027	2 789	2 878	2 667	2 502	-17.3
Secondary education	3 653	3 348	3 280	2 940	2 610	-28.6
Tertiary education	2 128	1 937	1 894	1 856	1 632	-23.3
Defence	8 167	5 768	4 960	4 607	3 881	-52.5

the described trends for 2009-2013. In 2014, total general government expenditure was further reduced to \in 88 billion, reflecting a reduction of 31 per cent during the last six years (2009-2014) Social protection expenditure was slightly increased in 2014 (\in 35.7 billion) compared to revised figures for 2013 (\in 35.3 billion), while public expenditure on health and education was further reduced in 2014 to \in 8.2 billion and \in 7.8 billion respectively (data accessed 20 April 2016).

10 GE.16-06550

_

General government expenditure	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	Percentage change 2009-2013
Public order and safety	4 237	4 036	3 587	3 539	3 357	-20.8
Environmental protection	2 004	1 564	1 445	1 396	1 462	-27.0
Recreation, culture and religion	1 560	1 257	1 287	1 265	1 180	-24.4
Housing and community amenities	884	446	384	416	514	-41.9

Source: Eurostat, General government expenditure by function (gov_10a_exp), data accessed on 30 January 2016. Subcategories are selective.

- 34. The limited funds that had been available to combat social exclusion and for rental and other housing support and family and child benefits were reduced drastically. Spending to support sick persons and persons with disabilities also decreased disproportionately, while pension benefits the biggest social expenditure and backbone of the social protection system of Greece were cut consecutively in line with the overall reduction of government expenditure. Unemployment benefits fell by nearly one third while at the same time the number of unemployed rose by nearly threefold. In analysing the development of social protection expenditure, it can be seen that, sadly, reductions were particularly harsh for the most marginalized, who lacked any strong political lobby.
- 35. Public health expenditures fell in an unprecedented manner, from €16.1 billion in 2009 to under €9.3 billion in 2013, 42.5 percent over the five-year period. At the same time, demand for public health-care services increased, as more people could no longer afford private health care. No one disputes that a reform of the Greek public health care system was overdue and that cost reduction measures needed to be taken to improve its efficiency or to reduce the cost of medicines. However, figuratively speaking, one could say that the excessive austerity in the public health care sector first killed the nurses and doctors before even getting to the patients. Although efforts were made to minimize the impacts on health service delivery to rights holders, it was impossible to undertake such drastic cuts in such a short period without jeopardizing the right to health in all its dimensions, including accessibility, affordability, acceptability and quality.
- 36. Expenditure on education decreased dramatically between 2009 and 2013; in particular spending on secondary schools decreased by 28.6 per cent. It should be noted that heavy cuts were also made in the area of general public services, resulting in salary reductions, hiring freezes and other cost-saving measures. While defence expenditure per capita remained above the eurozone average, significant cost-saving measures were also undertaken in this field, along with reduced expenditures for the police, fire brigades, the justice sector, culture, religious affairs, housing and community amenities. The only category of government expenditure that increased was economic affairs, reflecting the immense cost of recapitalization of Greek banks in 2013.

B. Adjustment measures envisioned under the third economic reform programme

37. From a human rights point of view, the most sensitive contents of the third economic programme include the pension reform, aimed at further reducing spending on pensions by 1 per cent of GDP, and a social welfare review that will generate further reductions by 0.5 per cent of GDP in public social spending.

- 38. While the Independent Expert fully supports efforts to redirect social welfare benefits to protect the most vulnerable, he would like to question the need for further cuts in social welfare spending of about €887 million per annum in the context of significantly increased poverty and social exclusion in Greece. In his view, such reductions on top of earlier cuts are incompatible with the obligation to ensure that all persons in Greece can enjoy at least core minim essential levels of social and economic rights and are incompatible with the obligations contained in article 2 (1) of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights.
- 39. The planned introduction of a guaranteed minimum income scheme, which is intended to close large gaps in the Greek social welfare net, should already have been a priority of reform in 2011 and 2012 to counter the adverse human rights impacts of adjustment. The Independent Expert is, however, worried that this important reform will be implemented in a "fiscally neutral manner". That would mean that either the scheme will not reach all in need, or that individual levels of benefit could fail to fulfil the intended function of securing economic and social rights by raising recipients out of poverty and destitution.
- 40. The Independent Expert welcomes the expansion of the guaranteed employment support schemes in the adjustment programme from 50,000 persons to 150,000 persons by March 2016, targeting the long-term unemployed, young people and disadvantaged groups. The schemes will support job creation and include training combined with employment. He is, however, concerned that this measure alone will be insufficient to significantly reduce the number of unemployed, currently estimated at more than 1.1 million.
- 41. The memorandum of understanding furthermore envisions an assessment of the Greek educational system to identify the scope for further rationalization of classes, schools and universities. The adjustment programme also includes various actions to fight tax evasion and corruption and to improve the collection of tax debt and outstanding social security contributions. While these are laudable goals, they can carry human rights risks if they increase the vulnerability of overly indebted poor individuals and households that are unable to fully repay these debts.
- 42. In the field of justice, a selective increase in court fees and the adoption of measures to address the backlog of cases in the administrative and civil courts are planned. The main focus appears to be on speeding up justice. That would be a positive result, but it could also produce problematic outcomes if it involved limiting due process guarantees or imposing barriers to justice through prohibitive court fees. On the positive side, the adjustment programme requires the Government to propose ways to ensure that persons in vulnerable situations have better access to justice.

C. Social impact assessment of the European Commission

- 43. The Independent Expert welcomes the publication of a social impact assessment for the third adjustment programme by the European Commission in August 2015.¹⁷ However, he regrets that the document fails to evaluate the social impacts caused by the first and second adjustment programmes and thus fails to draw any lessons from what went wrong.
- 44. The social impact assessment is not a human rights assessment. It does not make any reference to human rights, rulings by the Greek Council of State or recommendations by the Greek National Commission for Human Rights, or to the comprehensive country study

European Commission, "Assessment of the social impact of the new Stability Support Programme for Greece", document SWD(2015) 162 final, 19 August 2015.

carried out on behalf of the European Parliament on the impact of the crisis on fundamental rights in Greece. It does not consider the views of the Council of Europe, the European Committee of Social Rights, which monitors the implementation of the European Social Charter, or findings and recommendations of human rights mechanism of the United Nations, including those of the previous mandate holder.

D. Debt sustainability

- 45. Analyses of the sustainability of the Greek debt have a history of misdiagnosis; each subsequent assessment projects a higher debt-to-GDP ratio than the last. There have also been notable differences in the projections made by IMF and the European Commission. With a debt-to-GDP ratio estimated to peak at 200 per cent, only very few economists claim that the extremely large Greek public debt is sustainable. While loans now include extremely long periods of maturity and grace periods on interest, the problem of servicing the debt will come up sooner or later. The Independent Expert shares the view articulated by IMF in July 2015 that further debt relief is needed owing to the serious unsustainability of the Greek public debt. According to IMF, Greece is likely to face debt service payments in excess of 15 per cent of GDP, which could be controlled only by annual grants to the government budget, dramatic extensions of grace periods to 30 years on all European debt and/or "deep" upfront haircuts. A few months later, the sustainability of the debt was seen by the institutions to be a lesser problem, without their specifying the assumptions that had changed to justify such a view.
- 46. In the view of the Independent Expert, it would be more beneficial to agree on debt relief earlier rather than postpone it artificially, taking into consideration evidence that economic recovery after debt crises is more robust if sufficient debt relief is granted in a timely fashion in the form of debt write-offs rather than maturity extensions and interest rate reductions.²⁰ In the end, such a step would be in the interest of all parties as it increases the likelihood that the restructured debt can actually be repaid.

E. Truth Committee on Public Debt

47. The previous mandate holder had recommended undertaking a debt audit (see A/HRC/25/50/Add.1, para. 92 (c)). The recommendation was implemented by a committee established by a decision of the former President of the Hellenic Parliament. The Truth Committee on Public Debt published an interim report analysing the evolution and composition of the debt and the conditionalities imposed on loan agreements. The interim report also discussed the human rights impact of the debt and provided a legal assessment of the memorandums and loan agreements. In the view of the Truth Committee, the Greek debt was not only unsustainable, but illegitimate, illegal and odious as loan agreements had disrespected proper legal procedures provided for by domestic law. The Committee issued a

J. Schumacher and B. Weder di Maruo, "Diagnosing Greek debt sustainability: why is it so hard?", Brookings Institution, Policy Panel on Greece, Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, September 2015

See IMF, "Greece: an update of IMF staff's preliminary public debt sustainability analysis", IMF country report No. 15/186, 14 July 2015.

²⁰ C. M. Reinhart and C. Trebesch, "Sovereign debt relief and its aftermath", Harvard Kennedy School of Government Faculty Research Working Paper Series RWP15-028, June 2015.

See, for example, Hellenic Parliament, *Truth Committee on Public Debt: Preliminary Report* (18 June 2015), available from www.hellenicparliament.gr/en/Enimerosi/Grafeio-Typou/Deltia-Typou/?press=f660f87e-9410-414c-9476-a4bb016e6c48.

second report in July 2015 criticizing the August 2015 memorandum of understanding and loan agreement, inter alia on the grounds that the former contradicted the outcome of the referendum held on 5 July 2015.

- 48. In the present report the Independent Expert decided not to analyse the complex legal questions of alleged "illegitimacy" or "odiousness" of the Greek public debt. In his view, sufficiently sound arguments for debt relief can be based on the unsustainability of the Greek public debt²³ and on human rights arguments, as lenders should not reasonably and legitimately expect to be repaid in full when this would result ultimately in the denial of human rights.
- 49. Although the Truth Committee on Public Debt was formally dissolved in November 2015, there is a need to continue to draw lessons from the past, including in the field of establishing better judicial and administrative accountability for government officials and decision makers in the private sector, who contributed to the debt crisis. The work of the Special Investigation Commission of the parliament in Iceland that was established after the banking collapse in that country could provide inspiration in that respect.

F. Response of national judicial and non-judicial protection mechanisms

- 50. Several laws implementing austerity measures were challenged in court. While courts followed a more cautious approach initially, more recent judgments mark a stronger disjuncture. In 2012 the Supreme Administrative Court declared impermissible the practice of cutting consumers' electricity supply if they failed to pay certain taxes that were collected through electricity bills. In 2014 the Court declared certain retroactive pension cuts and salary reductions for the armed forces and police unconstitutional (judgments Nos. 2192-2196/2014). More recently, it also declared unconstitutional certain cuts to the main and supplementary pensions that had been enacted in 2013 (judgments Nos. 2287-2290/2015).
- 51. The Independent Expert would like to encourage the Greek judiciary to rely more frequently on international and regional human rights standards in their adjudication. He recommends improving access to justice for marginalized groups of society.
- 52. In this regard, it should be noted that the Greek Ombudsman plays an important role as an independent authority to protect the rights of citizens, ensure compliance with rule of law and combat maladministration. Complaints submitted to the Greek Ombudsman have significantly increased during the financial crisis (2012, 11,702 complaints; 2014, 16,339 complaints). In particular, complaints related to social protection, welfare and taxation increased. The Ombudsman also intensified efforts to address systemic problems reflected in complaints by stressing in his reports to Parliament the need to ensure that the national legislation implementing adjustment measures complied with human rights standards. In his interventions the Ombudsman continuously underlined the need to strengthen social welfare and services for vulnerable groups, find effective ways to secure access by all citizens to health services, relieve poor persons from heavy tax burdens or unbearable personal debt repayment terms and prevent the interruption of electricity and water supply to impoverished households.

²² Available from http://greekdebttruthcommission.org/assets/porisma2_en.pdf.

J. P. Bohoslavsky and M. Goldmann, "An incremental approach to sovereign debt restructuring: sovereign debt sustainability as a principle of public international law" and M. Riegner, "Legal content and consequences of sustainability as a principle in sovereign debt restructuring", Yale Journal of International Law Online, 2016 (forthcoming).

- 53. Similarly, the Greek National Commission for Human Rights, voiced its concerns about violations of social rights, mounting barriers to access to justice and the lack of adequate consideration of recommendations and decisions of national, European and international human rights monitoring bodies in relation to the implementation of austerity measures and economic reform policies in Greece. The Commission is concerned that the drastic cuts in social expenditure will undermine the ability of the State to guarantee basic economic and social rights. In a statement issued on 15 July 2015, it expressed concerns about the dismantling of the welfare State, an increase in extremist and intolerant elements within society and lack of capacity of the Greek State to fulfil its human rights obligations in the context of immigration and the management of refugee flows.
- 54. The Independent Expert regrets that the concerns and recommendations of the Greek Ombudsman and the Greek National Commission for Human Rights have not been taken into account by European and national stakeholders in the design and implementation of the economic adjustment programmes. In his view both bodies need to be further strengthened if they are to fulfil their mandates. In particular, the Commission needs to be adequately staffed to carry out its important functions.

V. Impact of the economic reform programmes on the enjoyment of human rights

55. The previous mandate holder highlighted in his report (A/HRC/25/50/Add.1) the impact of austerity measures on the enjoyment of human rights, in particular, the rights to work, social security, health and adequate housing. The concerns raised persist today.

A. Right to work and to favourable conditions of work

- 56. Since the beginning of the crisis, an estimated 230,000 small and medium-sized enterprises have shut down in Greece, leading to the loss of more than 600,000 jobs. ²⁴ The economic adjustment programmes directly contributed to rising unemployment by shrinking the size of the public sector by 234,847 employees between 2009 and end of November 2015, a reduction of 26 per cent. ²⁵
- 57. Overall, about one million jobs have been lost since the beginning of the crisis, resulting in an unprecedented unemployment rate of 27.5 per cent in 2013, dropping only slightly to 24.0 per cent during the third quarter of 2015. Unemployment among women has remained 6.5 per cent higher than among men and youth (ages 15-24) unemployment remains at 48.8 per cent, an inacceptable proportion that undermines the prospects of an entire generation. Jobseekers have increasingly to accept part-time or unstable work. The number of persons who have never worked reached 23.6 per cent of all unemployed persons and 73.7 per cent of those out of work are long-term unemployed. No significant reduction of unemployment is forecast for 2016-2017.

²⁴ Hellenic Confederation of Professionals, Craftsmen and Merchants and others, "Assessment on macroeconomic performance of Greece and impact on SMEs", report submitted to the Independent Expert.

See European Parliament, Country Report on Greece, p. 83 and http://greece.greekreporter.com/ 2015/12/31/234857-employees-leave-the-public-sector-due-to-economic-crisis/.

The Independent Expert would like to thank the Ministry of Labour, Social Security and Social Solidarity for its detailed submission of the most recent information on the labour market based on Eurostat and Hellenic Statistical Authority data, from which this information is taken.

- 58. The Independent Expert remains concerned that the reduced level of the minimum wage, required by the second economic adjustment programme, in particular the reduction of 32 per cent for young workers, is not sufficient to provide workers and their families with a decent living and violates article 7 (a) (ii) of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. He is similarly concerned that the new legal framework for collective bargaining introduced as part of the economic reform programme may put employees in a disadvantaged position in the determination of wages and working conditions by direct negotiation.
- 59. The Independent Expert welcomes efforts by the Government, implemented with funding from the European Union, to target young unemployed persons and assist businesses in dynamic sectors of the economy to provide guaranteed employment. Given the number of unemployed, the Independent Expert is of the view that a more comprehensive job creation programme reaching more beneficiaries is urgently needed.

B. Right to social security

- 60. Of particular concern to the Independent Expert are the drastic cuts in social security benefits, including restrictive entitlements, implemented as part of the austerity measures. The current coverage and level of social security and social welfare benefits are inadequate to ensure a decent living for many rights holders and are not in line with the right to social security. For example, only about 10 per cent of all registered unemployed persons are actually receiving unemployment benefits.
- 61. The Independent Expert is concerned that over 3.8 million people in Greece (36 per cent of the population) are at risk of poverty or social exclusion, as indicated by the 2014 Survey on Income and Living Conditions.²⁷ This is the highest rate in the eurozone. It is even more worrying that 71.5 per cent of foreign nationals of countries outside the European Union aged 18-64 and living in Greece are at risk of poverty or social exclusion.
- 62. In 2014 an estimated 2.4 million individuals were at risk of income poverty; that means that they had to live on less than €12.63 per day if living alone or survive on less than €6.62 per day per person for a household of two adults and two children under 14 years of age. A significant proportion of foreign nationals residing in Greece (48.0 per cent), the unemployed (45.9 per cent), persons living in households with three or more children (32.4 per cent) and single-parent households with dependent children (27.8 per cent) are at particular risk. Gender-disaggregated data show that women in all of these categories are at significantly higher risk of poverty.
- 63. Over one million people in Greece can be considered as extremely poor, meaning that they have less than 40 per cent of the median average income at their disposal. Put bluntly, they have to survive on less than ϵ 8.42 per day for a single-person household or on less than ϵ 4.42 per day per person for a family of two adults and two children.
- 64. It should be further noted that European Union-wide surveys on income and living conditions are based on household interviews and therefore tend to undersample social groups at particular risk of poverty or social exclusion, such as homeless people and Roma, and exclude persons living in camps or institutions, including refugees and asylum seekers. They tend to underestimate the current humanitarian and human rights crisis in Greece. Disaggregated data on the social situation of particular groups at risk of vulnerability, such as the homeless, Roma, irregular migrants or persons with disabilities, are missing or not

16 GE 16-06550

²⁷ Hellenic Statistical Authority, Risk of Poverty: 2014 Survey on Income and Living Conditions, 8 July 2015

collected regularly, despite repeated requests by United Nations human rights treaty bodies to provide such data.

- 65. The number of persons that are considered severely materially deprived, meaning that they cannot afford four or more items on a nine-point scale measuring deprivation, has nearly doubled, from 11.6 per cent in 2010 to 21.5 per cent in 2014. This rate is significantly above the eurozone average of 7.3 per cent and currently far above that of other Southern European countries that underwent adjustment policies, such as Portugal (10.5 per cent) and Spain (7.1 per cent).
- 66. In the view of the Independent Expert, Greece needs to undertake reforms that will transform the existing system into a modern social welfare system that is just, efficient, sufficiently funded and targeted to those in need and that protects core social, economic and cultural rights in a comprehensive and non-discriminatory manner. It is essential to roll out the guaranteed minimum income scheme, which will provide means-tested support for the poor, as quickly and as reasonably as possible. Greece needs a social welfare system that assists and encourages taking up employment as soon as it becomes available. However, under current economic conditions, coverage of unemployment benefits needs to be expanded to ensure that the unemployed receive benefits for up to 24 months. Furthermore, the extremely limited tax-funded unemployment schemes need to be enlarged, in order to support more unemployed workers in low-income households. In this context, it would also be essential to increase support to affected individuals through the European Social Fund. The aim should be a reliable and responsive social welfare net that ensures that persons who are either unable to find jobs or physically unable to work can enjoy a life in dignity.
- 67. There has been intense pressure on the Government to make further cuts in pensions. In the view of the Independent Expert, there is a need to reform the pension system on the basis of the principle of intergenerational solidarity to make it financially sustainable and to prevent old age poverty. In this context, the Independent Expert would like to point out that the current official poverty threshold of &12.63 per day or &384 per month for a single person is, in his view, already at the margins of what can be considered as a minimal threshold for ensuring a life in dignity; it should be considered a line that should not be crossed, if there is no evidence that the actual cost of living has fallen significantly.

C. Right to food, housing and health care

- 68. There is a close link between income poverty and denial of social and economic rights. For example, only a few Greek people (3.1 per cent) above the official poverty threshold claim that they cannot afford a meal with meat, chicken, fish (or equivalent vegetarian food) every second day. But nearly half of those below the official poverty threshold (47.5 per cent) make this claim.
- 69. The number of people who live in households that cannot keep their home adequately warm doubled from 15.4 per cent in 2010 to 32.9 per cent in 2014. In addition, 43.1 per cent of all poor households report living in overcrowded homes.
- 70. The Independent Expert welcomes the position taken by the Government during the negotiations with the institutions in November 2015 that better protection was required against foreclosure on the primary residences of homeowners with low incomes. However, the state of the housing market needs to be monitored closely, not only in terms of the affordability of housing, but also in terms of the availability of housing units for low-income households. Greece currently has the highest rate of housing cost overburden in the European Union, a rate that increased steeply from 18.1 per cent in 2010 to 40.7 per cent in 2014. This means that people in Greece spent more of their disposable income to cover housing costs, including electricity, heating and water, than in any other European country.

It is of particular concern that 95 per cent of all individuals living below the poverty threshold currently spend more than 40 per cent of their total disposable income on housing, leaving limited funds for the purchase of other essential goods and services. Greek society cannot afford to be pushed into a situation where the right to adequate and affordable housing becomes a luxury for the few.

- 71. There is a high risk of foreclosures and further increases in homelessness as the number of households that are in arrears in paying mortgages, rent or utility bills increased during the crisis from 30.9 per cent in 2010 to 46.4 per cent in 2014. In particular, 73.6 per cent of poor households are in arrears, underlining the need for targeted debt relief for those households, which will not be able to service their mortgages or loans or are unable to cover unpaid social contributions and their tax obligations. Of particular concern to the Independent Expert are also impoverished individuals who cannot access social welfare or security benefits because they are in arrears with tax authorities or public social security funds.
- 72. The Independent Expert is also concerned about the lack of official information on the number of persons who are homeless. He recommends increasing the supply of social housing units and providing appropriate forms of financial support, such as rental subsidies for low-income households, homeless persons and Roma.
- 73. Unprecedented cuts to the public health system have resulted in critical understaffing in parts of the public health system, an increase in co-payments and waiting lists, and difficulties in providing effective and affordable access to the right to adequate health care for all. An estimated 2.5 million people have no health insurance, as public health insurance is largely linked to employment status. ²⁸ The number of persons reporting that they have postponed a medical examination because health care was too expensive increased by 85 per cent between 2010 and 2013. In 2013, 13.9 per cent of people in the lowest income quintile reported that that they had postponed a necessary medical examination because it was too expensive (up from 7.8 per cent in 2010). ²⁹ Suicide rates and mental disorders have significantly increased in Greece as a consequence of the financial crises, while mental health care has been affected by severe cuts.
- 74. Refugees, asylum seekers and undocumented migrants continue to encounter difficulties in gaining access to health-care facilities, goods, services and information. The ability of the Greek health system to provide adequate health care to refugees upon entry is severely stretched. Civil society initiatives are trying to fill the gaps in public services by looking after a growing number of people within Greece who cannot afford medical services or medication. In this context, the Independent Expert welcomes the decision to allocate additional funds for public health care in the 2016 budget, but doubts that the limited increase of funds is sufficient to restore respect for the right to health in Greece. He welcomes the new law (4368/2016) enacted on 20 February 2016 which aims at providing universal health coverage, free access to the public health system and easier access to medical care, treatment and hospitalization. The law will improve access to health care for uninsured individuals and their family members, pregnant women, the chronically ill, disabled, minors and other vulnerable groups.

²⁸ C. Economou, Barriers and Facilitating Factors in Access to Health Care Services in Greece (Copenhagen, World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe, 2015).

Eurostat, Self-reported unmet needs for medical care. The European Union average for the lowest income quintile is 4.9 per cent.

V. Conclusions and recommendations

A. Conclusions

- 75. The Independent Expert acknowledges the efforts undertaken by the Government of Greece and international lenders in attempting to redress the country's economic standing and bring it out of insolvency. However, he notes with concern that the obligations of the Government and international lenders towards rights holders in the country continue to be sidelined, in both the design and the implementation of the structural reform programmes. He regrets that to date, no comprehensive ex ante human rights impact assessments of the three economic reform programmes have been carried out.
- 76. Social and economic rights have been denied in a widespread manner. More than one million persons in Greece have fallen below income levels indicating extreme poverty. In the view of the Independent Expert, these individuals are ultimately denied, in one or another form, the enjoyment of core essential minimum levels of social, economic and cultural rights, which States have to protect in all circumstances. Extreme poverty in Greece is pervasive, taking into consideration the fact that currently, 1 of 10 persons (or 10.4 per cent of the population) is living under such conditions.
- 77. The austerity measures implemented since 2010 have contributed significantly to this outcome. The adverse human rights impacts are not the product of an "invisible hand"; States and international, public and private financial institutions within and outside Greece bear responsibility. First, it should be noted that irresponsible borrowing and lending contributed significantly to the financial crisis in Greece. Second, excessive austerity measures not only significantly deepened the economic crisis, but also prescribed cutting social protection and public health expenditures, undermining the rights to social security and health. Finally, insufficient priority was given to addressing well-known gaps in the social security system of Greece. Instead of addressing these gaps first, drastic economic reforms with dubious prospects in terms of growth were implemented at the expense of human rights.
- 78. The current financial assistance programme acknowledges the immense sacrifices the Greek society has made, but ignores the fact that the Government of Greece is to a large extent currently unable to secure for a large number of individuals within its territory the enjoyment of essential economic and social rights. This intolerable situation is not caused primarily by a lack of political will on the part of the current Government to curb violations of economic and social rights in Greece, but is compounded by the limited fiscal space at its disposal to bring the situation into conformity with its international human rights obligations. In particular, the wisdom of imposing further cuts in social welfare of 0.5 per cent of GDP as part of the conditionality of the current adjustment programme is highly questionable; the proposed cuts should be reconsidered in a context where extreme poverty is rampant and where insufficiently sized and inadequately targeted social welfare benefits contribute only marginally to a reduction in poverty, leaving many long-term unemployed and, in particular, foreign nationals from countries outside the European Union in a state of destitution.

³⁰ Extreme poverty in Greece is defined as having less than 40 per cent of the median equalized disposable income. This means that an adult living alone, after all social transfers, has less than €8.42 per day at her or his disposal for housing, food, clothing, hygiene, transport, health care, etc.

- 79. Unemployment and poverty should not be addressed only through social policy measures. Very small and medium-sized businesses make up the majority of the Greek economy. Their lack of access to credit and the huge stock of non-performing loans have resulted in a situation where investment, creation of employment and economic growth are inhibited. Yet, in Greece, the chances of overcoming the recession through a revival of private investment seem to be minimal. The widespread hope that private investment can be the primary engine of growth and development may prove to be illusory, given that capacity utilization is at historically low levels; the income expectations of the majority of citizens are extremely depressed and there still is uncertainty about whether the recession will be overcome. The only way to give positive impulse to an economy stuck in deflation and depression is by enabling the Government to launch a robust investment initiative. To finance this initiative, public debt should be restructured in a way that would create the policy space for the Government to launch projects to improve the situation of the poor and the unemployed.
- 80. Greece has a challenging structural reform agenda: improving the social security system; ensuring access to health care for all; professionalizing the public administration; introducing a more progressive tax system, in particular by reducing tax evasion and unjustifiable tax exemptions for certain privileged groups; boosting the economy; reducing inequality; and repaying a considerable amount of debt. It is hard to believe that such far-reaching reforms can be implemented effectively if the Greek people's views are not seriously taken into consideration when defining the objectives, scope, sequencing and pacing of these reforms and if they appear to be imposed by lenders with the sole goal of repayment. National ownership of these reforms can only be ensured through public consultation and social dialogue and if they are implemented with full respect for democratic participation and human rights.

B. Recommendations to the Government of Greece and its international lenders

- 81. The Independent Expert wishes to draw attention to the recommendations made by the previous mandate holder (A/HRC/25/50/Add.1, paras. 93-93), which have largely not been implemented, and recent recommendations of the Committee on Social, Economic and Cultural Rights (E/C.12/GRC/CO/2). In addition, he recommends that the Government of Greece and its international lenders:
- (a) Carry out a comprehensive human rights impact assessment of the structural adjustment programme in cooperation with all relevant stakeholders, including the institutions, the Greek National Commission for Human Rights, the Ombudsman and civil society. The assessment should include, at a minimum, an evaluation of past failures to protect economic, social and cultural rights of the Greek population and ex ante forecasts of the social and human rights impacts of particular adjustment measures;
- (b) Review economic reform policies and adjustment measures agreed between Greece and the institutions to ensure that they do not undermine the progressive realization of economic, social and cultural rights, giving priority to safeguarding the enjoyment of minimum essential levels of economic and social rights by all individuals in situations of vulnerability in Greece;
- (c) Postpone the reductions in social welfare expenditure envisioned in the latest memorandum of understanding until post-crisis economic recovery when a

reduction in unemployment, poverty and severe material deprivation would likely enable implementation of such cost-saving measures without undermining the enjoyment of core social, economic and cultural rights;

(d) Enlarge extremely limited tax-funded schemes to support more unemployed workers in low-income households, including by increasing support to affected individuals through the European Social Fund.

C. Recommendations to the Government of Greece

- 82. The Independent Experts recommends that the Government of Greece:
- (a) Implement the structural adjustment programme outlined in the memorandum of understanding with due respect for human rights, taking fully into account judgments and decisions by national and international courts and human rights bodies and recommendations of the Greek National Commission for Human Rights as well of European and international human rights bodies;
- (b) Ensure national ownership of reform measures through public consultation and social dialogue and by implementing structural reforms with full respect for the right to participation;
- (c) Undertake reform of the existing system of social security to transform it into a modern social welfare system that is just, efficient, sufficiently funded and targeted to those in need and protects core social, economic and cultural rights in a comprehensive and non-discriminatory manner, as set out in the ILO Social Protection Floors Recommendation, 2012 (No. 202);
- (d) Reform the pension system on the basis of the principle of intergenerational solidarity with a view to making it financially sustainable and to ensure the protection of older persons from poverty. In this regard, the Government should consider introducing a tax-funded basic pension scheme for all older persons whose pensions do not allow them to live at a minimum income level;
- (e) Urgently close gaps in the social welfare net by redirecting benefits to individuals belonging to the most disadvantaged and marginalized groups who are currently inadequately covered. If necessary, temporarily increase social welfare expenditure to ensure a sufficient level and reach of benefits so as to guarantee income security for all individuals, including access to essential goods and services meeting the criteria of availability, accessibility, acceptability and quality. In this context, the Government should roll out as quickly as possible a nationwide, means-tested guaranteed minimum income scheme;
- (f) Expand the coverage of unemployment benefits by ensuring that the unemployed receive benefits for up to 24 months;
- (g) Introduce a means-tested housing benefit for all low-income households struggling to meet housing costs;
- (h) Protect low-income households unable to service their mortgages from foreclosures and expand programmes that combat homelessness;
- (i) Make a basic package of health-care services, including out-patient services, hospitalization and medicines, available to all, irrespective of social insurance affiliation, at zero or near-zero cost, as envisaged by most recent legislation (Law 4368/2016);

- (j) Ensure that overindebted persons, including impoverished persons with debts to tax authorities or social security funds, are not excluded from receiving essential social security benefits;
- (k) Strengthen the access to justice of persons in situations of vulnerability, including by making legal aid more broadly accessible for persons who do not have sufficient means;
- (1) Ensure that the Greek Ombudsman continues to receive sufficient funds and increase the resources and staffing of the Greek National Commission on Human Rights;
- (m) Continue the inquiry into the origins of the debt crisis, drawing on the work initiated by the former Truth Committee on Public Debt with the aim of establishing better judicial and administrative accountability of government officials and decision makers in the private sector;
- (n) Consider endorsing the Basic Principles on Sovereign Debt Restructuring Processes and the Principles on Promoting Responsible Sovereign Lending and Borrowing developed by the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development;
- (o) Consider ratifying the Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights.

D. Recommendations to international lenders

- 83. The Independent Expert recommends that the international lenders:
- (a) Provide debt relief to Greece with a view to boosting social-inclusive growth through an investment package and by assisting Greece to close gaps in its social security net;
- (b) Develop guidelines for comprehensive human rights and social impact assessments of adjustment programmes funded or co-funded by the European Commission, the European Stability Mechanism, the European Central Bank or the International Monetary Fund and include estimates of the resources required for social protection and investment in debt sustainability assessments;
- (c) Ensure policy coherence among the financial, social and human rights policies of the European Union developed in response to the financial crisis; include the reduction of unemployment and poverty and the affordability and accessibility of public health-care services as measurable targets in the ongoing adjustment programme and monitor progress regularly;
- (d) Further increase support to Greece under European Union programmes, including in particular funds for combating poverty and social exclusion and for social and labour market measures within Greece:
- (e) Relax budgetary restrictions for Greece to enable the country to strengthen its response to the refugee crisis in a manner that reflects best practices and standards in the field of human rights and refugee law;
- (f) Support Greece by providing human, technical and financial resources to ensure appropriate health care, food and housing for refugees.