# United Nations GENERAL ASSEMBLY

TWENTY-FIRST SESSION

Official Records



Page

## FIFTH COMMITTEE, 1140th

Friday, 4 November 1966, at 11.5 a.m.

**NEW YORK** 

#### CONTENTS

Agenda item 74:

Budget estimates for the financial year 1967 (continued)

First reading (continued)

Section 16. Special missions (continued) . 135

Section 17. United Nations Field Service . 135

Chairman: Mr. Vahap ASIROĞLU (Turkey).

#### AGENDA ITEM 74

Budget estimates for the financial year 1967 (<u>continued</u>) (A/6305, A/6307, A/6385, A/6457, A/C.5/1054, A/C.5/1055 and Corr.1, A/C.5/1056 and Corr.1, A/C.5/1060, A/C.5/1062, A/C.5/1065, A/C.5/1066, A/C.5/1074-1076, A/C.5/1081, A/C.5/L.868, A/C.5/L.871)

First reading (continued) (A/C.5/L.868, A/C.5/L.871)

SECTION 16. SPECIAL MISSIONS (continued) (A/6305, A/6307)

- 1. The CHAIRMAN stated that, as a result of informal discussions, a text concerning section 16 had been drafted.
- 2. Mr. SILVEIRA DA MOTA (Brazil) (Rapporteur) read out the following text suggested for inclusion in the Fifth Committee's report to the General Assembly:

"While approving the estimate for section 16 (Special missions) the Fifth Committee generally endorsed the views expressed by the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions in paragraph 289 of its report (A/6307). Furthermore, it considered it desirable that the General Assembly request the Secretary-General to give consideration in the course of 1967, in consultation with the Governments concerned, to the question of the continuation of the Office of the Special Representative of the Secretary-General in Amman." 1/

3. Mr. RIHA (Czechoslovakia) recalled that the representative of Bulgaria at the 1139th meeting had drawn the Committee's attention to agenda item 93 (Withdrawal of all United States and other foreign forces occupying South Korea under the flag of the United Nations and dissolution of the United Nations Commission for the Unification and Rehabilitation of Korea), which had been allocated to the First Committee. It had however been understood that the Fifth

- 4. Mr. RHODES (United Kingdom) asked that the text which the Rapporteur had just read out should be circulated to members.!/
- 5. Mr. FAKIH (Kenya) and Mr. CISS (Senegal) did not believe that the Fifth Committee was competent to decide whether the activity of a particular mission should continue or not, even if it was fully qualified to increase or reduce the appropriation requested for a particular mission. The competent authority in that matter was the First Committee.
- 6. Mr. Mohamed RIAD (United Arab Republic) felt that the text read out by the Rapporteur did not have any political implications and merely restated in other words paragraph 289 of the Advisory Committee's main report (A/6307).
- 7. Mr. TURNER (Controller) pointed out in reply to the representative of Czechoslovakia that the second reading of the budget estimates could not take place until all Committees had concluded their work. Consequently there could be no question of beginning the second reading before the First Committee had taken a decision on UNCURK.

### SECTION 17. UNITED NATIONS FIELD SERVICE (A/6305, A/6307)

8. The CHAIRMAN recalled that, in the budget estimates for the financial year 1967 (A/6305), the Secretary-General was requesting an appropriation of \$1,833,200 under section 17, an amount that the Advisory Committee in paragraph 298 of its main report had recommended to be reduced to \$1,821,000. He invited the Committee to vote on the appropriation to be made under section 17.

The recommendation of the Advisory Committee (A/6307, para. 298) for an appropriation of \$1,821,000 under section 17 was approved on first reading by 65 votes to 10, with 3 abstentions.

The meeting rose at 11.20 a.m.

Committee would take a decision on section 16—of which chapter V concerned UNCURK—on first reading, irrespective of the progress made by the First Committee. As the latter had not yet set a date for its examination of the question of the dissolution of UNCURK and as it usually discussed the Korean question towards the end of its work, it might not take up that item until after the Fifth Committee had started its second reading of the budget estimates. He failed to see how the Fifth Committee could vote on section 16. The Chairman of the First Committee ought to be asked to see that his Committee took up agenda item 93 before the Fifth Committee began its second reading of the budget estimates.

<sup>1/</sup> This text was subsequently circulated as document A/C.5/L.875.