

# UNITED NATIONS ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL COUNCIL



Distr.
GENERAL

E/AC.6/SR.141 4 May 1954

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

### Seventeenth Session

ECONOMIC COMMITTEE

SUMMARY RECORD OF THE ONE HUNDRED AND FORTY-FIRST MEETING

Held at Headquarters, New York, on Friday, 9 April 1954, at 2.30 p.m.

### CONTENTS

Report of the Interim Co-ordinating Committee for International Commodity Arrangements on the question of a study group on steel  $(\mathbb{E}/2537)$ 

## PRESENT:

Chairman:

Sir Douglas COPLAND

(Australia)

Members:

Mr. BUNGE Mr. CCRKERY

Mr. BAUDOUIN

Mr. HSIA

Mr. RIBAS

Mr. PSCOLKA

Mr. EL-TANAMLI

Mr. LEGATTE Mr. KAMAT

Mr. SOLLI

Mr. ADIL

Mr. OZGUREL

Mr. SPANDARYAN

Sir Alec RANDALL

Mr. STIBRAVY Mr. ALFONZO-RAVARD

Mr. STANOVNIK

Argentina

Australia

Belgium

China

Cuba

Czechoslovakia

Egypt

France

India

Norway

Pakistan

Turkey

Union of Soviet Socialist

Republics

United Kingdom of Great

Britain and Northern Ireland

United States of America

Venezuela

Yugoslavia

Representatives of specialized agencies:

Mr. DUNAND

International Labour Organisation

Mr. McDOUGALL

Food and Agriculture Organization

Mr. LOPEZ-HERRARTE

International Bank for Reconstruction and

Development

Mr. ADISESHIAH

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural

Organization

# Representatives of non-governmental organizations:

Category A:

Mrs. LUSARDI

International Chamber of

Commerce

Miss SENDER

International Confederation

of Free Trade Unions

Miss KAHN

World Federation of

Trade Unions

Category B and Register: Mr. CRUICKSHANK

Inter-American Council of

Commerce and Production

Secretariat:

Mr. DUMONTET

Secretary of the Committee

REPORT OF THE INTERIM CO-ORDINATING COMMITTEE FOR INTERNATIONAL COMMODITY ARRANGEMENTS ON THE QUESTION OF A STUDY GROUP ON STEEL (E/2537)

After a short procedural discussion as to whether item 3 should be discussed before item 9, the CHAIRMAN ruled that item 9 should be taken first.

Sir Alec RANDAIL (United Kingdom) expressed his delegation's appreciation of the Interim Committee's sensible, well-balanced and convincing report. His Government had studied the report and was in complete agreement with the clear and concise conclusions given in paragraphs 15 and 16. He hoped that the Council would endorse them.

Mr. SOLLI (Norway) said that of the seventeen countries which had replied to the questionmairé mentioned in the report, three, namely Denmark, Egypt and Norway, had considered that the establishment of an inter-governmental study group on steel might be useful. In view of recent action by the Economic Commission for Europe and the fact that the question was being fully dealt with by other bodies, his delegation would not press for the establishment of a study group on steel.

Mr. STIBRAVY (United States of America) after thanking the Interim Committee for its helpful report, expressed complete agreement with its conclusion that the formation of an inter-governmental study group on steel would not be useful or desirable at the present time. As the report pointed out, existing arrangements for the collection of statistical and technological information were quite adequate while the industry was continually being studied by each of the Regional Commissions and by other international organizations. Whatever the problems of the steel industry might be, insufficient study was not one of them.

As to the possibility of a study group which would go beyond the collection of information and pave the way for inter-governmental negotiation, his delegation agreed with the Committee that the complexity and diversity of steel products would make it extremely difficult to negotiate a commodity agreement.

In view of the universal interest in steel as a basic industry, it might be helpful for the Committee to include in its future reports "a summary of the information collected by the various international organizations". His delegation endorsed that proposal provided of course that the work could be undertaken within existing budgetary limitations.

Mr. EL-TANAMLI (Egypt) reserved the right to speak on the ICCICA report at the next meeting.

Mr. BAUDOUIN (Belgium) said his Government had carefully considered the matter and had come to the conclusion that there was no need to go beyond the Committee's recommendations for the reasons already given by other delegations. There had already been numerous studies made of the steel question by various international organizations, such as the European Steel Community, and any further investigation would involve duplication with those bodies. He fully supported the report.

Mr. BUNGE (Argentina) reserved the right to speak on the subject at the next meeting.

Mr. LEGATTE (France) supported the remarks of previous speakers and expressed his delegation's satisfaction with the report. The Interim Committee had completed a successful task.

Mr. ADIL (Pakistan) reserved the right to speak on the subject at the next meeting.

Mr. CORKERY (Australia) said that the Australian Government had replied to the questionnaire that it did not consider a study group on steel would serve any useful purpose. He agreed with the United States representative that whatever the problems of the steel industry might be, insufficient study was not one of them. His delegation endorsed the report without reservation.

Mr. OZGUREL (Turkey) agreed with the Committee's conclusion that a study group on steel was not necessary and approved its recommendations.

Mr. KAMAT (India) fully supported the Committee's conclusions.

Mr. BUNGE (Argentina) said that, as there were still certain speakers who wished to postpone their remarks on item 9 and as the discussion of item 9 should be completed before item 3 was taken up, he would formally move the adjournment of the meeting under rule 54 or the rules of procedure.

The Argentine proposal to adjourn the meeting was adopted by 8 votes to 1, with 9 abstentions.

The meeting rose at 3.25 p.m.