



United Nations

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

UNRESTRICTED

A/AC.28/SR.19
12 September 1949

ENGLISH
ORIGINAL: FRENCH

SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON INFORMATION TRANSMITTED
UNDER ARTICLE 73 e OF THE CHARTER

SUMMARY RECORD OF THE NINETEENTH MEETING

Held at Lake Success, New York,
on Friday, 9 September 1949, at 3 p. m.

CONTENTS:

Draft report of the Rapporteur

| | | |
|------------------|--------------------|---|
| <u>Chairman:</u> | Mr. GERIG | United States of America |
| <u>Members:</u> | Mr. HOOD | Australia |
| | Mr. RYCKMANS | Belgium |
| | Mr. JOBIM | Brazil |
| | Mr. TIEH-TSENG LI | China |
| | Mr. LANNUNG | Denmark |
| | Mr. FARRAG | Egypt |
| | Mr. GARREAU | France |
| | Mr. SHIVA RAO | India |
| | Mr. SPITS | Netherlands |
| | Mr. LAKING | New Zealand |
| | Mr. WOLLIN | Sweden |
| | Mr. SOLDATOV | Union of Soviet Socialist Republics |
| | Mr. FLETCHER COOKE | United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland |
| | Mr. STOLK | Venezuela |

Rapporteur: Mr. de MARCHENA Dominican Republic

Any corrections of this record should be submitted in writing, in either of the working languages (English or French), and within two working days, to Mr. E. Delavenay, Director, Official Records Division, Room F-423, Lake Success. Corrections should be accompanied by or incorporated in a letter, on headed notepaper, bearing the appropriate symbol number and enclosed in an envelope marked "Urgent". Corrections can be dealt with more speedily by the services concerned if delegations will be good enough also to incorporate them in a mimeographed copy of the record.

Representatives of specialized agencies:

| | |
|---------------|---|
| Mr. METALL | International Labour Organisation (ILO) |
| Miss WEHRWEIN | Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) |
| Mr. HILL | World Health Organization (WHO) |

Secretariat:

| | |
|------------|-----------------------------|
| Mr. HOO | Assistant Secretary-General |
| Mr. BENSON | Secretary of the Committee |

DRAFT REPORT OF THE RAPPORTEUR

The CHAIRMAN requested the Special Committee to examine the draft report of the Rapporteur.

Mr. JOBIM (Brazil) wished to comment on the work carried out by the Committee during its current session.

He had been agreeably impressed by the goodwill and sense of responsibility shown by all members of the Committee. The Committee had, in his opinion, proved its usefulness as a body qualified to assist the General Assembly in examining the analyses prepared by the Secretary-General on the basis of information transmitted by the Powers concerned under Article 73 e of the Charter. The constructive observations of certain representatives, including in particular Mr. Shiva Rao, had been extremely helpful. The fact that that representative had been a member of the Committee for three years showed that a long term of office made for more effective and better work.

To say that the Committee had failed to achieve very considerable success in its examination of problems related to the Non-Self-Governing Territories was false. It was only necessary to remember the doubts expressed at the Preparatory Commission in London in 1945 as to the competence of the Fourth Committee to deal with Chapter XI of the Charter to realize what progress had been made. Since that time, the Special Committee had succeeded in setting in motion machinery enabling the United Nations to exercise the functions devolving upon it under the terms of Chapter XI.

During the current session it had become clear that, despite certain differences of opinion, all the representatives were showing goodwill and fairness in their examination of the problems of the Non-Self-Governing Territories. That gave cause for satisfaction with the work accomplished and for the conclusion that the United Nations would not disappoint the hopes placed upon it by the peoples of the Non-Self-Governing Territories.

/The CHAIRMAN

The CHAIRMAN thanked the Rapporteur and the Secretariat for their excellent work on the draft report.

He proposed that that report should be examined part by part and page by page.

Mr. SHIVA RAO (India) expressed his satisfaction at the Rapporteur's excellent analysis of the discussions. In his opinion, the Secretariat deserved praise for its collaboration with the Rapporteur in the preparation of the draft report.

Without wishing to criticize the quality of the work, he thought, however, that some sections of the report lacked a certain amount of balance; that could no doubt be remedied by additions and changes.

Mr. GARREAU (France) associated himself with the tributes paid to the Rapporteur and to the Secretariat.

Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) observed that, since the draft report had not yet been translated into Russian, an examination of it page by page would find his delegation at a disadvantage. He therefore hoped that no final action would be taken during the first reading, which should be merely a preliminary examination. He reserved his right to submit amendments during the second reading. That reading could be taken the following day, which would spare the Committee the necessity of meeting again on Monday, 12 September. If a vote must be taken at the current meeting, he would be compelled to take no part in it and to make the fullest reservations on the whole matter.

Before the Committee began the examination page by page, he must state that his delegation's position had frequently been misrepresented in the report. The Rapporteur had largely failed to take due account of the summary records, which, although occasionally over-summarized, reproduced his views better than the report did. He would therefore request certain changes, in order to make the report correspond more closely with the summary records.

The CHAIRMAN observed that any member of the Committee had a right to submit amendments to the report, but it would be difficult to include in that document every statement which appeared in the summary records.

He requested the Committee to start on a detailed examination of the draft report.

Part I. Constitution of the Special Committee

No change was made.

Part II. Officers

No change was made.

Part III. Agenda

Mr. FLETCHER COOKE (United Kingdom) requested the addition of a statement by the representatives of France and the United Kingdom about the agenda. The text of that addition was as follows:

"The representatives of the United Kingdom and France, while not opposing the adoption of the agenda, reserved the right to make reservations on certain items when they came up for consideration."

Mr. GARREAU (France) associated himself with the United Kingdom representative's request.

That addition was accepted.

Part IV. Cessation of information

Page 2

Mr. FLETCHER COOKE (United Kingdom) thought that the words "had ceased to transmit information" should logically be substituted for the words "had not transmitted information". He also suggested that the word "Non-Self-Governing" should be deleted. (The corrections affected the first phrase in the first paragraph of part IV).

The corrections proposed by the representative of the United Kingdom were accepted.

Page 4

Mr. TIEH-TSENG LI (China) requested that, in the paragraph referring to him, the words "in order to explain the cessation of information from the Panama Canal Zone" should be inserted after the words "which stated".

/The CHAIRMAN

The CHAIRMAN, speaking as representative of the United States of America, observed that his Government did not consider that it had ceased to transmit information, but had merely suspended transmission. He therefore asked the Chinese representative whether he would be prepared to alter his proposal to that effect.

Mr. TIEH-TSUNG LI (China) accepted the substitution of the word "suspension" for the word "cessation" in his proposal.

The insertion proposed by the representative of China was accepted.

The CHAIRMAN, speaking as representative of the United States of America, requested that the first sentence of the paragraph referring to the Chinese representative's observations should be completed by a note to the effect that negotiations between the Governments of the United States and Panama were in hand.

That request was accepted.

Page 4

Mr. FLETCHER COCKE (United Kingdom) said that his delegation had taken note of the Chinese representative's statement concerning the Kowloon Territory and the New Territories, but his Government had reserved its opinion on that point. He therefore requested the addition at the end of the paragraph on the representative of China of the following sentence:

"The representative of the United Kingdom reserved his Government's position on this point".

That addition was accepted.

Part V. Territories enumerated

Page 6

Mr. GARREAU (France) requested the insertion of the word "France" after the word "Belgium".

That request was accepted.

Mr. SHIVA RAO (India) requested that the words "a Territory which is not self-governing" should be substituted for the words "Non-Self-Governing Territory" in the fourth paragraph on page 7.

That change was accepted.

Part VI. Revision of the Standard Form

No change was made.

/Part VII.

Part VII. Information Voluntarily Transmitted

Page 8

Mr. SHIVA RAO (India) proposed a drafting alteration which did not affect the French text. It was to replace the word "for" by "of" in the third sentence of the first paragraph of Part VII.

That alteration was accepted.

Mr. SHIVA RAO (India) requested the addition after the words "the representative of India" of the expression "mentioning in particular the liberal attitude of the United States of America and of Denmark".

That addition was accepted.

Page 9

Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) pointed out that the text of the USSR amendment was not correctly translated; the end of that text should read as follows: "information regarding the extent of the participation of the indigenous inhabitants in local organs of self-government".

He asked that that correction should be made to the draft report.

Mr. FLETCHER COOKE (United Kingdom) pointed out that the USSR amendment had been put to the vote: it would therefore be necessary to decide whether the vote had been on the text which was now in the draft report or on that the insertion of which was requested by the USSR representative.

The alteration requested by the USSR representative was provisionally accepted.

Mr. JOBIM (Brazil) requested the addition of a paragraph after the paragraph dealing with the observations of the French representative. He proposed the following text:

"The representative of Brazil stated that although the Administering Powers were under no obligation to supply information on the political advancement of the Non-Self-Governing Territories under their administration, the transmission of such information was desirable and should therefore be encouraged."

That addition was accepted.

/Page 10

Page 10.

Mr. SHIVA RAO (India) asked that the words "the Committee took a second vote" should be replaced by "the Committee proceeded to a fresh vote". The new formula suggested would indicate that there had not been a repetition of the vote but a vote on a different question.

That change was accepted.

Mr. JOBIM (Brazil) requested the addition of a new paragraph as follows:

"The Committee rejected the amendment presented by the USSR delegation by 12 votes to 2."

That addition was accepted.

Part VIII. The Secretary-General's Summaries and Analyses of Information

Page 10.

Mr. HOOD (Australia), having asked for clarification on the drafting of the first paragraph of Part VIII, Mr. BENSON (Secretariat) indicated that the end of the paragraph should read as follows: "In the future analyses to be prepared by the Secretary-General, as well as on points concerning the relationship of the specialized agencies to this work".

Mr. SHIVA RAO (India) proposed a drafting alteration which did not affect the French text; it was to replace the word "for" by the word "on" in the second sentence of the second paragraph of Part VIII.

Page 11.

The CHAIRMAN, speaking as representative of the United States of America, asked that the words "for developing agriculture and training farmers" should be replaced by "for developing agricultural education and extension of services for farmers".

That alteration was accepted.

Page 12.

Mr. RYCKMANS (Belgium) proposed that the expression "the Committee could not do useful work if it persisted in examining" should be replaced by "No useful work could be done by examining".

That correction was accepted.

/Page 13.

Page 13.

Mr. SHIVA RAO (India) asked that the expression "the primary responsibility for the study of the functional fields" should be replaced by "the responsibility for an exclusive study of the functional fields".

The drafting requested would be more in accordance with his thought as it was reported in the summary records.

That correction was accepted.

Page 14.

Mr. SHIVA RAO (India) asked for the addition after the words "the intensive recruitment of labour" of the words "in certain parts of Africa".

That addition was accepted.

Mr. SHIVA RAO (India) asked that the sentence "There was a surplus of such specialists in India who would be willing to work elsewhere" should be replaced by the sentence "There was in India a certain number of retired officials, with considerable experience, who would be willing to work elsewhere."

That alteration was accepted.

Mr. FARRAG (Egypt) asked that the second paragraph of page 19 should be inserted as a separate paragraph at the end of page 14. The sentence in question dealt with the subject of migrant labour and would therefore be more appropriately placed on page 14 than on page 19, which dealt with education.

That alteration was accepted.

Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) pointed out that during the examination of the analyses prepared by the Secretariat he had spoken only once to make a general declaration on the whole of the document submitted to the Committee. In view of the importance of that one intervention, he considered that the Rapporteur should have given more space to it in his draft report. The summary of the USSR representative's statement was much too short and did not even contain all the essential arguments expounded in that statement. He quite understood that the report could not reproduce the summary records in extenso but he thought that the latter might be summarized more faithfully than they had been in the case in point in the draft report.

/He asked

He asked that pages 15 and 16 should be altered so as to give a more exact reflection of the statement which appeared in the summary record of the sixth meeting (A/AC.28/SR.6).

Mr. de MARCHENA (Dominican Republic), speaking as Rapporteur, said that he had attempted to reproduce the summary records as faithfully as possible; he was however perfectly ready to satisfy the USSR representative by altering on pages 15 and 16 the passages dealing with the USSR representative's statement.

Mr. FLETCHER COOKE (United Kingdom) thought the USSR representative's statement had been analyzed and summarized very correctly by the Rapporteur without the balance of the draft report being destroyed to the detriment of the USSR delegation.

He pointed out that all the statements had been summarized; consequently, if new elements were introduced in the part dealing with the remarks of the USSR representative, his delegation would reserve the right to ask that the paragraph containing its replies to the USSR statements should be altered accordingly.

Page 16.

Mr. FLETCHER COOKE (United Kingdom) asked that the third sentence in the second paragraph should be completed by the following addition: "for this reason, he was not called upon to defend the colonial policy of his Government, but wished merely to correct certain misapprehensions."

That addition was accepted.

Page 17.

Mr. FLETCHER COOKE (United Kingdom) asked that the first sentence should be altered as follows:

"This information was sent for information purposes only and was never intended to be the subject matter of discussion or an excuse for propaganda attack."

That alteration was accepted.

Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) recalled that after the United Kingdom representative's reply to his statement, he had spoken to point out that the United Kingdom representative's reply was without foundation and contained no argument based on facts capable of refuting the figures and information he himself had cited.

/He therefore

He therefore requested the addition of a new paragraph after the paragraph devoted to the United Kingdom representative, for the drafting of which the Rapporteur should consult the summary record of the seventh meeting (A/AC.28/SR.7, page 5).

The principle of the addition requested by the USSR representative was accepted.

Mr. HOOD (Australia) asked that the word "jurisdiction" should be replaced by "field of action".

That alteration was accepted.

Page 18

Mr. TIEH-TSUNG LI (China) proposed the addition of the phrase: "and the possibility of employing doctors with foreign degrees in the non-self-governing territories" after the words "from displaced persons camps", at the end of the second sentence in the second paragraph.

He also proposed that the words: "the ratio of the time used in the whole curriculum" should be inserted after the word "vernacular" in the last sentence of the same paragraph.

Those changes were accepted.

Page 19

Mr. TIEH-TSUNG LI (China) said that there was a contradiction between the final sentence of the third paragraph and the statement dealing with the same matter on page 14 of document A/919.

He requested that the draft report should be corrected to ensure consistency between the two documents.

Mr. GARREAU (France) wished his statement to be maintained exactly as it stood in the draft report. He would, however, raise no objection if a reference were made to the relevant passage in document A/919, possibly in the record of the Chinese representative's observations.

Mr. BENSON (Committee Secretary) suggested that the following sentence should be inserted before the French representative's intervention: "The representative of China had observed that in document A/919 it had been stated that, in French Equatorial Africa, the policy was to use French only as the language of instruction and to prohibit teaching in the local dialects in all public and private schools."

That change was accepted.

Part IX: Special resolutions concerning education

Page 21

Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) recalled that he had proposed two amendments to the draft resolution submitted by the Egyptian delegation when that resolution was discussed. He had stated then that he would vote in favour of the draft resolution if his amendments were adopted. The report, however, did not mention those amendments nor the observations he had made. He therefore requested that they should be included on the basis of the relevant part of the summary record.

The CHAIRMAN said that a new paragraph to that effect would be inserted after the text of the Egyptian resolution.

Mr. LAKING (New Zealand) recalled that his criticisms had referred chiefly to the substance of the Egyptian draft resolution. That was why he thought it would be better to replace the first phrase of the second paragraph by the words: "After a discussion which revealed divergences of opinion among members of the Committee...".

That change was accepted.

Page 22

Mr. JOBIM (Brazil) thought the text of the report would be clearer if in recording the results of voting the formula "By -- votes in favour and -- votes against..." were used.

That change was accepted.

Page 24

Mr. FLETCHER COOKE (United Kingdom) requested that the words "moved to delete" in the last paragraph should be deleted and replaced by the phrase "asked that there should be a separate vote on".

That change was accepted.

Mr. LAKING (New Zealand) thought that the main reasons for which the draft resolution had been presented should be explained in greater detail.

Mr. de MARCHENA (Dominican Republic), speaking as Rapporteur, observed that it might not be wise to make additions to which some members of the Committee might raise objections.

/Mr. FLETCHER COOKE

Mr. FLETCHER COOKE (United Kingdom), agreed with the New Zealand representative that the draft resolution had not been as fully presented as it might have been. Moreover, the report did not mention two observations he had made on the subject of discrimination in education, which was not practised deliberately, and about the policy of the United Kingdom Government regarding vernacular languages.

Mr. de MARCHENA (Dominican Republic), speaking as Rapporteur, said that, in the circumstances, a short paragraph might be added between the observations of the Chinese representative and those of the French representative on page 19.

That change was accepted.

Part X: Resolution concerning international collaboration

Mr. SHIVA RAO (India) pointed out an omission of some importance from Part X. He recalled that the question had been discussed at length, and that he had explained the reasons for which he was presenting a draft resolution in detail. He had spoken of the various aspects of international collaboration, which had already been developed to some extent on the intergovernmental level. He had added that it might be desirable to encourage international collaboration on another level, namely, between the specialized agencies, while limiting the tasks to be entrusted to them as regards non-self-governing territories.

In his view, therefore, a paragraph briefly summarizing his observations during the discussion of the question should be inserted before the text of his draft resolution.

Mr. HOOD (Australia) agreed with the Indian representative. He thought the importance of the discussion required a more ample record.

Mr. de MARCHENA (Dominican Republic), speaking as Rapporteur, said that, if the report was to be short, it was difficult to include all that had been said during the discussions. The Indian representative's request would be met, but he himself thought the resolution was self-explanatory.

Mr. TIEH-TSENG LI (China) recalled that during the discussion he had asked what was meant by the word "indigenous" and had given the

example of Singapore, where the Chinese were a considerable proportion of the population. The Indian representative had replied that the Chinese were regarded as indigenous. Nevertheless, the summary record contained only the French representative's reply, giving a definition of the word "indigenous". He therefore requested that his question and the Indian representative's reply should be included in the report.

That change was accepted.

Part XI: The future of the Special Committee

Mr. SHIVA RAO (India) thought that the summary of the discussion on pages 29 and 30 was unduly condensed and gave a slightly inaccurate impression of the trend it had taken. He noted that the views of those opposed to the draft resolution, namely the representatives of France, Belgium and the United Kingdom, were stated first, followed by the Chairman's observations, and lastly, by the observations of the members of the Committee who had supported the draft resolution. He considered it would have been far more logical to reverse that order and explain more adequately the views of those who had expressed themselves in favour of the draft resolution. That part of the report was thus particularly unsatisfactory and he proposed that the Rapporteur should be invited to redraft it.

Mr. RYCKMANS (Belgium) thought the most logical presentation would be to give, first, the arguments of the authors of the draft resolution; next, those of representatives who had opposed it; and, finally, the remarks of the United States representative, who suggested a compromise.

Page 29

Mr. FLETCHER COOKE (United Kingdom) agreed with the Indian representative regarding the presentation, and further pointed out that no mention was made of the fact that the United Kingdom delegation, as well as some others which had voted against the draft resolution, had explained that they would, nevertheless, have voted to prolong the Committee's activities for one year.

/He further

He further proposed the following changes in the third paragraph: a) for "had not been accepted" the substitution of the words "had been duly considered and deliberately rejected"; b) in the same line the substitution of the word "improper" for "unconstitutional" and in the following line the deletion of the words "by resolution to" and the insertion, in their place, of the phrase "to attempt to rewrite the Charter by resolution so as to"; and c) the omission of the sentence beginning "This was an attempt ...".

Those changes were accepted.

Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) agreed with Mr. Shiva Rao that the Rapporteur should be asked to redraft Part XI of his report. As regards the structure of the new draft, he considered the presentation of the various paragraphs suggested by the Belgian representative to be better than that just outlined by the Rapporteur himself.

Furthermore, he recalled that, during the debate on the draft resolution submitted jointly by the representatives of China, Egypt, India and Venezuela, he had said that his delegation had always believed the Committee should be constituted in a permanent form, as in that way it would facilitate the application of the principles of the Charter concerning Non-Self-Governing Territories. He asked that that statement should be included on page 30 of the draft report.

Finally, he pointed out that in the second paragraph of the addendum the Rapporteur had attributed to him statements which he had not made. He asked Mr. de Marchena to consult the summary record of the meeting in order that the sense of his statement might be corrected.

Mr. TIEH-TSENG LI (China) also expressed himself in favour of the Indian representative's proposal.

Since the question of the future of the Committee would certainly be raised in the Fourth Committee, it was important that all the arguments advanced for and against the establishment of a permanent Committee should be included in the report.

For that reason, he thought the debates on the joint proposal of China, Egypt, India and Venezuela had been rather too concisely summarized. Each of those representatives had maintained a particular point of view, and all the arguments should be reflected in the report.

/ Finally,

Finally, he requested that the second paragraph of the addendum should state that, when he had said he would vote for the United States resolution, it had been with the idea that the Committee would be established for three years "without prejudice to the future".

Mr. SPIITS (Netherlands) asked the Rapporteur to insert the following paragraph after the United States statement (page 30, top of page):

"The representative of the Netherlands observed that if any of the Administering Authorities stopped transmitting information because it had ceased to be responsible for Non-Self-Governing Territories, the balance in the Committee between those Powers and the Powers which did not administer Non-Self-Governing Territories would be upset, and that provision should therefore be made to meet that difficulty."

Mr. JOBIM (Brazil) asked that the following paragraph should be inserted on page 30, immediately after the United States representative's statement.

"The representative of Brazil recalled that the results of the vote in the Fourth Committee at the third session of the General Assembly showed that the majority of the members of those bodies favoured the idea of a permanent committee. He also observed that the obligations undertaken by the Administering Authorities under Chapter XI of the Charter, as well as the obligation imposed upon the Secretary-General to prepare summaries and analyses, were of a permanent character. Furthermore, the work of a technical body such as the Special Committee could be made considerably more effective if the committee were to be established on a permanent basis, and its members to be elected for three years. The establishment of a permanent committee was therefore absolutely essential if the United Nations was to be able to carry out the duties towards Non-Self-Governing Territories which had been assigned to it".

He urged the insertion of that paragraph in the report in view of the fact that his delegation had been the first to request the establishment of a permanent committee.

/ Mr. Jobim

Mr. Jobin further asked that the last paragraph on page 31, beginning with the words: "In the discussion ..." be replaced by the following text which seemed clearer to him:

"In the discussion which followed, the representatives of Brazil, Egypt, China, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, and Venezuela had observed that the rules of procedure did not permit of a decision such as that suggested by the representative of Australia. Unless the authors of the joint draft resolution and the United States draft resolution were willing to withdraw their texts, they would have to be put to a vote."

Mr. RYCKMANS (Belgium) likewise thought that the last paragraph on page 31 was not clear, since in the course of the discussion, no one had requested the withdrawal of the draft resolutions submitted. He therefore asked that the paragraph should be amended.

Mr. GARREAU (France) considered that the statements of the representatives of Belgium, France and the United Kingdom, which appeared on page 33, could have been given in greater detail.

He had said inter alia that he would be prepared to vote for the renewal of the Committee for one year on the understanding that it would alter its method of working. He added that the Committee furthermore had other tasks to fulfil, such as the revision of the Standard Form. He had also asked that the question of permanence of the Committee should not be considered. As it was in any case proposed to redraft Part XI of the report, he asked that his point of view should be recorded in the report.

Mr. LAKING (New Zealand) stated that in the course of the discussion on the future of the Committee, his delegation had expressed opinions which were not entirely in agreement with those of the other delegations, and he thought it would be proper to mention them in the report.

Mr. LANNUNG (Denmark) asked whether the delegations, which had made reservations at the time of the vote on the United States draft resolution, would agree to the deletion of the relevant paragraph (addendum to the report, second paragraph). Their reservations had already been noted in the summary records; if they were to be mentioned in the report, the purport of the votes in favour of the resolution might be weakened in the eyes of the General Assembly.

/Mr. FARRAG (Egypt)

Mr. FARRAG (Egypt) asked the Rapporteur for a more detailed record of the arguments put forward by the authors of the joint draft resolution.

The CHAIRMAN, supported by the R-pporteur, considered that it was impossible to include everything said by each of the representatives in a report.

In view of the objections which had just been raised, however, he proposed the following method for the drafting of Part XI of the report: redrafting of the beginning of that part in accordance with Mr. Shiva Rao's proposal^{and} substitution of the official summary record of the discussions on item 7 (b) of the agenda for of the end.

Mr. GARREAU (France), supported by Mr. RYCKMANS (Belgium), thought that that would upset the balance between the various parts of the report. He wondered if it would not be better under the circumstances to adopt that part as it was.

It was decided to ask the Rapporteur to redraft Part XI of the report, taking account of the observations just made.

The CHAIRMAN reopened the discussion on the correction the USSR representative had requested to his amendment, as it appeared on page 9 of the report.

Following a brief exchange of views, in which Mr. FLETCHER COOKE (United Kingdom), Mr. RYCKMANS (Belgium) and Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) took part, Mr. Soldatov's proposal was adopted.

It was decided that the words: "the participation of the indigenous population in local organs of self-government" appearing in the last line of the USSR amendment on page 9 should be replaced by the following phrase: "the degree of participation of the indigenous population in the local organs of self-government ..." It was also decided that the English, French and Spanish translations of document A/AC.28/W.16, in which that amendment was included, should be corrected accordingly.

Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) stated that he had just received from the Secretariat the draft of a text to replace that on pages 15 and 16 of the draft report, as he had requested.

He was not altogether satisfied with that text, and asked its authors to redraft it by Monday, in a manner conforming more closely to the summary records.

In that regard he drew the attention of the Committee to some errors which had slipped into the records.

/For instance,

For instance, on page 3 of document A/AC.28/SR 13 it was stated that Mr. Soldatov had suggested the deletion of the word "all" before the words "such information"; in fact that suggestion had been made by the United States representative and Mr. Soldatov had opposed it.

In document A/AC.28/SR 10, it was stated that Mr. Soldatov had said that "only by means of a national culture could a people hope to attain independence." -Mr. Soldatov declared that he had never said such a thing. Such a statement would be absolutely contrary to his convictions.

Mr. Soldatov asked that the observations he had just made should be noted in the record of the meeting.

He further requested that, as the Committee could not have verbatim records, which would have been better, care should be taken in future to see that the records reflected the tenor of the discussions, and that approval of them was entrusted to persons directly attached to the Secretariat of the Committee.

The CHAIRMAN reminded Mr. Soldatov that each delegation was entitled to correct the summary records within 48 hours after the meeting.

Mr. FLETCHER COOKE (United Kingdom) declared that, except for Part XI and a few points of detail, the draft report on the whole seemed to him to be satisfactory.

However, in view of the fact that the additions demanded by the USSR representative involved a risk of disturbing the balance between the statements of the various representatives, he reserved the right to present some addenda himself.

Mr. GARREAU (France) made the same reservation.

After consultation with the Rapporteur, the CHAIRMAN announced that the next meeting of the Committee would take place at 3 p.m. on Monday, 12 September. By that time the Rapporteur would redraft Part XI of the report and the addendum Mr. Soldatov had requested.

The meeting rose at 6 p.m.