



Distr.: General 5 June 2013

English only

Annual status report of the annual inventory of Monaco

1. This status report was prepared by the expert review team as part of the initial check of the annual inventory submitted in accordance with decision 15/CMP.1. It reflects the content of the inventory submission of 2013 as originally submitted by the Party.

2. In this report, the following abbreviations are used:

AAU: assigned amount unit

CER: certified emission reduction CRF: common reporting format ERU: emission reduction unit

GHG: greenhouse gas

KP-LULUCF: Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4, activities under the Kyoto Protocol

ICER: long-term certified emission

reduction

LULUCF: land use, land-use change and

forestry

NIR: national inventory report

RMU: removal unit

SBDT: sectoral background data tables SEF: standard electronic format SOPU: solvent and other product use tCER: temporary certified emission

reduction

Notation keys
C: confidential

IE: included elsewhere NA: not applicable

NE: not estimated NO: not occurring

Gases

CO₂: carbon dioxide CH₄: methane

N₂O: nitrous oxide

HFCs: hydrofluorocarbons PFCs: perfluorocarbons SF₆: sulphur hexafluoride NO_X: nitrogen oxides CO: carbon monoxide

NMVOCs: non-methane volatile organic

compounds

SO₂: sulphur dioxide



			GREENI	HOUSE GAS	S INVENT	ORY SUBMISS	SION								
		Date of r	eceipt	Date of res	submission	Comments									
	NIR	15 Apr	il 2013												
tion	CRF tables ^a	09 Apr	il 2013												
forma	Additional information ^b														
eral in	Additional information ^b CRF provided for years 1990–2011 Base year or period ^c 1990 Base year for HFCs, PFCs and SF ₆ ^d 1995														
Gene	Base year or period ^c	1990	Base y	ear for HI	FCs, PFC	s and SF_6^d	1995	j							
	Gases covered	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	HFCs	PFCs	SF ₆	NO_X	CO	NMVOCs	SO_2				
		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓				
NIR	Description	UNFC	CC repo	rting guid	elines ^e on	annual inver	ntories	follow	ing inco	ed in the upda orporation of the of the NIR.					
	Language of NIR	French													
RF	Missing source category cent for categories include to the Kyoto Protocol			No miss	sing sourc	ce category la	arger tl	han 7 pe	er cent	has been iden	tified.				

^a CRF tables are contained in document FCCC/SBSTA/2006/9, annex II.

^b Additional information can be any information submitted by a Party in conjunction with the NIR or CRF tables.

^c Parties included in Annex I to the Convention undergoing the process of transition to a market economy may use a base year or a period of years other than 1990, in accordance with the provisions of Article 4, paragraph 6, of the Convention and decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4.

^d A Party may select 1990 or 1995 as the base year for the fluorinated gases in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 8, of the Kyoto Protocol.

^e "Guidelines for the preparation of national communications by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention, Part I: UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual inventories" (FCCC/SBSTA/2006/9).

^f A Party has not reported an estimate for an Annex A category that accounted for 7 per cent or more of the Party's aggregate emissions (decision 15/CMP.1, para. 3(b)).

	SUBMISSION OF S	UPPLEMENTARY	INFORMATION UNI	DER ARTICLE 7.1
		Date of receipt	Date of resubmission	Comments
ation	KP-LULUCF information ^a	15 April 2013		NIR page 63, chapter 11
information	KP-LULUCF CRF ^b	09 April 2013		CRF provided for years: 2008–2011
supplementary	Information on Kyoto units (ERUs, CERs, RMUs and AAUs) ^c	15 April 2013		NIR page 71, chapter 12
olddns.	SEF ^d with Kyoto units	10 April 2013		
ting of	Change in national system ^e	15 April 2013		NIR page 73, chapter 13
Reporting	Change in national registry ^e	15 April 2013		NIR page 75, chapter 14
	Minimization of adverse impacts ^e	15 April 2013		NIR page 77, chapter 15

^a Parties are required to include in their annual submission information on anthropogenic GHG emissions by sources and removals by sinks from LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraph 3, of the Kyoto Protocol, and, if any, elected activities under Article 3, paragraph 4, in accordance with the annex to decision 15/CMP.1, paragraphs 5–9.

^b Parties are required to report anthropogenic GHG emissions by sources and removals by sinks from LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraph 3, of the Kyoto Protocol, and, if any, elected activities under Article 3, paragraph 4, using the agreed CRF tables adopted under decision 6/CMP.3. Parties may also elect to report these tables for the base year or 1990.

^c Parties are required to include in their annual submission information on ERUs, CERs, tCERs, ICERs, AAUs and RMUs, in accordance with the annex to decision 15/CMP.1, section I.E.

^d SEF for reporting Kyoto units, as adopted under decision 14/CMP.1 and required by the annex to decision 15/CMP.1, paragraph 11

<sup>11.

&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> The requirements for Parties to report on changes in national systems in accordance with Article 5, paragraph 1, changes in national registries, and the minimization of adverse impacts in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 14, are set out in sections I.F, I.G and I.H, respectively, of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1.

	Provision	n of infor	mation for	the lates		PAR'		ar in t	he commo	on repo	orting format: 2011			
	Energy		Industrial pr	rocesses	SOPU		Agricult	ure	LULUC	F	KP-LULUCF ^a		Waste	?
Sectoral report	1	√	2(I)	✓	3	✓	4	✓	5	✓	5(KP)	✓	6	✓
tables		•	2(II)	✓				•				•		•
Sectoral	1.A(a)	√	2(I).A–G	√	3.A-D	√	4.A	✓	5.A	✓	5(KP-I)A.1.1	✓	6.A	✓
background data tables	1.A(b)	√	2(II).C	✓			4.B(a)	✓	5.B	√	5(KP-I)A.1.2	✓	6.B	✓
	1.A(c)	√	2(II).E	√			4.B(b)	✓	5.C	√	5(KP-I)A.1.3	✓	6.C	✓
	1.A(d)	√	2(II).F	✓			4.C	✓	5.D	√	5(KP-I)A.2	✓		
	1.B.1	√					4.D	✓	5.E	√	5(KP-I)A.2.1.	√		
	1.B.2	✓					4.E	✓	5.F	√	5(KP-I)B.1.	✓		
	1.C	✓					4.F	✓	5 (I)	√	5(KP-I)B.2.	✓		
es	Bunkers	separate	ly 🗸						5 (II)	√	5(KP-I)B.3.	✓		
Tables			l e						5 (III)	√	5(KP-I)B.4.	✓		
									5 (IV)	✓	5(KP-II)1	✓		
									5 (V)	✓	5(KP-II)2	✓		
										-	5(KP-II)3	✓		
											5(KP-II)4	✓		
											5(KP-II)5	√		
											Accounting table	✓		
Summary tables	Summa	ry 1.A	✓	Summa	ary 1.B		✓			Sum	mary 2	1	,	/
Other tables	Summa	ry 3	✓	Table 7	7 (Key cat	egorie	es) 🗸			Tabl	e 9(a) (Completeness))	,	/
	Table 10	(Trends) 🗸				ı			Tabl	e 9(b) (Completeness)		
Comments			ı	<u> </u>						1				

^a Parties are required to report anthropogenic GHG emissions by sources and removals by sinks from LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraph 3, of the Kyoto Protocol, and, if any, elected activities under Article 3, paragraph 4, using the agreed CRF tables adopted under decision 6/CMP.3.

	Provision of i	information for	the lates	st reported	PAI l inventory		ommon rep	orting fo	rmat: 2011 (continued	1)	
ıls	Provided for gases	CO₂ ✓	CH ₄	√	N_2O	✓	HFCs 🗸		PFCs 🗸		SF_6	/
Totals	Provided for years	1990–2011	1990-	-2011	1990–	-2011	1994–2011		2001–2009]	1990–	2011
		Reference app	roach	Sectoral a	ıpproach	Difference m	ore than 2 p	er cent	If difference	e is more	than 2	? per cent
CO_2	Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion	√		✓					Explanation provided	1		
SF_{δ}				•	HFCs		PFCs		1	SF_6		
PFCs, SI	Disaggregation by sp	pecies			√							
Cs, PF	Reporting of actual a	-			Actual	Potential	Actual	Potent	ial	Actual		Potential
HFCs,	estimates in the categ and SF ₆	ory consumption	on of hal	ocarbons	√	✓				✓		✓
tion	Used in	Summary table	1.A	~	Sectoral	report tables	V	Sector	al backgrour	ıd data ta	bles	√
Notation keys	Comments											

																PART II	
	Provision of common reporting format tables for years reported Years															rears reported	
				Years													
				Base	0	5	0	4	5	5	7	~	6	0	I	Information gaps	
	1			year ^a	0661	1995	2000	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	relating to reporting ^b	Comments
	Sect	oral report: ta	ble 1		√	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	√	√	✓		
		Table 1.A(a)			V	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 1.A(b)			V	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 1.A(c)			✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	√	√	✓	✓	✓		
Energy	SBDT	Table 1.A(d)			✓	✓	√	✓	✓	√	√	✓	√	√	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 1990–2011. For 1990–2011, the notation keys NO, NE are used.
		Table 1.B.1			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 1990–2011. For 1990–2011, the notation keys NO, NA are used.
		Table 1.B.2			V	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	V	✓	✓	√		
		Table 1.C			V	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	V	✓	✓	√		
	Sect		Table 2(I)		V	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	V	✓	✓	√		
	repo		Table 2(II)		V	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	√	✓	✓	✓		
esses		Table 2(I).A-	-G		√	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	√	√	✓	√	✓		
Industrial processes		Table 2(II).C			✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 1990–2011. For 1990–2011, the notation keys NO, NA are used.
Indu	SBDT	Table 2(II).E			✓	✓	√	✓	√	√	√	✓	✓	√	√		No numerical data are reported in this table for 1990–2011. For 1990–2011, the notation keys NO, NA are used.
		Table 2(II).F			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		

								P	Provis	sion (of cor	mmo	n rep	orti	PART II	ears reported (continued)
			Years													
			Base year ^a	0661	1995	2000	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	5005	2010	2011	Information gaps relating to reporting b	Comments
U	Secto	oral report: Table 3		✓	√	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	V	✓		
SOPU	SBDT	Table 3.A–D		✓	✓	✓	✓	√	√	✓	✓	√	✓	✓		
	Secto	oral report: Table 4		√	✓	✓	✓	√	√	✓	√	√	✓	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 1990–2011. For 1990–2011, the notation keys NA, NO are used. The cells for Option B are blank.
		Table 4.A		√	✓	✓	✓	√	√	✓	✓	√	✓	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 1990–2011. For 1990–2011, the notation key NO is used. The cells for Option B are blank.
		Table 4.B(a)		√	✓	✓	✓	√	√	✓	√	√	✓	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 1990–2011. For 1990–2011, the notation key NO is used. The cells for Option B are blank.
ulture		Table 4.B(b)		✓	✓	✓	✓	√	√	✓	✓	√	✓	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 1990–2011. For 1990–2011, the notation keys NO, NA are used. The cells for Option B are blank.
Agriculture	SBDT	Table 4.C		✓	✓	✓	✓	V	√	✓	✓	~	✓	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 1990–2011. For 1990–2011, the notation keys NO, NA are used.
		Table 4.D		✓	✓	✓	✓	V	V	✓	✓	~	✓	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 1990–2011. For 1990–2011, the notation keys NA, NO are used.
		Table 4.E		✓	✓	✓	✓	√	√	✓	√	√	✓	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 1990–2011. For 1990–2011, the notation key NO is used.
		Table 4.F		✓	✓	✓	√	√	√	✓	√	√	✓	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 1990–2011. For 1990–2011, the notation keys NA, NO are used.

															PART II	
			1					P	Provi	sion (of co	mmo	n rej	porti	ng format tables for yo	ears reported (continued)
			Years		1							1		1		
			Base year ^a	0661	2661	2000	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	5005	2010	2011	Information gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments
	Secto	oral report: Table 5		✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 5.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	√	√	✓	√	√		No numerical data are reported in this table for 1990–2011. For 1990–2011, the notation key NO is used.
		Table 5.B		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	√	√	✓	√	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 1990–2011. For 1990–2011, the notation key NO is used.
		Table 5.C		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	V	V	V	✓	V	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 1990–2011. For 1990–2011, the notation key NO is used.
		Table 5.D		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	V	V	✓	V	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 1990–2011. For 1990–2011, the notation key NO is used.
		Table 5.E		✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	V		
LULUCF	SBDT	Table 5.F		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	√	√	✓	√	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 1990–2011. For 1990–2011, the notation key NO is used.
		Table 5 (I)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	√	√	✓	√	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 1990–2011. For 1990–2011, the notation keys NO, NA are used.
		Table 5 (II)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	V	V	✓	~	√		No numerical data are reported in this table for 1990–2011. For 1990–2011, the notation keys NO, NA are used.
		Table 5 (III)		✓	✓	✓	✓	√	√	√	√	√	√	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 1990–2011. For 1990–2011, the notation key NO is used.
		Table 5 (IV)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	V	V	✓	V	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 1990–2011. For 1990–2011, the notation keys NO, NA are used.
		Table 5 (V)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	√	√	✓	√	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 1990–2011. For 1990–2011, the notation key NO is used.

							Pr	nvisi	on of	· com	mon	ren	ortin		PART II mat tables for years 1	reported (continued)
			Years					01202				Т		8 101	101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101	(cposed (commed)
			Base year ^a	0661	1995	2000	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Information gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments
	Sect	oral report: Table 5 (KP)									✓	✓	✓	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 2008–2011. For these years the notation key NA is used.
		Table 5 (KP-I) A.1.1									✓	✓	✓	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 2008–2011. For these years the notation key NA is used.
		Table 5 (KP-I)A.1.2									✓	✓	✓	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 2008–2011. For these years the notation key NA is used.
		Table 5 (KP-I) A.1.3									✓	✓	✓	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 2008–2011. For these years the notation key NA is used.
		Table 5 (KP-I) A.2									✓	✓	✓	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 2008–2011. For these years the notation key NA is used.
LUCF		Table 5 (KP-I) A.2.1									✓	✓	✓	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 2008–2011. For these years the notation key NA is used.
KP-LULUCF	SBDT	Table 5 (KP-I) B.1									✓	✓	✓	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 2008–2011. For these years the notation key NA is used.
		Table 5 (KP-I) B.2									✓	✓	✓	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 2008–2011. For these years the notation key NA is used.
		Table 5 (KP-I) B.3									✓	✓	✓	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 2008–2011. For these years the notation key NA is used.
		Table 5 (KP-I) B.4									✓	✓	√	~		No numerical data are reported in this table for 2008–2011. For these years the notation key NA is used.
		Table 5 (KP-II) 1									✓	✓	✓	~		No numerical data are reported in this table for 2008–2011. For these years the notation key NA is used.
		Table 5 (KP-II) 2									✓	✓	√	~		No numerical data are reported in this table for 2008–2011. For these years the notation key NA is used.

						Pr	ovisio	on of	com	mon	repe	ortin		PART II mat tables for years r	reported (continued)
		Years													
		Base year ^a	1990	1995	2000	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Information gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments
	Table 5 (KP-II) 3									_	✓	✓	√		No numerical data are reported in this table for 2008–2011. For these years the notation key NA is used.
	Table 5 (KP-II) 4									√	✓	✓	√		No numerical data are reported in this table for 2008–2011. For these years the notation key NA is used.
	Table 5 (KP-II) 5									√	✓	√	√		No numerical data are reported in this table for 2008–2011. For these years the notation key NA is used.
Ac	Accounting table													No numerical data are reported in this table for 2008–2011. For these years the notation key NA is used.	

							Prov	ision	of c	omm	on re	epor	ting f		RT II at tables for years repo	orted (continued)
			Years													
			Base year ^a	0661	1995	2000	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Information gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments
	Sect	oral report: Table 6		√	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	√	✓	✓	✓		
ste		Table 6.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	√	√		No numerical data are reported in this table for 1990–2011. For 1990–2011, the notation keys NO, NA are used.
Waste	SBDT	Table 6.B		√	✓	√	✓	✓	√	√	√	✓	√	√		
	5,	Table 6.C		✓	√	✓	✓	√	√	√	√	✓	√	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table for 1990. For 1990, the notation keys NA, NO are used.
		Summary 1.A		√	√	√	✓	✓	√	√	√	✓	✓	√		
		Summary 1.B		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	√	√		
		Summary 2 (CO ₂ equivalent emissions)		√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	V	√		
ables		Summary 3 (Methods/emission factors)		✓	✓	√	✓	✓	√	√	√	✓	√	~		
other 1		Table 7 (Key categories)		√	√	√	√	√	V	√	V	✓	✓	√		
Summary and other tables		Table 8(a) (Recalculation – recalculated data)		✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	~	✓	√	√			
Sun		Table 8(b) (Recalculation – explanatory information)														
		Table 9(a) (Completeness)		✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	√	√	√	√	√		
		Table 9(b) (Completeness)														
		Table 10 (Trends)		✓	V	V	√	√	V	√	V	✓	√	√		

^a Parties included in Annex I to the Convention undergoing the process of transition to a market economy are allowed to use a base year or a period of years other than 1990, in accordance with the provisions of Article 4, paragraph 6, of the Convention and decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4.

This column indicates that reporting gaps (blank cells) have been identified in a given table of the CRF. This was owing to limited use, or lack, of notation keys

J
\bigcirc
\mathbf{C}
(2
\triangleright
S
Z
5
Ö
=
013/M
<u> </u>
O.
\circ

		Provision of info	PART III ormation relating to recalc	ulations		
Table 8(a) (Recalculated data)	√	Comments				
Recalculation reported for years	1990–2010	1				
Recalculated sectors/gases	Energy	Industrial processes	SOPU	Agriculture	LULUCF	Waste
CO_2		✓	√			
CH ₄						
N ₂ O						
HFCs		✓				
PFCs		✓				
SF ₆		✓				
Table 8(b) (Explanatory information)						
Percentage difference in aggregate	greenhouse gas	Base year estimate: ^a	With LULUCF:	0.01 %	Without LULUCF:	0.01 %
emissions		Latest recalculated year: ^b	With LULUCF:	0.1 %	Without LULUCF:	0.1 %

^a Parties included in Annex I to the Convention undergoing the process of transition to a market economy are allowed to use a base year or a period of years other than 1990, in accordance with the provisions of Article 4, paragraph 6, of the Convention and decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4.

^b The latest recalculated year is the submission year minus 3.

	PART IV							
	Provision of information relating to KP-LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4							
			Provided	Observation				
	Emissions by sources and removals by sinks are clearly distinguished from emissions from categories included in Annex A to the Kyoto Protocol		✓	No numerical data are reported. Notation keys NO, NA are used.				
	Information on how inventory methodologies have been applied taking into account IPCC good practice guidance for LULUCF ^a and decision 16/CMP.1			Information required in paragraph 6(a) of the annex to 15/CMP.1 is not included in the submission.				
paragraphs 5–9	Information on the geographical location of the boundaries of areas that encompass:							
		Units of land subject to activities under Article 3.3		Information required in paragraph 6(b)(i) of the annex to 15/CMP.1 is not included in the submission.				
I, annex, 1		Units of land subject to activities under Article 3.3, which would otherwise be included in land subject to elected activities under Article 3.4		Monaco did not elect any activity under Article 3, paragraph 4.				
CMP.		Land subject to elected activities under Article 3.4		4.				
cision 15/	Information on the spatial assessment unit for determining the area of accounting for afforestation, reforestation and deforestation			Information required in paragraph 6(c) of the annex to 15/CMP.1 is not included in the submission.				
Reporting requirements under decision 15/CMP.1, annex, paragraphs	Information on GHG emissions/removals resulting from activities under Article 3.3 and 3.4 for all geographical locations reported in the current and previous years since the beginning of the commitment period or the onset of the activity, whichever comes later		√	No numerical data are reported. Notation keys NO, NA are used.				
requirem	Information on carbon pools (above-ground/below-ground biomass, litter, dead wood and soil organic carbon) that are not accounted for			Information required in paragraph 6(e) of the annex to 15/CMP.1 is not included in the submission.				
Reporting	Information on anthropogenic GHGs from LULUCF activities under Article 3.3 and 3.4 factoring out removals from:							
		Elevated CO ₂ concentrations above pre-industrial levels						
		Indirect nitrogen deposition		Information required in paragraph 7 of the annex to 15/CMP.1 is not included in the submission.				
		The dynamic effects of age structure resulting from activities prior to 1 January 1990]				

^a The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Good Practice Guidance for Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry.

	PART IV								
	Provision of information relating to KP-LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4 (continued)								
			Provided	Observation					
6-	Specific information to be reported for Article 3.3 activities:								
paragraphs 5–		Activities under Article 3.3 that began on or after 1 January 1990 and before 31 December of the last year of the commitment period and are directly human induced		Information required in paragraph 8(a) of the annex to 15/CMP.1 is not included in the submission.					
annex, para		How harvesting or forest disturbance that is followed by the re-establishment of forest is distinguished from deforestation		Information required in paragraph 8(b) of the annex to 15/CMP.1 is not included in the submission.					
		Emissions/removals from lands harvested during the first commitment period following afforestation and reforestation on these units of land since 1990		Information required in paragraph 8(c) of the annex to 15/CMP.1 is not included in the submission.					
n 15/0	Specifi	ic information to be reported for Article 3.4 activities:							
decisic		Activities under Article 3.4 that occurred since 1 January 1990 and are human induced							
Reporting requirements under decision 15/CMP.1,		Cropland management, grazing land management, revegetation: emissions/removals reported for each year of the commitment period and for the base year for each of the elected activities on the geographical locations reported		Monaco did not elect any activity under Article 3, paragraph 4.					
ng require		Emissions/removals from Article 3.4 activities that are not accounted for under activities under Article 3.3							
Reportii		Forest management: information on the extent to which GHG removals by sinks offsets the debit incurred under Article 3.3							