
Meeting of the States Parties to the Convention on the Prohibition of the Development, Production and Stockpiling of Bacteriological (Biological) and Toxin Weapons and on Their Destruction

3 December 2010
English Only

2010 Meeting

Geneva, 6–10 December 2010

Item 9 of the provisional agenda

Arrangements for the Seventh Review Conference and its Preparatory Committee in 2011.

Proposals to strengthen the existing confidence-building measure submission and review process

Submitted by Canada

1. Canada attaches great value to the Biological and Toxin Weapons Convention's (BTWC) confidence-building measures (CBMs), and recognises the requirement for each State Party to submit their CBMs annually. Canada believes that exchanging information contributes to enhancing transparency and building confidence between States Parties, and is committed to identifying new ways to improve the CBMs.

2. Recognizing the importance of the work done by other States Parties on strengthening the CBMs -- most notably that done by Switzerland, Norway, Germany, and the Geneva Forum, as well as the work done through the European Union's Joint Action on CBMs -- Canada submits the following five proposals to improve the CBM process. These proposals would have an impact on the manner in which CBMs are prepared and utilised.

1. Language

3. CBM submissions would build more confidence if they could be read and understood by all States Parties. At present, CBMs are only available in the language in which they were originally submitted. This approach restricts the exchange of information (due to language barriers), which in turn undercuts the confidence building objective of the submissions. In this context, Canada sees value in **translating CBMs from their original language** into additional UN languages. Translations could be performed by the ISU using **voluntary contributions by States Parties**. To help demonstrate the value of this proposal, Canada is prepared to make a voluntary contribution to the ISU to help support the translation of 2010 submissions, and Canada encourages other States Parties to provide voluntary contributions to the ISU for further translation efforts. The ISU would post the translations on the restricted website for access by all States Parties.

2. Nothing new to declare

4. In the current CBM format, States Parties have the option of stating that, for certain forms, they have "Nothing New to Declare". However, the current manner in which CBMs are submitted by States Parties and placed online by the Implementation Support Unit (ISU)

makes it very difficult to determine when the initial declaration was made, and therefore to locate the pertinent information. Moreover, CBMs pre-dating 2007 are not posted on the secure ISU website, and are therefore more difficult to retrieve for all, and likely inaccessible to newer States Parties. To address this issue, Canada proposes that one of the following two options be endorsed at the Seventh Review Conference:

(a) States Parties should agree to **remove Nothing New to Declare as an option from Form 0**. If information has not changed from the previous year (or the last year when changes were included), it should be repeated *verbatim* in the current year's declaration. A short preface that nothing had been changed since the initial declaration could also be added. This would also allow States Parties a further opportunity to review their previous submission(s) to confirm that indeed nothing had changed in the previous twelve months. This would help States Parties to receive a complete and up-to-date picture of a country's BTWC-related activities by examining a single submission. This approach would place no additional burden on the submitting state, as the previously-provided information would simply need to be copied.

(b) As an alternative, States Party could agree to a **wholly-electronic submission process**, which would allow for unchanged information to be automatically moved forward each year. Submission software, operated by the ISU, could be created and used by all States Parties for their annual CBM submissions. This software would include a Nothing New to Declare option, which, if used, would automatically provide the most up-to-date information, citing the year of that declaration. This software would facilitate electronic CBM submission, and also facilitate translation of CBMs into other UN languages.

3. Transparency

5. CBM submissions only build confidence if they can be read and analyzed by all. Transparency in CBM submissions is important, and the restriction of such information does nothing to increase confidence between States Parties. As a result, Canada has pledged that our future annual **CBM submissions will be publicly available**, starting in 2011. Canada urges all States Parties make similar pledges, so that CBMs in part or in whole can be accessible to all.

4. Clarification

6. At present, there is no established procedure for asking questions or seeking clarification about a State Party's CBM submission, other than through bilateral channels. As submissions cannot build confidence if information is misunderstood or unclear, Canada proposes that States that have questions or comments about another country's submission have the option to **submit requests for clarification to the BTWC's Implementation Support Unit (ISU)**, which would in turn engage with the relevant country to provide a response. This process would encourage a constructive and productive exchange on CBM submissions and provide a simple and accessible mechanism for all States Party. This approach would also support Article X implementation, as it would provide an additional avenue for countries that provide assistance to explore opportunities for bilateral cooperation on disease surveillance (Form B), research (Form C), coordination of BTWC-related events (Form D), and/or legislative implementation of the BTWC (Form E).

5. CBM completion support

7. CBM Forms can be challenging for a State Party to complete, especially for its initial declaration. While a certain degree of support is presently available (including from Canada and the European Union), additional support is desired. Therefore, Canada

proposes that **CBM Completion Workshops** be organized to support States Parties that require assistance to complete their annual CBM submissions. Such workshops could be held in Geneva on the margins of the BTWC intersessional meetings and/or in various regions around the world. Canada's Global Partnership Program would be prepared to provide financial support to the ISU for such workshops.
