

Annual status report of the annual inventory of Estonia

1. This status report was prepared by the secretariat as part of the initial check of the annual inventory submitted in accordance with decision 15/CMP.1. It reflects the content of the inventory submission of 2010 as originally submitted by the Party.

2. In this report, the following abbreviations are used:

A: afforestation AAU: assigned amount unit CER: certified emission reduction CM: cropland management CRF: common reporting format D: deforestation ERU: emission reduction unit FM: forest management GM: grazing land management GHG: greenhouse gas KP-LULUCF: Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4, activities under the Kyoto Protocol ICER: long-term certified emission reduction LULUCF: land use, land-use change and forestry NIR: national inventory report R: reforestation RMU: removal unit **RV**: revegetation SBDT: sectoral background data tables SEF: standard electronic format tCER: temporary certified emission reduction

Notation keys C: confidential IE: included elsewhere NA: not applicable NE: not estimated NO: not occurring

Greenhouse gases CO₂: carbon dioxide CH₄: methane N₂O: nitrous oxide HFCs: hydrofluorocarbons PFCs: perfluorocarbons SF₆: sulphur hexafluoride NO_x: nitrogen oxides CO: carbon monoxide NMVOCs: non-methane volatile organic compounds SO₂: sulphur dioxide

	GR	EENHOU	ISE GAS I	NVE	NT	TORY SUB	BMISSIO	N					
		Date of 1	eceipt		D	ate of resu	bmission	l	Comme	ents			
q	NIR	15 April	2010										
natio	CRF tables ^a	15 April	2010										
form	Additional information ^b	15 April	2010						SIAR re	port	as anne»	of NIR	
General information	CRF provided for years	1990–20	1990–2008										
ener	Base year or period ^c	1990		В	Bas	e year for H	HFCs, PFC	Cs and	SF ₆ ^d	199	5		
ა	Gases covered	CO_2	CH_4	N_2	0	HFCs	PFCs	SF	5 NC	D _X	CO	NMVOCs	SO ₂
		✓	~	✓	/	<	~	✓	~	1	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark
NIR	Description	reporting decision	guidelines 14/CP.11,	^e on a elabor	ann rate	ual invento	ries follov "Annotat	wing in ed out	ncorpora line of th	tion	of the pr	updated UN ovisions of wentory Rep	
	Language of NIR	English											
CRF	Missing source category larger than 7 per cent for categories included in Annex A to the Kyoto Protocol	No missing source category larger than 7 per cent has been identified.											

	SUBMISSION (OF SUPPLEMENTAR	Y INFORMATION UNI	DER ARTICLE 7.1
		Date of receipt	Date of resubmission	Comments
Ľ	KP-LULUCF information ^g	15 April 2010		NIR, page 390, chapter 11
supplementary	KP-LULUCF CRF ^h	15 April 2010		CRF provided for years: 2008
upple	Information on Kyoto units (ERUs, CERs, RMUs and AAUs ⁱ)	15 April 2010		NIR, page 397, chapter 12; SIAR report
of	SEF ^j with Kyoto units	15 April 2010		
Rreporting information	Change in national system ^k	15 April 2010		NIR, page 402, chapter 13
epoi	Change in national registry ^k	15 April 2010		NIR, page 403, chapter 14
Rrel infoi	Minimization of adverse impacts ^k	15 April 2010		NIR, page 405, chapter 15

^a CRF tables are contained in document FCCC/SBSTA/2006/9, annex II.

^b Additional information can be any information submitted by a Party in conjunction with the NIR or CRF tables.

^c Parties included in Annex I to the Convention undergoing the process of transition to a market economy may use a base year or a period of years other than 1990, in accordance with the provisions of Article 4, paragraph 6, of the Convention and decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4.

years other than 1990, in accordance with the provisions of Article 4, paragraph 6, of the Convention and decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4. ^d A Party may select 1990 or 1995 as the base year for the fluorinated gases in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 8, of the Kyoto Protocol.

^e "Guidelines for the preparation of national communications by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention, Part I: UNFCCC reporting guidelines for annual inventories" (FCCC/SBSTA/2006/9).

^f A Party has not reported an estimate for an Annex A category that accounted for 7 per cent or more of the Party's aggregate emissions (decision 15/CMP.1, para. 3 (b)).

^g Parties are required to include in their annual submission information on anthropogenic GHG emissions by sources and removals by sinks from LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraph 3, of the Kyoto Protocol, and, if any, elected activities under Article 3, paragraph 4, in accordance with the annex to decision 15/CMP.1, paragraphs 5–9.

^h Parties are required to report anthropogenic GHG emissions by sources and removals by sinks from LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraph 3, of the Kyoto Protocol, and, if any, elected activities under Article 3, paragraph 4, using the agreed CRF tables adopted under decision 6/CMP.3. Parties may also elect to report these tables for the base year or 1990.

ⁱ Parties are required to include in their annual submission information on ERUs, CERs, tCERs, ICERs, AAUs and RMUs, in accordance with the annex to decision 15/CMP.1, section I.E.

^j SEF for reporting Kyoto Protocol units, as adopted under decision 14/CMP.1 and required by the annex to decision 15/CMP.1, paragraph 11.

^k The requirements for Parties to report on changes in national systems in accordance with Article 5, paragraph 1, changes in national registries, and the minimization of adverse impacts in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 14, are set out in sections F, G and H, respectively, of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1.

	Provis	sion of informatio	on for the	lates		PART I inventory	year i	in the coi	nmon r	eporting	format: 1	2008		
		Energy	Industri process		Solvent an other product u	Agric	ulture	LU	LUCF	KI	P-LULUCI	F ^a	W	aste
	Sectoral report tables	1 🗸	2(I)	✓	3 、	4	✓		5 🗸	5(KP)	\checkmark	6	✓
Tables	Sectoral report tables Sectoral background data tables		2(II) 2(I).A–G 2(II).C 2(II).E 2(II).F			 4 4.A 4.B(a) 4.B(b) 4.C 4.D 4.E 4.F 		5 5 5 5 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5.	$\begin{array}{c c} A & \checkmark \\ B & \checkmark \\ C & \checkmark \\ D & \checkmark \\ \hline \end{array}$	5(KI 5(KI 5(KI 5(KI 5(KI 5(KF 5(KF 5(KF 5(KF 5(KF 5(K 5(K	KP-I)A.1.1 ✓ KP-I)A.1.2 ✓ KP-I)A.1.3 ✓ KP-I)A.2 ✓ KP-I)A.1.3 ✓ KP-I)B.1. ✓ KP-I)B.1. ✓ KP-I)B.2. ✓ KP-I)B.3. ✓ KP-I)B.4. ✓ KP-I)11 ✓ KP-I)2 ✓ KP-I)3 ✓		6 6.A 6.B 6.C	
	Summary tables Other tables	Summary 1.A Summary 3 Table 10 (Trends))	✓ ✓ ✓	Summary Table 7 (F	1.B Key categori	es)	✓ ✓		5(K Acco ta ary 2 $\mathcal{O}(a)$ (Corr	P-II)4 P-II)5 punting ble pleteness)			✓ ✓
	Comments													
Totals	Provided for gases Provided for	CO ₂ ✓	CI	Η₄ ✓		N ₂ O ✓		HFCs	✓	PFO	Cs ✓		SF ₆	✓
CO ₂ 1	Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion	1990–2008 Reference app ✓		0–200	Sectoral	1990–2008 approach			2008 nce mor per cent	e than	5–2008 If diffe Explanat	erence 2 per	cent	e than
Cs, SF_6	Disaggregation by species				HF	°Cs			PFCs ✓			S	F ₆	
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆	emission estimat	ual and/or potential tes in the category halocarbons and SF ₆			Actual ✓	Potentia	1	Actual	Po	otential	Actual		Pot	tential
Notation keys	Used in Comments	Summary table 1.	A		✓ Sect	oral report t	ables		 ✓ 	Sectoral	oackgroun	ıd data	a table:	s 🗸

^a Parties are required to report anthropogenic GHG emissions by sources and removals by sinks from LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraph 3, of the Kyoto Protocol, and, if any, elected activities under Article 3, paragraph 4, using the agreed CRF tables adopted under decision 6/CMP.3.

												PART					
							P	rovisio	n of co	ommon	repor	ting fo	rmat ta	ables f	or year	s reported	-
									Ye	ars							
				Base year ^a	1990	1995	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Information gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments
	Sec	ctoral report: Ta	able 1		\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark							
		Table 1.A(a)			\checkmark												
		Table 1.A(b)			>	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	>	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
50		Table 1.A(c)			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		
Energy	SBDT	Table 1.A(d)			>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	>	✓	✓	✓	✓		
E	SB	Table 1.B.1			>	~	~	~	~	✓	>	~	✓	~	~		No numerical data are reported in this table. The notation keys NO, NA are used.
		Table 1.B.2			~	✓	~	✓	✓	~	~	~	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 1.C			✓	~	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
	1	-	Table 2(I)		\checkmark												
	Sec	ctoral reports:	Table 2(II)		✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
sses		Table 2(I).A-G			\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	✓		
proce		Table 2(II).C			~	~	~	✓	~	~	~	~	~	~	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table. The notation keys NO, NA are used.
Industrial processes	SBDT	Table 2(II).E			~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		No numerical data are reported in this table for the year 2008. For this year the notation keys NO, NA are used. For the years 1990–2007, the notation key NO is used.
μI		Table 2(II).F			~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 1990–1992. For these years the notation keys NO, NA are used.
use	See	ctoral report: Ta	able 3		~	~	✓	✓	~	✓	~	~	~	~	✓		No numerical data are reported in this table. The notation keys NA, NE are used.
Solvent use	SBDT	Table 3.A–D			~	~	~	~	~	~	\checkmark	~	~	~	~		No numerical data are reported in this table. The notation key NE is used.

					I	Provisio	on of c	ommoi	1 repor		PART rmat t		or yea	rs repo	orted (continued)	
								Ye	ars							
			Base year ^a	1990	1995	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Information gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments
	See	ctoral report: Table 4		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓		
		Table 4.A		✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark		
		Table 4.B(a)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	✓		
ure		Table 4.B(b)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Agriculture	SBDT	Table 4.C		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		No numerical data are reported in this table. The notation keys NO, NA are used.
Ag	\mathbf{S}	Table 4.D		✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark		
		Table 4.E		~	✓	~	✓	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		No numerical data are reported in this table. The notation key NO is used.
		Table 4.F		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark		
	See	ctoral report: Table 5		\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark		
		Table 5.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 5.B		✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 5.C		✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 5.D		✓	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 5.E		✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	✓		
Cf		Table 5.F		✓	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	✓		
LULUCF	SBDT	Table 5 (I)		~	✓	~	✓	~	~	✓	~	~	~	~		No numerical data are reported in this table. The notation key NO is used.
Γ		Table 5 (II)		✓	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 5 (III)		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		No numerical data are reported in this table. The notation key NE is used.
		Table 5 (IV)		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 2004, 2007. For these years the notation keys NE, NO are used.
		Table 5 (V)		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark		

					F	Provisi	on of c	ommor	ı repor		PART ormat t		or year	s repo	rted (continued)	
			Base year ^a	1990	1995	2000	2001	5002 Ste	ars 003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Information gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments
	Se	ctoral report: Table 5 (KP)												\checkmark		
		Table 5 (KP-I) A.1.1												✓		
		Table 5 (KP-I)A.1.2												\checkmark		
		Table 5 (KP-I) A.1.3												\checkmark		
		Table 5 (KP-I) A.2												\checkmark		
		Table 5 (KP-I) A.2.1												\checkmark		
H		Table 5 (KP-I) B.1												\checkmark		
ГŨ	T	Table 5 (KP-I) B.2												\checkmark		
E1	SBDT	Table 5 (KP-I) B.3												✓		
KP-LULUCF	-	Table 5 (KP-I) B.4												✓		
		Table 5 (KP-II) 1												\checkmark		
		Table 5 (KP-II) 2												✓		
		Table 5 (KP-II) 3												✓		
		Table 5 (KP-II) 4						1			1			✓		
		Table 5 (KP-II) 5						1			1			✓		
	Ac	counting table												✓		

					P	rovisio	on of c	ommoi	ı repor		PART rmat t		or yea	rs repo	orted (continued)	
					•			Ye	ars							
			Base year ^a	1990	1995	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Information gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments
	See	ctoral report: Table 6		~	~	~	✓	✓	✓	~	~	✓	✓	✓		
പ		Table 6.A		~	~	~	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	✓	~	~		
Waste	E	Table 6.B		✓	✓	~	~	✓	\checkmark	~	✓	✓	~	~		
M	SBDT	Table 6.C		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	\checkmark	\checkmark		No numerical data are reported in this table for the year 2008. For these years the notation keys NA, NE, NO are used.
		Summary 1.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark		
		Summary 1.B		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓		
bles		Summary 2 (CO ₂ equivalent emissions)		~	~	~	~	✓	~	~	~	~	~	~		
and other tables		Summary 3 (Methods/emission factors)		~	~	~	~	✓	~	~	~	~	~	~		
l otl	I	Table 7 (Key categories)		✓										~		
	SBDT	Table 8(a) (Recalculation – recalculated data)		~	~	~	~	✓	~	~	~	~	~			
Summary		Table 8(b) (Recalculation – explanatory information)		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	>			
Su		Table 9(a) (Completeness)		✓	~	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	~	✓	✓		
		Table 9(b) (Completeness)														
		Table 10 (Trends)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		

^a Parties included in Annex I to the Convention undergoing the process of transition to a market economy are allowed to use a base year or a period of years other than 1990, in accordance with the provisions of Article 4, paragraph 6, of the Convention and decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4.
 ^b This column indicates that reporting gaps (blank cells) have been identified in a given table of the CRF. This was owing to limited use, or lack, of notation keys (NO, NE, NA, IE, C).

	PART III Provision of information relating to recalculations											
Table 8(a) (Recalculated data)	✓	Comments										
Recalculation reported for years	1990–2007											
Recalculated sectors/gases	Energy	Industrial processes	Solvent and other product use	Agriculture	LULUCF	Waste						
CO_2	✓	✓	\checkmark		✓							
CH ₄	✓	√		✓		✓						
N ₂ O	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	√	✓							
HFCs		√										
PFCs		✓										
SF ₆		✓										
Table 8(b) (Explanatory information)	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓							
Percentage difference in aggregation	ate greenhouse gas	Base year estimate: ^a	with LULUCF:	-2.83 %	without LULUCF: -2.6 %							
emissions		Latest recalculated year:b	with LULUCF:	-10.86 %	without LULUCF: 0.16 %							

^a Parties included in Annex I to the Convention undergoing the process of transition to a market economy are allowed to use a base year or a period of years other than 1990, in accordance with the provisions of Article 4, paragraph 6, of the Convention and decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4.
 ^b The latest recalculated year is the submission year minus 3.

FCCC/ASR/2010/EST Page 8

PART IV Provision of information relating to KP-LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3	and 4	
	Provided	Observation
Emissions by sources and removals by sinks are clearly distinguished from emissions from categories included in Annex A to the Kyoto Protocol.	✓	
Information on how inventory methodologies have been applied taking into account IPCC good practice guidance for LULUCF ^a and decision 16/CMP.1.	~	
Information on geographical location of the boundaries of areas that encompass:		
Units of land subject to activities under Article 3.3		
Units of land subject to activities under Article 3.3 Units of land subject to activities under Article 3.3, which would otherwise be included in land subject to elected activities under Article 3.4 Land subject to elected activities under Article 3.4		Estonia does not elect any activities under Article 3,
		paragraph 4.
Information on the spatial assessment unit for determining the area of accounting for afforestation, reforestation and deforestation.	✓	
Information on GHG emissions/removals resulting from activities under Article 3.3 and 3.4, for all geographical locations reported in the current and previous years since the beginning of the commitment period or the onset of the activity, whichever comes later. Information on carbon pools (above-ground/below-ground biomass, litter, dead wood and soil organic carbon) that are not	~	
	~	
accounted for. Information on anthropogenic GHGs from LULUCF activities under Article 3.3 and 3.4 factoring out removals from: Elevated CO ₂ concentrations above pre-industrial levels		
Elevated CO ₂ concentrations above pre-industrial levels	✓	
Indirect nitrogen deposition	✓	
Indirect nitrogen deposition The dynamic effects of age structure resulting from activities prior to 1 January 1990	√	
Specific information to be reported for Article 3.3 activities:		
Specific information to be reported for Article 3.3 activities: Activities under Article 3.3 that began on or after 1 January 1990 and before 31 December of the last year of the commitment period, and are directly human induced. How harvesting or forest disturbance that is followed by the re-establishment of forest is distinguished from deforestation Emissions/removals from lands harvested during the first commitment period following afforestation and reforestation on these units of land since 1990 Specific information to be reported for Article 3.4 activities: Activities under Article 3.4 that occurred since 1 January 1990 and are human induced Cropland management grazing land management revegetation: emissions/removals reported for each year of the commitment	~	
How harvesting or forest disturbance that is followed by the re-establishment of forest is distinguished from deforestation	✓	
Emissions/removals from lands harvested during the first commitment period following afforestation and reforestation on these units of land since 1990	✓	
Specific information to be reported for Article 3.4 activities:		
Activities under Article 3.4 that occurred since 1 January 1990 and are human induced		
Cropland management, grazing land management, revegetation: emissions/removals reported for each year of the commitment		Estonia does not elect any
period and for the base year for each of the elected activities on the geographical locations reported		activities under Article 3,
Emissions/removals from Article 3.4 activities that are not accounted for under activities under Article 3.3		paragraph 4.
Forest management: information on the extent to which GHG removals by sinks offsets the debit incurred under Article 3.3		

^a The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Good Practice Guidance for Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry.

| | | |