



附属科学技术咨询机构

第三十一届会议

2009年12月8日至12日，哥本哈根

议程项目 9

与《京都议定书》第二条第 3 款有关的问题

与《京都议定书》第二条第 3 款有关的问题

主席提出的结论草案

1. 成立了一个联合联络小组，在附属科学技术咨询机构(科技咨询机构)和附属履行机构(履行机构)第三十一届会议期间，讨论科技咨询机构的议程项目“与《京都议定书》第二条第 3 款有关的问题”，和履行机构的议程项目“与《京都议定书》第三条第 14 款有关的事项”。在成立联合联络小组时，科技咨询机构主席和履行机构主席指示，每个项目安排的审议时间应当相等。
2. 科技咨询机构和履行机构商定，在科技咨询机构和履行机构的第三十二届会议上成立一个联合联络小组，继续讨论这些事项。两机构还商定，在第三十二届会议上，以附件中的案文草案为基础继续审议这些事项。

Annex

[ENGLISH ONLY]

Text for further consideration by the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice and the Subsidiary Body for Implementation**Matters relating to Article 2, paragraph 3, of the Kyoto Protocol**

1. [The Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice (SBSTA) [and the Subsidiary Body for Implementation (SBI)] welcomed the constructive exchange of views on addressing issues relevant to Article 2, paragraph 3, [and Article 3, paragraph 14,] of the Kyoto Protocol and on the efforts being made under the SBSTA with regard to opportunities for action to enhance the implementation of Article 2, paragraph 3.
2. The SBSTA [and the SBI] emphasized that better understanding of these issues is essential in order

Option 1:

[for developed country Parties, in the implementation of policies and measures, to strive to minimize the adverse effects, including the adverse effects of climate change, effects on international trade, and social, environmental and economic impacts on other Parties, especially developing country Parties, and in particular

Alternative 1 within Option 1: those identified in Article 4, paragraphs 8 and 9, of the Convention, taking into account Article 3.

Alternative 2 within Option 1: in particular for [the poorest and most vulnerable country Parties that are the least capable of addressing them].

Option 2:

to strive to minimize the adverse effects, [of [the implementation of policies and measures] [commitments under the Kyoto Protocol, in particular those] under Article 2, paragraph 3, [and Article 3, paragraph 14,] of the Kyoto Protocol], in particular for [the poorest and most vulnerable country Parties that are the least capable of addressing them] [those countries identified in Article 4, paragraphs 8, 9 [and 10], of the Convention, taking into account Article 3 of the Convention].

2 bis

[The SBSTA agreed that Parties shall not resort to any form of unilateral measures, including fiscal and non-fiscal border measures, against goods and services imported from other Parties, in particular from developing country Parties, on the grounds of stabilization and mitigation of climate change.]

3. [The SBSTA [and the SBI] acknowledged related discussions under other UNFCCC bodies such as the Ad Hoc Working Group on Long-term Cooperative Action under the Convention and the Ad Hoc Working Group on Further Commitments for Annex I Parties under the Kyoto Protocol, and encouraged Parties to ensure coordination of activities and information across the bodies in order to ensure a coherent and consistent approach to better address the issues mentioned in paragraph 2 above.]

4. The SBSTA [and the SBI] noted the need [to continue] to share information on the nature of [adverse] impacts of [response measures experienced by [developing country] Parties and [the] [any] steps Annex I Parties are taking, in the implementation of policies and measures under Article 2 of the Kyoto Protocol, to minimize [any negative impacts] [adverse effects,] especially on developing country Parties, in particular

Option 1: the poorest and most vulnerable Parties

Option 2: those identified in Article 4, paragraphs 8 and 9, of the Convention.]

5. The SBSTA [and the SBI] noted that national communications under Article 12, paragraph 1, of the Convention would be an appropriate means for sharing the information referred to in paragraph 3 above.

5 bis

[Noting the [complexities] of assessing the attribution and causation of the [adverse] impacts of policies and measures]

6. The SBSTA [and the SBI] also noted [and welcomed] the work of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) on international spillover effects as described in its Fourth Assessment Report

Option 1: and [endorsed] its plans to continue the assessment of this issue in its Fifth Assessment Report. It invited the IPCC to elaborate possible ways and means to minimize the adverse effects of response measures.

Option 2: and its plans to further assess this issue in its fifth assessment report.

Paragraphs 7–10:

Option 1:

[7. The SBSTA invited Parties and relevant organizations to submit to the secretariat, by XX, further information and views on issues relevant to Article 2, paragraph 3, of the Kyoto Protocol. The SBSTA invited Parties, in their submissions, to include information on possible methodologies, tools and models, for the assessment of these impacts on the countries mentioned in paragraph 2 above.

8. The SBSTA requested the secretariat to compile the submissions referred to in paragraph 7 above into a miscellaneous document and to prepare a synthesis report on these submissions for its consideration.

9. The SBSTA requested the secretariat to organize, before the XX session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol, a workshop to explore tools and methodologies, including modelling tools, to help analyse and assess the adverse impacts of policies and measures undertaken by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention, involving guidance by relevant experts.

10. The SBSTA agreed to continue its consideration of this issue at its XX session in a joint contact group.]

Option 2:

[7. The SBSTA and the SBI agreed to continue its consideration of these issues at its XX session in a joint contact group and invited Parties to submit to the secretariat, by XX, further information and views on how Parties can best strive to implement mitigation actions to minimize adverse impacts.

The SBI and the SBSTA invited the secretariat to compile the submissions referred to in this paragraph into a miscellaneous document for their consideration.]

Option 3:

7. The SBSTA [and the SBI] noted that further discussion is needed on this matter, and invited Parties and relevant organizations to submit to the secretariat, by XX, further information and views on issues relevant to Article 2, paragraph 3, [and Article 3, paragraph 14,] of the Kyoto Protocol.

[The SBSTA [and the SBI] invited Parties, in their submissions, to [consider how Parties can best strive to minimize adverse impacts. This could] include information on possible methodologies, tools and models for the assessment of these impacts on the countries mentioned in paragraph 2 above].

8. The SBSTA [and the SBI] requested the secretariat to compile the submissions referred to in paragraph 7 above into a miscellaneous document for [their] consideration.

9. [Noting the complexities of assessing the attribution and causation of the [adverse] impacts of policies and measures,] the SBSTA [and the SBI] agreed to continue its [their] consideration of these issues at its [their] XX session, including consideration of the need for a [joint] workshop to share further information among Parties and relevant experts and the information contained in the submissions referred to in paragraph 7 above.

10. The SBSTA requested the secretariat to organize, before the [sixth session] of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol, a workshop to explore tools and methodologies, including modelling tools, to help analyse and assess the adverse impact of policies and measures undertaken by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention, involving guidance by relevant experts.]
