



**Economic and Social  
Council**

Distr.  
GENERAL

ECE/EB.AIR/GE.1/2009/14  
29 June 2009

Original: ENGLISH

---

**ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE**

**EXECUTIVE BODY FOR THE CONVENTION ON LONG-RANGE  
TRANSBOUNDARY AIR POLLUTION**

Steering Body to the Cooperative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation  
of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe (EMEP)

Thirty-third session  
Geneva, 7–9 September 2009  
Item 11 of the provisional agenda

**FINANCIAL AND BUDGETARY MATTERS**

Note by the secretariat

1. This note presents the status of contributions by Parties to the 1984 Protocol on Long-term Financing of the Cooperative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe (EMEP Protocol). It reports on the use of resources in 2008 and sets out the proposed budget for the Cooperative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe (EMEP) for the year 2010. The note also presents the calculated schedule of mandatory contributions for 2009.

**I. STATUS OF THE TRUST FUND, CONTRIBUTIONS IN KIND  
AND VOLUNTARY CONTRIBUTIONS**

2. The contributions paid by the Parties to the Convention for the long-term financing of EMEP as of 25 June 2009 are summarized in table 1 below. They include both the mandatory contributions from the Parties to the EMEP Protocol within the geographic scope of EMEP and

GE.09-22665

the voluntary contributions from Canada and the United States of America as Parties outside the geographic scope of EMEP. As of 25 June 2009, the EMEP Protocol had 42 Parties.

3. In addition to the contributions summarized in table 1, voluntary extrabudgetary contributions directly to the centres have been allocated over several years. In the period 1994–2007, the Meteorological Synthesizing Centre-West (MSC-W) received in total the equivalent of US\$ 11,955,686 from Norway, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and through projects financed by the Nordic Council of Ministers and the European Community. In 2008, MSC-W received extrabudgetary contributions (either directly from its host institution, the Norwegian Meteorological Institute, or through projects financed by the Nordic Council of Ministers and the European Community) that totalled \$596,113. In the period 1994–2007, the Chemical Coordinating Centre (CCC) received in total the equivalent of US\$ 2,082,102 from its host institution, the Norwegian Institute for Air Research (NILU). In 2008, CCC received from NILU the equivalent of \$326,000. In 2001, the Meteorological Synthesizing Centre-East (MSC-E) received \$30,000 from the World Meteorological Organization. It also received \$120,000 from the Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP) in the biennium 2001–2002 and \$4,400 from the Working Group on Environmental Monitoring of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe in 2003. In the period 2004–2005, MSC-E received a total of US\$ 82,430 from the European Commission. In 2005, it received \$28,144 from Germany. In 2006, MSC-E received \$9,480 from United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) Chemicals and \$18,184 from the Baltic Marine Environment Protection Commission (HELCOM). In the biennium 2007–2008, MSC-E received a total of \$158,223 through projects financed by the United Nations Development Account, the European Commission, the OSPAR Commission for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic, HELCOM and UNEP.

4. According to the payment records of the secretariat, the total arrears in cash and in kind by 2008 stood at \$377,790, of which \$61,596 were outstanding payments in cash. Malta had not paid its contributions for 2007 and 2008, nor had Romania for 2006, 2007 and 2008. Montenegro and Serbia had not paid their contributions for 2008. Italy and Portugal still had partial payments outstanding for 2007, although both countries paid their contributions for 2008.

5. The remaining amount of \$316,194 consists of arrears of Ukraine for 1992, 1993, 1994, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000 and 2001, to be contributed in kind through two separate projects. At its thirty-first session, the EMEP Steering Body requested Ukraine to inform the secretariat in writing of its intention to implement the two projects to cover its arrears, or alternatively to pay its arrears in cash. At the twenty-fifth session of the Executive Body, in 2007, the delegation of Ukraine announced that it had secured financing for the implementation of the projects and planned to proceed with their full implementation in 2008 (ECE/EB.AIR/91, para. 111).

6. The arrears for the period 1996–2001, equivalent to \$175,205, were to be covered through a project to establish an international benchmark station for EMEP background monitoring approved by the Steering Body at its twenty-eighth session in 2004, and implemented in close cooperation with CCC. At its thirty-second session, in 2008, the Steering Body was informed that dialogue between Ukraine and CCC had finally led to the identification of a location site (Trudovoye) that met all criteria, and that implementation of the project could proceed. However, in March 2009, a representative of CCC informed the Bureau of the Steering Body that insufficient information from Ukraine made it difficult for CCC to evaluate whether all problems with the location and further implementation of the project had been resolved.

7. A second project to cover Ukraine's arrears for the period 1992–1995 (equivalent to \$140,989) had originally been aimed to develop a national model for the environmental impact assessment of heavy metals. In 2008, the Steering Body, in agreement with the representative of Ukraine, had concluded that this project was no longer of the same relevance and had requested Ukraine to propose a new focus for it at the Executive Body's twenty-sixth session, in 2008. In absence of any information from Ukraine, the Executive Body requested Ukraine to take urgent action to cover its long-standing arrears and to provide the secretariat, in January 2009, with detailed information including a time schedule for the in-kind contribution through which it proposed to cover its arrears for the period 1992–1995. This would enable the Bureau of the Steering Body to consider the matter at its meeting in 2009 (ECE/EB.AIR/96, para. 106 (f)).

8. Not having received a reply from Ukraine in advance of its meeting (2–4 March 2009), the Bureau decided that Ukraine should cover its arrears by translating into Russian documents of relevance to countries of Eastern Europe, Caucasus and Central Asia (EECCA) in the field of EMEP activities, starting with the *EMEP/EEA Air Pollutant Emission Inventory Guidebook*. The importance of translating the Guidebook into Russian with a view to improving emission reporting in EECCA had been stressed by the Steering Body at its thirty-second session. The Bureau estimated the value of the translation of the *Guidebook* at \$40,000, and the work was to be completed in 2010.

9. On 4 March 2009, immediately after the meeting of the Bureau, the secretariat received a letter from Ukraine with a cover note in English explaining that it had now completed the "Project on Development of National Model of Estimation of Heavy Metals Emissions Impact on Environment of Ukraine for 1992–1994" (equivalent to \$140,989) and that the model could be presented for international use. Concerning the second project, equivalent to \$175,205. The secretariat was informed that the Ministry of Environment Protection of Ukraine planned to conduct additional consultations with CCC with the aim to choosing the final location of the station and continuing the implementation of the project of creating an international EMEP

station in the Ukrainian part of the Danube River delta. The original letter from Ukraine would be made available to the Steering Body as an informal document.

10. In addition, as detailed in table 1 below, payments in cash in 2009 totalling \$1,277,349 had been received by 25 June 2009. The expected contributions for 2009 from Belgium, Belarus, France, Greece, Hungary, Italy, Lithuania, Malta, Montenegro, Romania, Serbia, Spain, Sweden and Ukraine in cash to the trust fund were still outstanding.

11. At the twenty-sixth session of the Executive Body in December 2008, the delegation of Germany expressed its reservation regarding the increase of the EMEP budget and its contribution for 2009, which, in Germany's view, represented a disproportionate share of the budget (ECE/EB.AIR/96, para. 105). The Executive Body took note of its reservation and encouraged Germany to take steps to lift its reservation. Germany did not lift its reservation and paid a contribution of \$447,000 instead of \$459,170.

## **II. USE OF RESOURCES BY THE CENTRES IN 2008**

12. Table 2 presents a summary of the use of resources by the EMEP centres CCC, MSC-E and MSC-W. This overview is based on the detailed information prepared by the centres and presented to the EMEP Bureau.

13. Much of the work of EMEP relies on extrabudgetary contributions. The extrabudgetary resources listed in paragraph 4 above were used to supplement resources provided through mandatory contributions in all areas of work. CCC used additional resources mainly for small particles and photo-oxidants measurements, as well as for work on acid deposition measurements and the implementation of the monitoring strategy. MSC-W used extrabudgetary contributions to cover 50 per cent of its expenditures in 2008. They were provided as contributions in kind from Norway, either covered by the Norwegian Meteorological Institute or through European and Nordic projects that directly support MSC-W activities, as specified in the EMEP workplan. In 2008, there was an overexpenditure of 1.7 per cent of the funds from the EMEP trust fund, which was of no significance for the Norwegian Meteorological Institute. Most of the work of the Centre for Integrated Assessment Modelling (CIAM) was financed through non-EMEP sources. MSC-E used the extrabudgetary funds it received mainly for the modelling of heavy metals and persistent organic pollutants (POPs), but also for cooperation with national programmes.

## **III. BUDGET AND MANDATORY CONTRIBUTIONS FOR 2010**

14. At its twenty-fifth session, the Executive Body decided, based upon the recommendation by the EMEP Steering Body, to increase the EMEP budget for the period 2008–2010 to

\$2,358,700. It decided to apply the 2007 United Nations scale of assessments as a basis for calculating the EMEP scale of mandatory contributions from 2007 onwards.

15. At its meeting in March 2009, the EMEP Bureau considered the detailed 2010 budget on this basis and adopted the proposal as set out in table 3 below. The Bureau has made some changes compared to the 2008 budget, taking into account priorities for work in 2009. Regarding the budget of CCC, it proposed creating a new budget line to cover the work of CCC on monitoring campaigns as well as well as earmarking \$60,000 for this purpose, transferring US\$ 20,000 from its budget initially allocated to measurements of POPs and \$40,000 from measurements of particulate matter.

16. At its twenty-fifth session, the Executive Body increased the total budget of CIAM for 2008 to \$395,000 and agreed to keep the provisional budgets for 2009 and 2010 at the same level as in 2008 (ECE/EB.AIR/91, para. 27(k)). It is proposed that the total budget for 2010 and the provisional budgets for 2011 and 2012 remain at the same level.

17. The schedule of mandatory contributions from Parties for 2010, calculated on the basis of the above budget, is set out in the last column of table 4.

#### **IV. PROPOSED DECISIONS FOR THE STEERING BODY**

18. The Steering Body may wish:

(a) To take note of the status of contributions to the financing of EMEP provided in the present document and the additional information provided by the secretariat during the session;

(b) To approve the use of resources by the EMEP centres in 2008, as presented in table 2 below;

(c) To agree on the detailed budget for 2010 set out in table 3 and the schedule of mandatory contributions from Parties for 2010 as set out in the last column of table 4;

(d) To also agree to keep the total budget of CIAM for 2010 at \$395,000 and the provisional budgets for 2011 and 2012 at the same level;

(e) To recommend to the Executive Body to adopt the 2010 budgets and schedule of contributions;

(f) To call upon the Parties to the EMEP Protocol to consider making voluntary contributions (in kind or in cash through the trust fund) to ensure that the work, especially the difficult tasks required in 2010 for carrying out the protocol revisions, including the work on integrated assessment modelling, can be accomplished as foreseen in the workplan;

(g) To request Ukraine to provide a progress report to the Executive Body at its twenty-seventh session in 2009 on: (a) the establishment of the international EMEP station in Ukraine (an in-kind project to cover its arrears for the period 1996–2001, equivalent to \$175,205); and (b) [the translation of the *EEA/EMEP Air Pollutant Emission Inventory Guidebook* into Russian to be completed by the first half of 2010 (an in-kind project to cover the first part of its arrears for the period 1992–1994, equivalent to \$140,989)].

**Table 1. Contributions to the financing of the Cooperative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe as of 25 June 2009 (in United States dollars)**

Party	EMEP Protocol in force since	Contributions received			Arrears by 2008	Contributions 2009	
		1981–1987	1988–2008	In cash		Expected	Received
Austria	28/01/1988	46,408		754,756		47,490	47,490
Belarus	28/01/1988		118,605	1,860		1,070	
Belgium	28/01/1988	64,761		906,377		59,000	
Bosnia and Herzegovina	06/03/1992			4,405		320	320
Bulgaria	28/01/1988	3,400 <u>a/</u>	56,769	7'160		1,070	1,070
Canada	28/01/1988	37,798		48'816		Voluntary	
Croatia	08/10/1992			33'481		2,680	2,680
Cyprus	18/02/1992			21'388		2,360	
Czech Republic	01/01/1993			179'182		15,040	15,020
Denmark	28/01/1988	52,500		609'200		39,560	39,560
Estonia	07/03/2002			4'256		860	860
Finland	28/01/1988	140,333		469'881	25	30,190	30,190
France	28/01/1988	30,000		5'057'119		337,320	
Germany	28/01/1988	416,826		7'789'617	11,310	459,170	448,447
Greece	22/09/1988			346'261		31,910	
Hungary	28/01/1988	2,250 <u>a/</u>	22,695	106'555		13,060	
Ireland	28/01/1988	9,754		231'364		23,820	23,820
Italy	12/04/1989			3'467'781	20,011	271,900	
Latvia	19/05/1997			11'269		960	960
Liechtenstein	28/01/1988	160		6'729		540	540
Lithuania				3'330		1,660	
Luxembourg	28/01/1988	671		56'667		4,550	4,550
Malta	12/06/1997			5'310	1,630	910	
Monaco	25/11/1999			1'652		160	160
Montenegro	23/10/2006				50	50	
Netherlands	28/01/1988	159,816		1'456'928		100,270	100,262
Norway	28/01/1988	151,099		614'370		41,860	41,860
Poland	13/12/1988	9,000 <u>a/</u>	122,337	267'253		26,820	26,820
Portugal	10/04/1989	7,050		257'171	170	28,210	
Romania	27/07/2003			5'780	9,950	3,750	
Russian Federation	28/01/1988		2,434,909	749'035		64,240	64,208
Serbia	27/04/1992			35'695	1,120	1,120	
Slovakia	28/05/1993			57'195		3,370	3,355
Slovenia	06/07/1992			51'206		5,140	5,140
Spain	28/01/1988	61,303		1'827'258	16,990	158,890	
Sweden	28/01/1988	163,034		943'055	340	57,340	
Switzerland	28/01/1988	57,922		1'068'998		65,100	65,100
Turkey	28/01/1988	16,336		303'553		20,400	
Ukraine	28/01/1988		180,164	27'667	316,194 <u>b/</u>	2,410	
United Kingdom	28/01/1988	227,495		4'417'403		355,580	355,580
United States	28/01/1988	40,000		124'850		Voluntary	
European Community	28/01/1988	74,559		1'223'318		78,550	
Former Czechoslovakia		9,000 <u>a/</u>	104,405				
Former German Democratic Republic		16,000 <u>a/</u>	155,052				
Former Soviet Union		1,593,000 <u>a/</u>	1,076,522				
Former Yugoslavia		4,056		21'643			
Total, in cash		2,174,651		33,657,739	61,596	2,358,700	2, 299,071
Total, in kind		1,632,650	4,271,458		316,194		
<b>Total (all)</b>	<b>41 Parties</b>	<b>3,394,531</b>	<b>37,887,277</b>		<b>377,790</b>	<b>2,359,770</b>	<b>1,277,349</b>

Notes: a/ Arrears for Ukraine contain the equivalent of \$316,194 to be contributed in kind, through two projects equivalent to \$140,989 and \$175,205 to cover Ukraine's arrears for the periods 1992–1994 and 1996–2001.

Table 2. Use of budgeted resources in 2008 (in United States dollars)

	TOTAL		CCC		MSC-E		MSC-W	
	Budgeted	Reported	Budgeted	Reported	Budgeted	Reported	Budgeted	Reported
<b>I. Funded by the EMEP trust fund</b>								
<b>A. Acid deposition and eutrophication</b>								
Modelling (unified Eulerian model) <sup>a/</sup>	100,000	102,893					100,000	102,893
Measurements <sup>b/</sup>	120,000	120,431	120,000	120,431				
<b>B. Photo-oxidants</b>								
Modelling (unified Eulerian model) <sup>a/</sup>	100,000	103,524					100,000	103,524
Measurements <sup>b/</sup>	130,000	130,548	130,000	130,548				
<b>C. Heavy metals</b>								
Modelling <sup>a/</sup>	100,000	94,184			100,000	94,184		
Measurements <sup>b/</sup>	105,000	105,283	105,000	105,283				
<b>D. Persistent organic pollutants</b>								
Modelling <sup>a/</sup>	170,000	172,569			170,000	172,569		
Measurements <sup>b/</sup>	140,000	140,155	140,000	140,155				
<b>E. Integrated assessment modelling</b>	105,000	105,000					105,000 <sup>d/</sup>	105,000
<b>F. Small particles <sup>d/</sup></b>								
Modelling <sup>a/</sup> (unified model)	250,000	251,025					250,000	251,025
Measurements <sup>b/</sup>	215,000	215,940	215,000	215,940				
<b>G. Validation of emission data for modelling</b>	20,000	23,672			10,000	12,925	10,000	10,747
<b>H. Emission database and data verification</b>								
Database	130,000						130,000*	204,692
Verification and reviews	70,000	204,692					70,000*	
Expert estimates	20,000	20,390	20,000*	20,390				
<b>I. Cooperation with national programmes</b>	235,000	240,326	100,000 <sup>e/</sup>	99,137	55,000	55,265	30,000 50,000 <sup>c/</sup>	35,924 50,000
<b>J. Smaller scale</b>							**	
<b>K. Links to the hemispheric scale</b>	280,000	276,275	60,000	60,950	130,000	130,057	90,000	85,268
<b>Subtotal (A–K)</b>	<b>2,290,000</b>	<b>2,306,907</b>	890,000	892,834	465,000	465,000	935,000	949,073
<b>L. Programme support cost (3 per cent)</b>	68,700		<sup>a/</sup> “Modelling” includes: preparation of input data for model runs (emission data, meteorological data, etc.), model development, model verification and model calculations. <sup>b/</sup> “Measurements” includes data monitoring, data storage, quality control and quality assurance. <sup>c/</sup> Used for external consultancy by CIAM.					
<b>I. Total (A–K)</b>	<b>2,358,700</b>	<b>2,306,907</b>						

<sup>d/</sup> Work on particles at MSC-E is included under the budget line for heavy metals and persistent organic pollutants.

<sup>e/</sup> Implementation of the monitoring strategy.

\* Work implemented together with the EMEP Centre on Emission Inventories and Projections (CEIP)\*.

\*\* Contribution in kind from the United Kingdom.



**Table 3. Proposed 2010 budget covered by mandatory contributions (in United States dollars)**

		TOTAL	CCC	MSC-E	MSC-W
<b>I.</b>	<b>Funded by the EMEP trust fund</b>				
<b>A.</b>	<b>Acid deposition and eutrophication</b>				
	Modelling (unified Eulerian model) <u>a/</u>	100,000			100,000
	Measurements <u>b/</u>	120,000	120,000		
<b>B.</b>	<b>Photo-oxidants</b>				
	Modelling (unified Eulerian model) <u>a/</u>	100,000			100,000
	Measurements <u>b/</u>	130,000	130,000		
<b>C.</b>	<b>Heavy metals</b>				
	Modelling <u>a/</u>	100,000		100,000	
	Measurements <u>b/</u>	105,000	105,000		
<b>D.</b>	<b>Persistent organic pollutants</b>				
	Modelling <u>a/</u>	170,000		170,000	
	Measurements <u>b/</u>	140,000	120,000		
<b>E.</b>	<b>Integrated assessment modelling</b>	105,000			105,000 <u>c/</u>
<b>F.</b>	<b>Particulate matter <u>d/</u></b>				
	Modelling (unified Eulerian model) <u>a/</u>	250,000			250,000
	Measurements <u>b/</u>	215,000	175,000		
<b>G.</b>	<b>Processing of emission data for modelling</b>	20,000		10,000	10,000
<b>H.</b>	<b>Emission database and verification of data</b>				
	Database	130,000			130,000 <u>f/</u>
	Verification and reviews	70,000			70,000 <u>f/</u>
	Expert estimates	20,000			20,000 <u>f/</u>
<b>I.</b>	<b>Cooperation with national programmes</b>	235,000	100,000 <u>e/</u>	55,000	30,000 50,000 <u>c/</u>
<b>J.</b>	<b>Monitoring campaigns</b>	60,000	60,000		
<b>K.</b>	<b>Intercontinental transport and global scale</b>	280,000	60,000	130,000	90,000
	<b>Subtotal (A–K)</b>	2,290,000	870,000	465,000	955,000
<b>L.</b>	<b>Programme support cost (3 per cent of A–K)</b>	68,700			
<b>Subtotal (A–L)</b>		<b>2,358,700</b>			
<b>M.</b>	<b>Translation of the EMEP/EEA Guidebook into Russian <u>h/</u></b>	40,000			
<b>Total (A–M)</b>		<b>2,398,700</b>			

a/ “Modelling” includes: preparation of input data for model runs (emission data and meteorological data, etc.), model development, verification and calculations.

b/ “Measurements” include data monitoring, data storage, quality control and quality assurance.

c/ To be used for external consultancy by the Centre for Integrated Assessment Modelling.

d/ Work on particles at MSC-E is included under the budget line for heavy metals and POPs.

e/ Implementation of the monitoring strategy.

f/ To be used for external consultancy by CEIP.

g/ Includes cooperation with EECCA and South-Eastern Europe.

h/ To be implemented by Ukraine to cover a first part of its arrears for 1992–1994 equivalent to \$140,989.

**Table 4. Scale of contributions, calculated on the basis of the 2007 United Nations scale of assessments, and the 2010 schedule of contributions**

Parties	United Nations 2007 assessment rate (percentage)	“EMEP share” (percentage)	EMEP scale of contributions (percentage)	2010 contribution (in United States dollars)
Belarus	0.020	0.0453	0.0454	1,070
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.006	0.0132	0.0136	320
Canada	2.977	voluntary	voluntary	voluntary
Croatia	0.050	0.1132	0.1135	2,680
Liechtenstein	0.010	0.0226	0.0227	540
Monaco	0.003	0.0068	0.0068	160
Montenegro	0.001	0.0023	0.0023	50
Norway	0.782	1.7712	1.7749	41,860
Russian Federation	1.200	2.7179	2.7236	64,240
Serbia	0.021	0.0476	0.0477	1,120
Switzerland	1.216	2.7542	2.7599	65,100
Turkey	0.381	0.8629	0.8647	20,400
Ukraine	0.045	0.1019	0.1021	2,410
United States	22.000	voluntary	voluntary	voluntary
Austria	0.887	2.0090	2.0132	47,490
Belgium	1.102	2.4960	2.5012	59,000
Bulgaria	0.020	0.0453	0.0454	1,070
Cyprus	0.044	0.0997	0.0999	2,360
Czech Republic	0.281	0.6364	0.6378	15,040
Denmark	0.739	1.6738	1.6773	39,560
Estonia	0.016	0.0362	0.0363	860
Finland	0.564	1.2774	1.2801	30,190
France	6.301	14.2714	14.3012	337,320
Germany	8.577	19.4264	19.4670	459,170
Greece	0.596	1.3499	1.3527	31,910
Hungary	0.244	0.5526	0.5538	13,060
Ireland	0.445	1.0079	1.0100	23,820
Italy	5.079	11.5036	11.5277	271,900
Latvia	0.018	0.0408	0.0409	960
Lithuania	0.031	0.0702	0.0704	1,660
Luxembourg	0.085	0.1925	0.1929	4,550
Malta	0.017	0.0385	0.0386	910
Netherlands	1.873	4.2422	4.2511	100,270
Poland	0.501	1.1347	1.1371	26,820
Portugal	0.527	1.1936	1.1961	28,210
Romania	0.070	0.1585	0.1589	3,750
Slovakia	0.063	0.1427	0.1430	3,370
Slovenia	0.096	0.2174	0.2179	5,140
Spain	2.968	6.7223	6.7364	158,890
Sweden	1.071	2.4258	2.4308	57,340
United Kingdom	6.642	15.0437	15.0752	355,580
European Community		3.3300	3.3300	78,550
Total		99.7984	100.000	2,358,700
Parties to the Convention not Party to the EMEP Protocol:				
Albania	0.006	0.0136		
Armenia	0.002	0.0045	n/a	n/a
Azerbaijan	0.005	0.0113	n/a	n/a
Georgia	0.003	0.0068	n/a	n/a
Iceland	0.037	0.0838	n/a	n/a
Kazakhstan	0.029	0.0657	n/a	n/a
Kyrgyzstan	0.001	0.0023	n/a	n/a
Republic of Moldova	0.001	0.0023	n/a	n/a
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	0.005	0.0113	n/a	n/a
Total (excl. Canada and United States)	42.681	100.000		

-----