UNITED NATIONS



Economic and Social Council

Distr. GENERAL

ECE/TRANS/WP.24/2009/2 8 January 2009

Original: ENGLISH

ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE

INLAND TRANSPORT COMMITTEE

Working Party on Intermodal Transport and Logistics

Fifty-first session Geneva, 19-20 March 2009 Item 11 (d) of the provisional agenda

EUROPEAN AGREEMENT ON IMPORTANT INTERNATIONAL COMBINED TRANSPORT LINES AND RELATED INSTALLATIONS (AGTC)

New amendment proposals (minimum infrastructure and performance standards)

Note by the secretariat

I. INTRODUCTION AND MANDATE

- 1. In March 2005, the Working Party had considered the results of a secretariat survey on the relevance of the existing infrastructure and performance standards as well as target values contained in the AGTC Agreement (TRANS/WP.24/2005/5). The replies from 15 countries showed that a number of such standards might need to be reviewed and could possibly be substituted by benchmarks that better reflect today's requirements for efficient intermodal transport services.
- 2. With a view to analysing the coherence between the various rail and intermodal infrastructure and service parameters and to examining, if necessary, their harmonization in the longer term, the Working Party, at its fortieth session, had felt that it was of particular importance to cooperate with the relevant railway groups within UNECE and ECMT as well as

with the competent authorities within the European Union dealing with infrastructure standards of access to national rail networks in accordance with European Directive 2001/14/EC (TRANS/WP.24/107, paragraphs 13 and 14).

- 3. In September 2005, at its forty-first session, the Working Party had analysed the coherence between the various rail and intermodal infrastructure and service parameters and considered how to harmonize them in the longer term. It had noted that the European rail network still had considerable spare capacity that could be tapped, in the short term, mainly by more competition and operational and administrative measures (composition and length of trains, interoperability, telematics, train path allocation, etc.). With regard to infrastructure parameters as enshrined in the AGC¹ and AGTC Agreements, it was noted that loading gauge, length of trains and axle loads were of major importance for shippers.
- 4. It was felt that, for the construction of new railway lines, the main AGC and AGTC infrastructure parameters were quasi-mandatory. However, the use of relevant AGC and AGTC parameters for the upgrading of existing lines depended on the results of line-specific cost-benefit analyses. These parameters, as in fact indicated in article 3 of the AGC and AGTC Agreements, could often only be attained in the very distant future, even on the heavily used railway lines along the North-South European transport corridors.
- 5. Finally the Working Party had decided to revert to this issue at one of its forthcoming sessions with a view to possibly adjusting the current infrastructure standards of the AGC and AGTC Agreements and/or to adding elements that, in the meantime, have become important for the "development and operation of a network of important international combined transport lines and related installations" (AGTC, article 2) (TRANS/WP.24/109, paragraphs 34-36).

II. MINIMUM STANDARDS IN THE AGC AND AGTC AGREEMENTS

- 6. The AGC Agreements contains 11 and the AGTC seven rail infrastructure parameters. In addition, the AGTC Agreement contains six performance standards for combined transport trains, five standards for combined transport terminals, two standards for rail border crossing points, one standard for axle gauge interchange stations and two standards for rail ferry links/ports.
- 7. The table below provides a consolidated summary of the AGC and AGTC standards as well as target values for existing and new important railway and combined transport lines. As indicated above, the survey undertaken in 2005 had shown that some of these standards as well as target values did not seem to be any longer of relevance and/or needed to be modified. These standards and target values are indicated *in italics* in the table below.²

¹ European Agreement on Main International Railway Lines (AGC) (Geneva, 1985).

² For a detailed description of the proposed modifications of AGC and AGTC parameters and standards refer to document TRANS/WP.24/2005/5.

AGC and AGTC minimum standards and target values

| | AGC/AGTC Parameter/Standard | | AGC/AGTC Target Value | | |
|----|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | Description | Existing railway lines | New railway lines | |
| | Infrastru | cture Parameters for Railwa | | | |
| 1 | Number of tra | cks | Not specified | 2 | |
| 2 | Loading gauge | | UIC B | UIC C 1 | |
| 3 | Minimum distance between track centres | | 4.0 m | 4.2 m | |
| 4 | Nominal minimum speed | | 160km/h (AGC) | 250 / 300 km/h (AGC) ^a | |
| | | | 120km/h (AGTC) | 120 km/h (AGTC) | |
| 5 | 4) | Locomotives | 22.5 t (AGC) | 22.5 t (AGC) | |
| | Authorized mass per axle | Rail cars and rail motor sets | 17 t (AGC) | 17 t (AGC) | |
| | | Carriages | 16 t (AGC) | 16 t (AGC) | |
| | | Wagons | $18 t/20 t/20 t (AGC)^{b}$ | $18 t/20 t/22.5 t (AGC)^d$ | |
| | | | $20 t / 22.5 t (AGTC)^{c}$ | $20 t / 22.5 t (AGTC)^{e}$ | |
| 6 | Authorized ma | ass per linear meter ^{j/} | 8 t (AGC) | 8 t (AGC) | |
| 7 | Test train (brid | dge design) | UIC 71 (AGC) | UIC 71 (AGC) | |
| 8 | Maximum gradient ^½ | | Not specified | $AGC (12.5 / 35 \text{ mm/m})^f$ | |
| | | | | AGTC (12.5 mm/m) | |
| 9 | Minimum platform length in principal stations | | 400 m (AGC) | 400 m (AGC) | |
| 10 | Minimum useful siding length | | 750 m | 750 m | |
| 11 | Level crossings | | None (AGC) | None (AGC) | |
| 12 | Capacity bottlenecks ^g | | Seldom (AGTC) | Seldom (AGTC) | |
| | Perfor | mance Parameters of Combi | ned Transport Trains (AG | TC - Annex IV) | |
| 13 | Maximum auth | norized length of train | 750 m | | |
| 14 | Maximum authorized weight of train | | 1,500 t | | |
| 15 | Maximum authorized axle load of wagon | | 20 t-(22.5 t at 100 km/h) | | |
| 16 | Operating speed | | 120 km/h | | |
| 17 | Priority rating | | High | | |
| 18 | Direct (block) trains or wagon groups | | Often | | |
| | | Minimum Standards for | Terminals (AGTC - Annex | x IV) | |
| 19 | Average time for formation of trains | | Max. 60 min. | | |
| 20 | Average waiting time for lorries | | Max. 20 min. | | |
| 21 | Accessibility by road | | Good | | |
| 22 | | | Good | Good | |
| 23 | Capacity bottlenecks Seldom | | | | |
| | | inimum Standards for Borde | | Annex IV) | |
| 24 | Maximum average length of stop | | No stop or 30 minutes max. | | |
| 25 | | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | ım Standards for Axle Gaug | <u> </u> | TC - Annex IV) | |
| 26 | Duration of in | | As short as possible | · / | |
| | | V | nks /Ports (AGTC - Annex 1 | IV) | |
| 27 | Average duration of ro-ro operation | | | | |
| 28 | | y/rail timetable co-ordinated Yes | | | |
| | , | | | | |

²⁵⁰ km/h (passenger and goods traffic) and 300 km/h (passenger traffic only).

b For wagons \leq 100 km/h: 20 t; for wagons \leq 120 km/h: 20 t; for wagons \leq 140 km/h: 18 t.

c For wagons \leq 100 km/h: 22.5 t; for wagons \leq 120 km/h: 20 t; for wagons \leq 140 km/h: 18 t.

d For wagons \leq 100 km/h: 22.5 t; for wagons \leq 120 km/h: 20 t; for wagons \leq 140 km/h: 18 t.

e For wagons \leq 100 km/h: 22.5 t; for wagons \leq 120 km/h: 20 t.

f 35 mm/m for passenger traffic only.

^g Evaluation by the UNECE secretariat ("never-seldom-occasionally-often-always").

- 8. In addition to a review of the present AGC and AGTC standards, additional standards that have been suggested for inclusion (see TRANS/WP.24/2005/5) were the electrification of railway lines, specific performance parameters for container block trains and the minimum number and length of rail tracks in terminals.
- 9. In the field of infrastructure, additional standards could be determined by an analysis of national railway and combined transport infrastructures in UNECE member countries. In particular, the so-called national network statements, published by rail infrastructure managers in the member States of the European Union in accordance with Directive 2001/14/EC, might provide useful information on modern infrastructure requirements.
- With regard to the performance of combined transport trains and related installations (terminals, border crossing points, axle gauge interchange stations and ferry links/ports) the key performance indicators identified in the UNECE Model Action Plans and Public-Private Partnership Agreements prepared by the Working Party in 2004 and endorsed by the (the) ECMT Council of Ministers in 2005 could be used to determine additional benchmarks and minimum standards for efficient international combined transport (ECE/TRANS/WP.24/2006/1). In addition, in close cooperation with the industry, such as UIC, CIT, UIRR, FIATA/CLECAT, transport quality indicators, particularly punctuality indicators could be agreed upon that would allow for an evaluation of the performance of combined transport operations on the whole AGTC network.
- 11. Furthermore, given the increasing importance of safety and security issues relating in particular to the transport of containers by rail and their handling and storage in terminals, pertinent parameters and minimum standards could be developed for inclusion into the AGTC.

III. ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE WORKING PARTY

- 12. As a follow-up to its earlier decisions, the Working Party may wish to consider whether it is now appropriate to review the minimum infrastructure and performance standards and parameters in Annexes III and IV of the AGTC Agreement. The objective of such a review would be to bring the AGTC parameters and minimum standards, dating back to the 1980's, in line with modern rail and intermodal transport requirements and to possibly add additional parameters in line with new needs. In view of the recent expansion of the AGTC network to Central Asia and to the Caucasus, a modern and forward-looking set of AGTC parameters and minimum standards would, in the longer term, ensure harmonization of rail and intermodal transport infrastructure and performance standards and could contribute to efficient and interoperable intermodal transport services at the pan-European level and along Euro-Asian rail transport corridors.
- 13. The Working Party may also wish to decide on the procedure and time-table to be established for such a review to allow for the views of all competent authorities and stakeholders to be taken into account.
- 14. Finally, the proposed review of the AGTC minimum standards would need to be carried out in close cooperation with the UNECE Working Party on Rail Transport in order to ensure that the relevant infrastructure parameters of the AGC and AGTC Agreements will remain aligned.

- - - - -