



Distr. GENERAL

FCCC/ASR/2007/ISL 30 July 2007

**ENGLISH ONLY** 

## Annual status report of the greenhouse gas inventory of Iceland

- 1. This status report was prepared by the secretariat as part of the initial check of the greenhouse gas inventory submitted in accordance with decision 19/CP.8. It reflects the content of the inventory submission of 2007 as originally submitted by the Party.
- 2. In this report, the following abbreviations are used:

CRF: common reporting format LUCF: Land-use Change and Forestry LULUCF: Land Use, Land-use Change and

Forestry

NIR: national inventory report

SBDT: sectoral background data tables

Notation keys
C: confidential

IE: included elsewhere NA: not applicable NE: not estimated NO: not occurring

Greenhouse gases
CO<sub>2</sub>: carbon dioxide
CH<sub>4</sub>: methane
N<sub>2</sub>O: nitrous oxide

HFCs: hydrofluorocarbons PFCs: perfluorocarbons SF<sub>6</sub>: sulphur hexafluoride NO<sub>X</sub>: nitrogen oxides CO: carbon monoxide

NMVOCs: non-methane volatile organic

compounds

SO<sub>2</sub>: sulphur dioxide

				INTR	ODUCTION	ON										
	Data of receipt	CRF 2	4 April 20	007			NIR 18 June 2007									
п	Date of receipt	Additiona	Additional information													
natio	Date of resubmission	CRF					NIR									
forn	Date of resubmission	Additional information														
General information	Base year or period <sup>a</sup>	1990	990													
ener	CRF provided for years	1990–2005														
9	Gases covered	$CO_2$	CH <sub>4</sub>	N <sub>2</sub> O	HFCs	PFC	Cs	SF <sub>6</sub>	$NO_X$	CO	NMVOCs	$SO_2$				
		~	V	~	~	~	]	<b>~</b>	>	~	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>				
Description The organization of the NIR, in general, follows the structure as outlined in the revised UN reporting guidelines (decision 18/CP.8). However, the chapter on recalculations and impression and some of the recommended annexes such as detailed discussion on of methodology for each of the completeness are not provided.												vements				
<b>=</b>	Language of NIR	English	·	·	·						·					

 $<sup>^{</sup>a}$  Information on the base year in this status report does not reflect or prejudge any decision that may be taken by the Party in relation to the use of 1995 as base year for HFCs, PFCs and SF<sub>6</sub>, in accordance with Article 3.8 of the Kyoto Protocol.

		Provision of in	nformation for th	PART I e latest reported inv	ventory year in the	CRF: 2005	
		Energy	Industrial Processes	Solvent Use	Agriculture	Land Use, Land- use Change and Forestry	Waste
	Sectoral report tables	1 🔽	2(I) <b>2</b> (II) <b>2</b>	3	4	5	6
	Sectoral background data tables	1.A(a)	2(I).A-G	3.A-D 🔽	4.A 🔽	5.A 🔽	6.A 🔽
		1.A(b)	2(II).C,E		4.B(a)	5.B	6.B
		1.A(c)	2(II).F		4.B(b)	5.C 🔽	6.C 🔽
		1.A(d)			4.C 🔽	5.D 🔽	
		1.B.1 🔽			4.D 🔽	5.E 🔽	
Tables		1.B.2			4.E	5.F	
Ta		1.C 🔽			4.F 🔽	5 (I)	
		Bunkers separately	V			5 (II)	
						5 (III) 🔽	
						5 (IV)	
	Summary tables					5 (V)	
	(emission totals)	Summary 1.A	V	Summary 1.B	✓	Summary 2	✓
	Other tables	Summary 3	✓	Table 7 (Key categ	ories)	Table 9(a) (Complete	teness)
		Table 10 (Trends)	V			Table 9(b) (Comple	teness)
	Comments						
	Totals provided for	$CO_2$	$\mathrm{CH}_4$	N <sub>2</sub> O	HFCs	PFCs	SF <sub>6</sub>
Trends	provided for	<b>V</b>	V	V	✓	<b>V</b>	~
T	Totals provided for years	1990–2005	1990–2005	1990–2005	1992–2005	1990–2005	1990–2005
CO <sub>2</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel	Reference appr	oach Sec	ctoral approach	Difference mor 2 per cen		ence is more than 2 per cent
Ö	combustion	>		<b>V</b>			on provided
	Disaggregation	HF	Cs	PI	FCs	S	F <sub>6</sub>
SF6	by species	Ī.	7	F	7		
HFCs, PFCs, SF <sub>6</sub>	Reporting of actual and/or potential		Potential	Actual	Potential	Actual	Potential
HFCs,	estimates in the consumption of halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub>		V				V
on	Used in	Summary table 1.A	<b>V</b>	Sectoral report tabl	es 🔽	Sectoral background	l data tables
Notation keys	Comments						

## PART II Provision of CRF tables for years reported

												Years										
				Base year <sup>a</sup>	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	Information gaps relating to reporting	Comments
	Se	ectoral report – Tabl	le 1		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
		Table 1.A(a)			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
		Table 1.A(b)			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
		Table 1.A(c)			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
56		Table 1.A(d)			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Energy	SBDT	Table 1.B.1			✓	✓	~	<b>&gt;</b>	✓	~	<b>*</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>√</b>	✓	✓	<b>~</b>	✓	<b>\</b>	✓	<b>\</b>	✓	No data are reported in this table, but notation keys NO, NA are used
		Table 1.B.2			✓	✓	✓	<b>~</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	<b>~</b>	✓	<b>√</b>	✓	No data are reported in this table, but notation keys NE, NO, NA are used
		Table 1.C			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
SS	Se	ectoral reports –	able 2(I)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
esse		Ta	able 2(II)		✓	✓	✓	<b>\</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	
Proc		Table 2(I).A–G			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
ial ]	_	Table 2(II).C			✓	✓	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	<b>√</b>	✓	✓	✓	
Industrial Processes	SBDT	Table 2(II).E			✓	✓	✓	<b>\</b>	✓	✓	<b>~</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>~</b>	✓	✓	<b>~</b>	✓	<b>~</b>	✓	✓	✓	No data are reported in this table, but notation keys NO, NA are used
		Table 2(II).F																				
e	Se	ectoral report – Tabl	le 3		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Solvent Use	SBDT	Table 3.A–D			✓	~	~	<b>~</b>	~	~	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>\</b>	<	<b>*</b>	<	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	~	<	<b>*</b>	

## PART II Provision of CRF tables for years reported (continued)

										Years									Information	
		Base year <sup>a</sup>	1990	1991	1992		1994		1996		1998	1999	2000		2002				gaps relating to reporting <sup>b</sup>	Comments
5	Sectoral report – Table 4		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Table 4.A		✓	✓	1	~	1	✓	~	1	✓	~	1	1	~	1	1	✓	✓	Only population size data and implied emission factors are reported in this table
ture	Table 4.B(a)		<b>✓</b>	~	1	~	~	~	~	~	<b>✓</b>	~	~	1	~	~	~	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	Only population size data, implied emission factors and allocation by climate region are reported in this table
cal	Table 4.B(b)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Agriculture	Table 4.B(b) Table 4.C		✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1	✓	✓	✓	<b>√</b>	✓	No data are reported in this table, but notation keys NO, NA are used
	Table 4.D		✓	✓	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓	✓		
	Table 4.E		✓	✓	✓	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓		No data are reported in this table, but notation key NA is used
	Table 4.F		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	~	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	No data are reported in this table, but notation keys NA, NO and "0" are used
5	Sectoral report – Table 5		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
	Table 5.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
estr	Table 5.B		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
For	Table 5.C		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
pu	Table 5.D		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
ge a	Table 5.E		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
han	Table 5.F		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
e C	Table 5 (I)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
-I-us	Table 5 (II)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
, Land	Table 5 (III)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		No data are reported in this table, but notation key NE is used
Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry	Table 5 (IV)		✓	✓	1	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	✓	<b>√</b>	✓	✓	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	1	<b>~</b>	<b>√</b>	✓	<b>√</b>		For 1990–2002 no data are reported in this table, but notation keys NA, NE, NO are used
	Table 5 (V)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		No data are reported in this table, but notation keys NA, NE, NO are used

PART II	
Provision of CRF tables for years reported (continued)	
Voors	

										Years										Information	
			Base year <sup>a</sup>	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	gaps relating to reporting <sup>b</sup>	Comments
	Se	ectoral report – Table 6		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
ste	L	Table 6.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Waste	SBDT	Table 6.B		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
	$\mathbf{S}$	Table 6.C		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
	Sı	ummary 1.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	Sı	ummary 1.B		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
ples	Su	ummary 2 (CO <sub>2</sub> quivalent emissions)		✓	✓	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	
and other tables	Sı (N	ummary 3 Methods/emission factors)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
d of	Ta	able 7 (Key categories)																			
ırv an	Ta	able 8(a) (Recalculation – ecalculated data)		✓	✓	✓	✓	<b>√</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓		✓	
Summary	Ta ex	able 8(b) (Recalculation – xplanatory information)																			
Š	Ta	able 9(a) (Completeness)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	_	able 9(b) (Completeness)																			
	Ta	able 10 (Trends)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> This Party uses a base year of 1990.
<sup>b</sup> This column indicates that reporting gaps (blank cells) have been identified in a given table of the CRF. This was due to limited use, or lack of, notation keys (NO, NE, NA, IE, C).

	PART III  Provision of information relating to recalculation												
Table 8(a) (Recalculated data)	V	Comments											
Recalculation for years	1990-2004												
Recalculated sectors/gases	Energy	Industrial Processes	Solvent Use	Agriculture	Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry	Waste							
$CO_2$	<<				<b>▽</b>	▼							
CH <sub>4</sub>	V					▼							
N <sub>2</sub> O	V			V	<b>▽</b>	<b>V</b>							
HFCs													
PFCs													
SF <sub>6</sub>													
Table 8(b) (Explanatory information)													
Full CRF for the recalculated base year	V	Percentage difference in aggregate greenhouse gas base year estimate  - with LULUCF - without LULUCF - without LULUCF											