



SUBSIDIARY BODY FOR SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL ADVICE

Twenty-sixth session

Bonn, 7–18 May 2007

Agenda item 8 (a)

Methodological issues under the Kyoto Protocol

Implications of the establishment of new hydrochlorofluorocarbon-22 (HCFC-22) facilities seeking to obtain certified emission reductions for the destruction of hydrofluorocarbon-23 (HFC-23)

Implications of the establishment of new hydrochlorofluorocarbon-22 facilities seeking to obtain certified emission reductions for the destruction of hydrofluorocarbon-23

Draft conclusions proposed by the Chair

1. The Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice (SBSTA) noted that the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP) had recognized, by its decision 8/CMP.1, that issuing certified emission reductions for the destruction of hydrofluorocarbon-23 (HFC-23) at new hydrochlorofluorocarbon-22 (HCFC-22) facilities could lead to higher global production of HCFC-22 and/or HFC-23 than would otherwise occur and that the clean development mechanism (CDM) should not lead to such increases.

2. The SBSTA stated that it would welcome information, analyses or outcomes of assessment panels, conventions and international organizations that may be relevant to the discussions on the implications of the situation referred to in paragraph 1 above, such as, but not limited to, the assessment being undertaken by the Technology and Economic Assessment Panel of the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer.

3. The SBSTA invited Parties, admitted observers and intergovernmental organizations to submit to the secretariat, by 21 September 2007, their views on any possible approaches, such as the approaches¹ that had been considered in consultations at previous sessions, to address the implications referred to in paragraph 1 above and requested the secretariat to compile these views for consideration by the SBSTA at its twenty-seventh session (December 2007). The submissions should elaborate on, inter alia, the following:

- (a) Whether the approach addresses the avoidance of the implications referred to in paragraph 1 above;

¹ FCCC/SBSTA/2007/1, paragraph 37.

(b) The feasibility of implementing the approach.

4. The SBSTA further agreed to consider this matter at its twenty-seventh session and, if possible, prepare a draft decision containing guidance to the Executive Board of the CDM for adoption by the CMP at its third session (December 2007).
