United Nations PBC/2/OC/L.1



Peacebuilding Commission

Distr.: Limited 28 June 2007

Original: English

Second session Organizational Committee 13 July 2007

Provisional report on the work of the Peacebuilding Commission

Executive Summary

- A. In response to a growing recognition that international peacebuilding efforts have lacked an overall strategic approach and coherence, world leaders at the 2005 World Summit agreed to establish the Peacebuilding Commission. In its first year of operations, the Commission has focused its attention on Burundi and Sierra Leone and was committed to an inclusive and nationally driven process aimed at maximizing the involvement of all relevant actors on the ground, including civil society, and in the broader international community. Furthermore, the Organizational Committee of the Commission has begun to address key organizational, procedural and methodological issues.
- B. The Commission adopted the provisional rules of procedure which, in order to keep them current and effective, will continue to be reviewed through an expert group. In addition, standing invitations were extended to the International Monetary Fund, the World Bank, the European Community and the Organization of Islamic Conference to participate in all meetings of the Commission, except as deemed otherwise by the Chair in consultation with Member States. The provisional guidelines on the participation of civil society, including non-governmental organizations, were also adopted, on the understanding that they will be subject to review, evaluation, and possible further development after six months from the date of their adoption. A Working Group on Lessons Learned was established to accumulate best practices and lessons on critical peacebuilding issues.
- C. The Commission met in a country-specific format to consider the cases of Burundi and Sierra Leone, adopting workplans and sending field missions to both countries to collect information and analysis from the ground. The Commission also identified four critical priority areas for peace consolidation in each of the two countries. Processes for the development of integrated peacebuilding strategies (IPBS) were also launched. The Government of Burundi, with the support of the United Nations and the Commission, conducted consultations with key stakeholders



on the ground to identify challenges and threats to the consolidation of peace. This resulted in the development of the Strategic Framework for Burundi, an important step toward the development of the IPBS for Burundi, which will guide the Government's engagement with all stakeholders in the country and the international community. The Government of Sierra Leone, with support from the United Nations and the Commission, initiated consultations for the development of its IPBS, and this will undergo further refinement at the country level after Presidential and Parliamentary elections in August 2007. It will be considered during the latter half of 2007.

D. The main challenge now facing the Commission is to maximize its impact on the ground to make the United Nations peacebuilding architecture an effective instrument of international collaboration in support of countries emerging from conflict. The Commission's future work will need to focus on ensuring that peacebuilding processes remain on track and that challenges and gaps are addressed in a timely and coherent manner by all relevant actors and in accordance with the IPBS. A number of challenges and outstanding issues need to be addressed in the coming year, including further development of the Commission's working methods and monitoring mechanisms for the IPBS; enhancing operational relationships with other intergovernmental bodies and regional and subregional organizations; and improving interaction with the field based on lessons learned during its first year of operations.

I. Introduction

1. The present report has been prepared pursuant to General Assembly resolution 60/180 and Security Council resolution 1645 (2005), which requested the Peacebuilding Commission to submit an annual report to the General Assembly for debate and review. The report shall also be submitted to the Security Council, pursuant to resolution 1646 (2005)² for an annual debate. The report addresses the work of the Peacebuilding Commission since its inauguration in June 2006, identifies some major challenges of peacebuilding and offers conclusions and recommendations.

II. Overview

- 2. In response to a growing recognition that international peacebuilding efforts have lacked an overall strategic approach and coherence, world leaders at the 2005 World Summit agreed to establish the Peacebuilding Commission.³ Countries emerging from conflict face a unique set of challenges and unless they are identified and effectively addressed, these countries face a high risk of relapsing into violence. The Commission was therefore created to serve as a dedicated institutional mechanism to address these special needs and to assist these countries in laying the foundations for sustainable peace and development.
- 3. In its first year of operations, recognizing that peacebuilding must address the situation on the ground and make a difference to the countries and their populations, the Commission has focused its attention on two countries, Burundi and Sierra Leone, which were placed on the agenda of the Commission pursuant to paragraph 12 of the founding resolutions.⁴ With their full support and through the Commission's country-specific configurations, the Commission has initiated processes of engagement with relevant United Nations and non-United Nations actors involved in peacebuilding in both countries. The Commission's work to date has been focused on maintaining international attention on both countries, and providing consultations in order to enhance the peace consolidation efforts led by their governments and with the help of other local stakeholders. This has also led to the decision to formulate integrated peacebuilding strategies (IPBS) as the basis for the Commission's sustained support for Sierra Leone and Burundi.
- 4. The Commission has so far employed a range of methods in the conduct of its work. These have included field missions, video conferencing with key stakeholders in Sierra Leone and Burundi, thematic and country-specific configurations and special briefings from high-level United Nations officials and other experts. The Commission will also need to identify ways of improving coherence and synergies across its numerous activities and configurations in order to contribute to better peacebuilding policy and practice.

¹ A/RES/60/180, S/RES/1645 (2005).

² S/RES/1646 (2005).

³ A/RES/60/1.

⁴ The Governments of Burundi and Sierra Leone sent letters to the Presidents of the Security Council and the General Assembly requesting to be placed on the agenda of the Peacebuilding Commission. On that basis, the Security Council referred the two countries to the Commission for its consideration (see PBC/OC/1/2).

III. Work of the Commission

5. During the reporting period, under the leadership of the Chairperson of the Commission (Angola), Vice-Chairs (El Salvador and Norway) and Coordinators chairing the country-specific configurations (Netherlands and Norway), the Commission has begun to lay the foundation for its future work. It has met in various configurations, including the Organizational Committee, which held ten formal meetings and six informal meetings; country-specific configurations, which held five formal meetings and eight informal meetings on Burundi, and five formal meetings and five informal meetings on Sierra Leone; and three thematic meetings and three informal procedural meetings of the working group on lessons learned. The Commission also held one informal meeting of a joint country-specific configuration and briefings by representatives and experts from the United Nations system and other international organizations.

Organizational Committee

- 6. The Organizational Committee addressed some key organizational, procedural and methodological issues in its first year of operations. Decisions of the Organizational Committee have defined the framework for the operations of the respective configurations of the Commission; its work has been managed through its provisional rules of procedure, with certain pending procedural issues referred to an ad-hoc working group. It adopted the provisional rules of procedure of the Commission through a consultative process among Member States.⁵ To keep these rules current and effective, in light of its practical work and in accordance with rule 6, the Organizational Committee agreed, in its meeting on 12 December 2006, to review these rules through the establishment of an expert group. While mindful of the intergovernmental character of the Commission, the rules provide a set of guidelines that reflect the flexible, transparent and inclusive nature of its work. The provisional rules of procedure task the Chairperson with presenting conclusions and recommendations, where appropriate, as agreed to by consensus among Member States.
- 7. The Organizational Committee, in February 2007, agreed on a concept paper, which provided the framework for the development of an IPBS, and adopted a medium-term calendar for the Commission's wide-ranging activities up to June 2007 (see chronology of formal meetings of the Organizational Committee in Annex I).
- 8. In October 2006, the Organizational Committee established an ad-hoc working group on pending issues to address those aspects which needed further elaboration in the provisional rules of procedure, especially the implementation of paragraph 9 of resolutions A/RES/60/180 and 1645 (2005) concerning the participation of the World Bank, the International Monetary Fund and other institutional donors, as well as the modalities for civil society participation in meetings of the Commission. In this regard, the Organizational Committee took a decision on 16 May 2007 to extend standing invitations to the International Monetary Fund, the World Bank, the European Community and the Organization of Islamic Conference to participate in all meetings of the Commission, with the exception of certain meetings of the

⁵ See PBC/1/OC/3.

Organizational Committee which may be deemed by the Chairperson, in consultation with Member States, to be limited only to Member States. ⁶

9. The Ad Hoc Working Group on Pending Issues succeeded in finding a formula that would ensure active and productive participation of civil society, including non-governmental organizations which are most relevant to peacebuilding efforts in the countries under consideration, with particular attention to women's organizations and the private sector. The provisional guidelines were subsequently adopted on 6 June 2007 by the Organizational Committee on the understanding that they will be subject to review and evaluation after six months from the date of their adoption with a view to explore the possibility of their further development.

Country-specific meetings

- 10. According to its mandate, the Commission launched, between July and December 2006, its first phase of substantive consideration of Burundi and Sierra Leone, focusing on enabling country-specific configurations to establish their structure and ensure the participation of key stakeholders and actors. In January and February 2007, the Commission adopted six-month work-plans for each of the countries, which outlined a series of formal and informal meetings at Headquarters as well as field missions to both Burundi and Sierra Leone in order to provide the necessary visibility and receive first-hand information and analysis from the ground (see Annex IV).⁷ In addition to other outcomes, the Commission's engagement promoted dialogue and interaction between the international community and the country and between the government and other stakeholders at the country level and resulted in a US\$35 million allocation for each country from the Peacebuilding Fund. Furthermore, building on existing strategies and frameworks in-country and based on the identified peacebuilding gaps and priorities, the Commission also launched discussions on developing an IPBS for each country to ensure coherent, prioritized approaches that involve international donors and agencies.
- 11. Furthermore, consistent with the mandate to incorporate a gender perspective in its work, the Commission has considered human rights and gender equality issues both in its country-specific work and in thematic discussions. Gender equality was identified as a critical cross-cutting issue for peace consolidation in both Burundi and Sierra Leone. In addition, it discussed economic reconstruction and rehabilitation and noted that a comprehensive set of risk reduction strategies should be at the forefront of all efforts aimed at sustaining peace, initiating development and promoting post-conflict recovery in both countries. These strategies could include, where appropriate, education and training, agricultural development, private sector reform and an increase in Governments' capacity to monitor and establish the necessary conditions for external investment. In this context, the Commission also drew on previous experience within the United Nations system and the World Bank in other situations to identify critical needs for post-conflict peacebuilding.

⁶ See PBC/1/OC/14.

⁷ Please see PBC/BUR/SR.1, PBC/BUR/SR.2, PBC/SIL/SR.1, and PBC/SIL/SR.2 for Chair's summaries of these formal country-specific meetings.

A. Burundi

- 12. In recent years, significant progress has been made in the consolidation of peace in Burundi with the establishment of an inclusive government, the adoption of a new constitution, the holding of free and fair elections and the signing of a Comprehensive Ceasefire Agreement between the Government and Palipehutu-FNL. South Africa's facilitation, the Regional Peace Initiative, chaired by Uganda, as well as the support from the African Union, the United Nations, and the international community have been instrumental in strengthening Burundi's peacemaking and peace consolidation efforts.
- 13. The Commission's formal country-specific meetings on Burundi on 13 October 2006 identified critical priorities for peace consolidation and reducing the country's risk of relapse into conflict, which were identified by the Government of Burundi in consultation with other stakeholders, including civil society, the private sector and international partners. As such, the Commission and the Government of Burundi agreed on four critical priority areas, as follows:
- (a) Promoting Good Governance. The Government has identified the history of poor governance in the country as one of the root causes of the conflict. The Commission took note of Burundi's efforts to improve governance at various levels and underlined that democracy should be consolidated through dialogue with and inclusion of all actors in Burundian society. Support is needed for the emergence of transformational leadership in the country, building the capacity of various stakeholders for good governance and giving adequate consideration to gender, youth and regional dimensions of good governance. The Commission examined the critical need to renew efforts to remove obstacles to a constructive dialogue between the Government and Palipehutu-FNL.
- (b) Strengthening the Rule of Law. Taking into account the problems related to impunity, the existence of weaknesses in the justice system and lack of understanding of the history and root causes of the conflict in Burundi, the Commission discussed how national efforts to strengthen the rule of law should be pursued and supported with a view to ensuring the fair administration of justice, combating impunity, and ensuring the independence of the judiciary. Modernizing correction services, creating an independent national human rights commission and ombudsman's office, promoting the Parliament's enactment and revision of national legislation to ensure its compliance with international human rights standards, and establishing transitional justice mechanisms recommended by the Secretary-General in his report to the Security Council on 11 March 2005 (S/2005/158) are crucial elements of this endeavour.
- (c) Reform of the Security Sector. The Commission discussed the need to reform and develop the security sector according to the relevant provisions of the peace agreements and the principles of rule of law, human rights and good governance. It also noted that the disarming of the civilian population and the control and non-proliferation of small arms should be pursued, including in their subregional dimensions.
- (d) Ensuring Community Recovery. Peacebuilding efforts should result in peace dividends for the most vulnerable populations, including by addressing hunger and poverty. The need to address the land issue and to support interventions to improve the lives of people in the short term is an important aspect of sustaining

the fragile peace. Specific attention should be paid to young people in the poor neighbourhoods of Bujumbura, particularly "self-demobilized" youths, young returnees who do not own land in rural areas, and youths in internally displaced camps. The community recovery principles of reducing inequality, providing tangible "quick-wins," and increasing participation are central to the Government's plans to develop human capital through the improvement of basic services.

- 14. A delegation of seven members of the Organizational Committee and the Country-Specific configuration travelled to Burundi in April 2007 on a field mission, funded through voluntary contributions to a trust fund, to obtain first-hand information about the situation on the ground, where they assessed peacebuilding challenges, discussed the gaps within priority areas of peacebuilding with the Government, civil society organizations, the private sector, women's organizations and international partners, and communicated the main principles and purposes of the Commission to stakeholders on the ground, including the need to maintain international attention and support for Burundi's peacebuilding efforts. The delegation from New York was joined by thirteen representatives of the Commission in Bujumbura.⁸
- 15. An important outcome of the mission was a reaffirmation of an understanding from the Government of Burundi on the next steps forward for the Commission's engagement with the country. The mission also reaffirmed the importance of the subregional dimensions of peacebuilding, through discussions with the Executive Secretary of the International Conference of the Great Lakes Region and Representatives of neighbouring countries.
- 16. Following the Commission's agreement that the elaboration of an IPBS should be vested with the national government, the Government of Burundi in February 2007, with the support of the United Nations Integrated Office in Burundi (BINUB), launched consultations with key stakeholders on the ground, including civil society organizations, the private sector, religious communities, political parties, United Nations agencies and bilateral and multilateral partners, with input from the Commission including during its field visit, in order to identify challenges and threats within priority areas. This resulted in a consensus that the Strategic Framework will guide the engagement of the Government of Burundi with all stakeholders in the country and with the international community in the pursuit of sustainable peace.
- 17. The Strategic Framework builds on existing political and development frameworks that define the country's priorities for integrated peacebuilding, particularly the 2000 Arusha Agreements, 2003 Global Agreement on Ceasefire with CNDD-FDD and 2006 agreements with Palipehutu-FNL, the Government's five-year plan (2005-2010), the Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper (2007-2010), the Pact on Security, Stability and Development for the Great Lakes Region, and relevant resolutions and declarations of the Security Council on Burundi. When fully completed, the Strategic Framework should provide an updated and conflict-sensitive analysis of priorities, constraints and gaps in achieving the goals set in these strategic documents, focusing on the root causes of the conflict and the need to prioritize interventions that will prevent the country's relapse into conflict.

8 See PBC/1/BDI/2.

07-40413 **7**

18. The Strategic Framework recognizes that Burundi will need the sustained attention of the international community until the establishment of foundations for sustainable peace and development. It reflects the mutual engagements of the Government of Burundi and of the Commission to maintain their dialogue, as well as the support required from national and international partners to work towards consolidating peace. The Strategic Framework also reflects a commitment to devise a transparent and consultative process of assessing collective progress. On 20 June 2007, the Commission endorsed the development of the IPBS for Burundi, of which the Strategic Framework is an important step. A key next step is to develop the incountry tracking and monitoring mechanism based on the monitoring mechanism and timelines established for the Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper and other existing frameworks. The Commission also considered the need for mobilizing resources from international partners to support the implementation of this framework and the importance of honouring pledges and commitments such as those made at the round table in May 2007.

B. Sierra Leone

- 19. The Commission in its Sierra Leone country-specific meeting on 12 October 2006 endorsed critical priority areas for peace consolidation and reducing the country's risk of relapse into conflict identified by the government of Sierra Leone in consultation with other stakeholders. Furthermore, in January 2007 the Commission developed a six-month workplan with the primary objective of developing an IPBS as a framework for cooperation between Sierra Leone and members of the Commission. This IPBS will clearly outline the mutual responsibilities of the Government of Sierra Leone and the Commission for medium-term peace consolidation and serve as the framework to mobilize additional resources and ensure sustained international attention.
- 20. From the outset, the Government of Sierra Leone and its international partners have noted that since the signing of the Lomé Peace Agreement in 1999, Sierra Leone has made tremendous progress in securing peace and post-conflict recovery. Existing strategic frameworks and planning documents such as Vision 2025, the Poverty Reduction Strategy (PRSP), the Peace Consolidation Strategy (PCS), the report of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC), the Improved Governance and Accountability Pact (IGAP), as well as relevant resolutions and declarations of the Security Council, have guided the work of the government and its partners in this regard.
- 21. Notwithstanding many of the achievements in restoring stability and peace over the last few years, a number of critical peacebuilding challenges as well as the root causes of conflict in Sierra Leone are yet to be fully addressed. In addition, there are several immediate constraints to the implementation of existing commitments and frameworks. At the October 2006 meeting of the Commission's country-specific configuration on Sierra Leone, the Government of Sierra Leone, in consultation with other stakeholders, highlighted four critical areas where further efforts are needed both to address root causes of the conflict and to lay the foundations for sustainable peace and development. In addition to crosscutting issues such as gender equality and human rights, the critical areas identified were as follows:

- (a) Youth Unemployment and Disempowerment: The Commission noted that this remains one of the most serious threats to Sierra Leone's stability. It discussed how the challenge of addressing unemployment in Sierra Leone is closely linked to the creation of long-term economic growth and development of an enabling environment for private sector development and foreign investment, although it was noted that short-term engagements are also needed. A number of issues need to be addressed in this context, such as agriculture, improved availability of electricity, water and other basic infrastructure, good governance and anti-corruption measures, support for entrepreneurs and self-employed people, and the national management of the country's natural resources for the benefit of the population and the Government. The Commission will, inter alia, focus its efforts on mobilising support for the Government of Sierra Leone's policy reforms, such as the review of the National Youth Policy, legislative reform and enhancing coordination and prioritization of efforts.
- (b) Justice and Security Sector Reform: Despite the progress made in the reestablishment of judicial institutions throughout Sierra Leone, the Commission considered that the lack of access to formal justice for the majority of the population coupled with severe lack of capacity of the judiciary and backlog in court cases create serious concerns for peace and stability. The Commission will focus its efforts in this area on, inter alia, how to assist the Government of Sierra Leone in the constitutional and legislative reform processes. The Commission also noted the need for timely implementation of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission recommendations and the need to strengthen the capacity of the Government to meet its obligations in this regard. The Government of Sierra Leone, with the support of the international community, has made some progress on security sector reform and recovery. However, the Commission discussed critical issues such as the sustainability and the size of the Republic of Sierra Leone's Armed Forces, improvement of relations between community and police and advancement in the living and working conditions of the armed forces still must be addressed.
- (c) Democracy Consolidation and Good Governance: Although the Government of Sierra Leone has made progress towards rebuilding state institutions and expanding state authority throughout the country, parliament and the judiciary are still extremely weak and national institutions such as the National Electoral Commission and the Anti-Corruption Commission need further support and capacity-building. Efforts in support of governance institutions should be complemented through enhanced dialogue among political parties and the reconciliation and full participation of all segments of the population, especially youth and women in decision-making. The Commission recognized that the holding of Presidential and Parliamentary elections scheduled for August 2007 will represent an important step towards the consolidation of peace and democracy in the country.
- (d) Capacity-building: The civil war during the 1990s caused serious damage to the already limited capacity of governmental institutions and other stakeholders in delivering services, implementing reforms and ensuring adequate economic and financial management. The Commission's engagement in this area could focus on supporting the Government of Sierra Leone's efforts in developing a comprehensive civil service reform programme that, inter alia, enhances management and provides training opportunities.

07-40413 **9**

- 22. In March 2007 a delegation of nine members of the Organizational Committee and the Country-Specific configuration, funded through voluntary contributions to a trust fund, visited Sierra Leone. The delegation was successful in enriching the discussions of the Commission by obtaining first-hand information about the situation on the ground, in particular, the challenges to peace consolidation. The delegation's visit reinforced a strong conviction that the Commission can play an important role in Sierra Leone, particularly by fostering greater dialogue and coordination among all stakeholders working on peace consolidation. The visit also reaffirmed the importance of subregional dimensions of peacebuilding in Sierra Leone and stressed the importance of supporting the efforts of the Government of Sierra Leone in infrastructure development and provision of basic services.⁹
- 23. A key outcome of the Commission's visit to Sierra Leone was the agreement on the timeframe and the process for the development of an IPBS for the Commission's engagement with the country. The development and implementation of the IPBS will be firmly vested with the Government of Sierra Leone, supported by the United Nations, in particular through UNIOSIL, and assisted by multilateral and bilateral partners and civil society. It was agreed that the IPBS would not replace or supersede existing frameworks such as the Poverty Reduction Paper or the Peace Consolidation Strategy but rather build on them by identifying key peacebuilding priorities and strengthening national and international commitment for their implementation.
- 24. The Government, with the support from a United Nations technical mission consisting of PBSO, DPA, UNDP, and UNDGO, initiated consultations for the development of the IPBS and an annotated outline was discussed in an informal meeting of the Commission in May 2007. Subsequently, the Government, in consultation with all relevant stakeholders in the country, developed a first draft of the IPBS for a discussion with the Commission in June 2007. The IPBS will undergo further revisions at the country level after Sierra Leone's Presidential and Parliamentary elections scheduled to be held in August 2007 and will be agreed during the latter half of 2007. In this context, it should be noted that the Security Council has requested the Commission to continue tracking progress on peacebuilding issues in Sierra Leone, paying particular attention in planning for the forthcoming elections. Furthermore, at its meeting on 22 June 2007, the Commission adopted a Chair's Declaration on the upcoming Presidential and Parliamentary Elections in Sierra Leone. 10

Working Group on Lessons Learned

25. In December 2006, the Organizational Committee established a Working Group on Lessons Learned, coordinated and chaired by the Ambassador of El Salvador, to accumulate best practices and lessons on critical peacebuilding issues. The primary objective of the Working Group has been to enrich the deliberations of the Commission with respect to the countries on its agenda. The Working Group has benefited greatly from the expertise of the Commission members that have post-conflict experience.

⁹ See PBC/1/SLE/2.

¹⁰ See PBC/1/SLE/4.

26. In the Commission's first year, the Working Group held three informal meetings focusing on the lessons and good practices associated with: (i) risk reduction and confidence-building in the lead up to post-conflict elections; (ii) post-conflict frameworks for cooperation; and (iii) regional approaches to peacebuilding. In organizing these meetings, the Working Group drew on the expertise of national actors, as well as civil society organizations and academic institutions and a number of recommendations were made (see Annexes VI, VII, and VIII for Chair's Summary Notes from these meetings).

Relations with other intergovernmental bodies

27. In early 2007, the Security Council and the General Assembly held separate debates on the Commission. This first exchange of views between the Commission and the other intergovernmental bodies highlighted the need for periodic contacts to further enrich their respective consideration of country-specific situations.

IV. The Peacebuilding Fund

- 28. The Peacebuilding Fund was launched on 11 October 2006 by the Secretary-General at the request of the General Assembly. According to its terms of reference (A/60/984), the Fund's greatest value is in the early stages of a recovery effort, when other financing mechanisms are not yet available. The Fund is available for allocation both to countries under consideration by the Commission and to other post-conflict countries, as a separate mechanism from the Commission. A comprehensive report on the Fund's first year of work will be issued by the Secretary-General to the General Assembly during the main part of the 62nd session.
- 29. The Fund was established to operate as a rapid-disbursing, emergency peace fund for countries emerging from conflict. Because both Burundi and Sierra Leone are several years beyond an immediate post-conflict stage, the priorities for the use of the Fund in those two countries has broadly mirrored the priorities endorsed by the Commission. The use of the Fund's resources is meant to catalyze and encourage longer term engagements by development agencies and bilateral donors.
- 30. The Commission has an important role in the Fund's governance arrangements, providing overall policy guidance on the use of the Fund in countries under its consideration. Funding envelopes and the priority plan for short-term critical interventions proposed by national authorities and the United Nations presence in the country are then endorsed under the authority of the Secretary-General. The Fund has an independent Advisory Group, selected by the Secretary-General, which is scheduled to meet for the first time in the fall of 2007. By May 2007, the Fund had received US\$221 million in pledges against a target of US\$250 million. Contributions of US\$135 million had been received.
- 31. Joint Steering Committees were established in both Burundi and Sierra Leone, in addition to existing mechanisms, to examine projects eligible for funding by the Fund. At the time this report was prepared, the respective Joint Steering Committees in Burundi and Sierra Leone had approved a number of projects. In Burundi, projects amounting to a total of US\$15,483,000 were approved, notably in priority

areas of human rights and security sector reform. Under the latter priority, the main project of quartering the National Defence Force (FDN) was approved in early April 2007. In Sierra Leone, projects have been approved under the two priority areas of justice and security and youth empowerment and employment, amounting to a total of US\$6,645,525. 11

V. Conclusions

General remarks

- 32. During its first year of work, the Commission covered new ground in trying to bring more coherence and impact to the international community's approach to peacebuilding. This has not always been easy, and there has been an accompanying process of institutional learning. The main challenge now facing the Commission is to refine its approach for maximum impact on the ground so that the United Nations' peacebuilding architecture becomes an effective instrument of international collaboration in support of countries emerging from conflict. The members of the Commission realize that the challenges of peacebuilding are immense and expectations are particularly high in the countries under consideration. The Commission will need to ensure that the peacebuilding processes in these countries remain on track through both qualitative and quantitative indicators to assess progress and provide, as necessary, early warning of risk factors. Such indicators will help the Commission evaluate the level of its involvement over a period of time and to rapidly address gaps that may arise in the implementation of the IPBS. The ultimate aim is to make peace self-sustainable.
- 33. The Organizational Committee reached an agreement on the **participation of institutional donors** in May 2007, with a view to ensuring the fullest participation of all relevant stakeholders in the work of the Commission. The Committee decided to issue standing invitations to the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund, as well as to the European Community and the Organization of the Islamic Conference (OIC) to participate in all meetings of the Commission, in accordance with paragraph 9 of its enabling resolutions, unless specified otherwise by the Chair of the Commission after due consultations with members of the Organizational Committee. These institutions have actively participated in meetings of the Commission at Headquarters and in the field, and their contributions have been helpful in better understanding the peacebuilding needs of the countries under consideration as well as the role these institutions play in overall post-conflict assistance efforts.
- 34. A direct impact of the Commission's consideration of the **two countries on its agenda**, **Burundi and Sierra Leone**, has been the aiding of the peace consolidation processes in those countries and the increased international attention given to them. As a result of that attention, relevant actors on the ground are paying more attention to the effects of their actions in terms of peacebuilding priorities, including taking into account the regional and subregional dimension, and those in themselves are positive contributions to the maintenance of peace and stability in the countries. The Commission's engagement with the Governments of Burundi and Sierra Leone has

¹¹ These figures are current as of 25 June 2007.

allowed for a focused effort by the international community, represented by the members of the Commission, to address the long-standing challenges of peacebuilding in post-conflict countries, notably by bringing together all relevant stakeholders in a transparent manner in support of this common goal.

- 35. As a testament to its commitment to an **inclusive and nationally driven process** in the countries under consideration, the Commission, during its first year of operations, aimed at maximizing the involvement of the field including the national authorities, United Nations country teams and civil society organizations. In doing so, the Commission was able to further strengthen the lead by national governments and ownership by national actors as a whole, in partnership with the broader international community. The Commission's field missions to Burundi and Sierra Leone were useful in providing crucial information from the ground, which was and will continue to be instrumental in the Commission's consideration of the two countries and additional countries that may be on its agenda.
- 36. During its first year of work, the Commission has set new precedents in practical interaction between a United Nations inter-governmental body and the field and in employing flexible practices in its day-to-day business at Headquarters. Some **key lessons learned** are:
 - The emphasis that substance should be led from the ground, with the national Government in the lead, and an inclusive process from the start with the involvement of other key national and international stakeholders;
 - The importance of ensuring participation from the field in the country-specific discussions at Headquarters to ensure an inclusive discussion involving the Government and key stakeholders, including through video-conferencing links;
 - The importance of the Commission's missions to the field which in turn provide the benefit of on-the-ground experience and knowledge to the Commission's work;
 - The regular use of informal country-specific meetings, which enables a flexible format, open participation, including civil society organizations, and an interactive discussion, with each meeting tailored to specific needs;
 - The focus on practical outcomes and the unique composition of countryspecific meetings, which enables more effective collaboration to take place between the Commission's members.

Challenges for the Commission

37. The Commission's future work will need to focus on encouraging the peacebuilding processes in these countries to remain on track and all relevant actors to address challenges and gaps in a timely and coherent manner, based on the IPBS. A common and cohesive approach by the Commission's membership and the larger international community derives from a clear understanding that the work of the Commission needs to build on, coordinate and strengthen on-going initiatives and should focus on resolving obstacles to implementation, which should result in concrete "peace dividends" for the people in the countries under consideration. In accordance with its mandate, the Commission has made available the outcome of its

recommendations, and its discussions will increasingly focus on prioritizing and targeting its recommendations. This would allow an overview of the work of the Commission and give the United Nations system and other bodies and actors the opportunity to take action on the advice of the Commission, as foreseen in operative paragraph 14 of A/RES/60/180 and S/RES/1645. A review of recommendations in follow-up meetings is essential.

- 38. While much progress has been made by the Commission with regard to the development of IPBS, the next stage will be to strengthen the relevance of the IPBS as a tool to generate enhanced and long-term support for peacebuilding activities in the countries under its consideration. The Commission needs to encourage the international engagement with Burundi and Sierra Leone to remain sustained, predictable and aligned to national priorities. In this regard, the Commission will further address and clarify the implementation of its mandate to "bring together all relevant actors to marshal resources" in support of post-conflict peacebuilding and recovery in the countries under its consideration. 12
- 39. The Commission will also need to engage in further dialogue with all relevant stakeholders and donor countries, in particular bilateral and multilateral partners and the United Nations system, on their contributions to the IPBS and ensure that they take it into account. The Commission also needs to strengthen its capacities "to provide recommendations and information in order to improve the **coordination of all relevant actors** within and outside the United Nations." As such, the Commission, with the support of the Secretariat, will also encourage greater coherence within the United Nations system, including agencies, departments, funds and programmes, so that concrete benefits are delivered to the populations in the countries on the Commission's agenda. It will further engage the United Nations system on applying lessons from its integrated peacebuilding process to the United Nations peacebuilding efforts more generally.

Outstanding issues and recommendations

40. Although the Commission has not reached agreement on topics for future policy discussions, it has yet to consider issues such as how to ensure extended attention from the international community, the development of monitoring mechanisms and how to determine the appropriate time for ending the Commission's engagement with a country. The Commission anticipates that there will be a number of additional debates on these and other issues during the coming year.

Integrated peacebuilding strategies

41. The Commission underlines the need to develop tracking and monitoring mechanisms, taking into account existing mechanisms, to measure success to fulfil the tracking functions of the IPBS and therefore intends to strengthen the effectiveness of its engagement with Burundi and Sierra Leone by deepening the dialogue with all relevant stakeholders. A process for mapping completed, existing, and planned activities and projects, done in collaboration with the United Nations Secretariat, partners and relevant United Nations agencies, funds and programmes,

¹² A/60/180, op 2a).

is essential in order to identify and address the gaps, inter alia, through developing a matrix that highlights the distribution of efforts and engagements in addressing those gaps. It will be important to enhance harmonization of all peacebuilding efforts in the countries on the Commission's agenda with the identified priority areas.

Development of working methods

- 42. Under operative paragraph 4 of A/RES/60/180 and S/RES/1645, the General Assembly and the Security Council decided that the standing Organizational Committee would be responsible for developing its own rules of procedure and working methods. Overall, the Commission recognized the importance of flexibility regarding its working methods, and the need to continue to develop its provisional rules of procedures in light of the evolving practical work of the Commission, as may be necessary. In this regard, as stated in paragraph 6 of this report, the Commission will examine proposals from the expert group to review these procedures when the proposals are made available. The Commission also intends to design a process to articulate its recommendations, in response to requests for advice, to the referring body on a specific country, and possibly on a specific theme, related to peacebuilding.
- 43. As part of the working methods established, the Commission decided to organize field missions to the countries under consideration, and in light of their usefulness for the work of the Commission, it intends to continue undertaking such missions. The General Assembly may wish to acknowledge the importance of field missions as a tool for dialogue and interaction with country level stakeholders, as well as to assess the peacebuilding priorities first-hand. In this context, the Commission wishes to bring to the attention of the General Assembly the fact that the issue of financing such missions needs to be appropriately considered and that such consideration could include all options, taking into account the fact that the Commission is a new body.

Working Group on Lessons Learned

44. The Working Group on Lessons Learned intends to consider a number of other peacebuilding issues, such as security sector reform and development and post-conflict national reconciliation and dialogue. The timing and sequencing of these meetings will be coordinated with the Commission's country-specific workplans and priorities.

Advocacy of the work of the Commission

45. The Commission may wish to develop tools to better advocate and disseminate information on its work, which would further enhance its efforts. Greater publicity needs to be given to its work through outreach programs. Doing so may also improve engagement with relevant actors by strengthening their commitment to implementation of coherent peacebuilding strategies.

Eligibility for Peacebuilding Fund support

46. The Commission is aware of the need to further enhance understanding by all stakeholders of the relationship between the Commission and the Fund, and affirms the need to promote the understanding of the role of each mechanism, particularly

among key stakeholders on the ground, such as local governments, United Nations field agencies and civil society. The Commission also recognizes the need to ensure that the projects financed by the Peacebuilding Fund correspond to the identified peacebuilding priority areas, and that they have a catalytic impact that will contribute to the stabilization of the countries under consideration and pave the way for the sustained support and engagement of other key stakeholders. It further underlines the need to develop monitoring mechanisms to measure success to fulfil the tracking functions of the IPBS.

47. The Commission acknowledges the importance of expediting the disbursement process of the resources allocated from the Fund, a matter that requires that the Fund seeks possible ways to deal with the issue in the future, and it therefore encourages the speedy disbursement of funds from the Fund for the implementation of specific projects on the ground. In this context, the Commission also recognizes the need to undertake careful planning where relevant to ensure there is no duplication or overlap with ongoing or planned interventions. In this connection, the Commission requests that Secretary-General, as appropriate, inform the Commission on a regular and informal basis regarding the status of the Fund, without prejudice to the required annual report to the General Assembly and also undertakes to monitor closely the activities pertaining to the Fund in countries under its consideration.

Operational relationships with other bodies

- 48. Given its mandate as an intergovernmental advisory body that has a special relationship with the Security Council, the General Assembly and the Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC), the Commission still has to identify how best to engage with these bodies and the most appropriate mechanisms for providing advice and follow up. As such, further consideration should be given as to how the Commission can enhance its cooperation with various other United Nations intergovernmental bodies. In this regard, periodic interaction between the Commission and the General Assembly, Security Council and ECOSOC will be useful. Such strengthened relations will also contribute to enhancing the visibility of the Commission's work and improving coordination among the bodies.
- 49. The Commission also recognizes the need to intensify its efforts to cooperate and coordinate with the relevant regional and subregional organizations to promote the peacebuilding process in the countries under consideration. It is therefore essential in this regard that the Secretariat collaborates with the secretariats of those organizations.
- 50. The Commission invites the Peacebuilding Support Office to accelerate its efforts for the fulfilment of its core mandate, in light of its authorized budget, namely to assist and support the Commission and to ensure better coordination within the Secretariat and the United Nations system in the field of peacebuilding as provided for in resolutions A/RES/60/180 and S/RES/1645 (2005).

Annexes

- I. Chronology of formal meetings of the Organizational Committee
- II. Other activities of the Peacebuilding Commission
- III. Membership of the Organizational Committee and the country-specific meetings on Burundi and Sierra Leone
- IV. Timeline of Peacebuilding Commission Engagement with Sierra Leone and Burundi (workplans)
- V. The activities of the Peacebuilding Support Office in support of Peacebuilding Commission
- VI. Chair's Summary of Working Group on Lessons Learned Meeting on Sierra Leone
- VII. Chair's Summary of Working Group on Lessons Learned Meeting on Afghanistan Compact: Successes, Challenges, and Lessons
- VIII. Chair's Summary of Working Group on Lessons Learned Meeting on Regional Approaches to Peacebuilding

Annex I

Formal meetings of the Organizational Committee

In its first year, the Organizational Committee held the following meetings to discuss a variety of topics:

- 23 June 2006: Election of the Chairperson and Vice-Chairpersons; adoption of the provisional rules of procedure; examination of requests made by the Security Council to provide advice on the situations in Burundi and Sierra Leone.
- 13 July 2006: Review of process and schedule of country-specific meetings; endorsement of a list of participants; invitations to representatives of the Governments of Burundi and Sierra Leone to brief the Committee on key peacebuilding priorities.
- 9 October 2006: Procedural preparation for the first set of country-specific meetings; referral of pending procedural issues to an ad-hoc working group.
- 7 December 2006: Discussion on the arrangements for the activation of the Peacebuilding Fund; arrangements for establishing a mechanism to draw on post-conflict experiences and shared lessons; consideration of possible field missions.
- 12 December 2006: Selection of the chairperson of the country-specific meeting on Sierra Leone; decision on tasking a group at the working-level to review the provisional rules of procedure in 2007.
- 21 February 2007: Adoption of a provisional calendar of meetings for the first half of 2007; discussion of the concept note on IPBS.
- 16 May 2007: Decision to extend invitation to institutional donors, pursuant to paragraph 9 of resolutions 60/180 and 1645 (2005); discussion on the status of contributions to the Peacebuilding Fund.
- 6 June 2007: Adoption of the provisional guidelines for the participation of civil society in the meetings of the Peacebuilding Commission.

Annex II

Other activities of the Peacebuilding Commission

As part of its mandate to focus attention on the range of efforts necessary for recovery from conflict, the Peacebuilding Commission participated in a series of meetings involving United Nations officials and experts, academic and research institutions and non-governmental organizations. Focused on critical issues on the Peacebuilding Commission's agenda, these meetings sought to bring important perspectives to bear on key dimensions of peacebuilding.

- 1 March 2007: The International Peace Academy hosted a seminar for the Peacebuilding Commission on IPBS in advance of the Commission's work to develop integrated frameworks/compacts with Sierra Leone and Burundi.
- 2 May 2007: At a joint presentation to the Peacebuilding Commission, the High Commissioner for Refugees Mr. António Guterres and the Representative of the Secretary General on the Human Rights of the Internally Displaced Persons, Mr. Walter Kälin provided the Commission with an analysis of the relationship between peacebuilding and the resolution of the conditions of refugees and internally-displaced.
- 14 May 2007: The UK-based NGO Tiri presented findings from its research on Integrity in Reconstruction in eight post-conflict countries.
- 29 May 2007: As part of the Burundi country specific configuration of the Peacebuilding Commission, the Special Representative of the Secretary-General on Children and Armed Conflict, Ms. Radhika Coomaraswamy, briefed the Commission.
- 30 May 2007: The High Commissioner for Human Rights, Ms. Louise Arbour, briefed the Commission on her recent visit to the Great Lakes region.

07-40413 **19**

Annex III

Membership of the Organizational Committee and the countryspecific meetings on Burundi and Sierra Leone

Membership of the Organizational Committee (23 June 2006-27 June 2007)

Angola (Chair PBC until 27 June 2007) India

Brazil Indonesia

Bangladesh Italy

Belgium (until 31 December 2006 Jamaica

succeeded by Luxembourg)

Japan

Burundi Luxembourg

South Africa Netherlands (Chair CSM Sierra Leone)

Chile Nigeria

China Norway (Chair CSM Burundi)

Croatia (until 27 June 2007)

Pakistan

Czech Republic

Denmark (until 31 December 2006

succeeded by Panama)

Poland (until 31 December 2006 succeeded by Czech

Republic)

Panama

Egypt Russian Federation

El Salvador (Vice-Chair)

Fiji

South Africa

Sri Lanka

France United Kingdom

Germany
United Republic of Tanzania (until 31 December 2006

Ghana succeeded by South Africa)

Guinea-Bissau United States

Additional Members of the Burundi country-specific configuration (in accordance with paragraph 7 of A/60/180 and S/RES/1645 (2005))

Belgium Canada

Denmark

Democratic Republic of the Congo

Economic Community of Central African States (ECCAS)

European Community

Kenya

Nepal

Rwanda

Uganda

United Republic of Tanzania

African Development Bank

African Union

East African Economic Community

Executive Representative of the Secretary-General

Organisation Internationale de la Francophonie (OIF)

International Monetary Fund

Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU)

United Nations Economic Commission for Africa (ECA)

World Bank

Special Representative of the Secretary-General for the Great Lakes Region

Additional Members of the Sierra Leone country-specific configuration (in accordance with paragraph 7 of A/60/180 and S/RES/1645 (2005))

Sierra Leone

Guinea

Ireland

Liberia

Sweden

African Development Bank

African Union

Central Bank of West African States (BCEAO)

Commonwealth

Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS)

European Community

Executive Representative of the Secretary-General

International Monetary Fund

Mano River Union

Organization of the Islamic Conference (OIC)

World Bank

United Nations Economic Commission for Africa (ECA)

Special Representative of the Secretary-General for West Africa

Participants in accordance with paragraph 9 of A/60/180 and S/RES/1645 (2005)

International Monetary Fund

World Bank

European Community

Organization of the Islamic Conference

Annex IV

Timeline of Peacebuilding Commission engagement with Burundi and Sierra Leone:

A. Burundi

- 23 June 2006: Referral from the Security Council
- 19 July 2006: Informal country specific meetings on both Sierra Leone and Burundi
- 13 October 2006: Formal country specific meeting
- 12 December 2006: Formal country specific meeting
- 8 February 2007: Informal country specific meeting on the Peacebuilding Commission's six-month workplan for Burundi
- 27 February 2007: Informal country specific thematic discussion on promoting good governance
- 10-14 April 2007: Peacebuilding Commission Delegation Field Mission to Burundi
- 19 April 2007: Informal country specific meeting, Debriefing from the Field Mission
- 27 April 2007: Informal country specific discussion on first outline of Strategic Framework for Peacebuilding in Burundi
- 9 May 2007: Informal country specific thematic discussion on community recovery
- 29 May 2007: Informal country specific thematic discussion on rule of law and security sector reform
- 6 June 2007: Informal country specific meeting on process for review and finalization of Strategic Framework on Peacebuilding in Burundi
- 20 June 2007: Formal country specific meeting

B. Sierra Leone

- 23 June 2006: Referral from the Security Council
- 19 July 2006: Informal country specific meeting on both Sierra Leone and Burundi
- 12 October 2006: Formal country specific meeting
- 13 December 2006: Formal country specific meeting
- 8 February 2007: Informal country specific meeting on the Peacebuilding Commission's six-month workplan for Sierra Leone
- 20 February 2007: Working Group on Lessons Learned Meeting with a focus on the upcoming Sierra Leone elections

- 28 February 2007: Informal country specific thematic discussion on justice sector reform and development
- 19-25 March 2007: Peacebuilding Commission Delegation Field Mission to Sierra Leone
- 27 March 2007: Informal country specific meeting, Debriefing from the Field Mission
- 9 May 2007: Informal country specific meeting, First Discussion on the Sierra Leone Compact
- 21 May 2007: Informal country specific thematic discussion on youth employment and empowerment
- 22 June 2007: Formal country specific meeting to discuss the draft Sierra Leone Compact

Annex V

Activities of Peacebuilding Support Office in support of the Peacebuilding Commission and Peacebuilding Fund

- 1. The core functions of the Peacebuilding Support Office (PBSO) are to support the work of the Commission in all its substantive aspects, and to oversee the operation of the Peacebuilding Fund. In addition to these mandated responsibilities, the PBSO advises the Secretary-General in catalyzing the United Nations system as a whole to develop effective strategies for peacebuilding. This includes a role for the PBSO in convening all the relevant actors to launch strategic discussions on priorities and engagement related to peacebuilding within the United Nations, to ensure coherent and effective implementation of the work of the Commission and provision of effective support to the Commission, as well as to ensure appropriate lessons learning throughout the United Nations system on the basis of the Commission's work.
- 2. The Commission has set an active work programme, including regular meetings of its Organizational Committee, Country-Specific Configuration meetings, working group on lessons learned, and Chairs. The PBSO has supported this programme, including through developing initial drafts of the Commission's calendar and workplan; liaising with the field offices and headquarters departments; preparing the substantive aspects of meetings, including documentation for the Commission's consideration; and participating in interdepartmental discussions within the Secretariat on peacebuilding. In addition, the PBSO, with support of the United Nations teams in the field, provided support to the Commission's field missions. The PBSO is also working with outside entities, donors and institutions on substantive peacebuilding-related events in order to ensure that the Commission receives appropriate advice and support from entities outside the United Nations system.

Annex VI

Peacebuilding Commission Working Group on Lessons Learned

Meeting on Sierra Leone, 20 February 2007

Summary Note of the Chair

- 1. In order to enrich the discussions of the Peacebuilding Commission's country-specific meetings on Sierra Leone, the Working Group on Lessons Learned convened its first informal discussion on lessons relevant to risk reduction and confidence-building in the context of post-conflict elections. The meeting was chaired by the Ambassador of El Salvador H.E. Carmen Maria Gallardo Hernandez and included expert panellists from the United Nations in Sierra Leone, Member States and civil society. (See attached programme.)
- 2. Sierra Leone's second post-conflict Presidential and Parliamentary Elections are scheduled for 28 July 2007. The meeting explored general risks posed by elections in post-conflict contexts and in Sierra Leone in particular as well as strategies to address such risks. Panellists noted the importance of identifying and addressing risks to Sierra Leone's democratic transition and supporting the Government's efforts in this regard. The experiences from countries that have conducted several rounds of post-conflict elections (Mozambique, El Salvador, Croatia, and Nicaragua) and the lessons extracted from those elections were also discussed.
- 3. The Ambassador of Sierra Leone to the United Nations and representatives from the United Nations in Sierra Leone stressed that preparation for the July elections are on schedule and proceeding without major difficulties. The National Elections Commission (NEC), although a new body is viewed as independent and credible by all parties, and has enjoyed support from the international community. The panellists highlighted a number of positive political developments in Sierra Leone such as the openness of the political space, media freedom, and freedoms of speech and association. However, they also noted that one of the biggest challenges in the context of the upcoming elections is that these political developments have not been matched by progress in the economic and social spheres. In the words of one of the speakers: "the openness of the political space has not delivered economic benefits for the people". Participants also noted that whereas the 2002 Sierra Leone elections were largely about "voting for peace" the 2007 elections are a referendum on the government's capacity to deliver peace dividends.
- 4. Other challenges mentioned were possible disputes over election results, perceptions of possible abuse of incumbency power, the perceptions of undue influence by Paramount Chiefs, and possible youth mobilization for violence. While it was recognized that each country situation is unique and there is no "one-size fits all" formula that could take care of all these risks, panellists suggested a number of confidence-building and risk reduction measures for addressing these challenges drawing on lessons-learned from other countries as well as from Sierra Leone's own past elections. Such measures could include:
 - Regular dialogue and public debates among all party leaders and members

- Adoption of a Code of Conduct for Political Parties (this should be regularly updated and include accountability and enforcement measures)
- Strengthening of electoral institutions (NEC, PPRC)
- Capacity-building for civil society institutions to engage in civic and voter education, elections monitoring and observation
- Support to media organizations and the development and enforcement of a media code of conduct
- Constructive engagement of the Sierra Leone diaspora community especially in the area of campaign financing
- Public statements by political leaders in support of national unity
- 5. It was also noted that regional dynamics (including the current situation in Guinea) and their impact on the electoral preparations would need to be closely monitored. A number of speakers stressed the importance of creating and strengthening electoral dispute mechanisms and promoting legislative reforms noting in particular that "preparations should not be limited to the day of the elections but should also anticipate possible post-election challenges".
- 6. There are a number of initiatives already being undertaken in this regard by the government of Sierra Leone with support from the United Nations and other partners. Sierra Leone recently adopted a Code of Conduct for Political Parties and a Media Code of Conduct which are now being widely disseminated. Under the auspices of the Political Party Registration Commission (PPRC) a mechanisms has been set up for an inclusive all-party dialogue, the first such mechanism in Sierra Leone. Political parties engaged in regular dialogue through the PPRC are discussing issues such as security provisions for the elections and women's equal participation as candidates, voters and observers. Given lessons learned from the 2002 elections in Sierra Leone particular attention is being paid to security concerns and the role of the media especially community radio stations. A National Security Council Coordination mechanism has been set up to ensure adequate security for the election.
- 7. These efforts need the full support of the international community. Additional capacity-building and resources are needed to strengthen the NEC and other electoral institutions. The meeting ended with the PBC members reiterating their support for the peace consolidation process in Sierra Leone and their commitment to closely follow the preparations for the July elections.
- 8. In closing the meeting, the Chair thanked all participants for having contributed to a rich discussion. The Chair agreed to send a summary note of the discussion and recommendations to PBC members, especially the country-specific configuration on Sierra Leone chaired by the Permanent Representative of the Netherlands.

Annex VII

Peacebuilding Commission Working Group on Lessons Learned

Afghanistan Compact: Successes, Challenges, and Lessons, 17 April 2007

Summary Note of the Chair

- 1. The primary purpose of this meeting of the Working Group on Lessons learned was to enrich the discussions of the Peacebuilding Commission on the drafting of the Integrated Peacebuilding Frameworks/Compacts for Sierra Leone and Burundi. The meeting was organized in cooperation with the International Peace Academy and the Center for International Cooperation and was chaired by the Ambassador of El Salvador, H.E. Carmen María Gallardo Hernández.
- 2. Participants noted that compacts and frameworks for post-conflict countries are increasingly seen as potentially important instruments for supporting peacebuilding initiatives. They represent an attempt to provide a framework for engagement with a post-conflict country on the basis of mutual accountability and joint commitment. In the process of developing such compacts the following dimensions were highlighted as critical: national ownership, a consultative process to ensure buy-in and inputs from all relevant stakeholders, an effective mechanism for monitoring and evolution, effective prioritization and sequencing of challenges and gaps to be addressed, and a limited number of measurable qualitative and quantitative benchmarks.
- 3. It was stressed that the context in which a cooperation framework or a compact is developed is critical and influences all other elements such as the timing, the scope, and the mechanism for effective monitoring and follow-up. Therefore the PBC and other international actors involved would need to avoid the application of "one-size-fits-all" templates and focus on developing context-specific cooperation instruments. Participants agreed that the effectiveness of compacts and frameworks need to be evaluated over a period of time.
- 4. However, preliminary lessons on the implementation of the Compact include: the need to harmonize and limit the number of benchmarks, ensure a manageable number of partners on the joint monitoring committee, strengthen the national government's coordination and leadership role, raise awareness about the Compact among the population and strengthen accountability mechanisms for the implementation of identified commitments.
- 5. In conclusion, it was noted that further discussions and comparative analysis of the existing frameworks for cooperation in post-conflict situations maybe helpful in supporting the work of the Peacebuilding Commission.
- 6. The Chair thanked all panellists and participants and noted that a summary from the meeting would be circulated to all members of the Peacebuilding Commission.

Annex VIII

Peacebuilding Commission Working Group on Lessons Learned

Regional Approaches to Peacebuilding, 8 June 2007

Summary Note of the Chair

- 1. Burundi and Sierra Leone, the two countries under consideration by the PBC, have highlighted the need to underpin peacebuilding in their subregions as part of their integrated peacebuilding strategies. Recognizing the importance of regional approaches to peacebuilding, the third meeting of the Working Group on Lessons Learned focused on selected experiences from Africa and Central America in addressing the regional dimensions of conflict and regional strategies for peacebuilding. In specific, the meeting focused on several subregional initiatives in the Great Lakes region and West Africa as well as the Contadora-Esquipulas peace process and the International Conference on Refugees in Central America. The meeting was chaired by the Permanent Representative of El Salvador H.E. Carmen María Gallardo Hernández and brought together expert panellists, including a distinguished former Minister of Foreign Affairs from El Salvador, member states and civil society representatives. (See attached programme).
- 2. The two speakers who focused on Africa confirmed the regional dimensions of African conflicts but drew attention to the specificities of each subregion. For example, West Africa is a more compact and integrated region with various subregional institutions. While conflicts in neighbouring countries have consequences across West Africa, there are also various subregional mechanisms for conflict management and peacebuilding. Meanwhile, the Great Lakes is a region that now encompasses eleven countries with various degrees of cohesion beyond the three core states--Burundi, Rwanda and the Democratic Republic of the Congo. Until recently, the region did not have a common definition or coherent subregional institutions.
- 3. In West Africa, the most serious problems with regional repercussions have included the rise of civilian militia groups, weak governance, dire socio-economic conditions, youth unemployment, proliferation of small arms and predation of natural resources to finance conflict. However, West Africa also has strong regional assets including intergovernmental institutions such as ECOWAS, ECOMOG and the Mano River Union, the United Nations Office for West Africa (UNOWA) as well as various civil society networks such as the Mano River Women's Network.
- 4. The conflicts in the Great Lakes were greatly fuelled by the steady flow of refugees and armed groups, the illegal exploitation and export of natural resources and the failure of the state throughout the region. In the absence of a regional approach to deal with these problems, conflicts in various countries became intricately interconnected. It was only after the Rwandan catastrophe that regional approaches gained proper attention. The appointment of the United Nations Secretary-General's Special Representative for the Great Lakes in 1999 gradually led to an extended international process which was supported by the United Nations and the African Union. That process in turn culminated in the conclusion of the Pact on Security, Stability and Development in the Great Lakes Region in December

07-40413 **29**

2006, although the Pact has yet to be ratified by member states. Meanwhile, the Secretariat of the International Conference has now been established in Burundi.

- 5. In Central America, the conflicts that reverberated throughout the region in the 1980s had various internal and external dimensions. The absence of democracy and socio-economic inequality within individual states was compounded by Cold War politics and extensive intervention of external actors in the affairs of the region. The Contadora peace process (which was actively supported by Colombia, Mexico, Panama and Venezuela) was initiated in the early 1980s to prevent the internationalization of the military conflicts in Central America while generating a regional solution to several interlocking conflicts. Although the Contadora process itself was not successful, it prepared the grounds for the Esquipulas process that followed. The combined Contadora-Esquipulas process had several mutually-reinforcing elements: affirmation of multilateralism, searching for a political solution, advancing democracy, socio-economic reform, demobilization and demilitarization and the principle of the non-interference in the region's affairs by outsiders. The Central American peace process proved to be lengthy but ultimately successful.
- 6. During the conflicts in Central America, two million out of a total population of eight million were uprooted by civil wars. Given the regional scope of the problem, one of the most important peacebuilding initiatives was the International Conference on Central American Refugees held in May 1989, known by its Spanish acronym CIREFCA. This initiative sought a durable solution for the problems of refugees, returnees and displaced people within the framework of social and economic development in the region. Initially starting as an international conference, CIREFCA evolved as part of the ongoing peace process in the region. Coordinated by UNHCR and UNDP, and supported by the resources of the international community, CIREFCA enabled the governments of the region to link emergency assistance to ongoing development plans. Innovative ideas promoted by CIREFCA (such as Quick Impact Projects or QIPs) have subsequently been adopted in other contexts. While CIREFCA was unable to transform the region's deep-rooted socio-economic inequalities, it was an important model for regional peacebuilding in addressing the problems of war-affected populations within a larger framework.
- 7. The four presentations on Africa and Central America were followed by comments from the floor. Speakers affirmed the utility of regional approaches to peacebuilding and welcomed the opportunity to learn from cross-regional experiences. They also raised additional issues which further enriched the discussion. The concrete observations and recommendations that are particularly relevant for the work of the Commission are as follows:
 - Traditionally, there has been greater appreciation of the importance of regional approaches in conflict resolution and peacemaking rather than peacebuilding.
 - While peacebuilding efforts at the country level are indispensable, they should be complimented with regional approaches. Peacebuilding should not be exclusively state-centric but should address the trans-national dimensions of conflict and opportunities for peacebuilding.
 - Regional approaches to peacebuilding go beyond establishing regional institutions. In fact, they should capitalize on existing institutional mechanisms to address problems that cannot be resolved at the country level.

- In this context, the long-standing partnership between ECOWAS, the United Nations and the European Commission merit special attention. The European Commission is committed to reinvigorating regional structures in West Africa and the Great Lakes by drawing upon previous experiences.
- The European Commission is also interested in developing regional funding envelopes through the European Development Fund (EDF) to support the peace and security architecture of the African subregional organizations as well as exploring how to adjust EDF financing to the specific needs of fragile and post conflict states. EC is also examining ways of cooperating with partners in the field through, for example, a tripartite ECOWAS/UN/EU capacity programme.
- From a sectoral programming perspective refugee flows, small arms, youth gangs and natural resource management are promising areas for regional cooperation. However, it is particularly important to identify concrete problems in each subregion and to program around them through cross-country or border zone projects.
- In West Africa a regional approach to youth unemployment and the extractive industries (along the lines of the Kimberley Process for diamonds) would be particularly appropriate.
- In the Great Lakes region, the Pact on Security, Stability and Development in the Great Lakes Region provides a powerful instrument for regional peacebuilding. The Peacebuilding Commission can play an important role in supporting the ratification and implementation of the Pact.
- More broadly, since socio-economic development is essential for sustainable peace, regional economic integration and trade can further enhance regional approaches to peacebuilding. The spillover effects of regional economic growth would have far-reaching positive impacts on sustainable peace and development.
- The international community currently does not have a wide range of peacebuilding tools and instruments that can be deployed at the regional level. Many governments as well as inter-governmental organizations (including the United Nations) do not program at the regional level. Instead, donors as well as United Nations agencies generally operate at the country level. Given its mandate, the Peacebuilding Commission would be well-placed to urge donors to provide funding for peacebuilding initiatives at the regional level.
- 8. In closing, the Chair highlighted the relevance of the topic for the work of the Peacebuilding Commission and thanked the panellists and all the participants for their contributions to the meeting.