

不扩散核武器条约缔约国
2010 年审议大会
筹备委员会

27 April 2007
Chinese
Original: English

第一届会议

2007 年 4 月 30 日至 5 月 11 日，维也纳

2007 年 4 月 27 日担任不结盟运动维也纳支部主席的古巴常驻代表团的
普通照会

古巴共和国常驻维也纳国际机构代表团谨以不结盟运动维也纳支部主席的身份，向不扩散核武器条约 2010 年审议大会筹备委员会第一届会议主席致意，并谨附上在纽约的不结盟运动协调局主席给上述会议候任主席天野之弥先生的信（见附件）。

古巴共和国常驻维也纳国际机构代表团谨指出，本代表团于 2007 年 4 月 10 日将上述信件发给了天野之弥先生，并请求将其作为不扩散核武器条约 2010 年审议大会筹备委员会第一届会议的文件分发。



2007年4月27日担任不结盟运动维也纳支部主席的古巴常驻代表团普通照会的附件

首先，我谨代表《不扩散核武器条约》（不扩散条约）不结盟运动国家缔约国，就你对我们的要求作出的迅速答复以及你同不结盟裁军工作组就将于 2007 年 4 月 30 日至 5 月 11 日在维也纳举行的不扩散条约缔约国 2010 年审议大会筹备委员会第一届会议的准备工作进行的富有成果的讨论，表示高度赞赏。

我谨随函附上不结盟运动协调局主席代表《不扩散核武器条约》（不扩散条约）不结盟运动国家缔约国给作为《不扩散核武器条约》（不扩散条约）保存国政府之一的代表的联合王国常驻联合国代表的信的副本；信中强调保存国政府关于不扩散条约审议大会程序的通知须全面反映缔约国授予筹备委员会的各项任务。

还谨附上联合王国政府致所有不扩散条约缔约国的通知的副本，以资明了。

请将本函及其附件作为不扩散条约缔约国 2010 年审议大会筹备委员会第一届会议的正式文件分发。

古巴常驻联合国代表团临时代办

不结盟运动协调局代理主席

大使

伊丽娜·努涅斯·莫尔多奇（签名）

附文

[原件: 英文]

[2007 年 3 月 15 日]

我谨代表《不扩散核武器条约》（不扩散条约）不结盟运动国家缔约国给你写信。

不扩散条约不结盟运动国家缔约国审议了女皇陛下外交和联邦事务首席大臣 2007 年 2 月 21 日普通照会，其中转递不扩散条约保存国政府为履行该条约规定的保存国义务而发出的关于不扩散条约审议大会程序的通知。

不扩散条约不结盟运动国家缔约国，回顾不扩散核武器条约缔约国 2000 年审议大会的最后文件（NPT/CONF.2000/28），并回顾俄罗斯联邦 2001 年代表不扩散条约各保存国政府提前发出的关于不扩散条约审议大会程序的通知（副本附后），重申遵守 2000 年审议大会达成的关于提高强化审议进程有效性的共识，包括以下规定：

缔约国回顾 1995 年不扩散核武器条约审议和延期大会决定 1 第 4 段，认为筹备委员会头两届会议的目的在于“审议各项原则、目标和方法，以促进条约的全面实施和普及”。为此目的，筹备委员会每一届会议均应审议同条约实施工作、决定 1 和 2 以及 1995 年通过的关于中东的决议有关的具体实质事项，以及以后各次审议会议的结果，包括影响条约的运作和目标的事态发展。

不扩散条约不结盟运动国家缔约国强调，不扩散条约保存国政府为履行该条约规定的保存国义务而发出的关于不扩散条约审议大会程序的通知须全面反映缔约国授予筹备委员会的各项任务，这些任务载于 2000 年审议大会的最后文件以及俄罗斯联邦 2001 年代表各保存国政府提前发出的关于审议大会程序的通知。

不扩散条约不结盟运动国家缔约国，重申不扩散条约 1995 年审议和延期大会的一系列协定和不扩散条约 2000 年审议大会的最后文件，并对不扩散条约 2005 年审议大会未能就实质性建议达成一致表示失望。这些国家重申，呼吁该条约所有缔约国作出坚定承诺，实施条约的所有规定，并呼吁全面采取 13 个切实步骤，以系统和渐进地执行条约第六条，特别是由核武器国家明确承诺彻底销毁其核武库，以促成核裁军。

不扩散条约不结盟运动国家缔约国强调，须设立不扩散条约 2010 年审议大会有关主要委员会附属机构，负责以下工作：探讨如何采取实际步骤，作出系统、

渐进的努力，消除核武器；审议不扩散条约 1995 年审议和延期大会通过的中东问题决议执行情况，并就此提出建议；审议各项安全保证。在这方面，这些国家强调筹备委员会会议应继续专门拨出时间，以审议核裁军问题、1995 年中东问题决议执行情况和各项安全保证。

古巴常驻联合国代表

不结盟运动协调局主席

大使

罗德里戈·马尔米耶卡·迪亚斯（签名）

[原件: 英文]

[2007年2月21日]

女皇陛下外交和联邦事务首席大臣向 1968 年 7 月 1 日在华盛顿、伦敦和莫斯科签署的《不扩散核武器条约》各缔约国外交使团馆长阁下致意。

为履行条约规定的联合王国、俄罗斯和美利坚合众国各保存国政府之责任，本大臣谨随函转递上述保存国给各外交使团馆长的通知，并谨他们请将此通知转交其政府。

外交和联邦事务部

玛格丽特·贝克斯特（签名）

21 February 2007

Notice by the Depositary Governments on the Procedures for the Non-Proliferation Treaty Review Conference

The Depositaries to the Treaty on the Non-proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (the NPT) note that Paragraph 3 of Article VIII of the Treaty states that, "Five years after the entry into force of this Treaty, a conference of Parties to the Treaty shall be held in Geneva, Switzerland, in order to review the operation of the Treaty with the view to assuring that the purposes of the Preamble and provisions of the Treaty are being realised. At intervals of five years thereafter, a majority of the Parties to the Treaty may obtain, by submitting a proposal to this effect to the Depositary Governments, the convening of further conferences with the same objective of reviewing the operation of the treaty".

The Depositaries also note that Paragraph 2, Decision 1 "Strengthening the Review Process for the Treaty", taken at the 1995 NPT Review and Extension Conference says that the Review Conferences should continue to be held every five years.

In order to inform States Party to the Treaty of past procedure, the Depositaries note that:

In 1996, the outgoing Chair of the 1995 NPT Review and Extension Conference, Sri Lanka, circulated a draft UNGA resolution which asked the UN Secretary General to provide assistance necessary for the holding of the 2000 NPT Review Conference and its Preparatory Committees. The draft resolution was discussed at a caucus of NPT parties held in the margins of UNGA 51. This caucus meeting finalised the draft resolution and it was adopted at that UNGA (A/RES/51/45A). The caucus also made a decision on the timing and venue of the first meeting of the Preparatory Committee.

In 2001, the outgoing Chair of the 2000 NPT Review conference, Algeria, similarly circulated a draft UNGA resolution asking the UN Secretary General to provide the assistance necessary for holding the 2005 NPT Review Conference and its Preparatory Committees. The draft resolution was discussed and finalised at a caucus of NPT parties held in the margins of UNGA 56. It was adopted at that UNGA (A/RES/56/24).

In 2006, the outgoing Chair of the 2005 NPT Review Conference, Brazil, followed a similar procedure. The finalised draft resolution was adopted at UNGA 61 (A/RES/61/7).

The Depositaries note that during previous review cycles, a representative of the Western Group chaired the first session of the Preparatory Committee, the Eastern Group chaired the second session of the Preparatory Committee and the Non Aligned Movement chaired the third session of the Preparatory Committee and provided the President of the Review Conference. During the last cycle, this rotation was agreed at the first session of the Preparatory Committee, as were the dates and venues for subsequent meetings. NPT States parties may wish to begin informal consultations on such matters for the forthcoming review cycle.

The Depositaries further note that the Final Document adopted at the 2000 NPT Review Conference included a section entitled "Improving the Effectiveness of the Strengthened Review Process for the Treaty". Among the conclusions in that section regarding the Preparatory Committee meetings are the following:

- a. The States parties stressed that three sessions of the Preparatory Committee, normally for a duration of 10 working days each, should be held in the years prior to the Review Conference. A fourth session, would, if necessary, be held in the year of the Review Conference.
- b. The States parties, recalling paragraph 4 of Decision 1 of the 1995 NPT Review and Extension Conference, agreed that the purpose of the first two sessions of the Preparatory Committee would be to "consider principles, objectives and ways in order to promote the full implementation of the Treaty, as well as its universality".
- c. The consideration of the issues at each session of the Preparatory Committee should be factually summarised and its results transmitted in a report to the next session for further discussion. At its third and, as appropriate, fourth sessions, the Preparatory Committee, taking into account the deliberations and results of its previous sessions, should make every effort to produce a consensus report containing recommendations to the Review Conference.