



**Security Council**

Distr.  
GENERAL

S/AC.26/2006/3  
3 November 2006

Original: ENGLISH

---

UNITED NATIONS  
COMPENSATION COMMISSION  
GOVERNING COUNCIL

THIRTY-FIFTH REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY PURSUANT TO  
ARTICLE 41 OF THE PROVISIONAL RULES FOR CLAIMS PROCEDURE

### Introduction

1. The present report identifies, pursuant to article 41 of the Provisional Rules for Claims Procedure (S/AC.26/1992/10) of the United Nations Compensation Commission, recommended corrections in the individual claims categories since the “Thirty-fourth report of the Executive Secretary pursuant to article 41 of the Provisional Rules for Claims Procedure) (S/AC.26/2006/2) (“thirty-fourth article 41 report”).

2. The vast majority of the corrections contained in the present report arise from the Governing Council’s direction to the secretariat at its fifty-ninth session held on 7 to 9 March 2006 to prepare article 41 corrections with respect to claims identified through electronic (including “fuzzy”) searches and manual follow-up as having confirmed overpayments, and the further direction of the Council at its sixtieth session held on 27 to 29 June 2006 to include family member matches. In preparing these corrections, the secretariat applied its final proposed guidelines for match confirmation procedures and for determining and allocating overpayments, as approved by the Governing Council at its fifty-ninth session. These corrections, which involve claims in categories A, B, C and D, are contained in chapter I of the present report. Chapter II contains several further corrections arising from duplications identified by the secretariat other than through the electronic searches referred to above. Chapter III and annex I contain a summary of the proposed corrections contained in the present report. Chapter IV provides an update on pending requests for correction. Annex II contains a cumulative table of article 41 corrections to claim awards up to the sixtieth session of the Governing Council.

#### I. RECOMMENDED CORRECTIONS ARISING FROM ELECTRONIC SEARCHES AND MANUAL FOLLOW-UP

3. These corrections are broken down by the way in which the confirmed overpayment arose. In a small number of cases, more than one type of overpayment arose; such claims are included under the heading most appropriate to the correction being proposed.

##### A. Same claimant

##### 1. Duplicate claims

4. Duplicate claims arise where a claimant filed more than one claim in the same category for the same losses. The secretariat confirms that the claims set out in table 1 below are duplicates and should not have been awarded compensation.

5. Accordingly, it is recommended that the awards for these claims be corrected. Table 1 identifies the submitting entities concerned, the number of claims affected by category and the net effect of the adjustments.

Table 1. Duplicate claims

<i>Submitting entity</i>	<i>Claim category</i>	<i>Number of claims affected</i>	<i>Amount of net effect (US\$)</i>
Bangladesh	A	18	(64,500.00)
Bosnia and Herzegovina	A	1	(4,000.00)
Canada	A	1	(5,000.00)
Egypt	A	84	(219,000.00)
	C	23	(144,231.81)
India	A	96	(393,500.00)
	C	3	(12,342.72)
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	A	533	(2,386,500.00)
	C	4	(78,208.95)
Ireland	C	1	(40,165.24)
Jordan	A	56	(256,500.00)
	C	59	(534,395.38)
Kuwait	A	1	(4,000.00)
	C	1	(13,224.61)
Lebanon	A	6	(20,000.00)
Netherlands	A	1	(8,000.00)
Pakistan	A	3	(14,500.00)
	C	3	(7,025.97)
Philippines	A	2	1,500.00
	C	1	(4,900.00)
Republic of Serbia	A	9	(36,000.00)
Somalia	A	1	(8,000.00)
Sri Lanka	A	131	(519,500.00)
Syrian Arab Republic	C	6	(143,464.68)
Turkey	A	1	(2,500.00)
Ukraine	A	1	(2,500.00)
United Kingdom	C	1	(4,750.00)
United States	A	1	(5,000.00)
	C	1	(70,878.25)
Yemen	A	4	(20,000.00)
UNDP Jerusalem	A	1	(5,000.00)
	C	1	(79,310.36)
UNHCR Bulgaria	A	1	(5,000.00)
UNRWA Gaza	A	1	(5,000.00)
<b>Total</b>		<b>1,057</b>	<b>(5,115,397.97)</b>

2. Decision 21 claims (higher to lower amounts)

6. Decision 21 (S/AC.26/Dec.21 (1994)) of the Governing Council provides that “any claimant who has selected a higher amount in category A (US\$4,000 or US\$8,000) and has also filed a claim in

category B, C or D will be deemed to have selected the corresponding lower amount under category A.” The secretariat confirms that the majority of the claims set out in table 2 below involve corrections to category A claims filed by claimants who had also filed claims in another claim category. For the remaining claims in table 2, the difference between the higher to lower amount in category A is greater than the amount awarded in the other claim category. Under the guidelines approved by the Governing Council, the award in that other claim category should be reduced to zero. The secretariat confirms that the claims set out in table 2 below should be reduced per decision 21 to the amounts appropriate to the proper status of the claims.

7. Accordingly, it is recommended that the awards for these claims be corrected. Table 2 identifies the submitting entities concerned, the number of claims affected by category and the net effect of the adjustments.

Table 2. Decision 21 claims (higher to lower amounts)

<i>Submitting entity</i>	<i>Claim category</i>	<i>Number of claims affected</i>	<i>Amount of net effect (US\$)</i>
Bangladesh	A	15	(22,500.00)
	C	3	(2,814.59)
Egypt	A	19	(39,000.00)
	C	1	(415.22)
Ethiopia	A	1	(3,000.00)
India	A	99	(160,500.00)
	C	13	(14,235.11)
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	A	31	(90,000.00)
Ireland	C	1	(650.00)
Jordan	A	206	(489,000.00)
	B	2	(5,000.00)
	C	7	(14,407.58)
Pakistan	A	3	(6,000.00)
Palestine	C	1	(2,905.00)
Philippines	A	4	(6,000.00)
	C	1	(968.86)
Sri Lanka	A	88	(132,000)
	C	22	(22,651.54)
Sudan	A	4	(6,000.00)
Syrian Arab Republic	A	2	(4,500.00)
Thailand	A	2	(3,000.00)
Tunisia	A	13	(24,000.00)
Turkey	A	1	(1,500.00)
Yemen	A	6	(13,500.00)

<i>Submitting entity</i>	<i>Claim category</i>	<i>Number of claims affected</i>	<i>Amount of net effect (US\$)</i>
UNDP Jerusalem	A	2	(6,000.00)
UNDP Yemen	A	20	(55,500.00)
<b>Total</b>		<b>567</b>	<b>(1,126,047.90)</b>

### 3. Decision 24 claims

8. Decision 24 (S/AC.26/Dec.24 (1994)) of the Governing Council provides that where a claimant filed a claim in category A and also filed for departure losses in category C or D, a further award for departure losses could be made in category C or D only where the amount recommended for departure losses exceeds the amount already awarded in category A. This required the category C or D panel of Commissioners to deduct the amount awarded in category A from any proposed award for departure losses in category C or D. The secretariat confirms that the category C and D claims set out in table 3 below were not subject to the required deductions. Therefore the awards for these claims should be adjusted to the amounts appropriate to the proper status of these claims, having regard to the guidelines approved by the Governing Council.

9. Accordingly, it is recommended that the awards for these claims be corrected. Table 3 identifies the submitting entities concerned, the number of claims affected by category and the net effect of the adjustments.

Table 3. Decision 24 claims

<i>Submitting entity</i>	<i>Claim category</i>	<i>Number of claims affected</i>	<i>Amount of net effect (US\$)</i>
Algeria	C	3	(4,134.73)
Australia	C	12	(22,091.28)
Bangladesh	C	337	(517,115.86)
Belgium	C	1	(3,303.00)
Bulgaria	C	6	(8,140.32)
Canada	C	26	(75,737.02)
China	C	1	(2,500.00)
Croatia	C	2	(4,077.36)
Cyprus	C	2	(3,320.00)
Czech Republic	C	1	(716.50)
Denmark	C	3	(4,411.70)
Egypt	C	146	(168,506.28)
Finland	C	1	(2,479.91)
France	C	19	(58,604.39)
Germany	C	4	(7,807.09)

<i>Submitting entity</i>	<i>Claim category</i>	<i>Number of claims affected</i>	<i>Amount of net effect (US\$)</i>
Greece	C	1	(3,310.00)
India	C	4,296	(3,997,131.29)
	D	2	(5,700.00)
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	C	2	(1,700.51)
Ireland	C	13	(28,311.04)
Italy	C	10	(17,685.59)
Japan	C	4	(415.24)
Jordan	C	3,258	(6,853,242.00)
	D	7	(19,091.02)
Lebanon	C	14	(30,406.56)
Malta	C	2	(5,000.00)
Mauritius	C	1	(2,500.00)
Morocco	C	2	(1,404.35)
Netherlands	C	1	(2,500.00)
New Zealand	C	2	(2,200.02)
Niger	C	1	(5,000.00)
Norway	C	2	(3,169.04)
Pakistan	C	358	(679,599.22)
Palestine	C	7	(13,717.50)
Philippines	C	85	(93,110.52)
Poland	C	5	(4,900.79)
Republic of Korea	C	6	(21,649.27)
Senegal	C	2	(10,000.00)
Slovakia	C	2	(701.76)
Somalia	C	1	(5,000.00)
Sri Lanka	C	12	(21,822.32)
Sudan	C	2	(9,758.12)
Sweden	C	2	(3,889.61)
Syrian Arab Republic	C	108	(120,800.35)
Thailand	C	7	(11,623.36)
Tunisia	C	52	(64,362.62)
Turkey	C	4	(10,531.91)
United Kingdom	C	73	(174,042.18)
United States	C	68	(195,819.92)
Yemen	C	6	(11,593.97)
UNDP Jerusalem	C	18	(57,269.50)
UNDP Washington	C	2	(4,703.42)
UNDP Yemen	C	1	(5,000.00)

<i>Submitting entity</i>	<i>Claim category</i>	<i>Number of claims affected</i>	<i>Amount of net effect (US\$)</i>
UNHCR Canada	C	7	(20,393.07)
UNHCR Geneva	C	1	(1,557.09)
UNRWA Gaza	C	30	(91,648.02)
<b>Total</b>		<b>9,041</b>	<b>(13,495,206.62)</b>

#### 4. Duplicate loss claims

10. Duplicate loss claims arise where a claimant filed for the same losses in more than one claim category that require deductions in addition to those required under decision 24. The secretariat confirms that the claims set out in table 4 contain duplicate loss elements for which appropriate deductions were not made.

11. Accordingly, as set forth in table 4, it is recommended that the awards for these claims be corrected. Table 4 identifies the submitting entities concerned, the number of claims affected by category and the net effect of the adjustments.

Table 4. Duplicate loss claims

<i>Submitting entity</i>	<i>Claim category</i>	<i>Number of claims affected</i>	<i>Amount of net effect (US\$)</i>
Bangladesh	C	1	(5,711.56)
India	C	2	(3,132.90)
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	C	5	(61,615.32)
Jordan	C	28	(277,998.11)
Kuwait	C	2	(22,246.59)
Pakistan	C	1	(2,784.09)
<b>Total</b>		<b>39</b>	<b>(373,488.57)</b>

#### B. Family member matches

##### 1. Family duplicates

12. The category A claim form instructed family members to file jointly on the same claim form and indicated the ceilings for family awards: \$8,000 (if no claims were filed by family members in other categories) or \$5,000 (if any family members intended to file a claim in another category). Separate category A claims filed by family members with aggregate awards in excess of the maximum family amount are considered family duplicates. The secretariat confirms that the claims set out in table 5 below are family duplicates.

13. Accordingly it is recommended that the awards for these claims be corrected. Table 5 identifies the submitting entities concerned, the number of claims affected by category and the net effect of the adjustments.

Table 5. Family duplicate claims

<i>Submitting entity</i>	<i>Claim category</i>	<i>Number of claims affected</i>	<i>Amount of net effect (US\$)</i>
Algeria	A	3	(9,000.00)
Bangladesh	A	69	(229,000.00)
Brazil	A	2	(4,000.00)
Canada	A	9	(34,500.00)
Cyprus	A	1	(2,500.00)
Czech Republic	A	1	(5,500.00)
Egypt	A	652	(1,659,000.00)
Ethiopia	A	4	(10,000.00)
France	A	2	(5,000.00)
Germany	A	2	(13,500.00)
Greece	A	2	(5,000.00)
India	A	2,422	(7,759,000.00)
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	A	305	(1,266,000.00)
Ireland	A	3	(9,000.00)
Italy	A	1	(5,500.00)
Jordan	A	2,630	(8,365,500.00)
Kuwait	A	663	(1,505,500.02)
Lebanon	A	28	(93,000.00)
Morocco	A	14	(56,500.00)
Norway	A	2	(5,000.00)
Pakistan	A	87	(261,500.00)
Philippines	A	74	(203,500.00)
Poland	A	2	(5,500.00)
Republic of Serbia	A	1	(5,500.00)
Singapore	A	1	(5,500.00)
Somalia	A	5	(12,500.00)
Spain	A	1	(2,500.00)
Sri Lanka	A	21	(36,500.00)
Sudan	A	33	(88,500.00)
Sweden	A	1	(2,500.00)
Syrian Arab Republic	A	159	(450,500.00)
Thailand	A	1	(1,500.00)



<i>Submitting entity</i>	<i>Claim category</i>	<i>Number of claims affected</i>	<i>Amount of net effect (US\$)</i>
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	A	1	(4,000.00)
Turkey	A	2	(8,000.00)
United Kingdom	A	14	(35,000.00)
United Republic of Tanzania	A	2	(8,000.00)
United States	A	16	(44,500.00)
Yemen	A	89	(320,500.00)
UNDP Algeria	A	1	(4,000.00)
UNDP Jerusalem	A	1	(2,500.00)
UNDP Yemen	A	5	(15,500.00)
UNHCR Canada	A	4	(17,500.00)
UNRWA Gaza	A	39	(116,000.00)
<b>Total</b>		<b>7,375</b>	<b>(22,693,500.02)</b>

## 2. Family decision 21 claims (higher to lower amounts)

14. Family decision 21 claims arise where a claimant filed for the higher family amount in category A and members of his or her family also filed one or more claims in other individual claims categories, contrary to the instructions on the category A claim form and decision 21 of the Governing Council. The secretariat confirms that the majority of the claims set out in table 6 below involve corrections to category A claims. For the remaining claims in table 6, corrections should be made to the category B or C claim as the difference between the higher to lower amount in category A is greater than the amount awarded in the other claim category. Under the guidelines approved by the Governing Council, the award in that other claim category should be reduced to zero. The secretariat confirms that the claims set out in table 6 should be reduced per decision 21 to the amounts appropriate to the proper status of the claims.

15. Accordingly, it is recommended that the awards for these claims be corrected. Table 6 identifies the submitting entities concerned, the number of claims affected by category and the net effect of the adjustments.

Table 6. Family decision 21 claims (higher to lower amounts)

<i>Submitting entity</i>	<i>Claim category</i>	<i>Number of claims affected</i>	<i>Amount of net effect (US\$)</i>
Australia	A	1	(3,000.00)
Austria	A	1	(3,000.00)
Bangladesh	A	6	(18,000.00)
Egypt	A	26	(78,000.00)
	B	1	(2,500.00)
	C	5	(11,256.74)

<i>Submitting entity</i>	<i>Claim category</i>	<i>Number of claims affected</i>	<i>Amount of net effect (US\$)</i>
India	A	109	(327,000.00)
	C	1	(2,852.36)
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	A	16	(48,000.00)
Japan	A	1	(3,000.00)
Jordan	A	233	(699,000.00)
	B	1	(2,500.00)
	C	12	(27,181.06)
Kuwait	A	215	(636,000.00)
	B	1	(2,500.00)
	C	18	(37,092.30)
Lebanon	A	14	(42,000.00)
Morocco	A	1	(3,000.00)
Pakistan	A	13	(39,000.00)
Palestine	C	1	(2,905.00)
Philippines	A	5	(15,000.00)
Sudan	A	7	(21,000.00)
Syrian Arab Republic	A	17	(51,000.00)
Tunisia	A	3	(9,000.00)
Turkey	A	1	(3,000.00)
United Kingdom	A	1	(3,000.00)
United States	A	2	(6,000.00)
Yemen	A	18	(54,000.00)
UNDP Yemen	A	2	(6,000.00)
UNRWA Gaza	A	2	(6,000.00)
<b>Total</b>		<b>734</b>	<b>(2,161,787.46)</b>

### 3. Family decision 24 claims

16. Family decision 24 claims arise where a claimant filed a family claim in category A and members of his or her family also filed for departure losses in category C or D and received an award that was not subject to a deduction for the amount previously awarded to the family in category A. The secretariat confirms that the category C and D claims set out in table 7 were not subject to the required deductions. The awards for these claims should be adjusted to the amounts appropriate to the proper status of the claims, having regard to the guidelines approved by the Governing Council.

17. Accordingly, it is recommended that the awards for these claims be corrected. Table 7 identifies the submitting entities concerned, the number of claims affected by category, and the net effect of the adjustments.

Table 7. Family decision 24 claims

<i>Submitting entity</i>	<i>Claim category</i>	<i>Number of claims affected</i>	<i>Amount of net effect (US\$)</i>
Algeria	C	1	(2,148.94)
Australia	C	1	(2,765.83)
Bahrain	C	2	(2,550.00)
Bangladesh	C	10	(15,730.31)
Canada	C	3	(7,672.77)
Egypt	C	147	(186,929.30)
Finland	C	1	(1,020.76)
France	C	2	(4,998.14)
Germany	C	1	(1,499.99)
India	C	237	(275,148.11)
	D	1	(2,500.00)
Ireland	C	1	(2,500.00)
Jordan	C	326	(747,233.43)
Kuwait	C	59	(146,089.58)
Lebanon	C	2	(7,116.36)
Pakistan	C	9	(22,809.86)
Palestine	C	23	(47,770.18)
Philippines	C	2	(3,956.57)
Poland	C	1	(3,062.69)
Somalia	C	6	(18,705.49)
Sri Lanka	C	1	(423.57)
Sweden	C	2	(6,500.00)
Syrian Arab Republic	C	4	(5,070.01)
Tunisia	C	1	(472.44)
United Kingdom	C	17	(33,545.68)
United States	C	16	(36,802.81)
UNDP Jerusalem	C	3	(6,290.00)
UNRWA Gaza	C	12	(35,794.62)
	D	1	(2,500.00)
<b>Total</b>		<b>892</b>	<b>(1,629,607.44)</b>

4. Family to individual claims

18. Family to individual claims arise where a claimant receives a family award in category A but has no qualifying family members listed on the claim form or listed a family member who filed his or her own claim. The secretariat confirms that the claims set out in table 8 were awarded as family

claims when they should have been awarded as individual claims. The awards for these claims should be corrected to awards appropriate to the proper status of the claims.

19. Accordingly, it is recommended that the awards for these claims be corrected. Table 8 identifies the submitting entities concerned, the number of claims affected by category and the net effect of the adjustments.

Table 8. Family to individual claims

<i>Submitting entity</i>	<i>Claim category</i>	<i>Number of claims affected</i>	<i>Amount of net effect (US\$)</i>
Bangladesh	A	83	(290,000.00)
Bosnia and Herzegovina	A	3	(12,000.00)
Canada	A	2	(8,000.00)
China	A	1	(4,000.00)
Egypt	A	62	(92,000.00)
India	A	1,911	(6,609,000.00)
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	A	12	(48,000.00)
Italy	A	2	(5,000.00)
Jordan	A	200	(612,500.00)
Kuwait	A	2	(5,000.00)
Lebanon	A	14	(48,500.00)
Morocco	A	2	(5,000.00)
Pakistan	A	23	(62,000.00)
Republic of Serbia	A	1	(4,000.00)
Russian Federation	A	7	(28,000.00)
Sri Lanka	A	15	(60,000.00)
Sudan	A	3	(9,000.00)
Syrian Arab Republic	A	26	(69,500.00)
Thailand	A	8	(32,000.00)
Tunisia	A	2	(6,500.00)
Turkey	A	35	(110,000.00)
United States	A	2	(5,000.00)
Yemen	A	13	(50,500.00)
UNDP Washington	A	1	(4,000.00)
UNDP Yemen	A	1	(4,000.00)
UNRWA Gaza	A	92	(242,000.00)
<b>Total</b>		<b>2,523</b>	<b>(8,425,500.00)</b>

### 5. Individual to family claims

20. Individual to family claims arise when claims are identified that were awarded an individual award in category A but have been determined, in accordance with the guidelines approved by the Governing Council, to have qualifying family members. The secretariat confirms that the individual awards should be adjusted to awards appropriate to the proper status of the claims. No further funds will be disbursed in relation to these claims as each of these claimants has a duplicate claim that is being reduced to zero as set out in section A.1 above.

21. Accordingly, it is recommended that the awards for these claims be corrected. Table 9 identifies the submitting entities concerned, the number of claims affected by category and the net effect of the adjustments.

Table 9. Individual to family claims

<i>Submitting entity</i>	<i>Claim category</i>	<i>Number of claims affected</i>	<i>Amount of net effect (US\$)</i>
Jordan	A	2	5,000.00
<b>Total</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>5,000.00</b>

### 6. Lower to higher amount claims

22. Lower to higher amount claims arise when duplicate claims are identified that were awarded the lower individual or family amount in category A but electronic searches confirmed that the claimants did not file claims in another claim category. In accordance with the guidelines approved by the Governing Council, one of the claims in each claim pair was declared a duplicate claim and the other should be adjusted to the appropriate higher individual or family amount. No additional funds will be disbursed to these claimants as the awards in respect of these claimants' duplicate claims are being reduced to zero as set out in section A.1 above.

23. Accordingly, it is recommended that the awards for these claims be corrected. Table 10 identifies the submitting entities concerned, the number of claims affected by category and the net effect of the adjustments.

Table 10. Lower to higher amount claims

<i>Submitting entity</i>	<i>Claim category</i>	<i>Number of claims affected</i>	<i>Amount of net effect (US\$)</i>
Bangladesh	A	1	1,500.00
Egypt	A	75	112,500.00
India	A	5	9,000.00
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	A	1	1,500.00
Jordan	A	2	3,000.00

<i>Submitting entity</i>	<i>Claim category</i>	<i>Number of claims affected</i>	<i>Amount of net effect (US\$)</i>
Kuwait	A	1	1,500.00
Lebanon	A	1	3,000.00
Turkey	A	1	1,500.00
<b>Total</b>		<b>87</b>	<b>133,500.00</b>

## II. OTHER CORRECTIONS

24. The Compensation Commission received information from the Government of Pakistan that certain category C claims that it had submitted appear to contain duplicate losses for which awards were made to both the husband and the wife, who had filed separate claims.

25. Following a review of these claims, the secretariat confirms that certain C4PP (personal property) or C6 (salary) losses were duplicated in the claims of two sets of husbands and wives, for which appropriate deductions were not made.

26. Accordingly, as set forth in table 11, it is recommended that the awards for these claims be corrected.

Table 11. Other corrections

<i>Submitting entity</i>	<i>Claim category</i>	<i>Number of claims affected</i>	<i>Amount of net effect (US\$)</i>
Pakistan	C	3	(17,720.91)
<b>Total</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>(17,720.91)</b>

## III. SUMMARY

27. Annex I summarizes the recommended corrections by claim category and submitting entity, and indicates the net decrease in the total amount awarded. Upon Governing Council approval of the recommended corrections, each affected submitting entity will receive a confidential report setting out the corrections made to the claims that it submitted to the Compensation Commission.

## IV. PENDING REQUESTS BY CLAIMANTS FOR ARTICLE 41 CORRECTIONS

28. All of the deadlines for filing requests for the correction of awards under article 41 of the Rules have expired. The secretariat is continuing its review of the last timely filed requests concerning five claims. Any recommendations for correction in connection with these requests will be contained in a further article 41 report to the Council.

Annex I

<i>Submitting entity</i>	<i>Claim category</i>	<i>Number of claims affected</i>	<i>Amount of net effect (US\$)</i>
Algeria	A	3	(9,000.00)
	C	4	(6,283.67)
Australia	A	1	(3,000.00)
	C	13	(24,857.11)
Austria	A	1	(3,000.00)
Bahrain	C	2	(2,550.00)
Bangladesh	A	192	(622,500.00)
	C	351	(541,372.32)
Belgium	C	1	(3,303.00)
Bosnia and Herzegovina	A	4	(16,000.00)
Brazil	A	2	(4,000.00)
Bulgaria	C	6	(8,140.32)
Canada	A	12	(47,500.00)
	C	29	(83,409.79)
China	A	1	(4,000.00)
	C	1	(2,500.00)
Croatia	C	2	(4,077.36)
Cyprus	A	1	(2,500.00)
	C	2	(3,320.00)
Czech Republic	A	1	(5,500.00)
	C	1	(716.50)
Denmark	C	3	(4,411.70)
Egypt	A	918	(1,974,500.00)
	B	1	(2,500.00)
	C	322	(511,339.35)
Ethiopia	A	5	(13,000.00)
Finland	C	2	(3,500.67)
France	A	2	(5,000.00)
	C	21	(63,602.53)
Germany	A	2	(13,500.00)
	C	5	(9,307.08)
Greece	A	2	(5,000.00)
	C	1	(3,310.00)
India	A	4,642	(15,240,000.00)
	C	4,552	(4,304,842.49)
	D	3	(8,200.00)
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	A	898	(3,837,000.00)
	C	11	(141,524.78)
Ireland	A	3	(9,000.00)
	C	16	(71,626.28)

<i>Submitting entity</i>	<i>Claim category</i>	<i>Number of claims affected</i>	<i>Amount of net effect (US\$)</i>
Italy	A	3	(10,500.00)
	C	10	(17,685.59)
Japan	A	1	(3,000.00)
	C	4	(415.24)
Jordan	A	3,329	(10,414,500.00)
	B	3	(7,500.00)
	C	3,690	(8,454,457.56)
	D	7	(19,091.02)
Kuwait	A	882	(2,149,000.02)
	B	1	(2,500.00)
	C	80	(218,653.08)
Lebanon	A	63	(200,500.00)
	C	16	(37,522.92)
Malta	C	2	(5,000.00)
Mauritius	C	1	(2,500.00)
Morocco	A	17	(64,500.00)
	C	2	(1,404.35)
Netherlands	A	1	(8,000.00)
	C	1	(2,500.00)
New Zealand	C	2	(2,200.02)
Niger	C	1	(5,000.00)
Norway	A	2	(5,000.00)
	C	2	(3,169.04)
Pakistan	A	129	(383,000.00)
	C	374	(729,940.05)
Palestine	C	32	(67,297.68)
Philippines	A	85	(223,000.00)
	C	89	(102,935.95)
Poland	A	2	(5,500.00)
	C	6	(7,963.48)
Republic of Korea	C	6	(21,649.27)
Republic of Serbia	A	11	(45,500.00)
Russian Federation	A	7	(28,000.00)
Senegal	C	2	(10,000.00)
Singapore	A	1	(5,500.00)
Slovakia	C	2	(701.76)
Somalia	A	6	(20,500.00)
	C	7	(23,705.49)
Spain	A	1	(2,500.00)
Sri Lanka	A	255	(748,000.00)
	C	35	(44,897.43)
Sudan	A	47	(124,500.00)
	C	2	(9,758.12)



<i>Submitting entity</i>	<i>Claim category</i>	<i>Number of claims affected</i>	<i>Amount of net effect (US\$)</i>
Sweden	A	1	(2,500.00)
	C	4	(10,389.61)
Syrian Arab Republic	A	204	(575,500.00)
	C	118	(269,335.04)
Thailand	A	11	(36,500.00)
	C	7	(11,623.36)
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	A	1	(4,000.00)
Tunisia	A	18	(39,500.00)
	C	53	(64,835.06)
Turkey	A	41	(123,500.00)
	C	4	(10,531.91)
Ukraine	A	1	(2,500.00)
United Kingdom	A	15	(38,000.00)
	C	91	(212,337.86)
United Republic of Tanzania	A	2	(8,000.00)
United States	A	21	(60,500.00)
	C	85	(303,500.98)
Yemen	A	130	(458,500.00)
	C	6	(11,593.97)
UNDP Algeria	A	1	(4,000.00)
UNDP Jerusalem	A	4	(13,500.00)
	C	22	(142,869.86)
UNDP Washington	A	1	(4,000.00)
	C	2	(4,703.42)
UNDP Yemen	A	28	(81,000.00)
	C	1	(5,000.00)
UNHCR Bulgaria	A	1	(5,000.00)
UNHCR Canada	A	4	(17,500.00)
	C	7	(20,393.07)
UNHCR Geneva	C	1	(1,557.09)
UNRWA Gaza	A	134	(369,000.00)
	C	42	(127,442.64)
	D	1	(2,500.00)
<b>Total</b>		<b>22,320</b>	<b>(54,899,756.89)</b>

Annex II

ARTICLE 41 CORRECTIONS TO CLAIMS AWARDS (UP TO THE SIXTIETH SESSION OF THE GOVERNING COUNCIL)

Report	Category A		Category B		Category C		Category D		Category E		Category F		Total	Number of claims corrected in categories A, B, C, D, E and F (US\$)
	Net correction for category (US\$)	Number of claims corrected	Net correction for category (US\$)	Number of claims corrected	Net correction for category (US\$)	Number of claims corrected	Net correction for category (US\$)	Number of claims corrected	Net correction for category (US\$)	Number of claims corrected	Net correction for category (US\$)	Number of claims corrected		
A (6) panel	(6,439,500.00)	2,575	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(6,439,500.00)	2,575
B (2.2) panel	-	-	(12,500.00)	3 <sup>a</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(12,500.00)	3 <sup>a</sup>
B (3) panel	-	-	110,000.00	10 <sup>b</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	110,000.00	10 <sup>b</sup>
C (4) panel	-	-	-	-	(1,922.00)	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,922.00)	49
C (5) panel	-	-	-	-	(77,190.00)	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	(77,190.00)	6
C (6) panel	-	-	-	-	72,685.00	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	72,685.00	15
D (5) panel	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,646.81)	7	-	-	-	-	(2,646.81)	7
D (7) panel	-	-	-	-	-	-	(38,836.21)	13	-	-	-	-	(38,836.21)	13
D1 (9.1) panel	-	-	-	-	-	-	103,532.16	4	-	-	-	-	103,532.16	4
Special D panel	-	-	-	-	-	-	(13,283,441.51)	426	-	-	-	-	(13,283,441.51)	426
E3 (10) panel	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	325,850.00	1	-	-	325,850.00	1
E4 (3) panel	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	536,513.00	3	-	-	536,513.00	3
Article 41(1)	(5,500.00)	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(5,500.00)	10
Article 41(2)	(49,000.00)	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(49,000.00)	16
Article 41(3)	1,500.00	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,500.00	4
Article 41(4)	(83,000.00)	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(83,000.00)	19
Article 41(5)	(18,500.00)	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(18,500.00)	5
Article 41(6)	15,867,500.00	10,757	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15,867,500.00	10,757
Article 41(7)	(6,975,500.00)	3,385	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(6,975,500.00)	3,385
Article 41(8)	(7,806,000.00)	4,385	-	-	70,613,604.05	23,282	-	-	-	-	-	-	62,807,604.05	27,667
Article 41(9)	(4,136,500.00)	1,062	-	-	5,278,142.15	1,730	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,141,642.15	2,792
Article 41(10)	(1,446,000.00)	364	-	-	3,168,018.90	467	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,722,018.90	831
Article 41(11)	(1,358,500.00)	370	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,358,500.00)	370
Article 41(12)	(112,000.00)	26	-	-	613,498.37	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	501,498.37	66
Article 41(13)	(55,500.00)	40	-	-	(102,863.22)	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	(158,363.22)	67

[ENGLISH ONLY]

Report	Category A		Category B		Category C		Category D		Category E		Category F		Total	
	Net correction for category (US\$)	Number of claims corrected	Net correction for category (US\$)	Number of claims corrected	Net correction for category (US\$)	Number of claims corrected	Net correction for category (US\$)	Number of claims corrected	Net correction for category (US\$)	Number of claims corrected	Net correction for category (US\$)	Number of claims corrected	Net corrections for categories A, B, C, D, E and F (US\$)	Number of claims corrected in categories A, B, C, D, E and F
Article 41(14)	(8,000.00)	31	-	-	5,580,355.48	625	103,532.16	4	-	-	-	-	5,675,887.64	660
Article 41(15)	(10,500.00)	19	-	-	-	-	(57.66)	6	(7,264.37)	1	-	-	(17,822.03)	26
Article 41(16)	142,000.00	73	-	-	453,162.71	54	-	-	-	-	-	-	595,162.71	127
Article 41(17)	707,500.00	446	-	-	77,461.07	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	784,961.07	452
Article 41(18)	119,500.00	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	(43,413)	1	-	-	76,087	78
Article 41(19)	154,000.00	55	-	-	46,976.14	6	400,986.95	6	-	-	-	-	601,963.09	67
Article 41(20)	3,739,500.00	1,896	-	-	53,342.85	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,792,842.85	1,897
Article 41(21)	1,157,500	688	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,157,500.00	688
Article 41(22)	4,419,000.00	2,730	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,419,000.00	2,730
Article 41(23)	44,500.00	20	-	-	161,331.14	15	12,411.60	1	(48,653.00)	7	-	-	169,589.74	43
Article 41(24)	(3,911,000)	981	-	-	78,646.76	12	93,543.56	3	-	-	-	-	(3,738,809.68)	996
Article 41(25)	(11,958,000)	3,002	-	-	1,033,956.47	617	(9,788)	1	-	-	-	-	(10,933,831.53)	3620
Article 41(26)	(176,500)	47	-	-	(4,625.19)	1	(35,854.67)	1	-	-	-	-	(216,979.86)	49
Article 41(27)	(21,500)	19	-	-	(4,435.28)	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	(25,935.28)	51
Article 41(28)	(17,000)	10	-	-	(643,080.71)	40	132,837.45	7	-	-	-	-	(527,243.26)	57
Article 41(29)	(384,500)	104	-	-	2,431,846.73	342	65,197.89	8	-	-	-	-	2,112,544.62	454
Article 41(30)	(106,000)	56	-	-	(135,259.01)	22	2,293,477.06	6	1,227,025.00	1	(2,552,000.00)	2	727,243.05	87
Article 41(31)	(884,500)	225	-	-	293,049.23	70	1,009,224.50	8	-	-	-	-	417,773.73	303
Article 41(32)	(1,379,000)	461	-	-	39,180.66	7	25,159.36	2	-	-	-	-	(1,314,659.98)	470
Article 41(33)	(179,500.00)	69	-	-	(72,225.81)	10	10,515.61	1	-	-	-	-	(241,210.20)	80
Article 41(34)	(8,139,000.00)	2,706	-	-	62,700.69	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	(8,076,299.31)	2,735
<b>Total</b>	<b>(29,308,000.00)</b>	<b>36,733</b>	<b>97,500.00</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>89,016,357.18</b>	<b>27,505</b>	<b>(9,120,206.56)</b>	<b>504</b>	<b>1,990,057.63</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>(2,552,000.00)</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>50,123,708.25</b>	<b>64,758</b>

<sup>a</sup> Number of consolidated claim submissions, as conveyed in the panel report.

<sup>b</sup> Number of consolidated claim submissions, as conveyed in the panel report.

-----