



## General Assembly

Distr.  
GENERAL

A/HRC/2/SR.29  
5 December 2006

Original: ENGLISH

---

### HUMAN RIGHTS COUNCIL

Second session

#### SUMMARY RECORD OF THE 29th MEETING

Held at the Palais des Nations, Geneva,  
on Friday, 6 October 2006, at 10 a.m.

President: Mr. DE ALBA (Mexico)

#### CONTENTS

IMPLEMENTATION OF GENERAL ASSEMBLY RESOLUTION 60/251 OF  
15 MARCH 2006 ENTITLED "HUMAN RIGHTS COUNCIL" (continued)

---

This record is subject to correction.

Corrections should be submitted in one of the working languages. They should be set forth in a memorandum and also incorporated in a copy of the record. They should be sent within one week of the date of this document to the Editing Section, room E.4108, Palais des Nations, Geneva.

Any corrections to the records of the public meetings of the Council at this session will be consolidated in a single corrigendum, to be issued shortly after the end of the session.

GE.06-14653 (E) 161106 051206

The meeting was called to order at 12.20 p.m.

IMPLEMENTATION OF GENERAL ASSEMBLY RESOLUTION 60/251 OF  
15 MARCH 2006 ENTITLED "HUMAN RIGHTS COUNCIL" (agenda item 2) (continued)

Consideration of draft proposals

1. The PRESIDENT, noting the particularly heavy workload of the current session, said that before any decisions could be taken on most of the numerous draft proposals submitted for consideration, delegations would require some time to consult with one another and to receive instructions from their capitals. He therefore suggested that the Council should defer its consideration of such draft decisions until 27 November, when it would resume and close its second regular session before opening its third regular session. The report of the second session would thus be adopted immediately prior to the opening of the third regular session. If he heard no objection, he would take it that the Council agreed to defer consideration of the majority of its draft decisions until the resumed second session.

2. It was so decided.

3. Ms. JANJUA (Pakistan), speaking on behalf of the Organization of the Islamic Conference, said that it was her understanding that action would in fact be taken on all deferred proposals at the resumed second session.

Draft decision on reports and studies of mechanisms and mandates

4. The PRESIDENT said that, notwithstanding the decision just taken, there were two draft decisions on which action could be taken before the Council adjourned its session. The first was a generic text that he had submitted on reports and studies of mechanisms and mandates, an English version of which had been circulated in the meeting room after some last-minute revisions. The text of the draft decision read:

"The Human Rights Council,

1. Recalls its decisions 2006/102, 2006/104 and 2006/105;
2. Notes all the reports and studies presented at its second session and the substantive interactive dialogue with mandate-holders as well as with the High Commissioner for Human Rights;\*
3. Requests the Secretary-General and the High Commissioner for Human Rights to continue with the fulfilment of their activities, in accordance with all previous decisions adopted by the Commission on Human Rights, and to update the relevant reports and studies;

4. Decides:

(a) To transmit the views of the Sub-Commission on Promotion and Protection of Human Rights on the Human Rights Council's future system of expert advice to the Working Group established pursuant to Human Rights Council decision 2006/104;

(b) To take note of the draft decisions transmitted by the Sub-Commission pertaining to previously authorized activities, with a view to allowing their continuation in accordance with Council decision 2006/102;

5. Notes the updates provided on the progress of the informal consultations of the Working Groups on the universal periodic review established by its decision 2006/103 and on implementation of paragraph 6 of General Assembly resolution 60/251 established by its decision 2006/104.

\* See summary records A/HRC/2/SR.2-A/HRC/2/SR.27.”

The secretariat had informed him that the draft decision had no programme budget implications. Accordingly, if he heard no objection, he would take it that the Council wished to adopt the draft decision without a vote.

5. It was so decided.

6. Ms. JANJUA (Pakistan), speaking on behalf of the Organization of the Islamic Conference, noted that the States represented on the Council that were also members of the Organization of the Islamic Conference wished to distance themselves from the report of the Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions, the Special Rapporteur on the right of everyone to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health, the Representative of the Secretary-General on human rights of internally displaced persons and the Special Rapporteur on adequate housing as a component of the right to an adequate standard of living on their mission to Lebanon and Israel in September 2006 (A/HRC/2/7). The adoption by the Council of the draft decision submitted by the President, in particular paragraph 2 thereof, should not be interpreted as an endorsement of that report by the Council.

Draft decision on follow-up to decisions of the Human Rights Council

7. The PRESIDENT invited the Council to adopt a draft decision that would read: “The Human Rights Council, at its 29th meeting on 6 October 2006, decided to add a segment on ‘Follow-up to decisions of the Human Rights Council’ to the programme of work as contained in Council decision 2006/105 of 30 June 2006.” If he heard no objection, he would take it that the Council wished to adopt that draft decision without a vote.

8. It was so decided.

The meeting rose at 12.35 p.m.