



Distr. GENERAL

FCCC/ASR/2006/NOR 10 July 2006

ENGLISH ONLY

Annual status report of the greenhouse gas inventory of Norway

- 1. This status report was prepared by the secretariat as part of the initial check of the greenhouse gas inventory submitted in accordance with decision 19/CP.8. It reflects the content of the inventory submission of 2006 as originally submitted by the Party.
- 2. In this report, the following abbreviations are used:

CRF: common reporting format LUCF: Land-use Change and Forestry LULUCF: Land Use, Land-use Change and

Forestry

NIR: national inventory report

SBDT: sectoral background data tables

Notation keys
C: confidential

IE: included elsewhere NA: not applicable NE: not estimated NO: not occurring

Greenhouse gases
CO₂: carbon dioxide
CH₄: methane
N₂O: nitrous oxide

HFCs: hydrofluorocarbons PFCs: perfluorocarbons SF₆: sulphur hexafluoride NOx: nitrogen oxides CO: carbon monoxide

NMVOCs: non-methane volatile organic

compounds

SO₂: sulphur dioxide

				I	NTR	ODUCTIO	ON						
u	Date of receipt	27 May 20	006										
atio	Format	CRF pro	vided	\	N	IR provided	V						
General information	Base year or period ^a	1990	Emissions without adjustments for climate variations or electricity trade										
ral i	CRF provided for years	1990–2004											
ene	Gases covered	CO ₂ CH ₄		N ₂	O	HFCs	PFCs	SF ₆	NOx	CO	NMVOCs	SO_2	
9		V		V	7	~	~	V	>	>	V	~	
National inventory report	•	guidelines of the IPC	The organization of the NIR follows the structure as outlined in the revised UNFCCC reporting guidelines (decision 18/CP.8). However, some of the recommended annexes (e.g., tables 6.1 and 6.2 of the IPCC Good Practice Guidance and Uncertainty Management in National Greenhouse Gas Inventories) are not provided.										
T .E	Language of NIR	English											

^a Information on the base year in this status report does not reflect or prejudge any decision that may be taken by the Party in relation to the use of 1995 as base year for HFCs, PFCs and SF₆, in accordance with Article 3.8 of the Kyoto Protocol.

		Provision of i	nformation for the	PART I latest reported inv	entory year in the	CRF: 2004			
		Energy	Industrial Processes	Solvent Use	Agriculture	Land Use, Land- use Change and Forestry	Waste		
	Sectoral report tables	1	2(I)	3	4 🔽	5	6		
	Sectoral background data tables	1.A(a)	2(I).A-G	3.A-D	4.A 🔽	5.A 🔽	6.A 🔽		
		1.A(b)	2(II).C,E		4.B(a)	5.B 🔽	6.B 🔽		
		1.A(c)	2(II).F		4.B(b)	5.C 🔽	6.C 🔽		
		1.A(d)			4.C 🔽	5.D 🔽			
		1.B.1			4.D 🔽	5.E 🔽	_		
Tables		1.B.2			4.E 🔽	5.F 🔽	_		
Т		1.C 🔽			4.F 🔽	5 (I)			
		Bunkers separately	✓			5 (II) V	-		
						5 (III) V 5 (IV) V	-		
	Summary tables					5 (V)			
	(emission totals)	Summary 1.A	V	Summary 1.B	V	Summary 2	✓		
	Other tables	Summary 3	✓	Table 7 (Key catego	ories)	Table 9(a) (Comple	eteness)		
		Table 10 (Trends)	V			Table 9(b) (Completeness)			
	Comments								
ls	Totals provided for	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	HFCs	PFCs	SF ₆		
Trends		V	∨	7	V	V	>		
	Totals provided for years	1990–2004	1990–2004	1990-2004	1990–2004	1990–2004	1990–2004		
CO2	Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel	Reference appr	oach Sec	toral approach	Difference mor 2 per cen				
Ö	combustion	>		V	V		on provided		
	Disaggregation	HF	Cs	PF	CCs	S	SF ₆		
${ m SF}_6$	by species	Ī.	7	Ī.	7				
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆	Reporting of actual and/or potential	Actual	Potential	Actual	Potential	Actual	Potential		
HFCs,	estimates in the consumption of halocarbons and SF ₆	V	V	Y	V	V	V		
uc	Used in	Summary table 1.A	V	Sectoral report table	es 🔽	Sectoral background	d data tables		
Notation keys	Comments								

PART II Provision of CRF tables for years reported Information Years gaps relating Base | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | to reporting b Comments year^a 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 ✓ Sectoral report – Table 1 ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ **√** ✓ **√** Table 1.A(a) ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ Table 1.A(b) ✓ Table 1.A(c) ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ **√** ✓ ✓ Table 1.A(d) ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ **√ √** ✓ ✓ Table 1.B.1 ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ **√ √** ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ Table 1.B.2 1 ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ Table 1.C ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ Table 2(I) ✓ Table 2(II).F Sectoral reports Table 2(II) ✓ 1 Sectoral report – Table 3 ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ Solvent Use SBDT ✓ Table 3.A–D

PART II Provision of CRF tables for years reported (continued)

										Yea	ars								Information	
			Base year ^a	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments
	Se	ctoral report – Table 4		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 4.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 4.B(a)		✓	✓	√	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	\	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
l d		Table 4.B(b)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Aoriculture	SBDT	Table 4.C		✓	✓	\	✓	\	✓	\	✓	✓	\	>	✓	✓	✓	✓		No data are reported in this table but notation key NO is used
A	S	Table 4.D		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 4.E		✓	✓	√	✓	\	√	\	√	✓	\	~	✓	✓	✓	✓		No data are reported in this table but notation key NO is used
		Table 4.F		✓	✓	\	✓	\	\	\	\	✓	\	\	✓	✓	✓	✓		
	Sec	ctoral report – Table 5		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	√	√	√	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	√	✓	
Α		Table 5.A		√	√	√	√	1	1	✓	1	✓	1	√	√	1	√	1		
rect		Table 5.B		√	√	1	√	✓	1	✓	1	✓	√	√	√	1	✓	1	✓	
F		Table 5.C		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
and		Table 5.D		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	
noe	b	Table 5.E		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1	✓	
ع ا	_	Table 5.F		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
9	SBDT	Table 5 (I)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1		
1	\mathbf{z}	Table 5 (II)		√	✓	√	√	✓	1	✓	1	✓	✓	√	✓	√	✓	1		
Lar		Table 5 (III)		1	√	1	1	1	1	✓	1	√	1	1	√	1	1	1		
9		Table 5 (IV)		1	√	1	1	1	1	1	1	✓	1	1	√	1	✓	1		
Land II se Land-use Change and Forestry		Table 5 (V)		√	√	√	1	✓	1	√	√	√	√	√	√	1	√	1		
Lar		General comments on entire sector		1	I	<u> </u>								<u> </u>	I	1	1	1		

								Provis	ion of	CRF ta		RT II or yea		orted (d	continu	ıed)			
		Years Base year ^a 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004												2004	Information gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments			
Sectoral report – Table	6		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Table 6.A			✓	1	1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Table 6.A Table 6.B			✓	1	1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Table 6.C			✓	1	1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Summary 1.A			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Summary 1.B			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Summary 2 (CO ₂ equivalent emissions)			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	~	
equivalent emissions) Summary 3 (Methods/emission fact Table 7 (Key categories Table 8(a) (Recalculation	ors)		✓	1	1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1	✓	✓	✓	
Table 7 (Key categories)		✓														✓		
Table 8(a) (Recalculation recalculated data)	on –		✓	1	1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1	✓		✓	
recalculated data) Table 8(b) (Recalculation explanatory information Table 9(a) (Completene	on – 1)		✓	1	1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1	✓		✓	
Table 9(a) (Completene			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Table 9(b) (Completene	ess)																		
Table 10 (Trends)			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		

^a This Party uses a base year of 1990.

^b This column indicates that reporting gaps (blank cells) have been identified in a given table of the CRF. This was due to limited use, or lack of, notation keys (NO, NE, NA, IE, C).

PART III Provision of information relating to recalculation															
Table 8(a) (Recalculated data)	the LULUCF sector are not reflected in this status report.														
Recalculation for years	1990-2003														
Recalculated sectors/gases	Energy	Industrial Processes	Waste												
CO_2	>	< <				\									
CH ₄	V	>		V		V									
N_2O	~	<u><</u>		V		V									
HFCs		<u>\</u>													
PFCs		>													
SF ₆		< <													
Table 8(b) (Explanatory information)	V	>		V		V									
Full CRF for the recalculated base year	V	Percentage difference in ag	gregate greenhouse gas bas	- with LULUCF - without LULUCF	-28.24% 1.45%										