



Distr. GENERAL

FCCC/ASR/2006/MCO 12 July 2006

**ENGLISH ONLY** 

## Annual status report of the greenhouse gas inventory of Monaco

- 1. This status report was prepared by the secretariat as part of the initial check of the greenhouse gas inventory submitted in accordance with decision 19/CP.8. It reflects the content of the inventory submission of 2006 as originally submitted by the Party.
- 2. In this report, the following abbreviations are used:

CRF: common reporting format LUCF: Land-use Change and Forestry LULUCF: Land Use, Land-use Change and

Forestry

NIR: national inventory report

SBDT: sectoral background data tables

Notation keys C: confidential

IE: included elsewhere NA: not applicable NE: not estimated NO: not occurring

Greenhouse gases
CO<sub>2</sub>: carbon dioxide
CH<sub>4</sub>: methane
N<sub>2</sub>O: nitrous oxide

HFCs: hydrofluorocarbons PFCs: perfluorocarbons SF<sub>6</sub>: sulphur hexafluoride NOx: nitrogen oxides CO: carbon monoxide

NMVOCs: non-methane volatile organic

compounds

SO<sub>2</sub>: sulphur dioxide

	INTRODUCTION															
_	Date of receipt	16 June 2	006													
atior	Format	CRF pro	vided	<	NII	R provided										
General information	Base year or period <sup>a</sup>	Base year or period <sup>a</sup> 1990 Emissions without adjustments for climate variations or electricity trade														
ral i	CRF provided for years	2004	2004													
Jene	Gases covered	CO <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>4</sub>	N <sub>2</sub> 0	О	HFCs	PFCs	SF <sub>6</sub>	NOx	СО	NMVOCs	$SO_2$				
)		V V		V		V	V	V	>	>	V	V				
National inventory report	•	reporting	guideline mended A	es (decis Annexe	sion 1 s hav	8/CP.8). e not been	However, 1 provided	most of th , except fo	e chapters or an Anne	s have bee ex contain	revised UNF en restructur iing a descrij	ed and				
ı i	Language of NIR	French														

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Information on the base year in this status report does not reflect or prejudge any decision that may be taken by the Party in relation to the use of 1995 as base year for HFCs, PFCs and SF<sub>6</sub>, in accordance with Article 3.8 of the Kyoto Protocol.

		Dravisian of i	-formation for	PART I the latest reported in	contour year in the	CDF. 2004		
		Energy	Industrial Processes	Solvent Use	Agriculture	Land Use, Land- use Change and Forestry	Waste	
	Sectoral report tables	1 🔽	2(I) 2(II) V		4	5	6	
	Sectoral background data tables	1.A(a)	2(I).A-G	3.A-D	4.A 🔽	5.A	6.A 🔽	
		1.A(b)	2(II).C,E		4.B(a)	5.B	6.B	
		1.A(c)	2(II).F		4.B(b)	5.C	6.C	
		1.A(d)			4.C 🔽	5.D		
		1.B.1			4.D 🔽	5.E 🔽		
Tables		1.B.2			4.E 🔽	5.F		
Tal		1.C			4.F	5 (I)		
		Bunkers separately	<b>V</b>			5 (II)		
						5 (III)		
						5 (IV)	-	
			<u> </u>			5 (V)		
	Summary tables (emission totals)	Summary 1.A	V	Summary 1.B	V	Summary 2	V	
	Other tables	Summary 3	V	Table 7 (Key cates	gories) <sup>a</sup>	Table 9(a) (Comple	teness) <sup>b</sup>	
		Table 10 (Trends)	V			Table 9(b) (Comple	teness) <sup>b</sup>	
	Comments							
s	Totals provided for	$CO_2$	$\mathrm{CH_4}$	$N_2O$	HFCs	PFCs	SF <sub>6</sub>	
Trends	provided for	V	V	V	V	V		
$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{I}}$	Totals provided for years	1990–2004	1990–2004	1990–2004	1995–2004	2001–2004		
7,	Comparison of	Reference appr	oach	Sectoral approach	Difference mor		rence is more than	
$CO_2$	CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion				2 per cen	t Explanation	2 per cent	
	Disaggregation		Cs		FCs		F <sub>6</sub>	
F <sub>6</sub>	by species				<b>V</b>	5	1 6	
HFCs, PFCs, SF <sub>6</sub>	Reporting of actual and/or potential	Actual	Potential	Actual	Potential	Actual	Potential	
HFCs,	estimates in the consumption of halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub>	V		V				
no		Summary table 1.A		Sectoral report tab	les 🔽	Sectoral background	l data tables	
Notation keys	Comments	Frequent use of "0	" instead of da	ta or notation keys				

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 7 (Overview) covers completeness and quality of estimates. This is not in accordance with the reporting requirements in decision 18/CP.8 (FCCC/CP/2002/7/Add.2).
 <sup>b</sup> The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 9 (Completeness) is one table.

	PART II Provision of CRF tables for years reported																				
			Base	i	i	1	ı			Ye	ars					1	1	•	Information		
				1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	gaps relating to reporting <sup>b</sup>	Comments	
	S	Sectoral report – Table 1																✓			
		Table 1.A(a)																✓			
		Table 1.A(b)																✓	✓		
>:		Table 1.A(c)																✓			
Energy	١	Table 1.A(d)																✓	✓		
Ā	G	Table 1.A(d) Table 1.B.1																✓		No data are reported in this table but notation key NO is used	
		Table 1.B.2																✓	✓	No data are reported in this table but notation keys NO and NE are used	
		Table 1.C																✓	✓		
	S	Sectoral reports – Table 2(I)																✓	✓		
ses	50	Table 2(II)																✓			
Industrial Processes		Table 2(I).A–G																✓		No data are reported in this table but notation keys NO, NE, NA and "0" are used	
ustria		Table 2(II).C, E																✓		No data are reported in this table but notation key NO and "0" are used	
Ind		Table 2(II).F																✓		No data are reported in this table but notation keys NO, NE and "0" are used	
و	S	Sectoral report – Table 3																✓	✓		
Solvent Use	Edus	Table 3.A–D																			

## PART II Provision of CRF tables for years reported (continued)

	Years												Information							
	·			1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	gaps relating to reporting <sup>b</sup>	Comments
	Sectoral report – Table 4																	✓		No data or notation keys are reported in this table. "0" has been used for filling in the table
		Table 4.A																<b>✓</b>		No data are reported in this table but notation key NO is used
nre		Table 4.B(a)																✓		
cult		Table 4.B(b)																✓		
Agriculture	SBDT	Table 4.C																✓		No data are reported in this table but notation key NO and "0" are used
		Table 4.D																✓		
		Table 4.E																✓		
		Table 4.F																✓		No data are reported in this table but notation key NO and "0" are used
	Sec	ctoral report – Table 5																✓	✓	
2		Table 5.A																		
resi		Table 5.B																		
1 Fo		Table 5.C																		
anc		Table 5.D																		
nge		Table 5.E																✓	✓	
Cha	_	Table 5.F																		
Land Use. Land-use Change and Forestry	SBDT	Table 5 (I)																✓		No data are reported in this table but notation key NO is used
and		Table 5 (II)																		
e. L		Table 5 (III)																		
l Us		Table 5 (IV)																		
and		Table 5 (V)																		
		General comments on entire sector																		

								Provis	ion of	CRF ta	PA ables fo	RT II or year	s repo	rted (c	ontinu	ed)				
			ı	1		1	I		Ye	ars	1					I		Information		
			1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	gaps relating to reporting <sup>b</sup>	Comments	
	Sectoral report - Table 6																✓			
Waste	Table 6.A																>		No data are provided in this table but notation key NO and "0" are used	
*	Table 6.B																<b>\</b>			
	Table 6.C																✓	✓		
	Summary 1.A																✓	✓		
	Summary 1.B																<b>\</b>			
7.0	Summary 2 (CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions)																✓	✓		
tables	Summary 3 (Methods/emission factors)																✓	✓		
nd other	Table 7 (Key categories) <sup>c</sup>																✓		Overview table 7 is reported but table 7 (Overview for key categories) in the LULUCF CRF is not provided	
nary a	Table 8(a) (Recalculation – recalculated data)															✓		✓		
Summ	Table 8(b) (Recalculation – explanatory information)															✓				
•	Table 9(a) (Completeness) <sup>d</sup>																			
	Table 9(b) (Completeness) <sup>d</sup>																			
	Table 10 (Trends)																✓	✓	Trend tables are reported in the CRF but not in the LULUCF CRF	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> This Party uses a base year of 1990.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> This column indicates that reporting gaps (blank cells) have been identified in a given table of the CRF. This was due to limited use, or lack of, notation keys (NO, NE, NA, IE, C).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 7 (Overview) covers completeness and quality of estimates. This is not in accordance with the reporting requirements in decision 18/CP.8 (FCCC/CP/2002/7/Add.2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 9 (Completeness) is one table.

	PART III  Provision of information relating to recalculation													
Table 8(a) (Recalculated data)	V	Comments	Recalculations for 2003 a	re reported in the CRF fo	r 2004									
Recalculation for years	2003													
Recalculated sectors/gases	Energy	Industrial Processes	Solvent Use	Agriculture	Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry	Waste								
$CO_2$	>													
CH <sub>4</sub>	V													
$N_2O$	V													
HFCs														
PFCs														
$SF_6$														
Table 8(b) (Explanatory information)	V													
Full CRF for the recalculated base year		Percentage difference in ag	gregate greenhouse gas bas	e year estimate	- with LULUCF - without LULUCF	% %								