



Distr. GENERAL

FCCC/ASR/2006/BEL 1 June 2006

ENGLISH ONLY

Annual status report of the greenhouse gas inventory of Belgium

- 1. This status report was prepared by the secretariat as part of the initial check of the greenhouse gas inventory submitted in accordance with decision 19/CP.8. It reflects the content of the inventory submission of 2006 as originally submitted by the Party, and of the resubmissions received by 27 May 2006.
- 2. In this report, the following abbreviations are used:

CRF: common reporting format LUCF: Land-use Change and Forestry LULUCF: Land Use, Land-use Change and

Forestry

NIR: national inventory report

SBDT: sectoral background data tables

Notation keys C: confidential

IE: included elsewhere NA: not applicable NE: not estimated NO: not occurring

Greenhouse gases
CO₂: carbon dioxide
CH₄: methane
N₂O: nitrous oxide

HFCs: hydrofluorocarbons PFCs: perfluorocarbons SF₆: sulphur hexafluoride NOx: nitrogen oxides CO: carbon monoxide

NMVOCs: non-methane volatile organic

compounds

SO₂: sulphur dioxide

	INTRODUCTION														
u	Date of receipt	14 April 2	006 (resu	ıbmiss	ion (CRF for ye	ars 1992, 1	1997 and 2	2004: 3 Ma	ay 2006)					
atio	Format	CRF provided			N	IR provided	i 🔽								
General information	Base year or period ^a	1990			Emissions without adjustments for climate variations or electricity trade										
ral i	CRF provided for years	1990–2004													
ene	Gases covered	CO ₂ CH ₄		H ₄ N ₂		HFCs	PFCs	SF ₆	NOx	CO	NMVOCs	SO_2			
9				7	>	~	>	V	>	V	V				
National inventory report	•	reporting most of th information	The organization of the NIR, in general, follows the structure as outlined in the revised UNFCCC reporting guidelines (decision 18/CP.8). However, the executive summary has not been provided, nost of the chapters have been restructured and some of the recommended annexes such as information on national energy balance, assessment of completeness and tables 6.1 and 6.2 of the PCC good practice guidance are not provided.												
	Language of NIR	English										·			

 $^{^{}a}$ Information on the base year in this status report does not reflect or prejudge any decision that may be taken by the Party in relation to the use of 1995 as base year for HFCs, PFCs and SF₆, in accordance with Article 3.8 of the Kyoto Protocol.

		Provision of in	formation for the	PART I e latest reported inv	ventory year in the	CRF: 2004		
		Energy	Industrial Processes	Solvent Use	Agriculture	Land Use, Land- use Change and Forestry	Waste	
	Sectoral report tables	1 🔽	2(I)	3	4 🔽	5	6	
	Sectoral background data tables	1.A(a)	2(I).A-G	3.A-D	4.A 🔽	5.A 🔽	6.A 🔽	
		1.A(b)	2(II).C,E		4.B(a)	5.B	6.B	
		1.A(c)	2(II).F		4.B(b)	5.C 🔽	6.C 🔽	
		1.A(d)			4.C 🔽	5.D 🔽		
		1.B.1			4.D 🔽	5.E 🔽		
Tables		1.B.2			4.E 🔽	5.F 🔽		
Tal		1.C 🔽			4.F 🔽	5 (I)		
		Bunkers separately	✓			5 (II)		
						5 (III)		
						5 (IV)		
						5 (V)		
	Summary tables	Summary 1.A	V	Summary 1.B	~	Summary 2	~	
	totals)	Summary 1.A	Į.	Summary 1.D		Summary 2	Į <u>. </u>	
	Other tables	Summary 3	▽	Table 7 (Key catego	ories) ^a	Table 9(a) (Comple	teness) ^b	
		Table 10 (Trends)	<u>~</u>			Table 9(b) (Comple	teness) ^b	
	Comments							
qs	Totals provided for	CO_2	CH ₄	N ₂ O	HFCs	PFCs	SF ₆	
Trends		>	V	V	V	>	~	
	Totals provided for years	1990–2004	1990–2004	1990-2004	1990–2004	1990–2004	1990–2004	
CO2	Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel	Reference appro	oach Sec	toral approach	Difference mor 2 per cent		ence is more than 2 per cent	
ວັ	combustion						on provided	
	Disaggregation by species	HF			FCs	S	F ₆	
SF_6		V	'	5	_		1	
PFCs,	Reporting of actual and/or potential	Actual	Potential	Actual	Potential	Actual	Potential	
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆	estimates in the consumption of halocarbons and SF ₆	V	V	V	V	V	V	
on		Summary table 1.A		Sectoral report table		Sectoral background		
Notation keys	Comments	The use of notation	n keys is limited in	some tables and "	0" is frequently us	ed instead of data of	or notation keys	

 ^a The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 7 (Overview) covers completeness and quality of estimates. This is not in accordance with the reporting requirements in decision 18/CP.8 (FCCC/CP/2002/7/Add.2).
 ^b The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 9 (Completeness) is one table.

PART II Provision of CRF tables for years reported Information Years gaps relating Base | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | to reporting^b Comments year^a 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 ✓ Sectoral report – Table 1 ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ **√** ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ **√ √ √ √** Table 1.A(a) ✓ **√** ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ Table 1.A(b) Table 1.A(c) ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ **√** ✓ **√** ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ **√** ✓ Table 1.A(d) Table 1.B.1 ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ **√ √** ✓ **✓** ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ Table 1.B.2 ✓ 1 ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ Table 1.C ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ Table 2(I) Sectoral reports ✓ Table 2(II) ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ Table 2(II).F. ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ For 1990 and 2000-2004 no data are ✓ reported in this table but notation keys NO, NE, NA and C are used ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ **√** Sectoral report – Table 3 Solvent Use Table 3.A–D ✓

PART II Provision of CRF tables for years reported (continued)

Years												Information								
				1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments
	Se	ctoral report – Table 4		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
		Table 4.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 4.B(a)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
ıre		Table 4.B(b)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Agriculture	SBDT	Table 4.C		✓	✓	√	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	No data are reported in this table but notation key NO is used
Agi	SE	Table 4.D		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 4.E		✓	✓	\	✓	\		~	~	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	~	✓		No data are reported in this table but notation key NO and "0" are used
		Table 4.F		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		No data are reported in this table but notation key NO is used
	Se	ctoral report – Table 5		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
		Table 5.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 5.B		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
2		Table 5.C		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Orest		Table 5.D		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	~		No data or notation keys are reported in this table but "0" is used
and I		Table 5.E		✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		No data are reported in this table but notation key NE and "0" are used
hange	0	Table 5.F		✓	✓	~	✓	~	✓	~	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	~	✓		No data are reported in this table but notation key NE and "0" are used
) osu	SBDT	Table 5 (I)		✓	✓	\	✓	\	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		No data are reported in this table but notation key NO is used
Land.		Table 5 (II)		✓	✓	√	✓	\	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		No data are reported in this table but notation keys NO and NE are used
Land Use Land-use Change and Egreetry	(Table 5 (III)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		No data are reported in this table but notation key NO and "0" are used
Land		Table 5 (IV)		✓	✓	>	✓	>	✓	>	\	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	>	✓		No data are reported in this table but notation key NE is used
		Table 5 (V)		✓	✓	~	✓	\	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		No data are reported in this table but notation key NO is used
		General comments on entire sector																		

PART	II
Provision of CRF tables for ye	ears reported (continued)

_				Years														Information		
			Base year ^a	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	gaps relating to reporting ^b	Comments
	Sectoral report – Table 6			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Waste	ı	Table 6.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Wa	SRDT	Table 6.B		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	V.	Table 6.C		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Г	S	Summary 1.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	S	lummary 1.B		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
sples	S	Summary 2 (CO ₂ quivalent emissions)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
and other tables	S (I	Summary 3 Methods/emission factors)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
d of	T	Table 7 (Key categories) ^c																		
		Table 8(a) (Recalculation – ecalculated data)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	
Summary	T e:	Table 8(b) (Recalculation – xplanatory information)					_										✓			
Ý.	1	Table 9(a) (Completeness) ^d																		
		Table 9(b) (Completeness) ^d																		
	T	Table 10 (Trends)																✓	✓	

^a This Party uses a base year of 1990.

b This column indicates that reporting gaps (blank cells) have been identified in a given table of the CRF. This was due to limited use, or lack of, notation keys (NO, NE, NA, IE, C).

The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 7 (Overview) covers completeness and quality of estimates. This is not in accordance with the reporting requirements in decision 18/CP.8 (FCCC/CP/2002/7/Add.2).

^d The Party used the CRF Microsoft Excel application in which table 9 (Completeness) is one table.

PART III Provision of information relating to recalculation															
Table 8(a) (Recalculated data)	recalculations for the LULUCF are not reflected in this status report.														
Recalculation for years	Recalculation for years														
Recalculated sectors/gases	Energy	Industrial Processes	Solvent Use	Agriculture	Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry	Waste									
CO_2	>	>				<									
CH ₄	>	~		✓		V									
N_2O	>	~	~	✓		<u>\</u>									
HFCs		~													
PFCs		▽													
SF ₆		< <													
Table 8(b) (Explanatory information)	>	V	V	V		>									
Full CRF for the recalculated base year	>	Percentage difference in ag	ggregate greenhouse gas bas	se year estimate	with LULUCFwithout LULUCF	1.25% 0.07%									