United Nations A/C.3/60/SR.34



Distr.: General 29 November 2005

Original: English

Third Committee

Summary record of the 34th meeting

Held at Headquarters, New York, on Monday, 7 November 2005, at 10 a.m.

Chairman:Mr. Butagira(Uganda)later:Mr. Anshor (Vice-Chairman)(Indonesia)later:Mr. Butagira(Uganda)

Contents

Agenda item 71: Human rights questions (continued)

- (b) Human rights questions, including alternative approaches for improving the effective enjoyment of human rights and fundamental freedoms (*continued*)
- (c) Human rights situations and reports of special rapporteurs and representatives (continued)
- (e) Report of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (continued)

Agenda item 69: Elimination of racism and racial discrimination*

- (a) Elimination of racism and racial discrimination*
- (b) Comprehensive implementation of and follow-up to the Durban Declaration and Programme of Action*

Agenda item 70: Right of peoples to self-determination*

This record is subject to correction. Corrections should be sent under the signature of a member of the delegation concerned *within one week of the date of publication* to the Chief of the Official Records Editing Section, room DC2-750, 2 United Nations Plaza, and incorporated in a copy of the record.

Corrections will be issued after the end of the session, in a separate corrigendum for each Committee.

05-58957 (E)

^{*} Items which the Committee has decided to consider together.

The meeting was called to order at 10.10 a.m.

Agenda item 71: Human rights questions (*continued*) (A/60/40, 44, 129, 336, 392 and 408)

- (b) Human rights questions, including alternative approaches for improving the effective enjoyment of human rights and fundamental freedoms (continued) (A/60/134, 266, 272, 286, 299, 301 and Add.1, 305, 321, 326, 333, 338 and Corr.1, 339 and Corr.1, 340, 348, 350, 353, 357, 374, 384, 392, 399 and 431; A/C.3/60/3 and 5)
- (c) Human rights situations and reports of special rapporteurs and representatives (continued) (A/60/221, 271, 306, 324, 349, 354, 356, 359, 367, 370, 395 and 422 and Corr.1; A/C.3/60/2)
- (e) Report of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (continued) (A/60/36 and 343)

Rights of reply

- 1. **Mr. Laurin** (Canada) said that, while there was room for improvement in Canada, his country was characterized by open public debates on human rights. Canada took its human rights obligations seriously and cooperated fully with all the relevant United Nations machinery; it also offered the possibility of redress in cases of violations. He encouraged delegations to read all United Nations reports on Canada in their entirety. His country would continue to speak out on human rights whenever it felt it appropriate and would encourage other countries to do likewise. The statement by the Iranian representative was an effort to deflect attention from the human rights situation in his country.
- 2. **Mr. Hussain** (Pakistan) said that the criticisms made by the representative of Canada were an example of the double standards that characterized the international human rights system. The criticisms had targeted 22 countries, all of them Islamic and/or developing, and had failed to address the developed world where, for instance, the rights of indigenous people were flouted. The representative of Canada had also passed over in silence human rights violations in a country under foreign occupation neighbouring on Pakistan. He called on him to make a more global and objective analysis in future.

- 3. **Mr. Tesfu** (Ethiopia) referred to the statement by the representative of Canada that the recent elections in his country had marked a decline in respect for political rights in Ethiopia. He said that Ethiopia's third national election, held in May 2005, had been described as free and fair by international observers and that procedures were available for addressing any irregularities. Opposition parties had, however, chosen the path of violence; it was the Government's constitutional obligation to detain and prosecute those involved. He would welcome an acknowledgement by Canada of the positive developments in political rights in Ethiopia and tangible encouragement rather than undue criticism.
- 4. Mr. Marsh (United States of America) said that the United States was committed to building a more just world, as reflected in its treatment of its citizens, most of whom had come into the country as immigrants. Everywhere people were choosing freedom over oppression and fulfilling their democratic aspirations by holding free and fair elections. Those were values that the United States would continue to defend. It had detained enemy combatants for reasons of national security and treated them in accordance the Geneva with international obligations and Conventions; it was opposed to torture. In cases of abuse or misconduct, his Government held people accountable, whether within or outside the country. The United States worked with the United Nations, as it did with lovers of freedom around the world; it was prepared to stand beside them, whatever paths they chose towards democratic governance.
- 5. **Ms. Erotokritou** (Cyprus) said that it was regrettable that Turkey should persist in falsely blaming her country for a situation that was its own responsibility. Turkey's support for the secessionist entity was the reason for the division of Cyprus into two. The decisions taken in that regard by international bodies spoke for themselves. In refusing to comply with international law, Turkey remained responsible for the status quo and for the situation suffered by the Turkish Cypriots.
- 6. **Mr. Matsis** (Greece) said that the truth of the situation in Cyprus had been clearly established by the United Nations and other international bodies. Despite resolutions adopted by the Security Council and the General Assembly, Turkey continued to exercise military control over Cyprus. In April 2005, the European Court of Human Rights had recognized

Turkey's responsibility for a number of human rights violations in the northern part of the island. The Greek Cypriots' rejection of the Annan plan did not lessen the need to respect their human rights. The problem lay essentially in the continued Turkish occupation of Cyprus.

- Ms. Aghajanian (Armenia) regretted misinformation as to the causes and effects of the conflict in Nagorno Karabagh. The population of that region had been forcibly placed under the rule of the Azerbaijani Soviet Socialist Republic from which, exercising its right to selfdetermination, it had seceded in December 1991. It was not and had never been part of independent Azerbaijan and had indeed voted by referendum for its own independence. Legally, therefore, it had not entered into the composition of that State upon the dissolution of the Soviet Union. It was laughable to say that the region was under temporary Armenian occupation, since it was the homeland of the people in question and had been so for millennia. Nor had Armenia imposed a blockade on communication links to Nakhichevan. His Government remained ready to reopen regional communication links, without preconditions, as a confidence-building measure. That would help to create a favourable environment and improve the prospects of a lasting solution. Azerbaijan's demand for the return of territories would be addressed as part of the negotiation package being worked out by the Minsk Group of the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe.
- 8. Mr. Alaei (Islamic Republic of Iran) said that the accusation that he had criticized Canada only in order to deflect attention from the human rights situation in Iran was unacceptable. Canada seemed to think that it had an exclusive right to denounce other countries without itself being criticized; it adopted a selective approach and favoured unequal treatment of countries both in the Third Committee and in the Human Rights Committee. The passages he had quoted in his statement had been drawn from reports of United Nations special mechanisms and attested to many human rights violations. Canada should respond in a responsible manner to the accusations.
- 9. **Ms. Singh** (Nepal) thanked the representative of Australia for his remarks about the electoral process in Nepal. Preparations were already under way for elections, the first of which would be in January 2006. In response to comments by the representative of

- Canada, he said that the state of emergency proclaimed on 1 February 2005 had been ended on 25 April 2005. The Government was committed to its constitutional and international obligations, including those relating to the protection of human rights, despite the ongoing conflict. The situation in her country had improved in that regard, as had been recognized, and efforts would continue to be made to improve it further.
- 10. **Ms. Assoumou** (Côte d'Ivoire) said that, contrary to what had been asserted by the representative of Canada, efforts were being made in her country to fight impunity, in cooperation with international human rights bodies. On so crucial a question, a denunciatory attitude was not acceptable; the concern had to be addressed constructively. Côte d'Ivoire attached central importance to human rights, as was reflected in its establishment of a Department of Human Rights, which had subsequently become a Ministry. Particular challenges had grown out of the crisis in the country following the attempted coup d'etat in September 2002, but institutions had continued to function and human rights violations were punished. The country was open to visits by special rapporteurs and commissions of inquiry and would continue to make every effort to combat impunity. She called for the support of the international community in pursuing that goal.
- 11. Ms. Gardashova (Azerbaijan) said that the statement by Armenia concerning the unilateral succession of Nagorny Karabakh from the Republic of Azerbaijan was misleading. The Constitution of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics had specified that the borders of the former union republics could be modified upon mutual agreement between the republics subject to approval of the Soviet Government. The Government of the USSR, the arbitrator in disputes between Armenia and Azerbaijan prior to their independence, had repeatedly affirmed the territorial integrity of Azerbaijan, including Nagorny Karabakh, and declared the attempts by the Armenian Government to legalize the secession of the region to be unconstitutional.
- 12. She drew attention to Security Council resolutions 822 (1993), 853 (1993), 874 (1993) and 884 (1993), which affirmed the principles of territorial integrity and sovereignty, as well as the statement made by the Chairman-in-Office of the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe at the Summit of the Organization in Lisbon in 1996 (A/51/707). Furthermore, the Parliamentary Assembly of the

Council of Europe had declared that the occupation of the territory of a member State by another member State was a grave violation of a State's obligations as a member of the Council.

- 13. Concerning the situation of human rights, Armenia was in no position to criticize other States, as it had engaged in ethnic cleansing and crimes against humanity. The International Crisis Group had described Armenia as a clan-controlled economy and political autocracy. United Nations treaty bodies had expressed concern over the spirit of intolerance and discriminatory policies and practices in Armenia against ethnic and religious minorities, refugees and asylum-seekers, which had turned the country into a monoethnic State. She also referred to the concluding observations of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination (CERD/C/372/Add.3) regarding Armenia.
- 14. With respect to the so-called blockade which Armenia alleged was being imposed by her Government against it, the severance of communications was a result of military conflict between the two States. No State would provide an aggressive neighbour occupying some 20 per cent of its territory with energy so that it could pursue its expansionist policies. Regarding confidence-building measures, Armenia had rejected a proposal by Azerbaijan supported by the European Union to open communications, saying that it did not need such a road, thereby contradicting its allegations of a blockade. The Nakhichevan region had been cut off from the mainland of Azerbaijan, however, as a result.
- 15. Ms. Rasheed (Observer for Palestine) said that Israel was the occupying Power in the Palestinian territories, including East Jerusalem. The root cause of the conflict in the occupied Palestinian Territories was the occupation and the brutal form of colonialism practised by Israelis there. Terror and violence did not emerge in a vacuum. The largest obstacle to peace was not terrorism but the occupation. The continued illegal actions and policies of the Israeli authorities, which had resulted in death and injury of thousands of Palestinian civilians, fuelled the vicious cycle of violence. Her delegation condemned all forms of violence against citizens. She would like to know, however, if the Israeli Government had ever condemned the killing of Palestinian civilians. The Israeli delegation had described the situation during the previous year as having improved. For the Palestinian people, the recent past, with the construction of the

illegal wall, had been among its darkest years. While she commended the Israeli withdrawal from Gaza, she noted that 38 years of occupation had left the territory in a state of humanitarian disaster and the legal status of Gaza had remained unchanged. The Palestinian people had lived under oppression and subjugation. There was a need to create an environment conducive to peace. Only when the occupation ended and the expansion of Israeli settlements ceased would such an environment be possible.

16. **Mr. Aghajanian** (Armenia) said that he had not referred to the human rights situation in Nagorny Karabakh, Azerbaijan, Armenia or any other country. Human rights violations in both Azerbaijan and Armenia, however, were duly reflected in the reports of non-governmental organizations, which were available to Member States for objective consideration.

Agenda item 69: Elimination of racism and racial discrimination (A/60/18)

- (a) Elimination of racism and racial discrimination (A/60/283 and 440; A/C.3/60/4)
- (b) Comprehensive implementation of and followup to the Durban Declaration and Programme of Action (A/60/307 and 440)

Agenda item 70: Right of peoples to self-determination (A/60/111, 263, 268 and 319)

17. Mr. Mokhiber (Officer-in-Charge, New York Office, High Commissioner for Human Rights), introduced several reports of the Secretary-General prepared under agenda items 69 and 70 related to: the follow-up to the World Conference against Racism, Racial Discrimination, Xenophobia and Related Intolerance, held in Durban, South Africa in 2001; the right of peoples to self-determination; the United Nations Voluntary Trust Fund on Contemporary Forms of Slavery; the right to development, globalization and its impact on the full enjoyment of human rights; human rights and cultural diversity; the effective promotion of the Declaration of the Rights of Persons Belonging to National or Ethnic, Religious and Linguistic Minorities; strengthened United Nations action in the field of human rights through the promotion of international cooperation and the importance of non-selectivity, impartiality objectivity; human rights and unilateral coercive

measures; protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms while countering terrorism; and human rights and terrorism.

- 18. The report on global efforts for the elimination of racism, racial discrimination, xenophobia and related intolerance and the comprehensive implementation of and follow-up to the Durban Declaration and Programme of Action (A/60/307) included a part on human rights mechanisms. Short overviews were given on activities undertaken by the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination, the Special Rapporteur on contemporary forms of racism, racial discrimination, xenophobia and related intolerance, and others. The report demonstrated that the various stakeholders used the platform of the Durban Declaration and Programme of Action to act against racism, racial discrimination and related intolerance. New forms of racism were receiving increasing Governments were reacting attention. cybercrime and the dissemination of racist ideologies via the Internet. At the same time, Governments, intergovernmental organizations, national human rights institutions and civil-society organizations were using the Internet to provide information about their work and spread messages of equality and non-discrimination. A trend was developing towards more reporting and monitoring of racist crimes and incidents.
- 19. The Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR) included the implementation of the Durban Declaration and Programme of Action among its priorities. It had provided substantive and organizational support to the Durban follow-up mechanisms; organized regional seminars; cooperated within the United Nations system and with various other partners and stakeholders, especially non-governmental organizations; and undertaken outreach and awareness-raising initiatives.
- 20. The report of the Secretary-General on the right of peoples to self-determination (A/60/268) undertook a thematic approach and summarized developments at the sixty-first session of the Commission on Human Rights as well as the relevant principles from the jurisprudence of the Human Rights Committee.
- 21. The report of the Special Rapporteur on the use of mercenaries as a means of violating human rights and impeding the exercise of the right of peoples to self-determination (A/60/263) drew attention to what she considered to be the root causes of the

- phenomenon of mercenaries, and called for a reconsideration of the nature of modern warfare and the roles and responsibilities of relevant actors. The Special Rapporteur had held a meeting with a number of representatives of private military companies in June which had yielded a statement, contained in the report, committing them to work on a code of conduct explicitly cognizant of human rights.
- 22. Lastly, he drew attention to a note prepared by the Secretariat on the use of mercenaries as a means of violating human rights and impeding the exercise of the right of peoples to self-determination (A/60/319), submitted prior to the constitution of the Working Group, which outlined its mandate and provided information on the nomination of its members.
- 23. Mr. Diène (Special Rapporteur on contemporary forms of racism, racial discrimination, xenophobia and related intolerance), introducing his report (A/60/283), said that the widespread resurgence of racism, racial discrimination and xenophobia for political and electoral purposes was the most serious current threat to democracy. There had been an intertwining of factors of race, culture and religion in recent crises which had thwarted the ability to analyse and respond appropriately to problems and exacerbated clashes of cultures and religions. Individual acts had been interpreted in community, ethnic or religious terms, thereby causing conflict between communities. The political will to combat racism must include intellectual and scientific efforts to identify the deep causes of racism. All forms of racism discrimination must be treated equally.
- 24. In his report on defamation of religions and global efforts to combat racism (E/CN.4/2005/18/Add.4), he drew particular attention to Islamophobia. In the ideological context created by the terrorist attack of 11 September 2001, Islamophobia was a growing and alarming manifestation of discrimination often justified by counter-terrorism policies. Other serious forms of defamation requiring increased vigilance, including anti-Semitism and Christianophobia, had also been emphasized.
- 25. In his current report, he highlighted the need to prevent acceptance of racism and discrimination as a result of the inclusion of racist and xenophobic political platforms in the programmes of democratic parties under the guise of combating terrorism and illegal immigration (A/60/283, para. 6 (e)). He noted

the rise in racism and xenophobia as a result of the mistreatment of aliens, asylum-seekers, refugees and immigrants, especially in reception and waiting areas at airports, ports and stations, which were becoming so-called "no-rights zones" (ibid., para. 32).

- 26. There had been an increase in violence and manifestations of racism at sporting events, especially football matches. He called for increased efforts on the part of national and international sports authorities to increase awareness and punish firmly the perpetrators of racist incidents. He also reiterated his proposal to organize an event in conjunction with the United Nations, in particular OHCHR, on the occasion of the 2006 World Cup in Germany in order to send out a strong message against racism (ibid. para. 49).
- 27. Brazil and Japan had signalled their commitment to combating racism and xenophobia by facilitating his visit to those countries. Brazil seemed to be confronting its legacy of racism and questioning the national ideology of racial democracy which had hidden the reality of racism for a long time. The Afro-Brazilian communities, who were invisible in political, economic, social and media structures, continued to suffer from marginalization. He noted, however, a positive discrimination programme at the university level. In the case of Japan, racism and xenophobia persisted. Aside from the Ainu and Burakumin national minorities, he noted that the ethnic Korean and especially Chinese minorities and the new immigrants from Asia, the Middle East and Africa were also victims of discrimination and xenophobia.
- 28. There was a need to strengthen legal strategies to combat racism complemented by intellectual and cultural strategies which took account of the history of racism. Efforts must be made more universal and based on the promotion of democratic, interactive and egalitarian multiculturalism.
- 29. Mr. La Yifan (China), taking note of the Special Rapporteur's visit to Japan, said that his delegation concurred with his finding that racist discrimination and xenophobia were a reality in Japanese society, as shown by the lack of awareness about the recurring controversy surrounding the way in which certain episodes of Japan's history were written, the recurrence of the xenophobic and racist discourse of certain political figures, for example the Governor of Tokyo, and by the lack of comprehensive national legislation against racism and xenophobia. He would appreciate

further details on the steps that might be taken with respect to the Japanese Government to redress such a social ill.

- 30. Ms. Assoumou (Côte d'Ivoire) said that her Government had ratified most human conventions, including the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, and had condemned all forms of racial discrimination in its national legislation. Referring to the Special Rapporteur's mission to her country (A/60/283, para. 5), she wondered how it could be classified as xenophobic when more than a quarter of its population consisted of foreigners who were fully integrated into the economy. Although her Government had reservations concerning certain human rights accusations in the report, it had taken note of all the facts which might create discrimination. Côte d'Ivoire remained attentive to the Special Rapporteur's recommendations but wished to point out that the incidents referred to were isolated and that laws had been adopted to prevent them from becoming a State practice. Her Government suggested that the Special Rapporteur should undertake a more detailed analysis of the different parameters and actors involved in the crisis in Côte d'Ivoire, bearing in mind the objective of national reconciliation.
- 31. **Ms. Pérez Álvarez** (Cuba) said that it would be useful to conduct an in-depth analysis of Islamophobia in a special report to the General Assembly. Her delegation wished to know what new action could be undertaken to prevent xenophobic political parties with fascist ideologies from making racist declarations. It also wondered whether there was a link between the Special Rapporteur's view on multiculturalism and the draft UNESCO Convention on the Protection of the Diversity of Cultural Contents and Artistic Expressions.
- 32. **Mr. Shin** Dong-ik (Republic of Korea) said that his Government noted with concern the discrimination affecting national minorities in Japan and the way in which history was being taught, particularly vis-à-vis its historical relations with its neighbours. His Government looked forward to the detailed recommendations to be submitted by the Special Rapporteur at the next session of the Commission on Human Rights.
- 33. **Mr. Pak** Tok Hun (Democratic People's Republic of Korea) said that his Government was deeply concerned about discrimination against other nationals in Japan as well as the way in which certain episodes

- of Japan's history were written. He inquired whether the Special Rapporteur could make any specific proposals to redress the situation.
- 34. **Mr. Dixon** (United Kingdom), speaking on behalf of the European Union, asked whether the Special Rapporteur planned to continue working on the question of genocide and wondered how he would collaborate with the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination and the Special Adviser on the Prevention of Genocide. He also wondered how the many non-governmental organizations that dealt with the elimination of racial discrimination could coordinate their measures and recommendations. Lastly, he inquired whether the Special Rapporteur saw a concrete role for civil society in the fight against racism.
- 35. **Mr. Kitaoka** (Japan) said that his Government was trying to eliminate racial discrimination and to ensure that history was being taught correctly.
- 36. He wished to know what countries the Special Rapporteur planned to visit in the future and what criteria were used in the selection of those countries.
- 37. **Mr. Elbadri** (Egypt) said that the legitimization of racist policies constituted a serious threat to democracy and wondered whether the Special Rapporteur had any specific operational points of view to share with the Committee. His delegation wondered whether religious defamation was linked to the rise in Islamophobia and whether the international community could take any concrete steps to combat that rise. It appreciated the fact that the role of education had been mentioned in the report but felt that insufficient emphasis had been placed on the need to teach young people to reject racist ideologies.
- 38. He also wished to know the meaning of the Special Rapporteur's suggestion that the European Union should recognize the urgency of giving special attention, in the building of the identity of the new Europe, to its ethnic, cultural and religious pluralism.
- 39. **Mr. Diène** (Special Rapporteur on contemporary forms of racism, racial discrimination, xenophobia and related intolerance) said, in response to the question from the representative of China, that he would submit his proposals to the Commission on Human Rights towards the end of 2005, when his report had been finalized. With respect to the question of the selection of countries, the procedure was that the Special

- Rapporteur asked to be invited to a certain country. Japan had been selected because racism in that country was on the rise and because he wished to study a country which was insular from a cultural point of view but international from a political and technological point of view. He wished to see what problems had emerged as a result of multiculturalism and to gauge Japan's relationship with its neighbours, bearing in mind certain historical burdens.
- 40. He planned to recommend in his report that Japan should strengthen its political will regarding the elimination of discrimination and that the Government should distance itself from the xenophobic discourse of certain political figures, such as the Governor of Tokyo. With respect to the relationship between Japan and its neighbours and the way in which certain episodes of Japan's history were written, he suggested that if the population was more aware of the significance of Japan's historical ties with its Korean and Chinese neighbours, it might adopt a different attitude towards them. In addition, his report would recommend that Japan should adopt specific national laws condemning racial discrimination.
- 41. He was pleased that the President of Brazil had reiterated his determination to eliminate racism in that country but was disappointed that the colourful multi-ethnicity of the street was not seen in political and economic circles or in the media. With respect to the private sector, it should be encouraged to practise positive discrimination and should participate in drawing up national policies on the elimination of racial discrimination.
- 42. Multiculturalism was an established fact in Côte d'Ivoire, given that every family was multi-ethnic. Although xenophobia was not systematic, police forces had been known to target ethnic groups. He warned the Government that if it did not monitor the situation, xenophobia could easily become a trend.
- 43. With regard to the question from the representative of Cuba, he agreed that Islamophobia had become one of the most serious forms of discrimination. The General Assembly must recognize that political and administrative practices in certain countries discriminated against people who believed in Islam and against the religion itself. He was concerned that all forms of defamation of religions, particularly anti-Semitism and Christianophobia, were on the rise and warned that Governments must be extremely

vigilant. They should also be aware that xenophobic platforms were gradually penetrating the programmes of democratic parties under the guise of counterterrorism and the fight against illegal immigration.

- 44. In response to the question from the representative of Egypt regarding the identity of the new Europe, the European Constitution had not paid sufficient attention to the fact that the European identity had changed and that ethnic, cultural and religious pluralism must be taken into account. It should be noted that racist and xenophobic acts were the result of a refusal to recognize multiculturalism, not only in Europe but all over the world.
- 45. Mr. Thomson (United Kingdom), speaking on behalf of the European Union; the acceding countries Bulgaria and Romania; the candidate countries Croatia and Turkey; the stabilization and association process countries Bosnia and Herzegovina, Serbia and Montenegro and the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia; and, in addition, Iceland, Liechtenstein, the Republic of Moldova and Ukraine, said that the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination was the core international legal instrument in the fight against racism. The Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination had demonstrated the pertinence and usefulness of the Convention in addressing new and contemporary forms of discrimination, xenophobia and intolerance.
- 46. The European Union urged all States to ratify the Convention and implement all its provisions as a matter of priority; to adopt measures to combat the symptoms and causes of racism and discrimination; and to cooperate fully with the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination, the Special Rapporteur on contemporary forms of racism, racial discrimination, xenophobia and related intolerance, and the Anti-Discrimination Unit of OHCHR.
- 47. The European Union had participated actively in the work of the third session of the Intergovernmental Working Group on the Implementation of the Durban Declaration and Programme of Action in October 2004. The Union regretted that the members of the Commission on Human Rights had been unable to respect the forward-looking consensus reached by the Working Group and firmly believed that more understanding and improved dialogue should guide their efforts in that area.

- 48. In countering the challenge of global terrorism, all States must ensure that their efforts were in conformity with international human rights law and did not breed new forms of discrimination. Only global cooperation and understanding would counter those who sought to attain their objectives through violent acts of hatred. Action should be taken against all forms of intolerance, since the victims of racism could suffer from multiple discrimination which could lead to poverty, underdevelopment, marginalization, social exclusion and economic disparities. A gender perspective should also be integrated into all policies against racism. The European Union had also made it a priority to protect minority ethnic groups and indigenous peoples from racism.
- 49. Education could play a central role in fostering knowledge of and respect for the world's different cultures and peoples. Politicians must also play their part in preventing the spread of racist ideologies. All States should take the measures needed to combat the use of the media and the Internet to spread racism while also guaranteeing the right to freedom of opinion and expression.
- 50. The European Union had put in place anti-discrimination legislation requiring the establishment of specialized equality bodies in each member State. It also provided funding for initiatives designed to tackle discrimination. The European Monitoring Centre for Racism and Xenophobia supported the efforts of national authorities and civil society in the European Union and cooperated with the European Commission against Racism and Intolerance in monitoring and analysing the progress made in combating violence, discrimination and racial prejudice within all States of the Council of Europe. The European Union had also established anti-discrimination bodies and it urged other States to take similar steps.
- 51. The European Union was working with the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe to tackle racism within Europe. Following a series of events held on tolerance, three representatives had been appointed to combat, respectively, racism, xenophobia and discrimination against Christians and other religions; anti-Semitism; and discrimination against Muslims.
- 52. **Mr. Neil** (Jamaica), speaking on behalf of the Group of 77 and China, said that the elimination of overt and covert racism must remain a priority of the

international community. The denial or violation of equal rights on the basis of race ran counter to the very foundations and principles upon which the United Nations was based and remained one of the most serious problems facing humanity. It was of critical importance that the international community continued to monitor the progress made in implementing the Durban Declaration and Programme of Action. In that connection, the report of the Secretary-General (A/60/307) provided useful information on the activities of the various United Nations organs and other stakeholders at the regional, national and international levels.

- 53. The Group welcomed the various signs of institutional strengthening at the national level and encouraged Governments to continue to counter the dissemination of racist ideologies via the Internet. While freedom of speech remained a valuable component of a democratic society, its exercise should not jeopardize the rights of others.
- 54. The report of the Special Rapporteur (A/60/283) had drawn attention to some alarming signs of a retreat in the struggle against racism, racial discrimination and xenophobia as a result of counter-terrorism policies. It was regrettable that the fight against terrorism had created an opening for some political parties to adopt racist and xenophobic political platforms. The increase in racist and xenophobic incidents in the field of sports was also regrettable and therefore, in line with the Special Rapporteur's recommendations, national federations should submit annual reports on racist incidents and the action taken in response to them.
- 55. The General Assembly had recently adopted a resolution addressing the need to honour the memory of the victims of the Holocaust. That approach should be broadened to acknowledge other historical instances of racist oppression with a lasting legacy. In 2007 the people of African descent would celebrate the bicentenary of the ending of the slave trade in the British Empire. The United Nations would be expected to make appropriate arrangements to commemorate that date. The legacy of slavery, in particular, was at the heart of profound social and economic inequalities which continued to affect people of African descent. The fight against racism should therefore recognize the social and economic dimensions of the injustices of the past and seek to redress them appropriately.

- 56. The Group would again submit a draft resolution on the global efforts made towards the elimination of racism, racial discrimination, xenophobia and intolerance and on the implementation of the follow-up to the Durban Declaration and Programme of Action. It looked forward to receiving the full support of all members of the international community in those endeavours.
- 57. **Mr. La** Yifan (China) said that racism was a grave violation of human rights that also led to poverty and armed conflict. Governments should act in the spirit of the Durban Declaration and Programme of Action by adopting measures aimed at removing both traditional and contemporary sources of racism. At the international level, the United Nations should coordinate the implementation of the Declaration and Programme of Action by supporting the work of the Intergovernmental Working Group on the Implementation of the Durban Declaration and Programme of Action.
- 58. The right to self-determination was the foundation for the realization of all other human rights. His delegation supported the Palestinian people in their just struggle and hoped that the international community would make joint efforts for an early, fair and reasonable solution in order to bring lasting peace to the Middle East.
- 59. The right to self-determination had originally been advocated in the context of combating colonialism and foreign aggression. Only by comprehensively adhering to the Charter of the United Nations and the principles of international law, including respect for State sovereignty, territorial integrity and the right to self-determination, could people of all countries enjoy peace, development and human rights.
- 60. **Mr. Hussain** (Pakistan) said that his delegation was deeply concerned about the increase of discriminatory acts against Islam and Muslims noted by the Special Rapporteur in his report (A/60/283). The stereotyping of Muslims had caused them great harm and had also undermined the global fight against terrorism.
- 61. While the modern world had become more aware of the grave consequences of racism, the response designed to eradicate that scourge in those societies where it was most rampant had been fitful. Discrimination was a serious affront to humanity and

in the past had led to atrocities. Foreign occupation was another brutal form of discrimination whereby occupying Powers denied subjugated peoples their basic freedoms.

- 62. While some States were proud of their credentials on democracy and the rule of law, their record on eliminating racism, racial discrimination xenophobia was less than enviable. National and international efforts to prevent the spread of hate and racist ideologies by some groups remained inadequate. In that context, the right to freedom of opinion and expression had been abused. In some societies, the most sacred scriptures, the most revered personalities and the most cherished cultural values had been targeted as part of hate campaigns. Such blasphemous activities must be prevented in order to preserve peace and harmony within societies as well as friendly relations among States.
- 63. Mr. Elbadri (Egypt) said that confusion in the fight against terrorism had led to discrimination against and oppression of the Arab Muslim world. The report of the Special Rapporteur affirmed that there had been a retreat in the struggle against racism, racial discrimination and xenophobia as a result of counterterrorism policies. Some Governments had also exacerbated situations of conflict by closing their eyes to the defamation of certain religions on the grounds of freedom of expression.
- 64. Member States should adopt, inter alia, comprehensive educational plans to teach future generations about the need for tolerance and acceptance of others as equals; early-warning mechanisms to counter racist movements; and rules to ensure respect for the rights of others while preventing the defamation of religion. New measures were needed to meet those challenges. The international community should be able to take all measures necessary through mature policies which took into account the necessary balance between duties and rights.

The meeting rose at 1.05 p.m.