

UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE ON TRADE AND DEVELOPMENT



G-24 Discussion Paper Series

External Financing for Development and International Financial Instability

Jan Kregel

No. 32, October 2004



UNITED NATIONS

**UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE
ON TRADE AND DEVELOPMENT**

**INTERGOVERNMENTAL
GROUP OF TWENTY-FOUR**

G-24 Discussion Paper Series

**Research papers for the Intergovernmental Group of Twenty-Four
on International Monetary Affairs**



UNITED NATIONS
New York and Geneva, October 2004

Note

Symbols of United Nations documents are composed of capital letters combined with figures. Mention of such a symbol indicates a reference to a United Nations document.

*

* *

The views expressed in this Series are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the UNCTAD secretariat. The designations employed and the presentation of the material do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area, or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

*

* *

Material in this publication may be freely quoted; acknowledgement, however, is requested (including reference to the document number). It would be appreciated if a copy of the publication containing the quotation were sent to the Publications Assistant, Division on Globalization and Development Strategies, UNCTAD, Palais des Nations, CH-1211 Geneva 10.

UNITED NATIONS PUBLICATION

UNCTAD/GDS/MDPB/G24/2004/8

Copyright © United Nations, 2004
All rights reserved

PREFACE

The *G-24 Discussion Paper Series* is a collection of research papers prepared under the UNCTAD Project of Technical Support to the Intergovernmental Group of Twenty-Four on International Monetary Affairs (G-24). The G-24 was established in 1971 with a view to increasing the analytical capacity and the negotiating strength of the developing countries in discussions and negotiations in the international financial institutions. The G-24 is the only formal developing-country grouping within the IMF and the World Bank. Its meetings are open to all developing countries.

The G-24 Project, which is administered by UNCTAD's Division on Globalization and Development Strategies, aims at enhancing the understanding of policy makers in developing countries of the complex issues in the international monetary and financial system, and at raising awareness outside developing countries of the need to introduce a development dimension into the discussion of international financial and institutional reform.

The research papers are discussed among experts and policy makers at the meetings of the G-24 Technical Group, and provide inputs to the meetings of the G-24 Ministers and Deputies in their preparations for negotiations and discussions in the framework of the IMF's International Monetary and Financial Committee (formerly Interim Committee) and the Joint IMF/IBRD Development Committee, as well as in other forums.

The Project of Technical Support to the G-24 receives generous financial support from the International Development Research Centre of Canada and contributions from the countries participating in the meetings of the G-24.

**EXTERNAL FINANCING FOR DEVELOPMENT AND
INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL INSTABILITY**

Jan Kregel

G-24 Discussion Paper No. 32

October 2004

Abstract

Both academic and official policy discussions support the need for financial flows from developed to developing countries to effectuate the international transfer of real resources in support of economic development and the elimination of poverty. However, historical experience suggests that, far from being an anomaly, it is reverse flows of resources from developing countries to developed countries that are the rule. Since these reverse flows generally follow periods of financial crisis, policy has sought to reinforce the stability of developing countries' domestic financial systems and to ensure that the domestic environment is attractive to high foreign investment flows.

While reverse flows are clearly detrimental to the amount of domestic resources available to increase living conditions and per capita growth rates in developing countries, they are the natural result of the use of relatively short-term lending by both multilateral and private financial institutions at market or penal interest rates.

The theory of financial fragility associated with the work of Minsky and Domar can be used to assess the plausibility of a development policy based on external resources. Domar notes that since a trade surplus will require a capital-account outflow that will eventually generate a reverse flow of interest payments and profit remittances, the policy can only succeed as long as increases in capital outflows balance the increasing inflows for capital services. His formal condition for the success of such a policy is that the rate of increase of capital outflows must be at least equal to the rate of interest earned on the foreign lending.

Since development based on positive financial flows implies a trade deficit, Domar's argument applies with signs reversed: positive external resource inflows can only be maintained if they increase at a rate equal to the rate of interest paid to the developed country lenders. But even under these conditions the flows are equivalent to what Minsky calls a "Ponzi" investment profile that exhibits extreme financial fragility with the possibility of a reversal of resource flows and financial crisis irrespective of whether the funds are used for productive or wasteful purposes and irrespective of the robustness of the financial system and the attractiveness of the domestic environment.

External capital flows as a basis for development policy are thus a two edged-sword that must be managed in order to generate positive benefits in the form of higher rates of growth of per capita incomes. Policy should be directed to providing supplements to private capital flows with a view to allow developing countries to maintain Domar's conditions for sustainability.

Table of contents

	<i>Page</i>
<i>Preface</i>	<i>iii</i>
<i>Abstract</i>	<i>vii</i>
I. External financing for development and net transfers of real resources	1
The history of official support for a policy of positive resource transfers	1
Academic support for positive resource transfers	1
The reality – predominance of reverse resource transfers	2
A secular trend of reverse resource transfers to accompany the terms of trade?	3
II. Minsky’s analysis of financial fragility	4
Financing profiles and financial fragility	4
Financial stability as a chimera	5
III. Minsky in an international context	5
IV. Policy to stabilize external financing	7
Hedge financing profiles for developing countries	7
Preventing a speculative profile from becoming a Ponzi profile – matching inflows and outflows	8
International financial stability, positive resource flows and free international capital flows	9
V. External flows as a sustainable source of development finance	10
VI. The implications of external flows as a Ponzi financing scheme for development policy	12
<i>Notes</i>	<i>15</i>

EXTERNAL FINANCING FOR DEVELOPMENT AND INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL INSTABILITY

Jan Kregel*

I. External financing for development and net transfers of real resources

The history of official support for a policy of positive resource transfers

One of the interesting paradoxes of development policy is the widespread acceptance of the necessity of external financing for successful economic development and the historical persistence of net financial flows from developing to developed countries. From the first UN resolutions on financing development,¹ to the creation of the International Finance Corporation in the IBRD,² to the UN Special Fund and the UNDP,³ to the First UN Development Decade,⁴ and the Alliance for Progress, up to the recent Monterrey Consensus, the thrust of international development policy⁵ has continued to stress the importance of high and stable capital flows from developed to developing countries, although the central role in the process has shifted from emphasis on multilateral and bilateral official flows to private flows.

One of the major recommendations of the Committee of Twenty, formed to propose a reform of the international monetary system after the breakdown of the dollar peg to gold in 1971, led to the creation of a Joint Ministerial Committee of the Boards of Governors of the Bank and the Fund on the Transfer of Real Resources to Developing Countries to study

and recommend measures on the broad question of the transfer of real resources to developing countries, which the Committee agreed should be given encouragement.⁶

Six months earlier, in response to the implications of the energy crisis the Sixth Special Session of the General Assembly had adopted a Declaration and Programme of Action calling for a New International Economic Order,⁷ and in 1977 in a General Assembly Resolution entitled "Finance for Development" (A/32/177) requested the Secretary General of UNCTAD to convene a group of high-level experts to prepare a report on the subject. In the mid-1980s the General Assembly called for a report⁸ on the net transfer of resources that eventually led to the Monterrey Conference on Financing for Development.

Academic support for positive resource transfers

This emphasis on the net transfer of resources as represented by external capital inflows as essential to the development process was buttressed by early academic work on development planning which looked to the model of the planned economies, derived from Volume II of Marx's *Capital*, concentrated on investment in heavy industry and

* This paper was prepared while the author served as Interregional Adviser at UNCTAD.

the models of economic growth that had been developed on the basis of Keynes's theory of employment all of which, following Keynes, gave a central role to investment. Since developing countries had scant capacity to produce such investment goods and levels of income insufficient to produce the savings required to finance high rates of investment, the obvious solution seemed to be to replace deficient domestic savings with foreign savings in the form of capital inflows. The importance of external financing was reinforced by the "return to an old-fashioned way of looking at economic development" as requiring a burst of investment spending to produce a "take-off" defined as an "industrial revolution" in Rostow's theory of stages in development.⁹

The reality – predominance of reverse resource transfers

But, while official policy may have been directed at channelling the funds of developed countries to developing countries to finance their growth, the historical reality has been quite different. Brazilian President Getulio Vargas, in a speech at the end of 1951, complained that Brazil had been experiencing negative net liquid financial flows continuously from 1939 (with 1947 the exception).¹⁰

An analysis of the net contribution of financial resources to Latin America under the Alliance for Progress concluded that debt service "rose from 6 per cent of the region's export earnings in 1955 to 18 per cent in 1966. The repayment and interest burden on loans made available by the U.S. government and other donors, added to the already heavy debt burden, could put most of the larger Latin American countries on a debt treadmill, with a large part of the Alliance loans being absorbed in repayment of previous loans."¹¹ Another observer noted the amount of aid initially agreed "may prove even more deficient with the continuance of the outflow of U.S. private capital, estimated at \$37 million for the first 9 months of 1962, versus an inflow of \$141 million in 1961, an unfavorable swing already of about \$180 million, and a deficit from the Punta del Este goal, for U.S. direct investment alone, of about one-third for \$1 billion. In addition, the deficiency will become even larger still if the inflow of private capital, other than U.S. direct investment, declines from the 1961 level of \$947 millions, and it appears likely that this has already happened ..."¹²

The Eighteenth Session of the General Assembly in 1963 recognized the problem of the outflow of capital¹³ and by the Twenty-first Session in 1966 expressed concern with "the recent trend towards an increased outflow of capital from developing countries" and requested study of "possible measures to be taken in order limit or decrease the outflow of capital from the developing to the developed countries"¹⁴ and also noted "with deep concern the fact that, with few exceptions, the transfer of external resources to the developing countries has not only failed to reach the minimum target of 1 per cent net of individual national income of the developed countries but that the trend since 1961 has been of continuous decline."¹⁵

The Report by the Secretary General of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development in 1964 noted that between 1950 and 1961 "net inflows of foreign capital of all types to [Latin America] reached the figure of \$9,600 million, whereas Latin American remittances abroad amounted to \$13,400 million."¹⁶ This was not, however, the first experience of reverse financial flows to the region,¹⁷ nor was it to be the last.¹⁸

After nearly a decade of the Alliance for Progress, which was to promote increased public capital flows to Latin America in order to attract more private financing, ex-Chilean finance minister Gabriel Valdes is reported to have told President Nixon in a meeting at the White House on 12 June 1969 that "it is generally believed that our continent receives real financial aid. The data show the opposite. We can affirm that Latin America is making a contribution to financing the development of the United States and of other industrialized countries. Private investment has meant and does mean for Latin America that the sums taken out of our continent are several times higher than those that are invested. ... In one word, we know that Latin America gives more than it receives."¹⁹

The recycling of petro-currency surpluses to developing countries in the 1970s caused attention to shift from the lack of flows to excessive flows, and the build up of unsustainable debt burdens and the role of capital flows in financial crisis, as first the Southern Cone financial crisis and then the Mexican default added two new dimensions – debt and financial crisis, to the discussion of the role of external financing and net resource transfer in the development process.²⁰

Indeed, it was the reversal of both private and public financial flows²¹ and nearly a decade of negative net transfers of real resources though net flows of capital from developing to developed countries in the 1980s that led to the call by the G-77 countries in 1987 for a United Nations Conference on Financing for Development to ascertain measures that could reverse what was still considered to be an anomalous situation. It was in this context that the concept of the “negative net transfer of real resources” became the basis for discussion of external financing of development within the United Nations System.²² The return of flows to developing countries in the early 1990s again turned attention away from the problem of reversal of net flows, although the problems of unsustainable indebtedness remained sufficiently severe for the least developed countries that, some twenty years after UNCTAD had called attention to the problem, the Heavily Indebted Poor Countries (HIPC) initiative was launched in 1996 to deal with unsustainable official indebtedness.

Although the positive net inflows experienced by developing countries in the early 1990s as the Brady restructuring process got underway, along with the widespread adoption of structural adjustment policies based on the Washington Consensus, led to a belief that the issue of financial flows was becoming manageable, the Tequila Crisis in 1994, followed by the Asian crisis of 1997, the Russian default in 1998, the Brazilian exchange rate crisis of 1999 and the collapse of the Argentine Convertibility Law in 2001 produced a return of negative net flows for many countries and renewed support for the Financing for Development initiative in the United Nations.

A secular trend of reverse resource transfers to accompany the terms of trade?

It is interesting that while there has been a great deal of discussion concerning the existence and implications of the secular decline in the terms of trade, there has been no discussion of the existence and implications of what appears to have been a similar tendency of negative real resource flows from developing to developed countries. Rather than recognizing the dangers in the form of excessive external debt and financial crisis involved in relying on external financing as a source of financing development, discussion of the problem in the after-

math of the Asian Crisis and the 1998 Global Liquidity Crunch focused on reforming the International Financial Architecture. The discussion took two forms. Initially emphasis was placed on the need for global liquidity to counter rapid capital flow reversals and to provide an international lender of last resort facility to counter conditions similar to those that prevailed in the end of 1998, when even good quality developed country borrowers found it difficult to obtain financing. However, the discussion quickly turned from questions related to the design of the system to reinforcing the internal plumbing of the existing system by creating financial institutions and financial systems that were sufficiently robust to withstand the volatility of global capital flows without falling into crisis. While this approach sought a solution to one aspect of reliance on external financing for development – the increasing frequency of financial crisis – it did nothing to counter the persistence of negative net financial flows that remained the rule rather than the exception.

The Monterrey Consensus, through its “holistic” approach, implicitly recognized that the problems of external financing were inextricably linked to the problems of unsustainable debt creation and debt burdens, the sharp reversal of external flows and the relation of both to the increasing prevalence of financial crises in countries that had experienced periods of positive external capital inflows. However, it provided little in the way of concrete measures for reversing the trend, other than noting that developing countries bore the responsibility for their own development, basically through the implementation of domestic policies to generate more domestic resources and to attract more external resource flows.²³ The basic framework was one in which the appropriate domestic policies and the creation of a stable international financial environment through the introduction of a number of best practice reforms in operation, supervision and regulation of financial institutions and markets in developing countries would allow external financing to play its presumed positive role in furthering development strategies.

However, anyone familiar with the history of international financial markets in the 19th and 20th centuries might well be sceptical concerning the possibilities of success for the current efforts to create a new international financial architecture capable of producing a stable financial environment.²⁴ But aside from historical scepticism, there are theoretic-

cal reasons to suggest that even in the absence of such factors as financial fraud, venality, irrational exuberance, rational bubbles, herding and pro-cyclical policies, volatility of financial flows and abrupt capital reversals may be the normal state of affairs in financial markets and that attempts to produce stabilization may in fact be counter-productive. That financial instability may be the result of an endogenous process itself resulting from successful financial economic stabilization was a central thesis of Hyman Minsky's work²⁵ on the process of financing domestic capital accumulation. The implication of his theoretical description of the evolution of financial markets in closed economies suggests that even if success were to be achieved in creating a stable financial system, it would soon become unstable of its own accord.

II. Minsky's analysis of financial fragility

Minsky's basic framework highlights the relationship between domestic business firms and the domestic banks that lend to them. However, the different types of repayment profiles that Minsky sets out to classify the potential fragility of the system have general application.²⁶ In particular, they can be applied to developing countries that rely on international financial markets to supplement the resources necessary for their development through positive net resource flows. That these flows should normally be positive is supported by the argument that since developing countries have higher prospective rates of return on domestic investment than more advanced industrial countries, and since their lower incomes are accompanied by lower savings ratios than in developed countries, efficient markets should intermediate a steady flow of lending to developing countries. This provides a mutually beneficial result of allowing developed country savers to exploit the higher returns while allowing developing countries to exploit their higher growth potential. Thus developing countries will be in the same position as a firm raising finance for investment.²⁷

Financing profiles and financial fragility

Minsky defines debt repayment profiles starting from the balance sheet of the firm, noting that the income-generating capital investments on the

asset side of the balance sheet have been financed by the issue of liabilities carrying cash payment commitments on the liability side. The repayment profiles classify the relation between the interest, dividend and amortization payment commitments generated by the liabilities and the flows of income generated by operating the capital assets. For firms the cash commitments are usually known with perfect certainty, as with fixed interest obligations, or under the control of the firm, as with dividends, while the latter may be highly volatile and subject to market or systemic factors outside the direct control of the firm. In difference from firms, sovereign borrowers face conditions in which both cash commitments and cash receipts are subject to volatility and uncertainty and thus outside their control.

The standard or benchmark profile is one in which in every future period the firm has a more than sufficient cushion of expected cash flow receipts to easily honour its debt-servicing commitments even in the presence of a chance rise in interest costs or decline in sales or prices or increases in costs. The firm with a "hedge" financing profile is thus virtually a risk free borrower. However, the majority of borrowers using financial leverage fall into what Minsky describes as a "speculative" profile, in which the firm may not have cash flows sufficient to meet its outgoing payments on debt in some future periods, but over the life of the loan or the investment project it will be able to make good any shortfall. In financial jargon, the net present value of the project that is being financed is positive, even though receipts in some periods may be negative or insufficient to cover debt service – but if the lender is patient principal and interest will be paid in full.

The most famous of the profiles Minsky proposed is "Ponzi finance", which arises when some unexpected and unforeseen internal or external event or occurrence is inflicted unto a firm with a speculative financing profile. As a result, it finds itself in a position where it cannot meet its current cash commitments and there is little expectation of it being able to do so for a sufficient number of future periods that the net present value of the investment being financed by the lender becomes negative. It cannot meet its liabilities by liquidating its assets at their current fair value – the firm is insolvent. To stay current on its commitments and remain in operation, the firm has to attract new lending to pay what it owes in debt service each period. It thus has to convince the original lender to increase the size of the

existing loan, or get new loans from other lenders, even though it has little prospect of being able to service its existing loans – unless it is successful in getting additional funding in the future.

There is a major difference in the way a speculative finance firm and a Ponzi financing firm face their creditors. The main objective that the speculative firm has to achieve is to convince the banker that the project is economically viable if carried to its completion. On the other hand for the Ponzi firm, the main objective is not so much the economic viability of the project being financed – since it no longer is viable if current and expected future conditions persist – but rather to convince lenders that it will be able to continue to borrow in order to meet its debt service.²⁸ Lenders have to be convinced that the borrower will be able to meet debt service, even if it is just in convincing them that there will always be a greater fool to lend the firm the money it owes them. It is clear why this represents a condition of extreme financial fragility, for once the firm fails to raise the funding necessary to meet current interest costs and doubts arise in the mind of the lender, the pyramid comes crashing down like a house of cards in a financial collapse that will not only lead to the collapse of the borrower, but also may challenge the solvency of the lenders since there is no positive value to be claimed in lieu of payment.

The profiles provide a ranking of the potential for a financial crisis of the borrower and the impact on the lender when there is a change in external factors, such as interest rates. A hedge profile requires the largest changes in receipts or commitments to become a speculative profile, while a firm that starts out in speculative financing may become a Ponzi financing profile with a much smaller variation in internal or external conditions since its margin of safety represented by the excess of expected receipts over certain commitments is lower.

Financial stability as a chimera

Minsky's theory is one of endogenously increasing financial fragility, based on the idea that as an expansion continues, both borrowers and lenders are willing to engage in activity with lower margins of safety.²⁹ An economy dominated by firms with hedge financing profiles therefore will gradually be transformed into an economy characterized by specu-

lative finance which can be pushed ever more easily into Ponzi financing. Once negative net present values start to predominate, the problems of the borrowers also become the problems of the lenders, since the firms' liabilities are on the balance sheets of the lenders as assets. Thus a decision by a lender to stop lending is a decision to recognize that what had been carried on its balance sheet as a positive value now has none, which now has to be taken as a charge against earnings and then against capital. If the lender had issued liabilities, as most financial institutions do, then the value of these liabilities becomes questionable and its lenders may withdraw, leading to what Minsky, following Irving Fisher, called a debt deflation. Borrowers attempt to sell assets to repay liabilities, which causes the value of the assets to plunge further, as investors "sell position to make position", creating a downward spiral in which everyone is a seller and prices continue to fall, causing even hedge units to be driven into speculative and then Ponzi financing. The result is a crisis in which no borrower or lender is able to meet commitments and debt servicing is suspended.

III. Minsky in an international context

This general framework has a ready application to sovereign developing country borrowers. The cash to meet existing payment commitments on outstanding indebtedness can come from five possible sources:

- a positive net balance on goods and non-factor services trade;
- foreign exchange reserves generated by past current account surpluses;
- multilateral or bilateral public development assistance;
- net private capital inflows; or
- foreign debt forgiveness.

In the early postwar period the latter two options were not relevant since the Bretton Woods System frowned on private capital flows and kept them to a minimum in the form of short-term trade credits. Countries were encouraged to have hedge financial profiles, with balanced external payments positions and reserves sufficient to act as a margin

of safety against fluctuations in earnings. When the cushion of official reserves was not sufficient to meet payments and keep exchange rates from speculative attack, reserves could be supplemented by official lending by multilateral institutions such as the IMF. The majority of such lending was to industrialized countries with balance of payments difficulties caused by internal or external shocks that turned what could be classified as a “hedge” financing profile into a “speculative” profile, in which they could not meet payment for current goods and services at the existing fixed exchange rate. In exchange for temporary bridge financing from the IMF, the country agreed to adopt tight monetary and fiscal policies designed to reduce income sufficiently to bring about a fall in imports relative to exports (that were supposed to rise but usually also fell, but by less) in order to produce a reverse flow of resources in the form of a current account surplus that could be used to repay the official lending and replenish reserves. It is clear that such a system carried a deflationary bias since all countries could not have hedge financing profiles unless there was an external source of liquid reserves via a lender of last resort.

The basic philosophy behind this approach was that a commitment to a fixed exchange rate was identical to the commitment to pay in a timely fashion included in any financial contract so that devaluation was equivalent to a partial default on debt service to non-resident holders of domestic assets. The system was organized on the presumption that, on average and over time, countries applying appropriate monetary and fiscal policies to preserve price stability would have a balanced external position and would always be able eventually to meet their financial commitments in terms of foreign currency at their declared par rate. Bretton Woods was a system organized for a world of more or less similar industrialized countries living in a world where “hedge finance” predominated as the norm with individual countries occasionally falling into speculative mode due to an unforeseen internal (excessive wage increases relative to productivity) or external shock (loss of a protected export market), which could be countered or offset by changes in internal (domestic absorption) policies. While the adjustments were implemented the payment shortfalls were met by official lending. It was only in the extreme case of fundamental disequilibrium that exchange adjustments (expenditure switching) were contemplated as a complement to internal adjustment policies.³⁰ Thus the accumulated stocks of external sovereign

debt of most countries remained very low and the majority of international capital flows involved direct investments, for example by American companies setting up operations in Europe before the creation of the common external tariff of the European Economic Community and in Latin American countries, primarily in the areas of natural resource extraction.³¹

However, after the collapse of convertibility of the dollar in 1971 and of fixed exchange rates in 1973, which is normally considered the end of the Bretton Woods System, default on domestic currency denominated external commitments became acceptable in the form of flexible exchange rates. Thus, this form of default risk which had been born by the multilateral financial system and by national governments in the form of the cost of reserve balances was shifted to the individual lender. As a result, foreign loans tended to be denominated in the currency of the lender. It also brought to an end the role of the IMF as sole provider of international liquidity and with fixed exchange rates no longer the lynchpin of the system, freer international capital flows became increasingly important, first in providing adjustment finance, but more importantly in making it possible to reap the efficiency gains thought to accrue from allowing the market to allocate capital internationally on the basis of highest returns. As already noted, it had long been taught that developing countries provided higher returns because their low domestic savings had prevented them from fully exploiting investment opportunities while developed countries with excess savings faced diminishing returns. Thus overall returns would be increased if free international capital flows allowed developed country savers to access the higher returns available in developing countries, allowing them to borrow to increase their savings and accelerate their investment and growth performance.³²

Whether or not the presumption that risk-adjusted returns in developing countries are superior to developed countries is correct, the rise in lending to developing countries in Latin America as petrodollars were recycled, followed by the sharp reversal of United States interest rates and the appreciation of the dollar, quickly converted what had been speculative financial profiles of these countries into Ponzi profiles. The initial remedy required developing countries to produce current account surpluses to meet the debt service, resulting in the distressing return of negative net resource transfers and requir-

ing such substantial declines in income that it produced the so-called the “lost decade” of growth in Latin America and the risk of political instability. A solution was eventually found in the Brady Plan, which given the rejection of default employed the natural response to a Ponzi financing profile, i.e. borrowing more to meet outstanding financial commitments. The over-indebted Latin American countries sought to create conditions in which they could attract the additional borrowing required to meet debt service, in particular by finally burying the Bretton Woods preference for official capital flows and opening their capital accounts. The decision was supported by the belief in the increased efficiency that would result from free international capital markets. But, this implied prolonging the implicit Ponzi financial profile. Such a strategy to allow developed country lenders and developing country borrowers to emerge from the crisis was simply to prolong what was by Minsky’s definition “financial fragility”, for its success depended on the willingness of lenders to continue to lend. However, the rapid return of financial inflows to developing countries in the beginning of the 1990s noted above hid the inherent fragility and in many circles a new view of development strategy became dominant and deregulated, open and competitive internal markets with free international capital flows were seen as the necessary and sufficient conditions for a successful development strategy.

IV. Policy to stabilize external financing

Hedge financing profiles for developing countries

From the perspective of Minsky’s balance sheet approach, financial fragility may be reduced by measures that ensure that firms maintain hedge financing profiles by financial management that insures that exogenous changes in cash commitments are matched by changes in cash inflows to meet them. By analogy, the way to achieve a more stable international financial system is to ensure that developing countries stay as close as possible to hedge financing profiles. This means ensuring that net export earnings are always sufficient to cover their debt servicing needs in every future period. Since net export earnings for developing countries are generally highly volatile due to reliance on a small number

of export commodities with highly variable demand and prices, this might involve calculation of the volatility of net exports over a period of time and then limiting borrowing to the amount that generates debt service equal to average net export earnings less a cushion of safety represented by two standard deviations. Reserves could be held to cover all or part of the two standard deviation cushion of safety over debt service.³³ However, reserves and private credit lines that also have been used are generally very costly since the former usually have a negative carry³⁴ and the latter include international risk premia on private lending. One method of reducing these costs of reserves would be inter-regional reserve pooling arrangement across countries with different compositions of their export baskets.³⁵ This comes close to the idea behind Keynes’s Clearing Union proposal which represented the pooling of reserves across surplus and deficit countries. Alternatively, countries limiting their debt service to average net export earnings could be given unconditional automatic drawing rights on their reserve tranche, as originally proposed for the International Monetary Fund,³⁶ or SDR balances of an amount equal to the required cushion of safety.

An alternative means of supplementing the reserve cushion suggests that central banks purchase far “out of the money” put options on their currencies as a technique for defending the exchange rate against the effects of large speculative outflows.³⁷ Since out of the money options have minimal premia the strategy would have low costs and as the currency weakens with declining capital inflows the options could be exercised to provide additional reserves. Taylor points out that this positive influence on reserves will exist even if the central bank uses the foreign exchange to sterilize the funds used to exercise the put contract.

There are many other potential uses of options for official intervention in the foreign exchange markets. For example, the sale of covered calls on foreign currency could also be used as part of the defence of an upper limit for a country’s exchange rate. Likewise, in order to prevent an undesired currency appreciation due to excessive capital inflows, the central bank might write put options on a foreign currency. The Hannoun Report³⁸ even raised the possibility that by actively writing option contracts it would reduce option premia and thereby reduce implied volatility and produce a desired signalling effect helping to counter disorder in foreign

exchange markets. The net result of such action is not straightforward for changes in prices due to reduced implied volatility would have an impact on the delta hedging of options positions by dealers.

A rather different approach is suggested by Hinshaw's observation that the United States traditionally has supported its commercial surplus with a deficit on unilateral invisibles transfers rather than capital outflows. In addition to grants-in-aid, and military transfers, labour immigration through migrant remittances creates a stabilizing item in the labour factor services account of developing countries so that easier developed country immigration policy for workers from developing countries could replace both giving and lending as a means of increasing international financial stability.

Preventing a speculative profile from becoming a Ponzi profile – matching inflows and outflows

The second aspect of Minsky's approach is to ensure that countries that are hit by external shocks that transform their financing profiles from "hedge" to "speculative" should be able to return quickly to hedge financing, rather than being transformed into Ponzi financing. Here the provision of temporary liquidity is important, as is the necessity to ensure that external shocks do not have an asymmetric impact on cash flows and debt payment commitments. This would involve the specification of financial liabilities that are linked through a derivative contract to cash inflows, i.e. to either the sales or prices of exports.

Whether or not open financial markets and free financial flows provide net additional resources to a country, they should provide a more efficient means of changing the profile of future cash flows and bearing the risks over the occurrence of such flows. Thus, just as a bank attempts to manage its interest rate and liquidity risks or a firm attempts to manage its interest rate or foreign exchange exposure on foreign earnings a developing country should attempt to manage its own financial fragility by managing its balance sheet so as to match its earnings and commitments.

This is a different objective than attempting to borrow enough reserves to build up an arsenal or a *blindaje* that wards off speculators in the short term, but creates even greater financing difficulties in the

medium to long term because it raises on going debt service due to the negative carry on the borrowed reserved.

An example of matching cash receipts and cash commitments by means of natural hedges already exist, although they have not been fully exploited due to the traditional approach of providing loans to produce adjustment practised by the IMF. Par and Discount bonds issued by Mexico, Venezuela, Nigeria and Uruguay in exchange for their defaulted commercial bank loans in their Brady restructurings carried "Value Recovery Rights", an instrument similar to a warrants on a bond giving the holder the right to buy stock at a fixed price in the issuer and thus to participate in any upside movement in earnings, entitling the holders to payments in addition to the stipulated fixed interest coupon when the issuer government sold more petroleum than the average amount for a specified base year or years, or at more than an average price for that year. Thus as debtor government cash flows from assets in the form of receipts from petroleum sales increase either from an increase in sales or an increase in price, the cash outflows increases, and increases the effective rate of return on the outstanding bonds. Of course, ideally such instruments should be designed to have a symmetrical impact on cash inflows and outflows, so that when financing ability declines the cash commitments decline in step.

Another approach³⁹ proposes that the government whose foreign exchange earnings are heavily exposed to a specific industry (such as oil) swap the return on government-owned companies (or the flow of tax or royalty payments) for the return on a developed country or global equity index portfolio whose return would be less volatile than oil prices. This should lower the spread on government bonds since the volatility of the income stream now servicing the bonds will be that of the equity index. However, while such a proposal should reduce volatility, it would not produce full hedging since developed country stock prices are likely to be highly correlated to commodity prices, and in particular to petroleum prices.

An alternative method to match inflows to outflows relies on participation of the private sector in providing liquidity to a country that is unable to meet its current commitments.⁴⁰ Instead of providing emergency bailout funding, the IMF would purchase American put options from creditworthy private sector financial institutions that give the Fund the right

but no obligation to sell to the writers of the options floating rate notes issued by the major emerging governments that are international borrowers if they are in difficulty in meeting their cash commitments because of a reversal of flows or an external shock. The notes would be issued with a short maturity and carry a high, variable interest rate and would be publicly traded. This provides an automatic inflow of funds from the private sector when the country is facing difficulty and would provide bridge funds that permit the crisis borrower to restructure its outstanding debt, if necessary, and to obtain long term financing both from the capital markets and from the development banks for structural adjustment programs. The condition on the exercise of the options would be agreement by the issuing government to an IMF sanctioned adjustment program or fulfilment of the preconditions of an IMF Contingent Credit Line (CCL), with no presumption of IMF or bilateral official financing.

A slightly different approach to the same problem would have the multilateral financial institutions create an investment fund that would intervene in the sovereign debt market of a country in difficulty meeting its commitments, offering to buy all its outstanding debt stock at a large discount from the expected value in the event of restructuring. This is equivalent to having the multilateral financial institutions writing put options at far out of the money strike prices on a developing country's outstanding debt, setting a floor to the market price since the buyer of the option would always be certain to be able to sell the debt at the strike price. If this occurs and the country eventually recovers and the price of its debt rises, the profits would accrue to the investment fund.⁴¹

Emerging markets borrowers have recently used a number of different types of innovative financial instruments to smooth the time profile of their stream of future payments commitments such as issuing bonds with a (European) put option that allows the investor to redeem the bond at a pre-determined date before the maturity date. If the government believes that its credit rating will improve and the price of its bonds increase over time as spreads decline, investors will have no interest in early redemption and the option will not be exercised. This would allow the government to issue longer maturity debt and spread its payments commitments more closely to its expected cash inflows. However, this strategy is based on the presumption of improvement in fu-

ture conditions and if this is not the case they will increase the cost of debt service if the option is exercised and contribute to precipitating a crisis.

The use of these sorts of hedging instruments has a cost, but so does the use of contingent credit lines or preemptive borrowing to hold additional reserves. But the costs involved in such hedges increase when times are good, rather than increasing when times are bad and thus provide stability to the financing profile. In effect, balance sheet approach to financial stability attempts to blend the variable cash flow aspects normally associated with equity instruments with the fixed cash flow aspects of bonds.⁴² This can be seen by taking the extreme example of defaulted Argentinean foreign currency debt. The government has declared that it will pay only what is available as a result of the successful return of growth, and thus profitability, to Argentina Inc. Thus, the secondary market value of Argentina's defaulted debt has little to do with its credit agency rating based on the probability of meeting its fixed interest payments, but rather on its expected profitability. Bondholders thus share in profits in a similar way to corporate shareholders. This is equivalent to having a call option (the right, but not the obligation, to buy) on a company. If the company goes bankrupt the holder of the option loses the cost of the option given by what he paid for the shares, but if the company is profitable, the holder profits from the difference between the strike price at which he can buy the company and the net present value of its discounted future earnings due to the increasing profitability. For the emerging market bond holder the potential loss is the price paid for the bond, while the potential earnings are no longer the defaulted interest payments, but the amount of the increased earnings used to meet those payments. Thus, failing agreement on rapid restructuring, improving economic performance may be the most appropriate way for a country to improve its international credit rating and to restore confidence in its ability to meet its external financial commitments.

International financial stability, positive resource flows and free international capital flows

However, there are two important deficiencies in all these proposals to provide stability in the international financial system by ensuring hedge financing profiles or providing liquidity to tempo-

rary speculative profiles. First, they suffer from the same fallacy of composition that Keynes attempted to eliminate through his proposal for automatic liquidity through the Clearing Union – not all countries can simultaneously attain hedge, or even speculative profiles. Second, imposing hedge or speculative profiles on developing countries implicitly prevents the global increase in welfare that is presumed to result from free mobility of international capital and the use of net resource transfers from developed to developing countries in simultaneous support of both global growth and development. This is because a hedge profile implies that the country's cash inflows match or exceed their cash outflows, which means an external surplus and reverse resource transfers.

V. External flows as a sustainable source of development finance

It is possible to see the difficulties involved in providing hedging mechanisms to produce stability in positive net financial flows from developed to developing countries by reference to the analysis of a similar problem raised in the slightly different context of the appropriate policy for post-war economic recovery in a developed country. At that time development issues per se were not the focus of attention. The majority of what were to become developing countries were not yet independent nations. The major problem of development financing was reconstruction of the devastated productive capacity of the European economies. The major policy concern was the possibility that even those countries that had emerged with their productive capacity intact would return to the pre-war conditions of depression with the returning military combatants joining another army – the reserve army of the unemployed. However the idea of using a Keynesian policy of debt financed public investment was not well received and economists sought other alternatives.⁴³

The United States had emerged from the war with a substantial commercial trading surplus as the major supplier for the Allied armies, and a current account surplus due to its position as the major source of war finance. Keynes's theory of aggregate demand suggested that net exports provided an alternative source of demand enhancement and alternative proposals to ensure post-war recovery involved the possibility of avoiding debt-financing of government

expenditure by relying on a permanent trade surplus.⁴⁴ Discussion quickly turned to a problem similar to that raised in objection to debt finance in the form of the accumulation of interest on the foreign lending that would be required to support a permanent commercial surplus. Maintaining a constant trade surplus (or trade surplus as a share of income) would require capital outflows in the form of foreign lending of an equivalent amount (or share of income), given reserves and exchange rates. But, the foreign lending would soon generate return flows of interest and profits remittances which would create a surplus on the factor services balance of the current account. In the absence of any change in the amount of capital outflows the trade surplus would have to shrink to accommodate the increased factor services balance. Alternatively, foreign lending would have to rise each year by an amount sufficient to cover the increasing earnings from interest and profits. In the former case the trade balance and the impact on demand would disappear, in the latter an ever-increasing capital outflow would be required.

Domar, recognizing the similarity with his earlier argument on the sustainability of debt-financed public investment, provided the answer⁴⁵ that again turned on the interest rate. As long as capital outflows increased at a rate that was equal to the rate of interest received from the outstanding loans to the rest of the world, the inflows created on factor service account by the interest and profit payments would just be offset so there would be no net impact on the trade balance. On the other hand, if interest rates were higher than the rate of increase in foreign lending the policy would become self-defeating and the trade balance eventually become negative to offset the rising net capital service inflows. Eventually the continually rising factor service flows would turn the trade balance negative.

At the time the discussion was not concerned with the impact of the United States policy on the rest of the world – the idea was to find a way to full employment that did not require domestic borrowing. Foreign lending seemed clearly favourable to domestic borrowing on both political and economic grounds. Few recognized that this policy was precisely what would be required if the developed world were to provide the finance for the developing world – positive net resource flows from developed to developing countries – that has been the basis of development policy in the post-war period. Reversing Domar's analysis allows analysis of this problem,

but now from the point of view of a developing country as the recipient of the foreign lending.

Foreign capital is required to finance the excess of imports of necessary consumption goods and capital goods over exports required for the development plan – these are the positive net resource flows encouraged by policy. A development strategy based on external financing implies a trade deficit balanced by foreign capital inflows. But, the obverse of the argument for a developed country says that the deficit on goods trade will soon generate debt service payment outflows that cause the current account deficit to increase unless the trade deficit is reduced to accommodate a fixed level of capital inflows. Alternatively, capital inflows would have to rise to accommodate the rising current account deficit caused by the increased payments on capital factor services account for any given goods account deficit. Following Domar's argument for developed countries, it is only possible to maintain a development strategy based on net imports financed by foreign capital inflows if the interest rates on the foreign borrowing are equal to the rate of increase of foreign borrowing. If interest rates are higher than the rate of increase of inflows, just as in the case of a developed country seeking to preserve full employment through a permanent trade surplus,⁴⁶ the policy will eventually and automatically become self-reversing as the current account becomes dominated by interest and profit remittances that exceed capital inflows.⁴⁷

It is important to note that increased exports will do little to eliminate this problem. For example, in the case of a fixed level of capital inflows a rise in exports to offset the rising debt service will reduce the net trade deficit and thus the net resource inflow available to finance development. The same will be true if exports rise to meet the excess of capital remittances over increasing capital inflows, for this will also lead to a reduced deficit on goods account.

With respect to the stability of the financial system, it is interesting to note that the Domar conditions for a sustained long-term development strategy based on external financing, on sustained positive net resource transfers are the precise equivalent of the conditions required for a successful Ponzi financing scheme. As long as the rate of increase in inflows from new investors in a pyramid or Ponzi scheme is equal or greater than the rate of interest

paid to existing investors in the scheme there is no difficulty in maintaining the scheme. However, no such scheme in history has ever been successful – they are bound to fail, eventually by the increasing size of the net debt stock of the operator of the scheme. The historical conditions in which developing countries have been able to benefit from such conditions have been extremely rare – aside from the early 1970s⁴⁸ and the early 1990s – and certainly do not prevail in current private international market conditions where risk premium alone are several multiples of domestic growth rates.

In actual practice it is highly likely that capital inflows will start to fall off as the current account deficit increases beyond some threshold level, currently considered to be around 4 per cent of GDP⁴⁹ and quickly create crisis conditions in which official support is necessary in the form of an official financing. The resolution of the crisis caused by the breakdown of the Ponzi financing scheme is the generation of a negative flow of real resources that is sufficient to generate the external surpluses necessary to resume debt servicing on its private debt and to repay the official lending.

Just as a permanent current account surplus financed by a permanent increase in foreign lending at interest rates higher than the rate of interest on the lending did not provide the United States with a permanent full employment policy, external financing cannot provide developing countries with a permanent development strategy unless the rate of increase of export earnings is equal to the rate of interest on the outstanding debt.⁵⁰ However, when the foreign borrowing is not used for expenditures that create net foreign exchange earnings (it makes little difference if this is domestic infrastructure investment, or purchase of basic or luxury consumption goods, or military equipment) it means that the country's development planning is subject to maintaining the steady rate of increase in capital inflows and becomes hostage to international financial markets. Any external event, which causes inflows to change, will create domestic instability and require domestic adjustments to reduce dependence on external resources, usually leading to financial crisis through failure to meet financial commitments. At the same time, in order to make foreign lenders confident in the country's ability to meet foreign commitments, policies that enhance the short-term ability to pay, such as building up foreign exchange reserves or reducing external dependence by reducing domes-

tic growth to produce a stronger export performance and fiscal balance will be implemented. But, these policies are also self-defeating, since they either reduce the capital inflows that can be maintained on a permanent basis, or reduce the growth of per capita incomes. External financing as a permanent source of development financing is thus a two-edged sword that must be managed judiciously if it is to contribute to development rather than becoming a source of persistent financial instability and crisis.

It is important to note the relation between a policy of development “from without” based on external financing and the debt problem. Just as Domar’s original analysis was designed to find the conditions under which the ratio of debt to national income would stabilize, the analysis of external lending was designed to find the conditions under which the ratio of the current account to national income would stabilize. However, as noted, the stability of the ratio means an ever increasing absolute amount. The ever-rising absolute amount of foreign lending translates into an ever-rising amount of external debt for developing countries whether interest rates are equal or below the rate of increase in inflows. Thus the fact that such policies represent a de facto “Ponzi” financing scheme which creates financial fragility that produces crises and/or reverse resources flows which damage growth is then just a different way of explaining the fact that large external debt burdens tend to have a negative impact on developing country growth.⁵¹

In the early post-war period when official external financing occurred at low interest rates, the Domar condition was probably met⁵² and external financing was a viable long-term strategy and the stress on increasing the flow of official assistance and public funds was appropriate. When the majority of financing shifted to private markets in the early 1970s at negative interest rates with rising flows the strategy was also viable. However, when international interest rates and dollar exchange rates reversed in the late 1970s, the policy was no longer sustainable and financial crises became prevalent.

VI. The implications of external flows as a Ponzi financing scheme for development policy

The implications of the argument concerning the sustainability of external flows should be interpreted carefully. There are three possible general cases.

Case 1, rate of interest on foreign borrowing exceeds rate of increase of capital inflows:

Domar’s argument is made on a comparison of unchanged rates of change over time. On this basis it is possible to conclude that whenever the assumed constant servicing rate on foreign borrowing over time is above the prevailing and assumed constant rate of increase of inflows, the borrowing country will experience continually rising external debt stocks and an eventual crisis and reversal of net resource flows that may lock the economy into a low-level debt trap. A sustained development policy based on external capital is not viable in these conditions.

Case 2, rate of increase of capital inflows equal or greater than rate of interest on foreign borrowing:

On the other hand, even if the Domar sustainability condition is met and the assumed constant servicing rate is equal or below the assumed constant rate of increase in capital inflows, it will still be true that external debt stocks will rise continuously and the borrowing economy will be subject to increasing financial fragility and financial crisis since a small internal or external shock that causes an increase in its net goods account deficit through either a fall-off in export volumes or prices, or an increase in export volumes or prices. Or a reduction in the rate of increase in capital inflows or an increase in the rate of interest on foreign loans will cause reversion to Case 1.

Case 3, rate of increase in capital inflows and interest rates vary over time:

Indeed, the normal case is for both the rate of debt servicing and the rate of capital inflow to be highly variable. Capital surges can bring about sharp increases in inflows that push the rate of increase in inflows above the servicing rate, but such surges also bring about a bunching of repayments in the future

and create large accumulation of non-repatriated profits that can be rapidly reversed when capital flows fall off to rates below the servicing rate, thus aggravating the reversal of resource flows. These fluctuations will be further aggravated if the tenor of lending is particularly short term, as this will increase the variability of both the rate of increase of inflows and the rate of interest.

For Case 1, it is clear that the problem lies with the disparity between the rate of interest and the rate of increase of capital inflows and can be remedied by action to reduce the former or increase the latter. It is interesting that the period of greatest success of external financing occurred when international capital flows were intermediated by the multilateral financial institutions at preferential interest rates and long maturity or through grants-in-aid. However, the international financial system and the reform of its architecture seem to have consistently moved away from this framework to restore a system of private financial flows at market rates which are generally believed to have caused repeated breakdowns of the international system, which the multilateral institutions were created to prevent.

For Case 2, where the strategy is sustainable, the basic problem is to implement a policy of transition which allows the use of the positive resource flows to create domestic productive capacity that allows the borrower to grow at its maximum potential rate without pushing the economy into financial crisis. In order for a development policy based on external flows to be successful, the external resources would have to be dedicated to the creation of a competitive industrial sector⁵³ to increase manufactured goods exports, allowing increased total imports for a given rate of capital inflow and eventually allowing exports to shift to covering debt service, allowing the rate of capital inflows to decline *pari passu* until the current account went into deficit, external debt was fully repaid and the country became a capital exporter with reverse capital flows. However, with free international capital markets a smooth transition of this nature is unlikely since success makes the country a more attractive and a less risky investment destination, so there will be a tendency for flows to increase, making some sort of controls necessary. The goal is to reach an end state in which net export surpluses of goods and services are sufficient to repay foreign borrowing. This policy must thus have as components a policy of export promotion, as well as a policy of controlling the rate of increase

of foreign borrowing and ensuring that the tenor of the borrowing is the same as the length of the development plan. Thus policies to increase the maturity and repayment structure of the lending may be as important as policies to ensure low interest rates.

The alternatives would be for foreign investors to automatically reinvest interest and dividends,⁵⁴ or to avoid the use of fixed interest rate instruments. Domar suggests that “the simplest and most obvious remedy lies not in abstaining from foreign investment which the world needs badly, but in reducing the interest rate on public lending to a minimum consistent with the preservation of international dignity; surely we don’t need the interest as income” (Domar, *op. cit.*, p. 133). While the idea of a cushion of safety in the form of financial resources, Domar’s comment suggests an alternative approach in terms of a cushion determined by the difference between the interest rate paid on foreign lending and the rate of increase in foreign borrowing, e.g. the cushion should be greater than say two standard deviations of the rate of increase in capital inflows. Another form of cushion of safety is suggested in Prebisch’s Report to the First United Nations Conference on Trade and Development: the creation of a fund to provide “compensatory finance” which would be in the form of non-interest-bearing grants in amounts calculated to compensate countries for their terms of trade losses.⁵⁵

Another alternative, given by Ohlin, is to recognize that deregulated open competitive internal markets and sustained international capital inflows are neither necessary nor sufficient conditions for a successful development strategy. He notes that there is “sometimes an indignant presumption that there should always be a net transfer to developing countries in order to help them to import more than they exported. Behind this presumption there is the old idea that countries in the course of their development should be capital importers until they mature and become capital exporters. This, however, does not mean that they should receive positive net transfers, borrowing more than they pay in interest and dividends. ... If export performance and the returns on the use of foreign resources are adequate, foreign debts and investments can be serviced without the aid of new loans.”⁵⁶

This suggests an ideal financing profile in which the Domar stability condition is met for say 20 years while the country builds up investment and

export capacities, but overall positive net inflows are maintained.⁵⁷ Then a 20-year period would follow in which exports are growing more rapidly than the overall economy and the rate of net inflows is declining. This is followed by a third stage in which there is a net outflow of capital and the stock of external borrowing is gradually repaid as productivity, net exports and the external surplus rise so that after say 60 years the country becomes a foreign lender. This ideal scenario is marred by two problems – one is the middle period of transition when the success of exporting will be attracting more capital inflows and causing inappropriate exchange rate appreciation when the ideal scenario requires that flows be reduced so that some controls may be required, and the other is the fact that private markets will not lend for the fifty or sixty year term that the ideal scenario requires, so that the lending will continue to have a large official component. And this must be at concessional terms.

For Case 3, which is in fact a simple extension of Case 2 to real world conditions, the policy must be to try to maintain the Domar sustainability conditions of Case 2. For sharp surges in inflows this may require controls on inflows or an attempt to restructure repayment profiles to eliminate bunching. For sharp reversals in flows some sort of developing country lender of last resort would be required in order to smooth the rate of increase of inflows over time. The Contingent Credit Line of the IMF went some way towards meeting this goal, but its conditions were not conducive to use and it has been abandoned. Given the increased reliance on external financing and private financing, the importance of international liquidity to smooth over volatility has become increasingly important at precisely the time when these institutions' willingness and ability to provide such liquidity has been sharply reduced and what is provided is now provided at market rates and additional conditionality. In most financial systems the discount window of the central bank was not only a source of liquidity to institutions in liquidity difficulty but at rates that were clearly below market since there was no market borrowing available. As a result, fluctuations in inflows have increasingly been transformed from liquidity to solvency problems. The shift from multilateral lending to private lending has thus reduced liquidity, increased interest rates on both normal flows and

distress borrowing flows, and thus increased the financial fragility associated with international borrowing.

The present analysis also suggests that the Bagheot principle that last resort lending should be at penal interest rates to encourage domestic solutions should not be extended to the case of countries experiencing volatility in external flows since it defeats the purpose of development based on external finance by pushing a country back towards Case 1.

For declines in export volumes and prices, there are well-known remedies that have been discussed since the United Nations Conference on Trade and Employment in Havana and the First United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, including developed country policies to ensure full employment of their economies, commodity price stabilization schemes, import targets for developing countries, non-reciprocal trade concession, preferences for developing country exports, regional preferences among developing countries, and compensatory finance to offset losses in the purchasing power of exports due to declines in the terms of trade. For increasing import volumes, some control on the direction of the net resource inflows to ensure the positive transition mentioned for Case 2 is achieved may be required, while compensatory finance covers the losses due to rising import prices.

The Sovereign Debt Restructuring Mechanism (SDRM) arrangements currently under discussion, and the Collective Action Clause (CAC) stipulations that have been included in several recent sovereign bond issues subject to New York legal adjudication, provide for resolution when lenders have decided that a Ponzi scheme cannot be continued, but the point of creating a financial environment in support of development should be to create mechanisms that shield against a country from having a "Ponzi" profile create financial crisis. This will require that countries have some control over the amount of capital that enters the country and its maturity and performance conditions, as well as recognizing that a development strategy built solely on foreign lending is a Ponzi scheme that cannot succeed on a long-term basis any more than full employment in the post-war United States could be built on continuous capital outflows and export surpluses.

Notes

- 1 General Assembly Resolution 400 (V) 20 November 1950 considers “that the domestic financial resources of the under-developed countries, together with the international flow of capital for investment, have not been sufficient to assure the desired rate of economic development, and that the accelerated economic development of under-developed countries requires a more effective and sustained mobilization of domestic savings and an expanded and more stable flow of foreign capital investment”.
- 2 General Assembly Resolution 823(IX) 11 December 1954 requests the IBRD to proceed with the creation of the International Finance Corporation which grew out of the General Assembly’s request for ideas on how to generate Grants-in-aid and low cost loans to developing countries.
- 3 General Assembly Resolution 520 (VI) 12 January 1952 A requests the Economic and Social Council to draw up plans for a special capital fund to provide grants-in-aid and low-interest long-term loans to under-developed countries. Calls for contributions to the United Nations capital development fund were made in Resolution 1317 (XIII) and the fund was created in Resolution 1521 (XV) 15 December 1960. In 1966 (2029(XX)) it was merged with the technical assistance programme to form the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP).
- 4 In 1958 the World Council of Churches proposed a target of 1 per cent for aid flows that was incorporated in the objectives of the First Development Decade, adopted in the UNCTAD Conference of 1964, reconfirmed at the UNCTAD II Conference in 1968 and carried over to the Second Development Decade. UNCTAD II set a target of three-quarters of the total for official development assistance. Gleckman H, “0.7% of GNP for Development Aid: Where did it come from? And What have we lost?”, New York, UN/DESA, mimeo, 1 August 2002. Analysis of the problem by Jan Tinbergen as Head of the Committee of Development Planning set up to monitor progress of the Development Decades reconfirmed the 1 per cent figure in 1966, but made the assumption that only 0.3 per cent would come from private flows, leaving 0.7 per cent from official assistance sources. Emmerij L, Jolly R and Weiss TG, *Ahead of the Curve? UN Ideas and Global Challenges*, Bloomington and Indianapolis, Indiana University Press, 2001, pp. 55–57. This is the figure that still survives in the Monterrey Consensus.
- 5 Although the decision to hold a Conference on Trade and Development in 1964 represented a shift in emphasis from the original stress on financial flows in the First Development Decade as Thant notes in his introduction to the Report of the Secretary of the Conference, “During the past year ... the idea has gained universal acceptance that the development goals of the United Nations have direct implications for international trade and aid. ... It is vital for the world community to create an international trade environment that would facilitate the growth of developing countries, not thwart it.”, “Preface”, *Proceedings of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, Geneva, 23 March–16 June 1964, Vol. II, Policy Statements*, New York, United Nations, (E/CONF.46/141, Vol. II, Sales No. 64. II.B. 12Vol. II) p. 3.
- 6 The Development Committee, as it has come to be called, was established by parallel resolutions of the Boards of Governors of the Bank and the Fund at their annual meetings in October 1974. For its discussion of resource transfers the Committee defined Aggregate Net Resource Flows (ANRFs) as net flows of long-term debt (loan disbursements minus amortization) (plus) official grants (excluding technical assistance) (plus) net flows of equity investment and portfolio equity investment, within the World Bank debtor reporting system. Aggregate Net Transfers of resources are calculated by subtracting loan interest payments and Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) profits from the total of ANRF. See The Development Committee: Its Origins and Achievements, 1974–1990, p. 13, notes 1 and 2. Available on the Committee website in [OriginsArchiv7495.pdf](#).
- 7 “Changes are needed in the area of monetary and financial arrangements if developing countries are to have a better accommodation of their needs for both short-term and long-term resources, and for a coherent framework to regulate their external debt problems” under the New International Economic Order. Correa G, “North-South Dialogue at the United Nations: UNCTAD and the New International Economic Order”, *International Affairs*, 53, April 1977, p. 179.
- 8 In A/41/180. The Report (A/42/272) explicitly linked the buildup of unsustainable debt and negative net transfers by noting the previous period of net transfers from less-advantaged to more advantaged countries following the Treaty of Versailles. The Report defines the net transfer of resources as the difference between net new capital inflows, less the net payments of interest, profits and dividends on prior flows, noting that the amount of domestic resources that a country has available for consumption or investment in its development will be supplemented or reduced by the sign of the net transfer. An annex to the Report for 1996 (A/49/309) makes a distinction in “The concept of net transfer” between “transfer on an expenditure basis” and “transfer on a financial basis”, defining the former as the payments balance on goods, non-factor services and labour factor services earnings such as remittances, and the latter which attempts to distinguish changes in foreign currency reserves from other financial flows defined as the net flows of all financial assets less changes in reserves.
- 9 Rostow WW, *The Stages of Economic Growth*, New York, Cambridge University Press, 1960. Subtitled “A Non-Communist Manifesto”, it promised development without central planning.
- 10 Quoted in Moura A, *Capitais estrangeiros no Brasil*, Editora Brasiliense, São Paulo, 1959, pp. 26–27.
- 11 Perloff HS, *Alliance for Progress A Social Revolution in the Making*, Baltimore, Johns Hopkins University Press for Resources for the Future, 1969, p. 58. Perloff estimates the annual net transfer of resources to the region from all sources around \$4 per capita (*ibid.* p. 53).
- 12 Excerpts from a letter from J.P. Grace to General Clay, Chairman of the President’s Committee to Strengthen the Security of the Free World, reprinted in *Private Investment*

- in Latin America*, Hearings before the Sub-Committee on Latin-American Economic Relationships of the Joint Economic Committee, Congress of the United States 88th Congress, Second Session, 14–16 January 1964, Washington, DC, United States Government Printing Office, 1964, p. 107.
- 13 General Assembly Resolution 1938 (XVIII).
- 14 General Assembly Resolution 2169 (XXI).
- 15 General Assembly Resolution 2170 (XXI).
- 16 “Towards A New Trade Policy for Development: Report by the Secretary of the Conference”, *Proceedings of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, Geneva, 23 March –16 June 1964, Vol.II., Policy Statements*, New York, United Nations, (E/CONF.46/141, Vol. II., Sales No.: 64. II.B.12) p. 13.
- 17 To finance the creation of frontier cities, a bank, port improvements and a water supply system Barings loaned £1 million to what eventually became the Argentine Republic but none of the intended investments were executed and the loan went into default by the end of the decade. Although after paying commissions and underwriting costs only £570,000 was received by the borrowers and the majority of this sum was comprised of trade bills held by Barings on British commercial houses located in Buenos Aires. Argentina continued to make payments during the century and by 1904 some £5 million had been remitted. Cafiero M and Llorens J, *La Argentina Robada*, Buenos Aires, Ediciones Macchi, 2002, pp. 12–15, who quote Pigna F. The effective real rate of interest on the loan, paid in gold, over the eighty years is around 3 per cent per annum.
- External flows returned to Latin America around the middle of the century, only to be followed by the second Barings crisis. The region went into generalized default after the stock market crash in the United States, ushering in another period of sustained negative financial flows.
- 18 During the post-war period many countries continued to retire defaulted debt outstanding from the Great Depression that had not been written down by agreement with creditors. Between 1945 and 1952 the value of outstanding Latin American foreign dollar bonds declined from \$750 million to \$127 million and sterling bonds outstanding declined from £250 million to just over £110 million between 1945 and 1951. See United Nations, *Foreign Capital in Latin America*, 1955, tables XII, XIII. Repayment terms ranged from full payment at par for Haiti and the Dominican Republic, and near full repayment by Argentina, to write-offs of 20 to 50 per cent for Brazil and as much as 80 per cent in the Mexican debt resettlement plan. Many countries used balance of payments surpluses built up during the war as a result of their sales of primary commodities at advantageous prices to repurchase debt in the private market at discounts of up to 90 per cent. Most of this successful process of debt restructuring and repayment took place under the auspices of the Council of the Corporation of Foreign Bondholders that had been in existence since 1868, for sterling paper and the Foreign Bondholders’ Protective Council, a private body set up by the United States government.
- 19 Quoted by Frank AG in “The Underdevelopment Policy of the United States in Latin America”, *NACLA Newsletter*, December 1969, p. 1.
- 20 During the Second United Nations Development Decade it was already clear that the build up of private claims on developing countries caused by the rising incidence of private flows was becoming unsustainable and in 1978 UNCTAD raised the question of debt relief, proposing a mechanism of debt reorganization that would be “carried out within an institutional framework that would ensure the application of the principles of international financial cooperation and protect the interests of debtors and creditors equitably” (TD/B/670 TD/AC.2/7, Annex II, p.2). A more detailed proposal was made in 1980 as developing country indebtedness continued to increase. See section B of TDB resolution 222(XXI) of 27 September 1980 that endorsed a multinational forum to insure expeditious and timely international action to restore the development prospects and the capacity to service short and long term debt by the debtor country, and to protect the interests of the debtors and creditors equitably.
- 21 As noted by Ohlin G, “The Negative Net Transfers of the World Bank”, *International Monetary and Financial Issues for the 1990s*, Vol.V, United Nations, 1995, the World Bank group including IDA contributed to this result during the 1980s with reimbursement by developing countries greater than disbursements by around \$1 billion by 1990. In 2000 the same condition reappeared and by 2002 the negative transfer to the IBRD was nearly \$8 billion.
- 22 The Report of the Secretary General “Net transfer of resources between developing and developed countries” (A/51/291) noting the return of positive flows to developing countries qualified as follows: “An outward net transfer of resources by a developing country is not, per se, something to be decried, even if it is commonly called a ‘negative transfer’. The ‘negative’ comes from the convention in balance-of-payments accounting to show outward payments as negative numbers and inward receipts as positive ones. A negative transfer can be a reflection of economic strength, as when rapid rates of growth generate more domestic savings than the economy can absorb in new investment. The problem in most countries which had negative transfers in the 1980s is that it instead reflected the sudden discontinuance of private financial inflows in the face of large interest and repayment obligations from past inflows.” (*op. cit.*, p. 2).
- 23 It is interesting to note that the recognition of the primary responsibility for development lies in the developing countries and their domestic policies to mobilize domestic resources has been the opening chapter in virtually every United Nations Conference on these issues starting with the first UNCTAD Conference in 1964, some forty years before Monterrey.
- 24 This result is independent of any criticism of the benefits to be gained by introducing best practice techniques in the form of uniform codes and standards in the regulation of developing country financial markets. See comments by Tarullo D at the recent IMF Economic Forum “Is Financial Globalization Harmful for Developing Countries?” (<http://www.imf.org/external/np/tr/2003/tr030527.htm>), “My observations about transition regimes call into question the application of best practices, even the best practices developed in the best of faith by the best kinds of regulators ...” (transcript, p.29).
- 25 Minsky’s hypothesis that financial crises are endogenous events inevitably generated by periods of financial stability evolved a great deal as he attempted more formal

- elaborations of the process. The most complete exposition is H. Minsky, *Stabilizing and Unstable Economy*, Twentieth Century Fund Reports, New Haven, Yale University Press, 1990.
- 26 I have experimented with this extension of Minsky's theory to the international context in a number of papers attempting to explain the financial crises of the 1990s. Kregel J, "Yes, 'IT' Happened Again: The Minsky Crisis in Asia", in Bellofiore R and Ferri P (eds), *Financial Keynesianism and Market Instability*, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar, 2000, pp. 194–212; "East Asia Is Not Mexico: The Difference Between Balance of Payments Crises and Debt Deflations", in Jomo KS, ed., *Tigers in Trouble: Financial Governance, Liberalisation and Crises in East Asia*, London, Zed Press, 1998, pp. 44–62; "Alternative to the Brazilian Crisis", *Revista de Economia Política – Brazilian Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 19, No. 3 (75) July–September 1999, pp. 23–38.
- 27 "The basic argument for international investment of capital is that under normal conditions it results in the movement of capital from countries in which its marginal value productivity is low to countries in which its marginal value productivity is high and that it thus tends toward an equalization of marginal value productivity of capital throughout the world and consequently toward a maximum contribution of the world's capital resources to world production and income." Viner J, "International Finance in the postwar World", *Journal of Political Economy*, 55, April 1947, p. 98.
- 28 Formally, a Ponzi scheme is a pyramid confidence game in which the returns to existing investors are paid from the inflow of funds provided by new investors. It is successful only if the rate of inflow of funds from new investors is sufficient to meet the outflow of payments promised to existing investors. The name comes from Carlo Ponzi, an Italian immigrant to Boston who tried, unsuccessfully, in 1920 to operate such a scheme on international postal coupons.
- 29 It is not necessary to assume that firms or banks become less careful in monitoring and assessing the risks associated with their proposed actions, but rather that their perception of normal conditions changes with repeated positive outcomes. Kregel J, "Margins of Safety and Weight of the Argument in Generating Financial Fragility", *Journal of Economic Issues*, Vol. 31, June 1997, pp. 543–548.
- 30 The first challenge to this approach came in the United Kingdom where it was argued that the high propensity to import made external balance structurally impossible at satisfactory levels of employment. This was also expressed in the idea of "elasticity pessimism" which suggested that exchange rate adjustments would face the same difficulties.
- 31 Latin America continued to receive substantial private direct investment by United States companies in the post-war period, concentrated in petroleum, manufacturing and mining as well as in the operation of public utilities. Between 1947 and 1952 the book value of United States direct investment in Latin America as a whole increased at an annual average of around \$400 million (only slightly less than the entire amount of loans approved by the IBRD up to the end of 1953) concentrated in Cuba, Brazil, Venezuela, Mexico, Panama and Chile. However, around 60 per cent was reinvestment of profits, not new inflows.
- 32 While this theory may have had some relevance in the 19th century when Britain was at the centre of the international financial system it is certainly less obvious in the last half of the 20th century when the United States economy seemed to offer returns that exceeded those in most developing countries – as Myrdal recognized may also have been the case in the post-war period in his *An International Economy*, New York, Harper, 1956, p. 110. However, Hanson argues that "When the Alliance [for Progress] was launched, profits on Latin American investments tended to be larger than profits in parallel lines in the United States." Hanson G, *Dollar Diplomacy Modern Style*, Washington, DC, Inter-American Affairs Press, 1970, p. 61. The situation may be different for bonded debt where substantial ex ante nominal risk premia provided investors in emerging markets with virtually no ex-post real risk premia. Klingen C, Weder B and Zettelmeyer J, "How Private Creditors Fared in Emerging Debt Markets, 1970–2000", Washington, DC, International Monetary Fund, Working Paper, WP/04/13, who conclude that investors in emerging market debt for the period 1970–2000 earned real ex-post returns of around nine per cent per annum, roughly equivalent to 10-year United States Treasury securities. For Latin America the figure is only marginally lower, suggesting that market risk premia included in ex ante nominal rates covered the default and restructuring risks.
- 33 Usually the appropriate level of reserves is calculated as a multiple of a country's monthly import bill, seeking to smooth essential imports. A rather different approach is to link reserves to the debt servicing needs for the coming year. UNCTAD, *Trade and Development Report, 1999*, pp. 110–111.
- 34 UNCTAD, *Trade and Development Report, 1999*, p. 109 and p. 124, note 15.
- 35 Proposals for intra-regional pooling has been criticized on the grounds that most countries in a region will probably suffer from the same shocks and thus all will require reserves at the same time, eliminating the group insurance property of the pooling.
- 36 Buira A, "An Analysis of IMF Conditionality", G-24 Discussion Paper Series, No. 22, August 2003, annex 1, pp. 20–21.
- 37 Taylor CR, *Options and Currency Intervention*, London, Centre for the Study of Financial Intervention, October 1995.
- 38 Bank for International Settlements, *Macroeconomic and Monetary Policy Issues Raised by the Growth of Derivatives Markets*, Basle, November 1994.
- 39 Favero CA and Giavazzi F, "Why are Brazil's Interest Rates so High?", IGIER, Università Bocconi, Milano, mimeo, 14 July 2002. A more extended discussion is available in Neftci SN and Santos AO, "Puttable and Extendible Bonds: Developing Interest Rate Derivatives for Emerging Markets", IMF Working Paper, WP/03/201, October 2003.
- 40 Lerrick A, "Financial Crises: The Role of the Private Sector", Statement presented to the Joint Economic Committee of the Congress of the United States, 8 March 2001.
- 41 Lerrick A and Meltzer A, "Beyond IMF Bailouts: Default without Disruption", *Quarterly International Economics Report*, Carnegie Mellon Gailliot Center for Public Policy, May 2001.

- 42 Michael Pettis has noted the importance of the fact that the price behaviour of emerging market distressed fixed interest sovereign debt more resembles equity than bonds for the design of hedging strategies to reduce financial fragility. Pettis M, *The Volatility Machine*, New York, Oxford University Press, 2001, appendix.
- 43 Although Lerner AP, ("Functional Finance and the Federal Debt", *Social Research*, 10 February 1943, pp. 38–51) argued that domestically held debt denominated in the national currency was substantially different from international indebtedness in foreign currency that had been the main cause of the inter-war difficulties, and was thus not a cause for concern, the majority argued that debt-driven demand creation would produce such a large stock of debt that debt service would rise to extremely high levels, adding further to the amounts that had to be borrowed, leading to an exponential increase in the debt stock, creating conditions in which the government could no longer be able to borrow – in short, the strategy would become a self-defeating Ponzi scheme. Domar ("The Burden of the Debt and National Income", *American Economic Review*, 34, December 1944, pp. 798–827) provided a counterargument, noting that the financing of the debt would come from the increased tax yields that would result from the increased income due to the government investment. Given the ratio of the public investment expenditure financed by borrowing to national income required to keep the economy at full employment, as long as the interest rate on the debt was not higher than the rate of expansion of income it generated to provide the means to pay the debt, the ratio of debt to national income could be stabilized at any particular level. Although the absolute amount of debt would increase without limit, so would income to service it, making it sustainable in the long run.
- 44 The subject of a special session at the 1945 annual meetings of the American Economic Association that included contributions by Hinshaw R, "Foreign Investment and Full Employment", Lary B, "The Domestic Effects of Foreign Investment", with discussion by Mikesell RF, Polak JJ and Young JP. See *American Economic Review*, 36, May 1946.
- 45 Domar E, "The Effect of Foreign Investments on the Balance of Payments", *American Economic Review*, vol. 40, December 1950, pp. 805–826.
- 46 It is interesting that when the United States was facing external difficulties in the 1960s Fleming and Mundell argued that the conflict between internal (full employment) and external balance could be resolved by increasing interest rates to attract foreign capital inflows to finance the trade deficit associated with full employment public spending (in difference from post-war resistance to domestic borrowing, foreign borrowing seems to have been considered acceptable). Since the analysis was "short-run" in the sense that it ignored the impact such borrowing would have on the stock of outstanding debt, and thus the negative impact on the factor services balance of payments, that would cause an ever increasing amount of foreign indebtedness and the possibility of either rising interest rates or a decline in lending that would recreate the conflict and require a reduction in income and employment to restore external equilibrium. Cf. Gandolfo, below for recognition of the problem.
- 47 Ohlin, *op. cit.*, p. 2, saw the problem, apparently without the aid of either Minsky or Domar "For the net transfer to a country to remain positive, net new lending must exceed the interest on the old debt. That is another way of saying that the rate of growth of debt must be greater than the average interest rate on the old debt. If the rate of interest exceeds the rate of growth of the underlying economic aggregates out of which debt is to be serviced, such as national product or export earnings, a continued positive net transfer to a country will result in a deterioration of the indicators by which the risk of the debt is assessed. In the end such a transfer will not be sustainable."
- 48 Analysis of the data for international private bank lending to Latin America for the 1970s provided by Ferrer A, *¿Puede Argentina Pagar su Deuda Externa?*, Buenos Aires, El Cid Editor, 1982, p. 54, shows rates of increase of 33 per cent per annum for Mexico, 27 per cent for Brazil and 48 per cent for Argentina in the period 1976–1981.
- 49 Gandolfo G, *International Economics II: International Monetary Theory and Open Economy Macroeconomics*, Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1987, pp. II:206–207, provides the equivalent analysis for the developed economy noting the impact of the payment of interest of foreign borrowing to finance domestic full employment strategy in a Fleming-Mundell model will cause the external balance curve to bend backwards in interest rate-income space as the share of interest payments in the current account balance increases, a move that is accentuated when interest rates rise because of rising international risk premia, and may cause the curve to shift in the case of a falloff in lending.
- 50 Indeed, Hinshaw notes that the United States external surplus in the 1920s did not produce a flow of capital abroad, but rather it was financed by the invisibles deficit caused by a surplus on gifts and remittances to foreigners. As he notes, foreign giving, as opposed to lending, involves no payment of interest and thus can be continued indefinitely without any impact on the balance of payments, *op. cit.*, p. 664. The same absence of large capital outflows to support the United States trade surplus was repeated in the 1950s and 1960s, but with military and other political transfer payments on invisibles account substituting for gifts.
- 51 Pattillo C, Poirson H and Ricci L, "What are the Channels Through Which External Debt Affects Growth", Washington, DC, International Monetary Fund Working Paper, WP/04/15, January 2004. Note that a doubling of debt in high debt countries is associated with about a 1 per cent reduction in output growth, but they identify the causes as a reduction in the rate of total factor productivity growth and capital accumulation, rather than in the reverse flows that emerge from externally financed development that causes the debt stock buildup.
- 52 Although the Report of the Secretary-General of the UNCTAD I Conference, *op. cit.*, p. 45, notes that the growth rate of debt service payments for all developing countries between 1956 and 1963 on public and publicly guaranteed debt was in excess of 19 per cent while the annual average rate of increase was around 15 per cent. official lending in total resource transfers.
- 53 Although UNCTAD, *Trade and Development Report, 2003*, suggests that this is precisely what those developing countries most open to external flows have not been able to do.

- 54 One of the reasons why direct investment flows that have come to dominate international flows are considered a stabilizing factor is that much of officially recorded direct investments are non-repatriated profits which do not represent new net flows. While this reduces payment commitments, it simply transfers them to the future and makes cash commitments less certain. Kregel J, "Some Risks and Implications of Financial Globalization for National Policy Autonomy", *UNCTAD Review 1996*, Geneva, March 1997, pp. 55–62.
- 55 Prebisch notes that developed countries do exactly the same thing when compensate their domestic agricultural producers through price supports or other subsidies, so if the international community is serious about providing support to developing countries they should be willing to return the terms of trade gains they derive from internationally traded primary commodities.
- 56 Ohlin, *op. cit.*, p. 3.
- 57 It is clear that this would require an industrial policy targeted to support particular sectors and activities. It is interesting to note that such a policy was recommended in order to achieve the appropriate use of external flows to the European economies in their post-war reconstruction. Polak J, "Balance of Payments Problems of Countries Reconstructing with the Help of Foreign Loans", *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, LVII (February 1943), pp. 208–240.

G-24 Discussion Paper Series*

Research papers for the Intergovernmental Group of Twenty-Four on International Monetary Affairs

No. 31	October 2004	Tim KESSLER	Assessing the Risks in the Private Provision of Essential Services
No. 30	June 2004	Andrew CORNFORD	Enron and Internationally Agreed Principles for Corporate Governance and the Financial Sector
No. 29	April 2004	Devesh KAPUR	Remittances: The New Development Mantra?
No. 28	April 2004	Sanjaya LALL	Reinventing industrial strategy: The Role of Government Policy in Building Industrial Competitiveness
No. 27	March 2004	Gerald EPSTEIN, Ilene GRABEL and JOMO, K.S.	Capital Management Techniques in Developing Countries: An Assessment of Experiences from the 1990s and Lessons for the Future
No. 26	March 2004	Claudio M. LOSER	External Debt Sustainability: Guidelines for Low- and Middle-income Countries
No. 25	January 2004	Irfan ul HAQUE	Commodities under Neoliberalism: The Case of Cocoa
No. 24	December 2003	Aziz Ali MOHAMMED	Burden Sharing at the IMF
No. 23	November 2003	Mari PANGESTU	The Indonesian Bank Crisis and Restructuring: Lessons and Implications for other Developing Countries
No. 22	August 2003	Ariel BUIRA	An Analysis of IMF Conditionality
No. 21	April 2003	Jim LEVINSOHN	The World Bank's Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper Approach: Good Marketing or Good Policy?
No. 20	February 2003	Devesh KAPUR	Do As I Say Not As I Do: A Critique of G-7 Proposals on Reforming the Multilateral Development Banks
No. 19	December 2002	Ravi KANBUR	International Financial Institutions and International Public Goods: Operational Implications for the World Bank
No. 18	September 2002	Ajit SINGH	Competition and Competition Policy in Emerging Markets: International and Developmental Dimensions
No. 17	April 2002	F. LÓPEZ-DE-SILANES	The Politics of Legal Reform
No. 16	January 2002	Gerardo ESQUIVEL and Felipe LARRAÍN B.	The Impact of G-3 Exchange Rate Volatility on Developing Countries
No. 15	December 2001	Peter EVANS and Martha FINNEMORE	Organizational Reform and the Expansion of the South's Voice at the Fund
No. 14	September 2001	Charles WYPLOSZ	How Risky is Financial Liberalization in the Developing Countries?

G-24 Discussion Paper Series*

Research papers for the Intergovernmental Group of Twenty-Four on International Monetary Affairs

No. 13	July 2001	José Antonio OCAMPO	Recasting the International Financial Agenda
No. 12	July 2001	Yung Chul PARK and Yunjong WANG	Reform of the International Financial System and Institutions in Light of the Asian Financial Crisis
No. 11	April 2001	Aziz Ali MOHAMMED	The Future Role of the International Monetary Fund
No. 10	March 2001	JOMO K.S.	Growth After the Asian Crisis: What Remains of the East Asian Model?
No. 9	February 2001	Gordon H. HANSON	Should Countries Promote Foreign Direct Investment?
No. 8	January 2001	Ilan GOLDFAJN and Gino OLIVARES	Can Flexible Exchange Rates Still “Work” in Financially Open Economies?
No. 7	December 2000	Andrew CORNFORD	Commentary on the Financial Stability Forum’s Report of the Working Group on Capital Flows
No. 6	August 2000	Devesh KAPUR and Richard WEBB	Governance-related Conditionality of the International Financial Institutions
No. 5	June 2000	Andrés VELASCO	Exchange-rate Policies for Developing Countries: What Have We Learned? What Do We Still Not Know?
No. 4	June 2000	Katharina PISTOR	The Standardization of Law and Its Effect on Develop- ing Economies
No. 3	May 2000	Andrew CORNFORD	The Basle Committee’s Proposals for Revised Capital Standards: Rationale, Design and Possible Incidence
No. 2	May 2000	T. Ademola OYEJIDE	Interests and Options of Developing and Least-developed Countries in a New Round of Multilateral Trade Nego- tations
No. 1	March 2000	Arvind PANAGARIYA	The Millennium Round and Developing Countries: Negotiating Strategies and Areas of Benefits

* *G-24 Discussion Paper Series* are available on the website at: www.unctad.org. Copies of *G-24 Discussion Paper Series* may be obtained from the Publications Assistant, Macroeconomic and Development Policies Branch, Division on Globalization and Development Strategies, United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), Palais des Nations, CH-1211 Geneva 10, Switzerland; e-mail: mdpb-ed.assistant@unctad.org.

