

Distr.
GENERAL

E/CN.4/2004/80/Add.4
27 January 2004

ARABIC
Original: SPANISH

المجلس الاقتصادي والاجتماعي



لجنة حقوق الإنسان

الدورة الستون

البند ١٥ من جدول الأعمال المؤقت

قضايا السكان الأصليين

حقوق الإنسان وقضايا السكان الأصليين

تقرير المقرر الخاص المعني بحالة حقوق الإنسان والحريات الأساسية للسكان الأصليين،

السيد رودولفو ستافنهاغن**

إضافة

استنتاجات وتوصيات حلقة الخبراء الدراسية المعنية

بالشعوب الأصلية وإقامة العدل

* يُوزع موجز هذا التقرير بجميع اللغات الرسمية. ويوجد التقرير في مرفق التقرير نفسه وسيوزع باللغة الأصلية وباللغتين الإنكليزية والفرنسية.

** وفقاً للفقرة ٨ من قرار الجمعية العامة ٢٠٨/٥٣ باء، تُقدم هذه الوثيقة متأخرة لتضمينها أحدث المعلومات.

موجز

إن المقرر الخاص المعني بحالة حقوق الإنسان والحريات الأساسية للسكان الأصليين، وهو يتصرف وفقاً لقرار لجنة حقوق الإنسان ٥٦/٢٠٠٣، الذي طلبت فيه اللجنة إلى المقرر الخاص أن يواصل العمل بشأن المواضيع المدرجة في تقريره الأول، وخاصة ما يؤثر منها في حالة حقوق الإنسان والحريات الأساسية للسكان الأصليين، مما قد يسهم في إحراز تقدم في مناقشة القضايا الأساسية التي تخص "مشروع إعلان الأمم المتحدة بشأن حقوق الشعوب الأصلية"، يتشرف بأن يحيل إلى اللجنة استنتاجات وتوصيات حلقة الخبراء الدراسية المعنية بالشعوب الأصلية وإقامة العدل، التي عُقدت في مدريد في الفترة ١٢ إلى ١٤ تشرين الثاني/نوفمبر ٢٠٠٣.

ويرى المقرر الخاص أن مسألة إقامة العدل تتسم بأهمية كبيرة بالنسبة إلى الشعوب الأصلية، كما اتضح ذلك أثناء المناقشات الأخيرة للفريق العامل المعني بمشروع إعلان الأمم المتحدة المتعلق بحقوق الشعوب الأصلية، ومن ثم فقد ركز الاهتمام في تقريره الرئيسي (E/CN.4/2004/80) على تحليل هذه المسألة. ولذلك، فإن المقرر الخاص يتشرف بأن يحيل إلى اللجنة، لمعلوماتها، موجزاً للمسائل التي نوقشت أثناء الحلقة الدراسية وكذلك التوصيات والاستنتاجات التي اعتمدت في هذه الحلقة.

وقد قامت بتنظيم حلقة الخبراء الدراسية مفوضية الأمم المتحدة السامية لحقوق الإنسان، وفقاً للقرار المذكور أعلاه، بالتعاون مع الجامعة الوطنية للتعليم عن بعد في مقر كلية العلوم السياسية والحقوق بهذه الجامعة في مدريد والتي شارك فيها أكثر من مائة خبير في مجال إقامة العدل، وممثلون حكوميون، وأكاديميون، وممثلو منظمات غير حكومية.

Annex

**REPORT ON THE EXPERT SEMINAR ON INDIGENOUS PEOPLES
AND THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE**

(Madrid, 12 to 14 November 2003)

CONTENTS

	<i>Paragraphs</i>	<i>Page</i>
Introduction	1 - 5	4
I. CONCLUSIONS.....	6 - 11	4
II. RECOMMENDATIONS	12 - 45	6
Appendix: List of participants		11

Introduction

1. In its resolution 2003/56, the Commission on Human Rights took note of the intention of the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights to organize, making use of voluntary contributions, a seminar on the administration of justice to assist the Special Rapporteur in examining one of the issues of greatest interest to indigenous peoples, which was identified as important in the discussions of the Commission's Working Group on a draft United Nations declaration on the rights of indigenous peoples. This issue has been analysed by the Special Rapporteur and is the main topic of the report he submitted to the Commission at its sixtieth session.
2. Pursuant to the above-mentioned resolution, the Office of the High Commissioner invited Governments, United Nations bodies and specialized agencies, indigenous peoples and researchers and academics working in the field to attend the seminar.
3. The Expert Seminar on Indigenous Peoples and the Administration of Justice, organized by the Office of the High Commissioner in cooperation with the National University for Distance Education (UNED), was held from 12 to 14 November 2003 at the UNED Faculty of Political Science and Law in Madrid. It was attended by over 100 experts in the administration of justice, government representatives, academics and representatives of non-governmental organizations. The list of participants is contained in the appendix to this report.
4. At the seminar, the experts discussed issues related to discrimination against indigenous peoples in the judicial system (describing examples, experiences and governmental, administrative and judicial measures to ensure fairness in the judicial system) and indigenous peoples' own legal systems (describing examples, experiences and governmental, administrative and judicial measures to combine customary law with national judicial systems), and drew up a set of conclusions and recommendations.
5. The experts attending the seminar asked the Special Rapporteur to take account of their conclusions and recommendations in preparing his report and to transmit them to the relevant United Nations bodies for their information. Accordingly, the Special Rapporteur herewith transmits to the Commission the conclusions and recommendations adopted at the seminar. The full report on the seminar will be submitted at the twenty-second session of the Working Group on Indigenous Populations.

I. CONCLUSIONS

6. **Experts meeting at the Seminar on Indigenous Peoples and the Administration of Justice agreed on the following conclusions and recommendations.**
7. **The experts welcomed the opportunity provided by the United Nations seminar to discuss the question of indigenous peoples and the administration of justice. They identified a range of concerns relating to the treatment of indigenous peoples within judicial systems, noting that indigenous persons were overrepresented in all areas of the criminal justice system, including the courts and prisons. They also pointed out that indigenous women and children in particular were negatively affected by current judicial practices and that, unfortunately, the human rights of indigenous peoples were often**

violated within judicial systems. They pointed out, for example, that while indigenous people were themselves the victims of crime and violence, their high death rates in custody were alarming.

8. The experts recognized that progress had been made at both the national and the international level in relation to indigenous peoples and the administration of justice. This progress includes formal recognition by States of indigenous peoples in national constitutions and legislation, the growing numbers of indigenous people employed in judicial systems, recognition of indigenous peoples' own legal traditions and practices, efforts to provide interpretation for indigenous persons in courts and the steps taken by the authorities to ensure that the cultures of indigenous peoples are respected and taken into consideration. However, the experts noted that, despite these positive developments, measures to improve the administration of justice for indigenous peoples were not always implemented and that urgent action by States was needed to remedy that situation.

9. The experts expressed concern that indigenous peoples were the victims of discrimination and racism in the administration of justice, and identified the following causes:

(a) The historical and ongoing denial of the rights of indigenous peoples and the growing imbalance and inequality affecting their enjoyment of their civil, political, economic, social and cultural rights;

(b) The failure of ordinary systems of justice to recognize and protect the special relationship that indigenous peoples have with their ancestral lands, and to prevent violations of rights stemming from treaties, agreements and other constructive arrangements;

(c) Discrimination by the authorities in the judicial system, including both the police and the courts, with the result that indigenous people are more likely to be arrested and held in custody while awaiting trial and more likely to be given a custodial sentence rather than some other, lesser punishment;

(d) Culturally inappropriate systems for the administration of justice that offer limited opportunities for indigenous people to work as police officers, lawyers, judges or officials within the judicial system;

(e) The failure to guarantee indigenous peoples' equality before the law, access to justice and the right to a fair trial as a result of the unavailability of translation services at all stages of the judicial process and an inability to provide adequate legal representation;

(f) The weakening or destruction of indigenous legal systems as a result of acculturation, displacement, forced migration, urbanization, political violence and the murder of indigenous leaders;

(g) The criminalization of indigenous cultural and legal practices, and State persecution of indigenous leaders who administer justice;

(h) The lack of official recognition for indigenous law and jurisdiction, including indigenous customary law;

(i) The subordination of indigenous law and jurisdiction to national or federal jurisdiction, and restricting indigenous authorities to hearing minor cases;

(j) The failure to introduce adequate mechanisms and procedures that would allow indigenous legal systems to be recognized and to complement national systems of justice;

(k) The non-recognition by States bodies of decisions taken by indigenous authorities;

(l) The non-recognition of indigenous law, culture and legal traditions by judges and other judicial officers;

(m) The weakness of indigenous legal systems in dealing with new issues such as children's and women's issues.

10. Particular concern was expressed at the fact that discrimination against indigenous peoples in the administration of justice could in many instances be the indirect result of applying apparently neutral laws that nevertheless had a disproportionate impact on indigenous peoples.

11. Concern was also expressed at incidents of violence against indigenous persons by the police and in the prison system. It was noted that in many States there was also an absence of constitutional or legal protection and recognition of the rights of indigenous peoples and that this was a contributory factor in the vulnerability of indigenous peoples in judicial systems.

II. RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Recommendations to Governments

12. States should ensure equality before the law and non-discrimination for indigenous peoples in the observance of all universally recognized human rights in the field of the administration of justice.

13. States should recognize that an essential component of ensuring equality before the law and non-discrimination is the legal recognition and protection of the cultural diversity of indigenous peoples.

14. States should take special measures to address the historical bias against indigenous peoples that is an underlying cause of discrimination against them in judicial systems.

15. States should establish and maintain systems for the collection of qualitative data on indigenous peoples and the administration of justice, including on levels of arrest, convictions, incarceration and capital punishment. The data should be disaggregated by indigenous status, gender and age and should be published and made available to the

public to make it possible to identify situations in which indigenous peoples are discriminated against and overrepresented in judicial systems; they should also include information on indigenous people subjected to capital punishment, where applicable.

16. States should imprison indigenous persons as a last resort and should, in conjunction with the indigenous communities themselves, examine alternatives based on equality and non-discrimination, including non-custodial alternatives.

17. States should help to restore indigenous legal practices, in cooperation with indigenous legal experts, where these might contribute to the development of an impartial system of justice that is in full compliance with international human rights law, particularly in relation to women's rights.

18. States should undertake studies on laws that disproportionately affect indigenous peoples and take the necessary measures to eliminate discrimination resulting from such laws.

19. States should take into consideration the fact that indigenous women who have been imprisoned may be the victims of extreme poverty and discrimination based on gender, poverty or ethnic origin, and should thus consider developing special programmes to address the causes that led to their imprisonment. It is also recommended that they should carry out research into the situation of indigenous women in prison, bearing in mind the long-term consequences for their children, families and communities, monitor the observance of their human rights in prison and review the rehabilitation programmes designed to reintegrate indigenous women in their families and communities.

20. States should take steps, including in the areas of education, training and recruitment policy, to increase the number of indigenous persons working within judicial systems.

21. States should promote training and educational courses for officials in judicial systems, such as the police, magistrates and judges, social workers and others, as well as for law students, on the cultures, customs and legal practices of indigenous peoples, as a way to combat discrimination and promote respect for cultural diversity.

22. States should take steps to ensure that indigenous peoples, either individually or collectively, can understand and be understood in legal proceedings, by providing interpretation or some other effective procedure.

23. States should recognize indigenous peoples' own systems of justice and develop mechanisms to allow these systems to function effectively in cooperation with the official national systems. These mechanisms should be based on constructive arrangements with the peoples concerned.

24. Both States and indigenous peoples should incorporate internationally recognized human and indigenous rights into their systems of justice.

25. States should take into account the mechanisms used by indigenous peoples to settle disputes, their regulatory and legal capacity and their authority to develop their own procedures without outside interference.
26. National legal systems should incorporate the use of the relevant indigenous customs, traditions, symbols and customary law in cases involving indigenous peoples or individuals. This can be achieved by means of special procedures involving indigenous leaders and dispute settlement methods.
27. States should follow a plan of action and develop a strategy to implement the decisions, conclusions and recommendations submitted with a view to improving the administration of justice as it affects indigenous peoples.
28. States should establish a separate indigenous juvenile justice system that fully incorporates in their legislation, policies and practices the provisions of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, particularly articles 3, 5, 20, 30, 37, 39 and 40, and other relevant international standards in this area, such as the United Nations Standard Minimum Rules for the Administration of Juvenile Justice (the “Beijing Rules”), the United Nations Guidelines for the Prevention of Juvenile Delinquency (the Riyadh Guidelines), the United Nations Rules for the Protection of Juveniles Deprived of Their Liberty and the Guidelines for Action on Children in the Criminal Justice System.
29. States should ensure that no indigenous person under the age of 18 is treated as an adult without taking into account the circumstances and gravity of his or her offence, that the views of indigenous children are heard and respected in all cases brought before the courts and that the necessary measures (for example, alternatives such as conditional release) are taken to reduce considerably the number of indigenous children in detention and to ensure that detention is a last resort and is kept as short as possible. States should also respect the responsibilities, rights and duties of parents, family members and the community in accordance with local customs in order to provide guidance for indigenous children involved in court proceedings, and should take into consideration indigenous peoples’ laws, traditions and customs relating to criminal matters.
30. In applying national laws and regulations to indigenous peoples, States should pay due regard to their customs or customary law and should respect the methods customarily practised by indigenous peoples in dealing with offences, including criminal offences, committed by their members. They should also take into account the economic, social and cultural characteristics of indigenous peoples when imposing the penalties laid down by general law.
31. Taking into consideration the number of cases brought to the attention of the Special Rapporteur during the seminar, the experts invite Governments to examine all cases relating to imprisoned indigenous human rights defenders in which there is evidence that the trials were politically motivated or procedurally defective.
32. States should ensure that new anti-terrorist measures are not used in such a way as to violate the human rights of indigenous peoples and, in particular, that they are not used as a means of intimidation in the context of legitimate civil protest.

2. Recommendations to United Nations bodies, specialized agencies and human rights mechanisms

33. **The Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights and fundamental freedoms of indigenous people is requested to include the information and analysis provided by the seminar in his report to the Commission on Human Rights at its sixtieth session, and to annex thereto the conclusions and recommendations adopted at the seminar.**

34. **The Working Group on Indigenous Populations is invited to consider preparing a study on indigenous peoples and the administration of justice, which should include an analysis of obstacles to achieving justice for indigenous peoples, examples of good practice in promoting egalitarian and culturally appropriate justice, and examples of legal pluralism in States.**

35. **The Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights is requested to transmit the report on the seminar to the Working Group on Indigenous Populations at its twenty-second session, to the Working Group on a draft United Nations declaration on the rights of indigenous peoples, to the Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues and to the relevant human rights treaty-monitoring bodies and special procedures.**

36. **The Office of the High Commissioner is requested to consider organizing further seminars, as well as technical cooperation projects, on indigenous peoples and the administration of justice, in order to continue the in-depth discussions, exchange experiences and develop guidelines in areas such as legal pluralism. It is also requested to promote training and support or other forms of assistance for professionals in the field of indigenous law.**

37. **The Office of the High Commissioner is invited to raise the issues discussed at the seminar with the relevant United Nations bodies and agencies and specialized agencies, national human rights institutions and non-governmental and indigenous organizations and to seek their support in promoting dialogue and action in this area.**

38. **The Working Group on Indigenous Populations is invited to include the subject of “indigenous peoples and the administration of justice” as a permanent item on its agenda and to make it the main theme of one of its future sessions.**

39. **The Office of the High Commissioner is invited to circulate copies of these recommendations to national human rights institutions and to request their support in promoting the principles contained therein.**

3. Recommendations to indigenous peoples

40. **Indigenous peoples are invited to provide the Special Rapporteur with information and data on the administration of justice, with particular reference to the situation of indigenous women and children.**

41. **Indigenous peoples are encouraged to make positive contributions as champions of change by participating directly, fully and effectively in developments that help improve the administration of justice as it affects indigenous peoples.**

4. Other recommendations

42. **In those countries where there are indigenous peoples, bar associations should consider promoting a dialogue with their indigenous members in order to study ways of promoting a better understanding of indigenous values, cultures and legal systems within their associations.**

43. **Universities should consider developing curricula and training in law and related subjects that include modules on indigenous laws and rights.**

44. **The experts, participants and indigenous organizations are invited to help make these recommendations widely available.**

45. **The experts express their appreciation to the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights and the National University for Distance Education and recommend the continuation of this type of initiative to support the Special Rapporteur.**

Appendix

List of participants

National University for Distance Education (UNED)

Ms. Fanny Castro-Rial Garrone
Vice-Chancellor, International Relations

Ms. Concepción Escobar Hernández
Dean, Law Faculty

Ms. Carmen Quesada Alcalá

Ms. Claribel de Castro Sánchez

Experts

Mr. Hassan Id Balkassm
Association Tamaynut - Indigenous Peoples of Africa Coordinating Committee (IPACC)
(Morocco)

Ms. Maureen Tong
IPACC - Department of Land Affairs (South Africa)

Mr. Shankar Limbu
Lawyers' Association for Human Rights of Nepalese Indigenous Peoples (Nepal)

Ms. Francisca Macliing
ECCA Law Offices (Philippines)

Mr. Tomás Alarcón
Juridical Commission for Auto-Development of First Andean Peoples (CAPAJ) (Peru)

Mr. Francisco Raymundo
Defensoría Maya (Guatemala)

Mr. Armand MacKenzie
Innu Council of Nitassinan (Canada)

Mr. Mikhail Todyshev
Association of Indigenous Peoples of the North, Siberia and the Far East of the
Russian Federation (RAIPON) (Russian Federation)

Ms. Mariana Yumbay
Federación de Campesinos de Bolívar (Ecuador)

Mr. Jimai Montiel
Public Defender, State of Zulia (Venezuela)

Ms. Mille S. Pedersen
Greenland Home Rule Government (Greenland)

Mr. Wilton Littlechild
Member of the Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues (Canada)

Mr. James Anaya
University of Arizona College of Law (United States of America)

Mr. William Jonas
Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Social Justice Commissioner (Australia)

Mr. Rodolfo Stavenhagen
United Nations Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights and
fundamental freedoms of indigenous people (Mexico)

Ms. Marcia Esparza
John Jay College of Criminal Justice, New York (Chile)

Mr. Aucan Huilcaman
Consejo de Todas las Tierras (Chile)

Mr. James W. Zion
National Indian Youth Council, Navajo Working Group for Human Rights
(United States of America)

Mr. Ricardo Colmenares
Court of Appeal, State of Zulia (Venezuela)

Mr. Darren Dick
Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Social Justice Commissioner (Australia)

Ms. Raquel Yrigoyen
International Institute on Law and Society, Canada (Peru)

Mr. Bruce Ellison
Ellison Law Office (United States of America)

Ms. Roseana Hudson
Thunder Bay Aboriginal Community Council Program (Canada)

Mr. Bobby Castillo
Leonard Peltier Defense Committee (United States of America)

Government experts

Ms. Julia Feeney
(Australia)

Mr. Sjur Sollesnes Holsen
(Norway)

Mr. John Henriksen
(Norway)

Ms. Karen Burbach
(Netherlands)

Ms. Barbro Elm
(Sweden)

Ms. Ángeles Díaz
(Spain)

Ms. Elia Avendaño
(Mexico)

Mr. Daniel Watson
(Canada)

Ms. Polonca Mrvar
(Slovenia)

Other experts

Ambassador Tomás Lozano Escribano
Vice-President, Fondo para el Desarrollo de los Pueblos Indígenas de América Latina (Spain)

Ms. Jone-Miren Mugica
Human Rights and Multilateral Relations, European Union

Observers

Ms. Alexandra Xanthaki

Ms. Nieves Zúñiga

Ms. Lola García-Alix

Ms. Hanne Petersen

Mr. Sylvain Duez-Alesandrini

Mr. Javier Jiménez Fortea

Mr. Marco Aparicio

Ms. Meriel Sparkes

Ms. Kirsti Strøm Bull

Ms. Dulce María González

Ms. Eva Sáinz

Ms. Patricia Borraz

Mr. Vicente Cabedo

Ms. Sabine Schielmann

Mr. Rene Amry

Mr. Edgardo Rodríguez

Ms. Cristina Valdivia

Ms. Angela Salazar

Ms. Patricia Albornoz

Mr. Diego Gallegos

Mr. Fernando Flores

Mr. Alejandro Ramos

Ms. Annabelle Labbé

Mr. Ariel Alejandro Tapia

Mr. Iñigo Arenillas

Ms. Rachel Taylor

Mr. Reynaldo Bustamante

Ms. Mónica Mazariegos

Mr. Guido Lozano

Mr. Otilio Lozano

Ms. Guadalupe Marcial

Ms. Helena Menéndez

Ms. Emma Luque Pérez

Mr. Pedro Garzón López

Mr. Bartolomé Clavero

Mr. Gustavo Suárez Pertierra

Mr. J. A. Escudero

Ms. Consuelo Maqueda

Mr. J. Prieto de Pedro

Mr. Daniel Pelayo

Ms. Almudena Rodríguez

Mr. Salvador Pérez

Ms. María Teresa Regueiro

Ms. Esther Souto

Mr. Alvaro Jarillo

Ms. María Asunción Orench

Ms. Teresa Marcos

Mr. Fernando Val

Mr. Eduardo Trillo

Ms. Amelia Ariza

Mr. Antonio Guillamón

Ms. Paloma García Picazo

Mr. Gustavo Palomares

Mr. Carlos Echeverría

Mr. Andrés de Blas

Mr. Faustino Fernández-Miranda

Mr. José María Arribas

Mr. Honorio Velasco

Mr. Carlos Moreira

Mr. Carlos Fernández Liesa

Ms. Raquel Tejón

Mr. Daniel Olivas

Mr. José María Contreras

Ms. María José Parejo

Mr. Manuel Pérez González

Ms. Nila Torres Ugena

Ms. María Paz Andrés

Ms. Charo Ojinaga

Ms. Yaelle Cachio

Mr. José Antonio Valles

Ms. Angela Santamaría

Ms. Eloisa González

Ms. Anouk Garrigues
