NACIONES UNIDAS



Distr. GENERAL

E/CN.4/2004/G/27 18 de marzo de 2004

ESPAÑOL

Original: INGLÉS

COMISIÓN DE DERECHOS HUMANOS 60° período de sesiones Tema 10 del programa

LOS DERECHOS ECONÓMICOS, SOCIALES Y CULTURALES

Nota verbal de fecha 3 de marzo de 2004 dirigida al Alto Comisionado Interino para los Derechos Humanos por la Misión Permanente del Reino Unido de Gran Bretaña e Irlanda del Norte ante la Oficina de las Naciones Unidas en Ginebra

La Misión Permanente del Reino Unido de Gran Bretaña e Irlanda del Norte saluda atentamente al Alto Comisionado Interino para los Derechos Humanos y tiene el honor de transmitir por conducto suyo la respuesta del Reino Unido a las preguntas recibidas de la Relatora Especial sobre los efectos nocivos para el goce de los derechos humanos del traslado y vertimiento ilícitos de productos y desechos tóxicos y peligrosos, contenidas en su informe a la Comisión de Derechos Humanos en su 60º período de sesiones (E/CN.4/2004/46/Add.1).

En relación con la corrección al informe de la Relatora Especial (E/CN.4/2004/46/Add.1/Corr.1), la Misión Permanente del Reino Unido de Gran Bretaña e Irlanda del Norte pide que la presente nota se traduzca y se distribuya como documento oficial de la Comisión de Derechos Humanos, con la respuesta adjunta como anexo*.

^{*} Se reproduce como se presentó, en el idioma original únicamente.

Annex



From the Ambassador and Permanent Representative

United Kingdom Mission

To the Office of the
United Nations and Other
International Organisations at Geneva
37-39 rue de Vermont
1211 Geneva 20

Telephone: 022 918 2358 Facsimile: 022 918 2377

4 March 2004

Mrs Fatma Zohra Ouhachi-Vesely Special Rapporteur on the Adverse effects of the illicit movement and dumping of toxic and dangerous products and wastes on the enjoyment of human rights.

Dame My Ouhadi - Vasely

Thank you for your letter that we received in January 2004 on the Baku-Tbilisi-Ceyhan (BTC) pipeline and the impact of the Host Government Agreement (HGA) on human rights. We agree that it is important that the BTC pipeline is built and operated to the highest standards, including human rights and the environment.

Your letter notes that the project is excluded from certain important regulations by the State. Having consulted officials from various parts of the British Government, I can confirm that the project is in line with Turkish legislation and that the only circumstances under which it would be excluded from this is when Turkish legislation is more stringent than the project's own requirements, which themselves are in line with (evolving) EU legislation. The United Kingdom's Export Credits Guarantee Department (ECGD), in their due diligence assessment of the environmental, social and human rights aspects of the BTC, concluded that this is unlikely.

Specifically, the "Human Rights Undertaking" on this project (a legally binding document issued by the BTC Consortium, following discussions with Amnesty International) performs the following roles:

- Protects the right of the three Host Governments (the Republic of Azerbaijan, Georgia and the Republic of Turkey) to regulate in the areas of human rights, health, safety and the environment (including in times where the threat to the environment is not "imminent and material" providing that this does not exceed EU legislation, as above) without fear of claims by the BTC consortium that such regulation is a breach of the Host Government Agreement.
- Clarifies that the standards in the Host Government Agreements are not frozen as of
 the time the project agreements were signed, but are instead dynamic and will move
 over time as EU directives, EU standards, or international treaty standards evolve.

- Protects the right of third parties injured by the BTC project to bring claims in domestic courts without fear that the BTC consortium would argue that domestic courts do not have jurisdiction to hear such claims.
- Protects the three Host Governments from fear of paying compensation to restore the
 "economic equilibrium" of the BTC project as a result of legislation, or other action
 or inaction, reasonably required by international human rights, labour or health and
 safety standards, including evolutions of these.

I trust that the above fully answers your concerns. Please do not hesitate to let me know if there is further information that I can provide.

Nick Thorne