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# ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE 

## INLAND TRANSPORT COMMITTEE

## Working Party on Rail Transport

(Fifty-seventh session, 21-23 October 2003, agenda item 7)

## PRODUCTIVITY IN RAIL TRANSPORT

Transmitted by the Governments of Azerbaijan, Armenia, Croatia, Hungary, Republic of Moldova, Slovakia, Sweden, Switzerland and United States of America

Note: Following the decision of the Working Party at its fifty-sixth session (TRANS/SC.2/198, para. 13), the secretariat had collected replies to a questionnaire containing a range of quality indicators of railway productivity for passenger and freight transport as referred to in document TRANS/SC.2/2002/15, section IV.
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## AZERBAIJAN

| Indicator | Unit |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Efficient service delivery | Price (USD per freight ton km) | 0,032 | USD/net ton km |
| Service quality | Average train speed - freight transport | 33 | km/h |
|  | Percentage of arrivals delayed less than 15 minutes | 93 | per cent |
| Safety | Accidents in mill. train-km (freight + passenger) | 22,7 | accident/train-km |
| Accessibility | Network density (route km/km2) | 0,0245 |  |
|  | Net ton km FT/GDP (current prices) | 2,28 | USD/net ton km |
|  | Net ton km FT/GDP (permanent prices) |  | USD/net ton km |
|  | Rail share of person-km/(person-km + Net ton km) | 7.9 | \% |
| Environment quality | Measurement units for the net ton km performed | 0,033 |  |
| Financial sustainability | Costs/income (revenue) |  | \% |
|  | Asset profitability (profit/total assets) |  | \% |
| Capital | Track operated under slow orders (maintenance, track locks) |  |  |
|  | km travelled per available locomotive/day (passenger transport) |  | locomotive km/day |
|  | km travelled per available locomotive/day (freight transport) | 0.49 | locomotive km/day |
| Management | Ratio - freight transport (excluding subsidies)/price in freight transport | 61.9 | \% |
|  | Average locomotive availability \% | 44.8 | \% |
|  | Average freight and passenger wagon availability \% | 16.3 | \% |

## ARMENIA

| Indicator | Measure | Best practice* | Your reply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Efficient service delivery | Price (US\$ per freight ton km) | <2申 | \$ 0,024 |
|  | Price (US\$ per passenger km) |  | \$ 0,005 |
| Service quality | Average train speed (km/h) (urban, local, intercity, and for various types of freight trains) |  | $24,8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
|  | \% of arrivals less than 15 min . late | 95\% | 30\% |
| Safety | Train accidents (per million train km) |  | 28 accidents |
| Accessibility | Network density (route km/km²) |  | 0.04 km |
|  | Freight ton km /US\$ GDP (PPP) |  | - |
|  | Rail share of rail + truck ton km |  | 3.2\% |
|  | Rail passenger km as \% of passenger $\mathrm{km}+$ ton km |  | 3.2\% |
| Environment quality | Kj of energy per converted ton km |  | $\begin{aligned} & 524\left(10^{4}\right. \\ & \text { ton/km/br) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Financial sustainability | \% of costs covered from internal cash generation Real return on total gross assets (\%) | > 100 USA | 0.14 |
| Capital | Track operated under slow orders on track and structures - route km <br> - \% total km |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 112 \mathrm{~km} \\ & 13.5 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  | km travelled per available locomotive/day |  | 167 km |
| Management | Ratio of average passenger tariff to average freight tariff (based on US\$ per km) (\%) | > 2.0 Europe | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \$ 0.005 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{km} \\ \$ 0.024 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{km} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Average locomotive availability (\%) | 90 USA | 19.3\% |
|  | Average freight and passenger wagon availability (\%) | >90USA/Europe | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 58.5 \% \text { freight } \\ & 20.4 \% \text { pass. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

## CROATIA

Freight transport

| Indicator | Measure | Best practice* | Your reply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Efficient service delivery | Price (US\$ per freight ton km) | < $2 ¢$ | 3,3 US c/tkm |
|  | Price (US\$ per passenger km) |  |  |
| Service quality | Average train speed (km/h) (urban, local, intercity, etc.) |  | $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
|  | \% of arrivals less than 15 min . late | $95 \%$ | $26 \mathrm{~min} / 100 \mathrm{~km}$ |
| Safety | Train accidents (per million train km) |  |  |
| Accessibility | Network density (route $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) |  | 0,05 |
|  | Freight ton km /US\$ GDP (P. P. Parity) |  | 0,1 |
|  | Rail share of rail + truck ton km |  |  |
|  | Rail passenger km as \% of passenger km + ton km (\%) |  | 40 \% |
| Financial sustainability | \% of costs covered from internal cash generation Real return on total gross assets (\%) | > 100 USA | $62,5 \%$ ratio of revenues/costs in freight transport |
| Management | Ratio of average passenger tariff to average freight tariff (based on US\$ per km) (\%) | > 2.0 Europe |  |
|  | Locomotive availability (\%) | 90 USA | 63 \% |
|  | Freight and passenger wagon availability (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & >90 \mathrm{USA} / \mathrm{Eur} \\ & \text { ope } \end{aligned}$ | 93,4 \% |

## Passenger transport

| Indicator | Measure | $\begin{gathered} \text { Best } \\ \text { practice } \end{gathered}$ | Your reply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Efficient service delivery | Price (US\$ per freight ton km) | $<2 \phi$ |  |
|  | Price (US\$ per passenger km) |  | 0,03366 |
| Service quality | Average train speed (km/h) (urban, local, intercity,etc.) |  | - fast (F), express (E) and accelerated (A) trains - 60 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$; - border (B) and suburban (S) trains - 41 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$; - passenger ( P ) and local (L) trains - $45 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
|  | \% of arrivals less than 15 min . late | 95\% | - F, E, and A - 71,5 \% <br> B and S - 95,4 \% <br> P and L-93,2 \% |
| Accessibility | Network density (route km/km²) |  | 0,05 |
|  | Freight ton km /US\$ GDP (P. P. Parity) |  | 0,1 |
|  | Rail passenger km as \% of passenger $\mathrm{km}+$ ton km (\%) |  | $40 \%$ |
| Management | Ratio of average passenger tariff to average freight tariff (based on US\$ per km) (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline>2.0 \\ & \text { Europe } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Locomotive availability (\%) | 90 USA | 63\% |
|  | Freight and passenger wagon availability (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & >90 \\ & \text { USA/ } \\ & \text { Europe } \end{aligned}$ | 87,3\% |
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## HUNGARY

Freight transport, 2001

| Indicator | Measure | Best practice* | Your reply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Efficient service delivery | Price (US\$ per freight ton km) | <2¢ | 2,8¢ |
|  | Price (US\$ per passenger km) |  | 1,2 ¢ |
| Service quality | Average train speed (km/h) (urban, local, intercity, and for various types of freight trains) |  | 32,1mainline 16,2 shunting |
|  | \% of arrivals less than 15 min . late | 95\% |  |
| Safety | Train accidents (per million tra in km)(passenger and freight) |  | 0,28 |
| Accessibility | Network density (route km/km²) |  |  |
|  | Freight ton km /US\$ GDP (Purchasing Power. Parity - PPP) |  | 0,09 |
|  | Rail share of rail + truck ton km |  | 17,6 |
|  | Rail passenger km as \% of passenger $\mathrm{km}+$ ton $\mathrm{km}(\%)$ |  | 22,3 |
| Environment quality | Kj of energy per converted ton km (=pass. tonkm + tonkm) |  | 319,8 |
| Financial sustainability | \% of costs covered from internal cash generation Revenue / cost ratio ( pass. and freight) | > 100 USA | 64,8 |
| Capital | Track operated under slow orders on track and structures - route km <br> - \% total km |  | $\begin{gathered} 2990 \\ 40 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | km travelled per available locomotive/day (passenger and freight) |  | 224 |
| Management | Ratio of average passenger tariff to average freight tariff (based on US\$ per km) (\%) | > 2.0 Europe | 0,4 |
|  | Average locomotive availability (\%)(passenger and freight) | 90 USA | 82,6 |
|  | Average freight and passenger wagon availability (\%) | >90USA/Europe | 74 |

## HUNGARY

Passenger transport 2001

| Indicator | Measure | Best practice* | Your reply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Efficient service delivery | Price (US\$ per freight ton km) | < 2 ¢ | 2,8¢ |
|  | Price (US\$ per passenger km) |  | 1,2¢ |
| Service quality | Average train speed (km/h) (urban, local, intercity, and for various types of freight trains) |  | 66,1 express 43,9 local |
|  | \% of arrivals less than 15 min . late | $95 \%$ | 95,7 |
| Safety | Train accidents (per million train km)(passenger and freight) |  | 0,28 |
| Accessibility | Network density (route $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) |  | 0,09 |
|  | Freight ton km /US\$ GDP (Purchasing Power. Parity - PPP) |  |  |
|  | Rail share of rail + truck ton km |  |  |
|  | Rail passenger km as \% of passenger $\mathrm{km}+$ ton km (\%) |  | 57,2 |
| Environment quality | Kj of energy per converted ton km (=pass.tonkm + tonkm) |  | 319,8 |
| Financial sustainability | \% of costs covered from internal cash generation Revenue / cost ratio ( pass. and freight) | > 100 USA | 64,8 |
| Capital | Track operated under slow orders on track and structures - route km <br> - \% total km |  | $\begin{gathered} 2990 \\ 40 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | km travelled per available locomotive/day (passenger and freight) |  | 224 |
| Management | Ratio of average passenger tariff to average freight tariff (based on US\$ per km) (\%) | > 2.0 Europe | 0,4 |
|  | Average locomotive availability (\%)(passenger and freight) | 90 USA | 82,6 |
|  | Average freight and passenger wagon availability (\%) | >90USA/Europe | 69 |
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## REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA

| Indicator | Measure | Best practice | Moldova ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Efficient service delivery | Price (US\$ per freight ton km) | $<2 \phi$ | 0.0137 |
|  | Price (US\$ per passenger km) |  | 0.0278 |
| Service quality | Average train speed (km/h) Passenger trains: |  |  |
|  | Suburban |  | 25.2 |
|  | Local |  | 31.5 |
|  | International |  | 34.5 |
|  | Freight trains |  | 30.4 |
|  | \% of arrivals less than 15 min . late | 95\% | 99.7 |
| Safety | Train accidents (per million train km ) |  | None |
| Accessibi lity | Network density (route km/km²) |  | 0.033 |
|  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Freight ton } \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{US} \$ \text { GDP (Purchasing Power Parity - } \\ \text { PPP) }\end{array}$ |  | 1.67 |
|  | Rail share of rail + truck ton km |  | 77.0 |
|  | Rail passenger km as \% of passenger $\mathrm{km}+$ ton $\mathrm{km}(\%)$ |  | 11.6 |
| Environment quality | Kj of energy per converted ton km |  | not applied |
| Financial sustainability | \% of costs covered from internal cash generation Real return on total gross assets (\%) | > 100 USA | 37.8 |
| Capital | Track operated under slow orders on track and structures: route km $\%$ total km |  | $\begin{array}{r} 31.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | km travelled per available locomotive/day |  | 425.3 |
| Management | Ratio of average passenger tariff to average freight tariff (based on US\$ per km) (\%) | > 2.0 Europe | 2.03 |
|  | Average locomotive availability (\%) | 90 USA | not applied |
|  | Average freight and passenger wagon availability (\%) | $>90$ USA/ Europe | not applied |

[^0]
## SLOVAKIA

| Indicator |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Efficient service deli very | Price (USD per freight ton km) | 0,032 | USD/net ton km |
|  | Price (USD per passenger km) | 0,017 | USD/net ton km |
|  | Price in passenger transport (including subsidies) | 0,059 | USD/net ton km |
| Service quality | Average train speed - freight transport | 28,02 | km/h |
|  | Average train speed - pas senger transport | 34,39 | km/h |
|  | Technical speed - freight transport | 47,05 | km/h |
|  | Technical speed - passenger transport | 55,49 | km/h |
|  | Percentage of arrivals delayed less than 15 minutes | not monitored |  |
| Safety | Accidents in mill. train-km (freight + passenger) | 8,78 | accident/train-km |
| Accessibility | Network density (route km/km2) | 0,075 |  |
|  | Net ton km FT/GDP (current prices) | 2,28 | USD/net ton km |
|  | Net ton km FT/GDP (permanent prices) | 1,57 | USD/net ton km |
|  | Rail share of person-km/(person-km + Net ton km) | 20,53 | \% |
| Environment quality | Measurement units for the net ton km performed | 0,336 |  |
| Financial sustainability | Costs/income (revenue) | 101,12 | \% |
|  | Asset profitability (profit/total assets) | -0,61 | \% |
| Capital | Track operated under slow orders (maintenance, track locks) | $\begin{gathered} 40-190 \mathrm{~km} \\ 0,58-2,76 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | km travelled per available locomotive/day (passenger transport) | 1159002 | locomotive km/day |
|  | km travelled per available locomotive/day (freight transport) | 1071056 | locomotive km/day |
| Management | Ratio - freight transport (excluding subsidies)/price in freight transport | 53,5 | \% |
|  | Average locomotive availability \% | not monitored |  |
|  | Average freight and passenger wagon availability \% | not monitored |  |

Proposals for qualitative indicators (criteria) to improve the productivity in passenger transport

1. Productivity - services
2. Productivity - revenue
3. Overall liquidity
4. Interest coverage
5. Work ratio (operational costs without deprecations / income excluding subsidies)

Natural indicators:

1. Passenger transport (pers. km) - differentiated by domestic and international transport
2. Freight transport (net ton km ) - differentiated by domestic and international transport
3. Average transport distance in freight and passenger transport
4. Ratio of the transport and operational performance in freight transport (net ton $\mathrm{km} /$ gross ton km )
5. Loading/unloading in freight transport - total (tons)
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SWEDEN

| Indicator | Measure | $\begin{gathered} \text { Best } \\ \text { practice* } \end{gathered}$ | Your reply Year 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Efficient service delivery | Price (US\$ per freight ton km) (Note: figures calculated with exchange rate 1 US\$ $=8.27$ SEK mean selling rates during 1999) | <2¢ | Year 1999 approx: <br> 0.20 SEK or <br> 2.4 ¢ <br> Or calculated with the same exchange rate as in 2001 $1,9 \not \subset$ |
|  | Price (US\$ per passenger km) <br> (Note: figures calculated with exchange rate <br> 1 US $\$=10.33$ SEK mean selling rates during 2001) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Year } 2001 \text { approx: } \\ & 0.79 \text { SEK or } \\ & 7.6 \phi \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Note: We have calculated these prices with accessible figures from public sources. This means that we have used reported operating income as basis and not the specific passengers and freight traffic receipts. These receipts are unknown except for the railway undertakings themselves. You should note that this might result in slightly higher prices then if we had accessed figures of the actual receipts. In particular, this is the case with the freight tonnes kilometres price. |  |  |
| Service quality | Average train speed (km/h) (urban, local, intercity, and for various types of freight trains) |  | Passenger traffic <br> Urban: $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ <br> Local: $75 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ <br> InterCity: $105 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ <br> Freight tr.: 65 km |
|  | \% of arrivals less than 15 min . late. <br> ( Note: \% of arrivals equal or less then 5 minutes, year 2002) | 95\% | Passenger: 92\% Freight: 75\% |
| Safety | Train accidents (per million train km) |  | 0,48 |
| Accessibility | Network density (route $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) <br> (Note: Inland lakes etc is excluded from $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ figure) |  | 0,027 |
|  | Freight ton km /US\$ GDP (Purchasing Power. Parity - PPP) |  | 0,084 |
|  | Rail share of rail + truck ton km |  | 34\% |
|  | Rail passenger km as \% of passenger $\mathrm{km}+$ ton $\mathrm{km}(\%)$ |  | 32\% |
| Environment quality | Kj of energy per converted ton km (Note: Electric energy including losses in sub stations supplying the line plus diesel energy divided with gross hauled tonne-kilometres) |  | Passenger: 236 kJ <br> Freight: 107 kJ |
| Capital | km travelled per available locomotive/day (Note: figure for passenger traffic calculated with available number of tractive unites due to the great number of railcars) |  | Passenger: 349 km Freight: 230 km |
| Management | Ratio of average passenger tariff to average freight tariff (based on US\$ per km) (\%) <br> (Note: Ratio is based on figures reported in 'Efficient service delivery') | > 2.0 Europe | 3.95 |
|  | Average locomotive availability (\%) (Note: Figures are not accessible. Railway undertakings have not reported this figure for, at least, the last ten years) | 90 USA | No statistics available |
|  | Average freight and passenger wagon availability (\%) (Note: 'Up to date' figures are not accessible. The latest reported figures are from 1991) | $\underset{\text { rope }}{>90 \mathrm{USA} \text { Eu }}$ | Year: 1991 <br> Freight: 87\% <br> Passenger: 91\% |

## SWITZERLAND

| Indicator | Measure | Passenger traffic | Freight traffic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Efficient service delivery | Price in CHF (per passenger/freight ton km) | 0.162 | 0.109 |
| Service quality | Average train speed <br> - Total freight <br> - Wagon load traffic <br> - Rolling road Gotthard <br> - Oil Switzerland | --- | $\begin{array}{r} 49.46 \\ 46.38 \\ 53.00 \\ 53.03 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | \% of arrivals less than 15 min late | 99.12 | 72.43 |
| Safety | Train accidents (per mio train km) | 0.0517 | 0.2857 |
| Accessibility (for the whole Swiss rail network in the year 2000) | Network density (route km/ km2) | 0.000122 | 0.000122 |
|  | Freight ton km/GDP at market prices (in CHF) | --- | 0.0256 |
|  | Rail ton km / truck ton km | --- | 47.4\% |
|  | Rail passenger km as \% of passenger km and ton km (road + rail) (year 1999) | 11.2 \% | --- |
| Environment quality | Kj of energy per converted ton km | 0.3096 | 0.2232 |
| Financial sustainability (year 2002) | \% of costs covered from internal cash generation (2002): Real return on total gross assets (\%) | 2.1 | --- |
| Capital | Km travelled per available locomotive/day ( 365 days) | --- | 339 |
| Management | Operating expenses per train km (2002) | 25.1 | --- |
|  | Average locomotive availability (\%) | 115.5 | 94.4 |
|  | Average passenger/ freight wagon availability (\%) | 106.8 | 96 |
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UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

| Indicator | Measure | Best practice* | Your reply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Efficient service delivery | Price (US\$ per freight ton km) | < 2 ¢ | \$ . 16 |
|  | Price (US\$ per passenger km) |  | * |
| Service quality | Average train speed (km/h) (urban, local, intercity, and for various types of freight trains) |  | $38.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
|  | \% of arrivals less than 15 min . late | $95 \%$ | 87\% |
| Safety | Train accidents (per million train km) |  | 2.3 |
| Accessibility | Network density (route $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) |  | 230,150km |
|  | Freight ton km /US\$ GDP (Purchasing Power. Parity - PPP) |  | \$ . 23 |
|  | Rail share of rail + truck ton km |  | 60\% |
|  | Rail passenger km as \% of passenger $\mathrm{km}+$ ton km (\%) |  | 3.5\% |
| Environment quality | Kj of energy per converted ton km |  | Unknown |
| Financial sustainability | \% of costs covered from internal cash generation Real return on total gross assets (\%) | > 100 USA | 14.6\%** |
| Capital | Track operated under slow orders on track and structures - route km <br> - \% total km |  | $\begin{gathered} 4,600 \mathrm{~km} \\ <2 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | km travelled per available locomotive/day |  | *** |
| Management | Ratio of average passenger tariff to average freight tariff (based on US\$ per km) (\%) | > 2.0 Europe | **** |
|  | Average locomotive availability (\%) | 90 USA | >90\% |
|  | Average freight and passenger wagon availability (\%) | >90USA/Europe | >90\% |

* Government subsidy to Amtrak (only) totals \$750 million in 2002
** Total pre-tax freight railroad cost of capital ( $\mathbf{6 . 9 \%}$ debt, $\mathbf{2 0 . 1 \%}$ equity $=\mathbf{1 4 . 6 \%}$ )
*** Unknown: Multiple diesel units per train set, loco numbers vary from day to day, dependent upon type of load, distance, geography, etc.
**** The privately owned and operated U.S. freight railroads receive no Government subsidies of any kind and each of those railroads negotiates individual tariffs with each individual customer. They do not share this data with one another, nor with the U.S. Government.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Source: calculations made by CFM (the Railway of Moldova).

