UNITED



Security Council

Distr.
GENERAL

S/AC.26/2001/1 15 March 2001

Original: ENGLISH

UNITED NATIONS
COMPENSATION COMMISSION
GOVERNING COUNCIL

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS MADE BY THE PANEL OF COMMISSIONERS

CONCERNING THE SIXTH INSTALMENT OF "E2" CLAIMS

CONTENTS

				Paragraph	Page
Intr	odu	ctic	n	1 - 6	7
I.	PRO	OCED	URAL HISTORY	7 - 15	8
II.	LEC	SAL	FRAMEWORK	16 - 29	10
	Α.	App	licable law	16 - 22	10
	В.	Evi	dentiary requirements	23 - 26	11
	C.	0bs	ervations of the Panel regarding the		
		pre	sentation of claims	27 - 29	12
III.	REV	/IEW	OF THE CLAIMS PRESENTED	30 - 134	13
	A.	Con	pleted contracts	32 - 56	13
		1.	Non-payment for goods delivered or services		
			provided to Iraqi parties	32 - 49	13
			(a) Claims description	32 - 33	13
			(b) Compensability	34 - 43	14
			under the "arising prior to" clause	34 - 38	14
			(ii) The directness requirement	39 - 43	15
			(c) Verification and valuation	44 - 49	17
		2.	Non-payment for goods delivered to Kuwaiti		
			parties	50 - 56	17
			(a) Claims description	50	17
			(b) Compensability	51 - 53	18
			(c) Verification and valuation	54 - 56	18
	В.	Int	errupted contracts	57 - 90	19
		1.	Goods lost or destroyed in transit	57 - 63	19
			(a) Claims description	57 - 58	19
			(b) Compensability	59 - 61	19
			(c) Verification and valuation	62 - 63	20
		2.	Goods diverted en route to buyer	64 - 69	20
			(a) Claims description	64	20
			(b) Compensability	65 - 67	21
			(c) Verification and valuation	68 - 69	22
		3.	Contracts interrupted before shipment	70 - 90	22
			(a) Claims description	70 - 75	22
			(b) Compensability	76 - 86	24
			under the "arising prior to" clause	77 - 78	24
			(ii) Directness	79 - 86	24
			(c) Verification and valuation	87 - 90	26
	c.	Dec	line in business/course of dealing	91 - 108	27

			Paragraph	Page
	1.	Claims description	91 - 92	27
	2.	Compensability	93 - 106	28
		(a) Compensable areas and periods	93 – 98	28
		(b) Decline in business and definition of		
		presence	99 - 101	29
		(c) Course of dealing	102 - 104	30
		(d) Secondary compensation period and		
		extraordinary profits	105 - 106	30
		3. Verification and valuation	107 - 108	31
	D.	Increased costs	109 - 127	32
		1. Expenses related to employees	109 - 123	32
		(a) Salaries and termination payments, staff		
		incentives, and reimbursement for		
		personal property losses	109 - 119	32
		(i) Claims description	109 - 112	32
		(ii) Compensability	113 - 116	32
		(iii) Verification and valuation	117 - 119	33
		(b) Evacuation costs	120 - 123	34
		(i) Claims description	120	34
		(ii) Compensability	121	34
		(iii) Verification and valuation	122 - 123	34
		2. Other increased costs	124 - 127	35
		(a) Claims description	124	35
		(b) Compensability	125	35
		(c) Verification and valuation	126 - 127	35
	E.	Tangible property losses	128 - 132	35
		1. Claims description	128	35
		2. Compensability	129	36
		3. Verification and valuation	130 - 132	36
	F.	Legal fees other than claims preparation costs	133 - 134	37
		1. Claims description	133	37
		2. Compensability	134	37
IV.	INC	IDENTAL ISSUES	135 - 149	37
	Α.	Date of loss	135 - 139	37
	В.	Currency exchange rate	140 - 146	38
	C.	Interest	147 - 148	39
	D.	Claims preparation costs	149	39
V. RECOMMENDATIONS				
Notes 41				

List of tables

		<u>Page</u>
1.	Governing Council decisions referred to in the present report	5
2.	List of Panel reports and recommendations referred to in the present report	6
	Annexes	
I.	List of reasons stated in Annex II for denial in whole or in part of the claimed amount	45
II.	Recommended awards for the sixth instalment of "E2" claims	47
Notes	to table of recommendations	104

Table 1. Governing Council decisions referred to in the present report

Decision No.	<u>Title</u>	Document No.
7	Criteria for additional categories of claims	S/AC.26/1991/7/Rev.1
9	Propositions and conclusions on compensation for business losses: Types of damages and their valuation	S/AC.26/1992/9
10	Provisional rules for claims procedure	S/AC.26/1992/10
13	Further measures to avoid multiple recovery of compensation by claimants	S/AC.26/1992/13
15	Compensation for business losses resulting from Iraq's unlawful invasion and occupation of Kuwait where the trade embargo and related measures were also a cause	S/AC.26/1992/15
16	Awards of interest	S/AC.26/1992/16
46	Decision concerning explanatory statements by claimants in categories "D", "E" and "F"	S/AC.26/Dec.46 (1998)

Table 2. List of Panel reports and recommendations referred to in the present report

Short name	Title	Document No.
E1(3) report	Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the third instalment of "El" claims	S/AC.26/1999/13
E2(1) report	Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the first instalment of "E2" claims	S/AC.26/1998/7
E2(2) report	Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the second instalment of "E2" claims.	S/AC.26/1999/6
E2(3) report	Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the third instalment of "E2" claims	S/AC.26/1999/22
E2(4) report	Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the fourth instalment of "E2" claims	S/AC.26/2000/2
E2(5) report	Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the fifth instalment of "E2" claims	S/AC.26/2000/17
E3(1) report	Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the first instalment of "E3" claims	S/AC.26/1998/13
E3(3) report	Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the third instalment of "E3" claims	S/AC.26/1999/1
F1(1.1) report	Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning part one of the first instalment of claims by Governments and International Organizations (category "F" claims)	
F1(2) report	Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the second instalment of "F1" claims	S/AC.26/1998/12

Introduction

- 1. The Governing Council of the United Nations Compensation Commission (the "Commission"), at its thirtieth session in December 1998, appointed the present Panel of Commissioners, composed of Messrs. Bruno Leurent (Chairman), Kaj Hobér and Andrey Khoudorojkov (the "Panel" or "'E2A' Panel"), to review category "E2" claims (the "E2 claims"). 1/ This report contains the Panel's recommendations to the Governing Council, pursuant to article 38(e) of the "Provisional Rules for Claims Procedure" (the "Rules"), concerning the sixth instalment of "E2" claims. 2/
- 2. This instalment consists of 99 claims submitted by corporations primarily operating in the manufacturing and import/export sectors (the "claims"). 3/ The claims were selected by the secretariat of the Commission (the "secretariat") from the "E2" claims on the basis of criteria that include (a) the date of filing with the Commission, (b) the claimant's type of business activity, and (c) the type of loss claimed. The procedure used by the Panel in processing the claims is described in section I below.
- 3. The claimants are non-Kuwaiti companies which were engaged in manufacturing and trading activities at the time of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait on 2 August 1990. The claims have been filed by companies from 27 countries, and involve a total claimed amount of USD 334,401,955. 4/
- 4. The types of claims in this instalment are similar to the claims addressed by this Panel in the E2(4) report. The claimants allege that they sustained losses in connection with contracts and commercial dealings that were entered into prior to 2 August 1990. The alleged losses include those arising out of the non-payment for goods shipped or services provided to parties in Iraq and Kuwait, goods lost or destroyed in transit to destinations in the Middle East, and goods sold at a loss after the failure of the originally intended delivery. In addition, claimants allege that the continued manufacture of goods was interrupted after 2 August 1990 due to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. These claimants typically seek compensation for costs incurred before the contract was interrupted plus the profits that they expected to earn on the contract.
- 5. Claimants also allege that their business operations in the Middle East region sustained losses during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait and for some time thereafter. Such losses include loss of profits from a decline in business or course of dealing, increased

costs of operations (including salary and termination payments), evacuation costs and tangible property losses. The various types of losses, as described by the claimants, are set out in greater detail in section III below.

6. Three tasks have been entrusted to the Panel by the Governing Council. 5/ First, the Panel must determine whether the various types of losses alleged by the claimants are, in principle, compensable, and, if so, the appropriate criteria for the measure of compensation. Second, the Panel must verify whether the losses which are in principle compensable have in fact been incurred by a given claimant. Third, the Panel must value those losses found to be compensable and make recommendations with respect to an award thereon. The implementation of these steps with regard to the present instalment is described in sections II to IV, followed by the Panel's recommendations in section V.

I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

- 7. Pursuant to article 16 of the Rules, the Executive Secretary of the Commission reported the significant legal and factual issues raised by the claims in his twenty-eighth report, dated 23 July 1999. Pursuant to paragraph 3 of article 16, a number of Governments, including the Government of the Republic of Iraq ("Iraq"), submitted their information and views on the Executive Secretary's report. These responses were considered by the Panel in the course of its deliberations.
- 8. The secretariat made a preliminary assessment of the claims in order to determine whether each claim met the formal requirements established by the Governing Council in article 14 of the Rules. As provided by article 15 of the Rules, deficiencies identified were communicated to the claimants in order to give them the opportunity to remedy those deficiencies.
- 9. Given the large number of claims under review, the volume of supporting documentation submitted with the claims and the complexity of the verification and valuation issues, the Panel requested expert advice pursuant to article 36 of the Rules. This advice was provided by accounting and loss adjusting consultants (the "expert consultants") retained to assist the Panel.
- 10. A preliminary review of the claims was undertaken by the secretariat and the expert consultants in order to identify any additional information and documentation that might be required to assist the Panel in properly verifying and valuing the claims. Pursuant to article 34 of the Rules, notifications were dispatched to the claimants ("article 34 notifications"), in which claimants were asked to respond to a series of

mostly standard questions concerning the claims and to provide additional documentation.

- 11. At its first meeting on 24 November 1999, the Panel classified the claims as "unusually large or complex" within the meaning of article 38(d) of the Rules, in view of the variety and complexity of the issues raised, and the volume of documentation submitted with the claims.
- 12. In a procedural order dated 24 November 1999, the Panel instructed the secretariat to transmit to the Government of Iraq the documents filed by the claimants for claims based on contracts with Iraqi parties and financed by a letter of credit issued by an Iraqi bank. Iraq was invited to submit its comments on such documentation and to respond to questions posed by the Panel by 29 May 2000. Iraq's comments and responses were submitted in a timely manner.
- 13. In reviewing each claim, the Panel took into consideration information and documents provided by the claimants in response to the article 34 notifications as well as Iraq's comments and documents filed in response to the questions raised in the Panel's procedural order of 24 November 1999 and comments by governments in response to the Executive Secretary's article 16 report. The Panel also considered claim-specific reports prepared on the basis of the above information by the expert consultants under the Panel's supervision and guidance.
- 14. In reviewing the claims, the Panel has taken measures to ensure that compensation has not been recommended more than once for the same loss. To that end, the Panel has, among other things, requested the secretariat to ascertain whether other claims have been submitted to the Commission with respect to the same projects, transactions or property as the claims under review.
- 15. In keeping with Governing Council decision 13, where a loss has been found to be compensable in this instalment and the same loss has been previously compensated in another claim, the amount of compensation awarded in the other claim has been deducted. Where a claim has been found to be compensable in this instalment and another claim with the same loss is pending before a different Panel, the relevant information has been provided to the other Panel. In certain circumstances, where the Panel considered that a transfer would facilitate a consistent determination, the claim in this instalment has been transferred to another Panel before which the related claim is pending.

II. LEGAL FRAMEWORK

A. Applicable law

16. The law to be applied by the Panel is set out in article 31 of the Rules, which provides as follows:

"In considering the claims, Commissioners will apply Security Council resolution 687 (1991) and other relevant Security Council resolutions, the criteria established by the Governing Council for particular categories of claims, and any pertinent decisions of the Governing Council. In addition, where necessary, Commissioners shall apply other relevant rules of international law."

- 17. In Security Council resolution 687, paragraph 16 provides:
 - "[The Security Council] [r]eaffirms that Iraq, without prejudice to the debts and obligations of Iraq arising prior to 2 August 1990, which will be addressed through the normal mechanisms, is liable under international law for any direct loss, damage, including environmental damage and the depletion of natural resources, or injury to foreign Governments, nationals and corporations, as a result of Iraq's unlawful invasion and occupation of Kuwait." 6/
- 18. A fundamental jurisdictional requirement under the above provision for claims before the Commission is that the loss or damage not constitute debts or obligations of Iraq arising prior to 2 August 1990. The interpretation of this requirement as it relates to the claims and types of losses in this instalment is addressed in section III below.
- 19. Another fundamental requirement for claims before the Commission is that the loss or damage be a direct result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 20. Paragraph 21 of Governing Council decision 7 provides guidance on the requirement of directness applicable to category "E" claims, and lists five categories of events and circumstances which meet that requirement.

 Paragraph 21 of decision 7 provides in relevant part that compensation is available "... with respect to any direct loss, damage, or injury to corporations and other entities as a result of Iraq's unlawful invasion and occupation of Kuwait. This will include any loss suffered as a result of:
 - "(a) Military operations or threat of military action by either side during the period 2 August 1990 to 2 March 1991;
 - "(b) Departure of persons from or their inability to leave Iraq or Kuwait (or a decision not to return) during that period;

- "(c) Actions by officials, employees or agents of the Government of Iraq or its controlled entities during that period in connection with the invasion or occupation;
- "(d) The breakdown of civil order in Kuwait or Iraq during that period; or
- "(e) Hostage-taking or other illegal detention."
- 21. Paragraph 21 is not exclusive and leaves open the possibility that there may be causes of "direct loss" other than those enumerated. 7/ The application of the directness requirement to the claims in this instalment is addressed in section III below.
- 22. The claims before the Commission concern Iraq's liability under Security Council resolution 687 (1991) for any direct loss resulting from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. Accordingly, the Panel considers that its role is not to adjudicate contractual disputes between the claimant and an Iraqi, Kuwaiti or other contracting party. General principles of contract law that are found in most municipal law systems will, therefore, only be used as a tool for the purposes of determining the compensability of contract losses, including the measure of compensation to be recommended. 8/

B. Evidentiary requirements

- 23. The category "E" claim form that was used by claimants for the filing of the claims advised each claimant to submit "a separate statement explaining its claim ('Statement of Claim'), supported by documentary and other appropriate evidence sufficient to demonstrate the circumstances and the amount of the claimed loss". 9/ The claim form also advised each claimant to include in its Statement of Claim the date, type and basis of the Commission's jurisdiction for each element of loss; the facts supporting the claim; the legal basis for each element of the claim; the amount of compensation sought and an explanation of how this amount was arrived at. 10/
- 24. When evaluating the claims, the Panel must apply the general and specific requirements for the production of evidence established by the Rules and other decisions of the Governing Council.
- 25. General guidance on the submission of evidence is provided by article 35 of the Rules. Paragraph 1 of article 35 states that "[e]ach claimant is responsible for submitting documents and other evidence which demonstrate satisfactorily that a particular claim or group of claims is eligible for

compensation pursuant to Security Council resolution 687 (1991)". Pursuant to paragraph 3 of article 35, corporate claims "must be supported by documentary and other appropriate evidence sufficient to demonstrate the circumstances and amount of the claimed loss". Thus, the evidence required to justify a recommendation for compensation must address the existence of the alleged loss, the issue of causation and the amount of the alleged loss. The Governing Council has emphasised the mandatory nature of this requirement, stating that "[s]ince these [category "E"] claims may be for substantial amounts, they must be supported by documentary and other appropriate evidence". 11/ The Governing Council has also stated that "... no loss shall be compensated by the Commission solely on the basis of an explanatory statement provided by the claimant." 12/

26. It is for the Panel to decide "the admissibility, relevance, materiality and weight of any documents and other evidence submitted." 13/The Panel's determination of what constitutes "appropriate evidence sufficient to demonstrate the circumstances and amount" of the loss will depend upon the nature of the loss alleged. A discussion of the specific evidentiary requirements for the types of claims in this instalment is found in section III, below.

C. Observations of the Panel regarding the presentation of claims

- 27. Having reviewed the claims in the present instalment pursuant to the procedural and evidentiary standards outlined above, the Panel finds that, while it is for the claimant to provide appropriate evidence sufficient to demonstrate the existence, circumstances and amount of the claimed loss, many claimants have failed, both in their original submissions and in their responses to the article 34 notifications, to discharge this burden. The Panel emphasises that it is not the duty of the Panel but, rather, that of the claimant, to demonstrate that it incurred an actual loss, to substantiate each element of its claim and to establish a direct causal link between the loss and Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 28. A number of claimants have also failed to submit English translations of documents upon which the claim was based. Although requested by the secretariat to remedy this deficiency, as required by article 14 of the Rules, these claimants have not done so.
- 29. Some claimants asserted that they were unable to produce the necessary evidence because of the time that had elapsed since the events in question or because of the loss or destruction of relevant documents. The Panel does not accept the passage of time or the destruction of the claimant's records as adequate reasons to relieve a claimant from its burden to produce sufficient evidence to substantiate its claim. It is

S/AC.26/2001/1 Page 13

incumbent upon a claimant to preserve all documents that may be relevant to the determination of a claim that is pending before this Commission. An exception can be made when a claimant has established that it is unable to gather the proof required as a direct result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.

III. REVIEW OF THE CLAIMS PRESENTED

- 30. In this section, the claims are examined in light of the existing jurisprudence of the Commission. Where required, fresh determinations are made by the Panel. The fact patterns of the majority of claims are similar to those addressed in previous "E2" Panel reports, particularly the E2(4) report. Consequently, where relevant to the present claims, the findings in those reports are summarised. It is only when new issues are raised by the claims under review that the findings of the Panel are more fully explained.
- 31. For each type of loss present in this instalment, the fact patterns of the claims are described briefly under the heading "claims description", followed by a discussion of the Commission's relevant jurisprudence under the heading "compensability". The principal evidentiary requirements that must be met to establish the compensability of the losses in the claims under consideration as well as the criteria to be used to determine the amount of compensation to be recommended, are addressed under the heading "verification and valuation". The Panel's determinations with respect to each claim are reflected in annex II.

A. Completed contracts

1. <u>Non-payment for goods delivered or services provided to Iraqi</u> parties

(a) Claims description

- 32. Many claimants in the present instalment seek compensation for contractual amounts owed for goods delivered or services provided to Iraqi parties. In some cases the goods were specially manufactured for the Iraqi buyer. The transactions called for various payment terms, with due dates ranging from 30 days to over three years after the date of shipment.
- 33. Typically, the claimants seek to recover the original contract price of the goods. In several cases, additional costs associated with performance of the contracts are sought, such as bank charges for letters

S/AC.26/2001/1 Page 14

of credit, interest payments on loans extended on the basis of the seller's expected receipt of payment, and overdrafts taken out to finance the production of the goods.

(b) Compensability

- (i) The jurisdiction of the Commission under the "arising prior to" clause
- 34. In determining whether it has jurisdiction over these claims, the Panel must apply paragraph 16 of Security Council resolution 687 (1991), which excludes from the jurisdiction of the Commission "the debts and obligations of Iraq arising prior to 2 August 1990" (the "arising prior to" clause). To summarise the Commission's jurisprudence with respect to the interpretation of the "arising prior to" rule, where the performance giving rise to the original debt had been rendered by a claimant more than three months prior to 2 August 1990, a claim based on payment owed for such performance is to be considered as a debt or obligation of Iraq "arising prior to 2 August 1990" and is therefore outside the jurisdiction of the Commission. 14/ This rule applies regardless of whether the contract provides for a deferred payment by the Iraqi purchaser due after 2 August 1990. 15/
- 35. In the context of claims involving the supply of goods, this Panel concluded in the E2(4) report that for purposes of the "arising prior to" clause the claimant's performance is defined by shipment of the goods and that a claim for non-payment based on a sales contract with an Iraqi party is within the Commission's jurisdiction if shipment of the goods took place on or after 2 May 1990. 16/ However, the Panel has also expressly recognised that a further elaboration of these rules will be necessary in dealing with situations in which delivery was not the sole essential obligation of the claimant. 17/
- 36. With respect to claims based on the failure of an Iraqi bank to honour a letter of credit that it had issued to finance the purchase of goods, the Panel concludes, as it did in the E2(4) report, that the claimant's presentation on or after 2 May 1990 of the documents, as specified in the letter of credit, to the relevant bank completes the performance by the claimant and delineates the jurisdiction of the Commission for the purposes of the "arising prior to" clause. 18/
- 37. In order to ensure that Iraq's old debt would not be masked by unusually long or deferred payment terms, the Panel has added the condition that the period between the date of shipment and the date of presentation of documents must not have exceeded 21 days (that being considered the normal period for the presentation of documents after shipment). $\underline{19}$ /

Accordingly, claims based on non-payment of letters of credit in connection with shipments that occurred prior to 11 April 1990 are outside the jurisdiction of the Commission under the "arising prior to" rule. 20/

38. In this instalment, some claims are based on promissory notes which had been issued in payment for goods delivered in 1985 and which became due between 1989 and 1994. The Panel notes that other Panels have concluded that where promissory notes were issued in payment for work that was performed prior to 2 May 1990, claims based on the notes constitute debts or obligations of Iraq that arose, within the meaning of Security Council resolution 687 (1991), prior to 2 August 1990, and as such are outside the Commission's jurisdiction. 21/ This conclusion applies in all situations, even where payment under the promissory note was due during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Panel concurs with these conclusions and applies them to the claims under review.

(ii) The directness requirement

For a claim within the Commission's jurisdiction to be compensable, the Panel must find that the loss in question directly resulted from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait (the "directness requirement"). Panel recalls its findings in the E2(4) report with respect to the factual circumstances relating to the causes of the losses alleged. 22/ In particular, these include Iraq's adoption of Act 57 (1990) by which Iraqi state organizations, corporations and citizens were effectively prohibited from making payments to foreign suppliers and which confirmed previous declarations made by Iraqi officials announcing that Iraq had suspended payment of its foreign debt. Also affecting commercial activities in Iraq were the closure of borders between Iraq and neighbouring countries; the danger presented by military operations in the area, including Iraq's minelaying activities in the Persian Gulf, which severely disrupted transportation; the mass exodus of foreign workers from Iraq; Iraq's relocation of foreigners to military, oil and other strategic sites as "human shields"; and the extensive damage to Iraq's infrastructure as a result of military operations to remove Iraq's presence from Kuwait. The Panel concludes, as it did in the E2(4) report, that the actions of Iraq's officials during Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, the military operations by Iraq and by the Allied Coalition Forces to liberate Kuwait, and the ensuing breakdown of civil order in Iraq, directly caused the nonperformance of contractual obligations of Iraqi purchasers and Iraqi banks in respect of goods delivered or services provided before the invasion within the meaning of paragraph 21 of Governing Council decision 7.

- 40. In addition, the Panel notes the findings in the E2(4) report to the effect that the trade embargo was not intended to prevent Iraq from paying its debts to foreign suppliers for goods delivered prior to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, but was intended to prevent Iraq from receiving new supplies, and that the trade embargo was a reasonable and foreseeable response to that invasion and occupation. The Panel recalls Governing Council decision 9 which provides that compensation may be awarded where Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait constituted a cause of direct loss which is separate and distinct from the trade embargo, notwithstanding the fact that the invasion and occupation and the trade embargo are found to be parallel causes of the loss. 23/
- 41. On the other hand, consistent with the provisions of Governing Council decision 9, where the evidence shows that an assets freezing order adopted by an individual State was the sole cause of Iraq's non-payment, the claim is not compensable. In the claims under review, such a situation arose where the Iraqi issuing bank had previously authorised the payment of a letter of credit, but the advising bank was unable to implement the transfer of funds due solely to the freezing order. 24/
- 42. With respect to the claims involving non-payment of amounts that fell due after the liberation of Kuwait, the Panel finds, as it did in the E2(4) report, that the economic consequences of the military operations and the resulting damage to Iraq's infrastructure, as well as the ensuing breakdown of civil order in Iraq, did not necessarily end immediately after the cessation of hostilities on 2 March 1991. 25/ Accordingly, with reference to the claims under review, the Panel concludes that the non-payment of debts by Iraqi parties between 2 March 1991 and 2 August 1991 may be compensable, as such non-payment may still constitute a direct consequence of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. However, the non-payment of contractual obligations by Iraqi parties after 2 August 1991 can no longer be deemed to be directly caused by Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 43. With regard to compensation sought in respect of costs incurred on loans taken out to finance the production or sale of goods, absent a specific showing that such losses would reasonably have been expected to occur as a result of the non-payment for the goods, the Panel finds that, under the circumstances present in the claims under review, such losses arose from the impact of the non-payment upon the conduct of the claimant's business or its dealings with third parties and that they are too remote to be the direct result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. 26/

(c) Verification and valuation

- 44. With regard to claims for non-payment for goods delivered or services provided to Iraqi parties, the nature of proof required to establish that a claim is within the Commission's jurisdiction under the "arising prior to clause," varies depending upon whether the claim is considered on the basis of the sales contract or on the basis of the letter of credit.
- 45. In the case of a sales contract, satisfactory proof of the claimant's performance for purposes of determining the Commission's jurisdiction includes documentation that proves shipment and the date thereof, such as a bill of lading, airway bill or truck consignment note. With respect to a claim based on a letter of credit, proof of performance consists of evidence of the claimant's timely presentation of the documents required under the letter of credit to the bank with which it directly dealt. 27/
- 46. The essential facts that must be proven to establish the compensability of a claim for goods shipped to Iraqi parties, found to be within the Commission's jurisdiction, are outlined below.
- 47. The existence of a contractual relationship, including the payment terms, the price of the goods and the due date for payment must be proven. Where performance consisted of the delivery of goods, as proof of shipment the claimant is required to submit transportation documents, such as a bill of lading or an airway bill, or other reliable contemporaneous documents, such as an acknowledgement of receipt by the buyer.
- 48. Where a claim is based upon the failure of an Iraqi bank to honour a letter of credit, the claimant is required to produce, in addition to the letter of credit, proof that all documents stipulated by the letter of credit were presented to the relevant bank and that the terms and conditions of the letter of credit were otherwise complied with.
- 49. Where a claimant has satisfied the evidentiary criteria outlined above, the normal measure of compensation is the contract price for which payment is outstanding plus any reasonable incidental costs directly resulting from the non-payment, such as banking charges for the cancellation of letters of credit that were not honoured.

2. Non-payment for goods delivered to Kuwaiti parties

(a) Claims description

50. There are approximately 10 claims in this instalment based on the alleged non-payment for goods delivered by manufacturing and trading

companies to Kuwaiti purchasers. The payment terms of such sales usually involved cash against the presentation of documents or provided for payment between one and three months of delivery.

(b) Compensability

- 51. The primary issue raised by these claims is whether the failure of the Kuwaiti parties to pay the amounts due was a direct result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. Following the "E2" Panel's findings in its first report, this Panel has held that claimants must provide specific proof of the direct link between Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait and the Kuwaiti buyer's non-payment for goods delivered. 28/
- 52. Adequate proof that a Kuwaiti party's inability to perform its contractual obligations resulted from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait would include a showing that performance was no longer possible, for example, because in the case of a business, it was rendered bankrupt or insolvent, or ceased to exist as a direct result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait; or, in the case of an individual, he or she was killed or was physically impaired as a direct result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. 29/
- 53. The Panel confirms the above conclusions with regard to the directness requirement of Security Council resolution 687 (1991) for claims based on the non-payment for goods delivered to Kuwaiti parties, and applies the same to the claims in the present instalment.

(c) Verification and valuation

- 54. The existence of a contractual relationship must first be ascertained, and proof of that contract must include the payment terms, the price of the goods and the due date for payment. In addition, to prove the performance of the contract, the claimant must submit transportation documents, such as a bill of lading or an airway bill, or documents evidencing receipt by the buyer.
- 55. As described in paragraph 52 above, the Panel also requires specific evidence to demonstrate that the loss resulted directly from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. For example, a mere assertion by the claimant-seller that it made unsuccessful efforts to trace the buyer is not sufficient evidence that the buyer did not pay for the goods as a direct result of the invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 56. Where a claimant has satisfied the evidentiary criteria outlined above, the normal measure of compensation is the contract price of the goods for which payment is outstanding plus any reasonable incidental costs directly resulting from the non-payment, such as banking charges for the

cancellation of letters of credit that were not honoured. However, as concluded in paragraph 43 above, costs collateral to the contract, such as interest payments on loans or other finance costs for the production of goods or for the claimant's commercial operations in general, have not been included in the recommended compensation.

B. Interrupted contracts

1. Goods lost or destroyed in transit

(a) Claims description

- 57. Several claims in the present instalment are based on goods lost or destroyed in transit to Kuwait.
- 58. Many claimants state that the goods were either at the airport, on the docks, in warehouses or customs area of one of Kuwait's three maritime ports, or were being held at the storage facilities of agents or transportation companies at the time of the invasion. Other claimants state that they do not know what became of the goods due to their inability to locate the buyer or because of the general state of civil disorder in Kuwait. The claimants generally seek compensation for the unpaid contract price of the goods.

(b) Compensability

- 59. The Panel, recognising that there were military operations and a breakdown of civil order in Kuwait during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, finds, as it did in the E2(4) report, with respect to the compensability of claims for goods lost in transit to Kuwait, that paragraph 21 of Governing Council decision 7 provides an adequate basis for a finding of direct loss in respect of such claims. 30/
- 60. The Panel also notes the practical difficulties faced by claimants in obtaining specific proof of the circumstances in which the goods were lost due to the breakdown of civil order and the widespread destruction of property at Kuwaiti air and sea ports. 31/ Consequently, the Panel reiterates the following rule with reference to the claims under review: in the absence of evidence to the contrary, where non-perishable goods arrived at a Kuwaiti sea port on or after 2 July 1990 or at a Kuwaiti airport on or after 17 July 1990 and could not thereafter be located by the claimant, an inference can be made that the goods were lost or destroyed as a direct result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait including the ensuing breakdown of civil order. 32/ Where, on the other hand, the goods arrived

in Kuwait prior to the above stated dates, specific evidence is required to show that the goods were lost or destroyed as a direct result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.

61. In certain claims, at the time the goods were lost, the title to the goods or the risk of loss may have already passed to the other party under the terms of the contract. 33/ The Panel finds that, irrespective of whether the risk of loss or title to the goods had passed to the buyer under the contract, provided that multiple recovery for the same loss is avoided, a claim for compensation may be maintained by a seller who has not been paid for the goods, since delivery of the goods to the buyer was prevented due to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait and the claimant has incurred an actual loss. 34/ As the Panel has previously noted, this rule applies regardless of which party bore the risk of loss under a force majeure provision in the contract. 35/

(c) Verification and valuation

- 62. A claim for goods lost in transit to a Kuwaiti buyer must be substantiated by evidence of shipment to Kuwait from which an arrival date may be estimated, for example, a bill of lading, an air waybill or a truck consignment note. 36/ The claimant must also produce evidence of the value of the goods, such as an invoice, a contract or a purchase order.
- 63. Where a claimant has satisfied the evidentiary criteria described above, compensation is based on an assessed value of the lost goods, plus any reasonable costs directly resulting from the loss such as costs involved in trying to locate the goods. However, as concluded in paragraph 43 above, costs collateral to the contract, such as interest payments on loans or other finance costs for the production of goods or for the claimant's commercial operations in general, have not been included in the recommended compensation.

2. Goods diverted en route to buyer

(a) Claims description

64. Approximately 10 claimants seek compensation for losses suffered as a result of shipments originally dispatched to a buyer in Iraq or Kuwait, which were diverted en route as a result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The claimants allege that the goods were sold at a price below the original contract price or that they were scrapped. Compensation is sought for the contract price of the goods or, where subsequently resold, for the difference between the original contract price and the resale price. The claimants also seek compensation for additional costs incurred

in the transportation and storage of the goods, in their repackaging or redesign, and other expenses incurred in connection with the resale to third parties.

(b) Compensability

- With respect to claims for losses arising from the diversion of 65. shipments destined for Kuwait, the Panel recalls its prior findings with respect to the factual circumstances surrounding the causes of the losses alleged. The effects on the economy and population of Kuwait caused by Iraq's invasion and occupation are well documented in United Nations reports, as well as in other panel reports of this Commission. 37/ Within hours of entering Kuwait, Iraqi forces seized control of the country, closing all ports and the airport, imposing a curfew, and cutting off the country's international communications links. Access to Kuwait by the sea was prevented by the laying of mines in its offshore waters. The widespread destruction of property by Iraqi forces and the breakdown of civil order in Kuwait would also have deterred the dispatch of goods to Kuwait by a seller. Consequently, the Panel concludes that the supply of goods to Kuwait between 2 August 1990 and 2 March 1991 was prevented as a direct result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. 38/
- 66. With respect to deliveries destined for Iraq, the Panel finds that the losses resulting from the diversions thereof resulted directly from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Panel reached this conclusion in view of the prevailing conditions in Iraq described in paragraph 39 above, including the military operations in the Persian Gulf region, the repudiation of foreign obligations by Iraqi officials and the disruption of transportation services to, from and within the Middle East caused by military operations (or the threat thereof) in the area, including Iraq's mine-laying activity in the Persian Gulf during the period of the invasion and occupation. 39/
- 67. In the context of losses arising from diverted deliveries, the claimant's duty to mitigate its losses, as required by Governing Council decision 9, would generally require that the claimant sell the undelivered goods to a third party in a reasonable time and in a reasonable manner. In addition, in discharging its duty to mitigate, the claimant must take reasonable steps to preserve the goods, in conditions appropriate to their nature, pending resale to a third party or resumption of performance of the original sales contract. $\underline{40}$ /

(c) Verification and valuation

- 68. A claim involving diverted goods must be substantiated by evidence that the shipment was diverted from its original destination as a direct result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. Such evidence would normally include a bill of lading, an additional invoice from the shipping company for diversion of the shipment or an invoice for storage costs following the diversion. Proof is also required of reasonable steps taken by the claimant in mitigation to reduce its loss, including the eventual disposition of the goods, the resale price or the salvage value thereof, or its efforts to resell the goods. Such evidence would include, for example, a sales invoice, proof of resale efforts, or evidence of write-off.
- 69. Where the claimant has resold the goods in a reasonable manner and within a reasonable time, the measure of compensation is the difference between the original contract price and the price in the substitute transaction, plus reasonable incidental costs, such as expenses incurred in returning the goods, stopping delivery or reselling the goods. Expenses saved and any gains on the resale transaction will be offset against the losses incurred. 41/ Where the claimant has not taken reasonable steps to dispose of the goods, compensation is reduced by the estimated fair market value of the goods. 42/ Where the claimant has established that the goods could not be resold, the measure of compensation is the initial contract price of the goods, less their salvage value and expenses saved, plus reasonable incidental costs.

3. Contracts interrupted before shipment

(a) Claims description

- 70. Approximately 40 claims in the instalment involve contracts for the supply of goods and, in some cases, the provision of related services, that were interrupted by Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. Most of the contracts were with Kuwaiti and Iraqi buyers, while others involved parties in Saudi Arabia, United Arab Emirates and Bahrain. These contracts typically called for goods to be manufactured to the specifications of the buyer or for services to be performed at a project site.
- 71. Several of these claimants are suppliers or sub-contractors who had agreements with contractors ("main contractors") located in Austria, Belgium, Italy and the United States to manufacture equipment to the specifications of an Iraqi or Kuwaiti end-user or to deliver equipment or provide services to an end-user in Iraq or Kuwait.

- 72. The claimants state that completion of the contracts in question was made impossible by Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. Some claimants state that work had not yet begun under the contracts as of 2 August 1990. Other claimants state that the requisite manufacturing was complete by 2 August 1990 and the only remaining performance was shipment of the goods. Finally, others state that the necessary materials for manufacture were still being assembled and the goods were only partially manufactured at the time of Iraq's invasion of Kuwait. In these cases, delivery was typically scheduled for late 1990 through the middle of 1991. While some of these claimants were successful in reselling the manufactured goods to other customers, others allege that the unique nature of the goods made it impossible to find other buyers.
- 73. Where manufacturing had not started, the claimants usually seek compensation for the lost profits they expected to earn under the contract. Where manufacture had been completed and the goods could not be resold, the claimants generally seek to recover the contract price less the salvage value of the goods. Where the goods had been resold, compensation is usually sought for the difference between the contract price and the resale proceeds.
- 74. Where claimants suspended manufacture, they normally claim for costs incurred in performing the contract before 2 August 1990 plus expected profits under the contract. The alleged costs incurred typically include costs for the purchase of materials, expenditures for salaries and wages, and storage costs. Also, several claimants seek compensation for the additional interest and bank charges they were required to pay on financing arrangements which could not be honoured owing to the non-payment under the contract.
- 75. In a second category of claims usually relating to manufacturing or construction projects in Iraq or Kuwait, delivery of the goods had been made before 2 August 1990, but Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait prevented the claimant from completing performance required by the contract, such as the provision of in-country assembly or installation, technical assistance, training or other services. Usually, the parties had agreed to payment terms based upon the progress of the work involved. Typically, the claimants seek to recover costs incurred prior to the suspension of performance and the profit that would have been earned from the contract.

(b) Compensability

- 76. In this section, the Panel gives consideration to the application of the "arising prior to" rule and the requirement of directness in the context of interrupted contracts.
 - (i) The jurisdiction of the Commission under the "arising prior to" clause
- 77. With reference to interrupted contracts with Iraqi parties in progress as of 2 August 1990, the "arising prior to" rule is applied to those portions of the performance that are separately identifiable in so far as the parties had agreed that a specified payment would be made for a particular portion of the overall work called for under the contract. $\frac{43}{100}$ Consequently, only claims relating to those portions of the overall work that were completed on or after 2 May 1990 are compensable. $\frac{44}{100}$
- 78. Where the underlying contract provided as a condition precedent to payment, approval or certification by the owner, the "arising prior to" rule is applied in the following manner: (1) where the approval should have occurred more than three months prior to 2 August 1990, but did not, claims for such amounts are not within the jurisdiction of the Commission; and (2) where approval should have occurred within three months prior to 2 August 1990, but did not, claims for such amounts are within the jurisdiction of the Commission. 45/

(ii) Directness

- 79. With respect to the directness requirement, paragraphs 9 and 10 of Governing Council decision 9 provide that Iraq is liable for losses arising from contracts that were interrupted as a direct result of the invasion and occupation of Kuwait. This liability extends to contracts with Iraqi parties as well as to those to which Iraq was not a party.
- 80. With respect to claims based on contracts with Kuwaiti parties, the Panel finds that the interruption of such contracts resulted from military operations and the breakdown of civil order in Kuwait during Iraq's invasion and occupation, as described in paragraph 65 above. These factors provide the causal link, as required by paragraph 21 of Governing Council decision 7, between the losses and Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. Where production was suspended or goods were undelivered and not sold to a third party, a relevant consideration under Governing Council decision 9 is whether the parties could have resumed the transaction after the cessation of hostilities and whether they have in fact resumed the transaction. 46/

- 81. With reference to the claims under review based on contracts with Iraqi parties, the Panel finds that, for the reasons set out in paragraphs 39 and 40 above, the performance of contracts for the manufacture and supply of goods to Iraq between 2 August 1990 and 2 March 1991, as well as during the subsequent period to 2 August 1991, was rendered impossible as a direct result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. 47/
- 82. Where the claimant has sold the goods originally destined for Iraq or Kuwait to an alternative buyer for the original contract price but seeks to recover the additional profit that it would have earned if it had also completed the original transaction interrupted by the invasion and occupation, the Panel finds that any loss that the claimant might have sustained as a result of not having completed the two sales is too remote and speculative to constitute a loss directly resulting from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. $\frac{48}{}$
- 83. With respect to claims based on the interruption of contracts with parties outside of Iraq or Kuwait, the Panel finds that the claimant must make a specific showing that its inability to perform the contract or the buyer's cancellation of the contract was directly caused by Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. Such specific showing would include, for example, the inability to deliver the goods to their intended destination due to the mines laid by Iraq in the Persian Gulf. On the other hand, the cancellation of an order by a buyer in a location that was not subject to military operations or threat thereof, due, for example, to the general instability in the region, is not sufficient to establish such a showing.
- 84. As regards the claims by suppliers or sub-contractors described in paragraph 71 above, the Panel takes note of the findings in the E2(1) report to the effect that, under Governing Council decision 9, paragraph 10, Iraq's liability extends to losses suffered in connection with contracts to which Iraq was not a party, and that this includes not only contracts between a Kuwaiti and a non-Kuwaiti party, but also sub-contractor arrangements to which no Iraqi entity was a party. 49/
- 85. The Panel agrees with these findings and determines that, in the claims before it, where a supplier's or sub-contractor's loss was the direct result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, as discussed in paragraphs 79-83 above, such loss is compensable provided that the circumstances of the claim do not indicate that the main contractor has received payment from the Iraqi or Kuwaiti end-user for the same loss. In particular, where payment arrangements under the main contract may have called for advance payments or progress payments, the Panel has exercised due diligence and has undertaken such inquiries as were practicable under

Annex II
Recommended awards for twelfth instalment of "E4" claims

Reported by claimant name and category of loss

Claimant's name:

Al-Watyah Jewellers Co.

UNCC claim number:

UNSEQ number:

E-00970

4004077

Category of loss	Amount asserted (KWD)	Amount recommended (KWD)	Comments
Loss of stock	126,870		106,297 Original loss of tangible property claim reclassified as loss
			of stock. Stock claim adjusted for stock build-up. See
		Д	paragraphs 35 and 37-39 of the report.
Loss of profits	56,603		50,697 Claim adjusted to reflect historical results. See paragraphs
		7	47-50 of the report.
TOTAT.	183.473	156,994	

The costs incurred or saved may include "variable costs" plus reasonable overhead costs. 52/

- 89. Where the goods have been sold to an alternative buyer, the normal measure of compensation is the difference between the original contract price and the resale price, plus reasonable incidental costs and expenses incurred in mitigating the loss such as additional transportation and storage costs, repackaging and other expenses of resale. Expenses saved by the non-delivery and gains on the resale transactions are set off against the losses incurred.
- 90. It is incumbent upon the claimant to demonstrate the steps taken in mitigation to avoid or reduce its loss. If the claimant has failed to reasonably mitigate its loss, the amount of recommended compensation will reflect such failure. The claimant will only receive compensation in an amount equal to the difference between the original contract price and the fair market value of the goods at the time when mitigation should have taken place. 53/ Where the claimant has established that, despite reasonable efforts, the goods could not be sold to an alternative buyer, for example, where they were shown to be specially manufactured to the specific demands of the customer, the claimant may recover the contract price, less salvage value and expenses saved, plus reasonable incidental costs and expenses incurred in mitigating the loss.

C. Decline in business/course of dealing

1. Claims description

- 91. Several claimants seek compensation for loss of revenue from a decline in business or interrupted course of dealing during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait and, in some cases, for a period of time thereafter. Most of these claims relate to operations in Kuwait which ceased completely, but losses are also alleged in connection with business operations in Israel, Saudi Arabia and the Netherlands. These claims are not based on specifically identifiable contracts but on the expected performance of the claimant's business operations in the area.
- 92. Most of the claimants were based outside of the Middle East. However, a number of claimants maintained branch offices there, while several conducted their business in the region through local agents or distributors.

Annex II

Recommended awards for twelfth instalment of "E4" claims

Reported by claimant name and category of loss

Asea Brown Boveri Electrical Co. 4004080 Claimant's name:

UNCC claim number:

UNSEQ number:

E-00973

			r	\neg
Comments	46,272 Original tangible and income-producing property claims reclassified as loss of tangible property and loss of vehicles. Tangible property claim adjusted for depreciation and evidentiary shortcomings. See paragraphs 35-36 of the report.	9,545 Claim adjusted for evidentiary shortcomings and to reflect M.V.V. Table values. See paragraphs 35 and 41 of the report.	149,647 Claim adjusted to reflect historical results. See paragraphs 47-50 of the report.	
Amount recommended (KWD)	46,272	9,545	149,647	205,464
Amount asserted (KWD)	119,021	26,764	197,117	342,902
Category of loss	Loss of tangible property	Loss of vehicles	Loss of profits	TOTAL

the "E2" Panel that are relevant to the claims in this instalment are summarised in the table below.

Area	<u>Period</u>	
Iraq	2 August 1990 - 2 March 1991	
Kuwait	2 August 1990 - 2 March 1991	
Saudi Arabia (within the range of Iraq's scud missiles)	2 August 1990 - 2 March 1991	
Persian Gulf north of the 27th parallel	2 August 1990 - 2 March 1991	
Israel	15 January - 2 March 1991	
Bahrain	22 February - 2 March 1991	

98. This Panel has reviewed the findings and conclusions of the "E2" Panel and adopts them for purposes of the claims under review.

(b) Decline in business and definition of presence

- 99. In accordance with the findings in paragraphs 97 and 98 above, the Panel concludes that, if a claimant establishes that it was based in a compensable area during the relevant compensable period, a direct causal link is, in principle, established between the alleged decline in business and Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. Under such circumstances, the claimant is entitled to compensation "for the profits which, in the ordinary course of events, [the claimant] would have been expected to earn and which were lost as a result of a decline in business directly caused by Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait." 57/
- 100. It has also been established in previous panel reports that, where a claimant was not based within the compensable area but maintained a presence within that area by way of a branch, agency or other establishment, losses from its decline in business are compensable under the same criteria as those suffered by claimants based within the compensable area. On the other hand, where the claimant did not have or maintain a presence within the compensable area, such claims are to be

evaluated under standards established in paragraph 11 of Governing Council decision 9 as is further discussed in paragraph 102 below. 58/

101. The present instalment includes claims by companies which conducted business in the Middle East region through general distributors and commercial agents. The Panel is required to determine whether such trading links amount to a presence in a compensable area within the meaning of this rule. Given the independent position of the commercial agents or general distributors vis-à-vis the claimants in the claims under review, the Panel finds that the relationships between the claimants and these agents or distributors do not amount to a "presence" for purposes of a decline in business analysis. However, these relationships may be proof of an established course of dealing, the interruption of which could give rise to a compensable claim, as discussed below.

(c) Course of dealing

- 102. Where a claimant is based outside the compensable area and did not have a presence within that area, it may nevertheless maintain a claim based on transactions that occurred within the compensable area that had been a part of a regular course of dealing, under the provisions of paragraph 11 of Governing Council decision 9. $\underline{59}$ /
- 103. This Panel concluded in the E2(4) report that a claim for lost profits based on transactions which had been a part of a business practice or course of dealing is compensable only under certain conditions: $\underline{60}$ /

"First, the claimant must show that there was a regular course of dealing in the past. Second, the claimant must demonstrate that 'a consistent level of income and profitability had been realised from such dealings.' Third, the claimant must demonstrate that that course of dealing evinces 'a well-founded expectation of further business dealings of the same character with the same party under readily ascertainable terms'". 61/

104. Several claims under review are based on profits expected from business arrangements that were yet to commence at the time of the invasion. The Panel finds that, in these claims, the claimants have failed to make the necessary special showing, described in the preceding paragraph, regarding a regular course of dealing and expectation of future business; therefore these claims are not compensable.

(d) Secondary compensation period and extraordinary profits

105. The Panel must determine whether to award compensation for decline in business or course of dealing losses that continued to be suffered after 2

March 1991 (a "secondary compensation period"). Noting that the full resumption of business activities would not necessarily have taken place immediately upon cessation of military operations and that there may have been a period of time during which those events could have had a continuing effect on the business of the claimant, the Panel reaffirms that decline in business and course of dealing losses may be compensable for a secondary period extending beyond 2 March 1991, "until the point where the claimant's business could reasonably have been expected to return to normal levels".

62/ With regard to the claims under review, the Panel determined the appropriate secondary compensation period on the basis of the circumstances applicable to each claim.

106. In each case, the Panel must also ascertain whether claimants had experienced extraordinary profits after the cessation of hostilities that were directly attributable to the invasion. With regard to the claims under review, where such profits were found to have occurred, they were set off against any compensation recommended.

Verification and valuation

- 107. With respect to decline in business claims, it must first be ascertained from documents such as registration certificates, business licenses or lease agreements that the claimant was either based in or maintained a presence in a compensable location. Where neither of these criteria has been met, the Panel examines whether the claimant has produced sufficient evidence, such as contracts, purchase orders, delivery records, or distributorship agreements, to demonstrate a previous course of dealing, as described in paragraph 102-103 above, which was interrupted by Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 108. The amount of compensation is calculated by projecting lost revenue of the operations in question from monthly historical data or, where such data is not available, from annual data. $\underline{63}$ / Lost revenues are reduced by variable costs and wage costs, which were not incurred as a result of the decline in business, to arrive at the amount of lost profits for the pertinent period. Relevant documents will include, for example, financial statements and management accounts. The amount of compensation will be reduced if the Panel considers that the claimant has not taken reasonable steps to mitigate its losses. A further description of the relevant valuation method is set out in the E2(2) report. $\underline{64}$ /

D. Increased costs

1. Expenses related to employees

(a) Salaries and termination payments, staff incentives, and reimbursement for personal property losses

(i) Claims description

- 109. Several claimants seek compensation for salaries and wages paid to non-productive employees, including those employees who were held hostage in Iraq and Kuwait, those who were evacuated from the Middle East region, and those employees remaining in the region, particularly in Saudi Arabia, who were unable to work productively as a result of the security situation at the time of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. Also claimed in some cases are benefits that were paid to staff including, in one case, support provided to the families of detained staff.
- 110. A claimant located in Saudi Arabia seeks compensation for redundancy payments that were made to staff evacuated from Saudi Arabia to their home countries in Europe during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. Another claimant based in the United Kingdom seeks compensation for the termination costs incurred when it made redundant approximately four hundred employees at its plant in the United Kingdom allegedly due to the suspension of an ongoing contract with an Iraqi party.
- 111. Some claimants seek compensation for additional benefits, such as war bonus payments and personal comprehensive war risk insurance cover, provided to employees to encourage them to continue working in Saudi Arabia during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. One of the claimants alleges that these expenses were necessary to enable it to meet existing contractual obligations.
- 112. Compensation is also sought for payments made to expatriate staff for personal property abandoned in the process of their evacuation from Kuwait during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.

(ii) Compensability

113. Salary and termination payments to non-productive employees located in Iraq and Kuwait during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait are compensable in principle, due to conditions existing in those locations at the time which made it unfeasible for staff to be employed in productive tasks. 65/ Claims with respect to salary payments to employees in other areas, which were the subject of military operations or threat of military action as described in paragraph 97 above, are compensable to the extent that the lack of productivity was not due to circumstances other

than Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. <u>66</u>/ Where employees located outside of the compensable areas were dismissed due to the claimant's inability to continue a contract with a party in a compensable location, a claim for termination payments is compensable only if the employees were specifically assigned to the contract and the contract was terminated as a direct result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. Compensation is further subject to the claimant's duty to mitigate its loss by, for example, reassigning the staff to other productive tasks.

- 114. Salaries and wages paid after repatriation are not compensable where the claimant failed to explain why its employees could not be assigned to other productive tasks after repatriation. $\underline{67}/$ With respect to termination or severance payments only "the contractually or legally required payments for early termination are compensable". $\underline{68}/$
- 115. Costs of bonus payments and incentives provided to staff, where related to work in a compensable location, are compensable to the extent that they have been determined to be necessary to enable the claimant to continue its operations and were reasonable in amount. $\underline{69}/$
- 116. Claims for payments made to staff for personal property lost in Iraq or Kuwait are compensable in principle, where such payments were made pursuant to legal obligations or otherwise appear justified and reasonable under the circumstances, and to the extent that the employee has not already been compensated by the Commission for such losses. $\frac{70}{}$

(iii) Verification and valuation

- 117. For all payments to staff, the claimant must establish that the persons to whom the payments were made were its employees at the relevant time and that the cost was in excess of the claimant's usual expenditure for those staff or was a cost related to non-productive employees whose lack of productivity was a direct result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. Proof of employment of the staff in a compensable area, or in relation to the performance of a contract with a party in a compensable area, is also required, as is evidence of payment of the alleged sums. Relevant documents in this regard will include contracts of employment, payroll records and other contemporaneous internal documents of the claimant.
- 118. With respect to termination payments and unproductive salary payments the Panel also requires evidence establishing that the employees in question could not otherwise be re-deployed to other assignments that would have avoided the increased cost. Where the claim relates to payments to

staff for lost personal property, the presence of the personal property in a compensable area must also be ascertained.

119. The normal measure of compensation for payments to staff is the amount of the claimant's expenditure, provided it is appropriate and reasonable.

(b) Evacuation costs

(i) Claims description

120. Several claimants seek compensation for the cost of evacuating staff and their families from Kuwait, Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The expenses for which claimants seek compensation include cost of travel, temporary accommodation in safe locations pending onward journey to the evacuees' home countries and associated expenditures for food.

(ii) Compensability

121. Paragraph 21 of Governing Council decision 7 provides that losses suffered as a result of the "departure of persons from or their inability to leave Iraq or Kuwait" are to be considered the direct result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. Also, paragraph 22 of decision 7 provides that compensation is "available to reimburse payments made or relief provided by corporations or other entities to others – for example, to employees ... for losses covered by any of the criteria adopted by the Council." Consequently, costs incurred in connection with evacuation from areas that were the subject of military operations or threat of military action by either side are, in principle, compensable. 71/ However, only extraordinary or incremental and temporary expenses are compensable. 72/ In the circumstances of the claims under review, costs incurred for transport, accommodation, food and urgent medical treatment are compensable, provided they would not have been incurred by the claimant in any event, such as at the end of the employee's contract. 73/

(iii) Verification and valuation

122. Sufficient evidence, such as airline or other carrier ticket stubs and invoices from travel agents, is required to demonstrate that the evacuation was conducted as alleged by the claimant and that the claimant incurred the amount of the expense alleged. The Panel must be satisfied that the costs were incremental and were not such as would have been incurred by the claimant in any event in the course of its operations.

123. The measure of compensation is the ascertainable amount of the expense incurred less a reduction corresponding to the costs that would normally have been incurred by the claimant.

2. Other increased costs

(a) Claims description

124. Various claims have been filed for other increased costs incurred by claimants in the conduct of their business operations that are alleged to have resulted from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. Such costs include the cost of establishing a temporary office away from an area subject to the threat of military operations, costs of freight, storage, and war risk insurance paid for goods and raw materials shipped to, from and within locations in the Middle East.

(b) Compensability

125. The Panel finds that only those increased costs incurred as a direct result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, for example, with respect to operations in locations that were the subject of military operations or threat of military action, are compensable. 74/ Moreover, these losses are compensable only to the extent that they were incremental and would not have been incurred in any event, or were not passed on to customers or otherwise recovered from other sources.

(c) Verification and valuation

- 126. With respect to increased costs, it must be established that the claimant incurred the costs in question and that they were incremental to the claimant's usual costs. Relevant documents will include invoices, management accounts and other internal contemporaneous records of the claimant.
- 127. For those increased costs found to be compensable, the measure of compensation is the ascertainable cost incurred less an appropriate allowance to reflect expenses that would have been incurred in any event.

E. Tangible property losses

1. Claims description

128. Several claimants in the instalment seek compensation for tangible property that was stolen, lost or destroyed in Iraq and Kuwait during the

S/AC.26/2001/1 Page 36

period of the invasion and occupation. The property in question includes branch office furniture and equipment, inventory, vehicles and machinery, some of which were on demonstration or exhibition in trade fairs, as well as cash.

2. Compensability

129. It follows from paragraphs 12 and 13 of Governing Council decision 9 that claims for damaged or lost tangible assets in Iraq or Kuwait, including cash losses, are compensable in principle where the claimant can show that the assets were in the location at the relevant time and were lost or destroyed during Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. In particular, the Panel finds that in the claims under review, where property was lost because it was left unguarded by personnel departing Iraq or Kuwait, such loss is direct.

3. Verification and valuation

- 130. The claimant's ownership or interest in the property and the presence of the property in the compensable location at the time of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait must be verified. The claimant must also provide sufficient evidence to establish that the loss of the property was a direct result of the invasion and occupation, including, for example, evidence that the property was left unguarded due to the departure of personnel. Relevant documents will include asset registers, inventory lists and import certificates. A high level of scrutiny is applied to claims for the loss of cash because of the risk of overstatement. 75/
- 131. For claims based on replacement costs, the replacement value must first be ascertained and an assessment made as to whether the claimant's calculation of the loss reflects appropriate depreciation, normal maintenance or betterment. Appropriate adjustments are then made as necessary. $\frac{76}{}$
- 132. For claims based on net book value, the Panel must first establish the cost and date of acquisition of the asset from the provided documents. The depreciation applied by the claimant is then reviewed for reasonableness and the claim adjusted if necessary. $\frac{77}{}$

F. Legal fees other than claims preparation costs

1. Claims description

133. Compensation is sought by some claimants for the cost they incurred in the preparation of claims submitted to a national export credit guarantee agency. The claimants received compensation from the agency on the condition that they pursue claims with the Commission for compensation that would later be reimbursed to the agency by the claimants.

2. Compensability

134. The Panel finds that costs incurred in the preparation of claims to be submitted to an export credit guarantee agency or other insurance company do not constitute a loss resulting directly from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait and are therefore not compensable.

IV. INCIDENTAL ISSUES

A. Date of loss

- 135. The Panel must determine "the date the loss occurred" for the purpose of determining the appropriate exchange rate to be applied to losses stated in currencies other than in United States dollars, and with respect to the awarding of interest at a later date in accordance with Governing Council decision 16. The date when the loss occurred depends most significantly on the character of the loss, and the following paragraphs address each loss type in turn.
- 136. With respect to claims based on contract losses, the Panel notes that the date of loss for each contract would normally depend on the facts and circumstances surrounding the non-performance of the contract. 78/
 However, given the large number of contracts before the Commission and the significance of one event (i.e., Iraq's invasion of Kuwait) on contractual relations, the Panel finds that 2 August 1990 represents an administrable and appropriate date of loss for the contract claims now under consideration.
- 137. With respect to claims for decline in business leading to loss of profits or claims for increased costs, the Panel notes that such losses in this instalment were suffered over extended periods of time, and that such losses were generally spread over the period of loss. Given these circumstances, the Panel selects the mid-point of the relevant compensable

S/AC.26/2001/1 Page 38

period (including, as the case may be, relevant primary or secondary periods) during which the particular loss occurred as the date of loss. $\frac{79}{}$

- 138. With respect to claims for payment or relief to others, including evacuation costs, the Panel notes that such losses likewise have been incurred throughout the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait and, therefore, the Panel selects the mid-point of the occupation period as the date of loss for costs of this nature, that is, 15 November 1990. 80/
- 139. With respect to claims for loss of tangible assets, the Panel selects 2 August 1990 as the date of loss as that date generally coincides with the claimant's loss of control over the assets in question in this instalment. 81/

B. Currency exchange rate

- 140. Many of the claimants have advanced claims in currencies other than United States dollars. The Panel has assessed all such claims and performed all claim calculations in the original currencies of the claims. Since the Commission issues its awards in United States dollars, the Panel must determine the appropriate rate of exchange to be applied to claims where the losses are alleged in other currencies. The Panel has been guided by its previous decisions, and by decisions of other Panels. A particular rule is established for Kuwaiti dinars, and is set forth in paragraph 146.
- 141. Noting that all prior Commission compensation awards have looked to the <u>United Nations Monthly Bulletin of Statistics</u> (the "UN Monthly Bulletin") for determining commercial exchange rates into United States dollars, the Panel adopts that source for the data to be utilised in exchange rate calculations. The Panel notes that the UN Monthly Bulletin provides a monthly figure for each currency which reflects the average exchange rate for that currency for the last day of the month in question.
- 142. For claims based on contract losses in this instalment, the Panel, noting that the date of loss set forth in paragraph 136 for such claims is 2 August 1990, adopts the last available exchange rate unaffected by Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, as reported in the UN Monthly Bulletin.
- 143. For claims for decline in business leading to loss of profits and claims for increased costs, the Panel decides that the appropriate rate will be the average of the rates reported in the UN Monthly Bulletin for the months over which the particular claimant is compensated. 82/
- 144. For claims for payment or relief to others within this instalment, including evacuation costs and security measures, the Panel, noting that

the date of loss set forth in paragraph 138 for such claims is 15 November 1990 and consistent with the decision of the "F1" Panel, decides that the appropriate rate will be that rate reported in the UN Monthly Bulletin for the month of November 1990. 83/

- 145. For claims for the loss of tangible assets, the Panel, noting that the date of loss set forth in paragraph 139 for such claims is 2 August 1990, adopts the last available exchange rate unaffected by Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, as reported in the UN Monthly Bulletin.
- 146. The above rules apply to claims stated in currencies other than the Kuwaiti dinar. For claims denominated in Kuwaiti dinars, the Panel, noting the extreme fluctuation in the value of that currency during the period of Iraq's occupation of Kuwait and the decisions of this and other Panels, adopts the rate of exchange for 2 August 1990, namely the last available exchange rate unaffected by Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, as reported in the UN Monthly Bulletin. 84/

C. Interest

- 147. Governing Council decision 16 states that "[i]nterest will be awarded from the date the loss occurred until the date of payment, at a rate sufficient to compensate successful claimants for the loss of use of the principal amount of the award". The Governing Council further specified that it would consider the method of calculation and of payment of interest at a later date and that "[i]nterest will be paid after the principal amount of awards".
- 148. With respect to the awarding of interest, in accordance with Governing Council decision 16, the Panel notes that the dates of loss defined in paragraphs 135 to 139 above may be relevant to the later choice of the dates from which interest will accrue for all compensable claims.

D. Claims preparation costs

149. Several claimants seek compensation for the cost incurred in the preparation of claims for submission to the Commission. In a letter dated 6 May 1998, the Executive Secretary of the Commission advised the Panel that the Governing Council intends to resolve the issue of claims preparation costs at a future date. Accordingly, the Panel takes no action with respect to claims for such costs.

V. RECOMMENDATIONS

150. Based on the foregoing, the Panel recommends that the amounts set out in annex II below, totalling USD 13,671,106, be paid in compensation for direct losses suffered by the claimants as a result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.

Geneva, 29 September 2000

(<u>Signed</u>) Mr. Bruno Leurent Chairman

(<u>Signed</u>) Mr. Kaj Hobér Commissioner

(<u>Signed</u>) Mr. Andrey Khoudorojkov Commissioner

Notes

- $\underline{1}/$ The category "E2" population consists of claims submitted by non-Kuwaiti corporations, public sector enterprises and other private legal entities (excluding oil sector, construction/engineering, export guarantee/insurance and environmental claims).
- 2/ This is the second report and recommendations of the "E2A" Panel to the Governing Council concerning E2 claims, its first report being the Report and Recommendations of the Panel of Commissioners concerning the fourth instalment of E2 claims (the "E2(4) report").
- 3/ Two claims were withdrawn by the claimants after the commencement of the Panel's review of the claims in this instalment. Further, at the request of the Panel, two claims identified in annex II have been transferred to a different Panel to be considered with related claims.
- 4/ The figure cited in the text is the total amount for all 99 claims submitted to the Panel in this instalment. This figure includes amounts claimed for interest and claim preparation costs. As explained in paragraphs 147-149 below, the Governing Council will consider claims for these types of losses at a future date where an amount has been awarded for the principal sum claimed. The total amount claimed, excluding the two transferred claims and the two claims that were withdrawn is USD 305,705,021.
 - 5/ See Governing Council decision 10, section IV.
- $\underline{6}/$ The issue of Iraq's liability for losses falling within the Commission's jurisdiction has, thus, already been determined by the Security Council.
- 7/ This is confirmed in paragraph 6 of decision 15 of the Governing Council which states that "[t]here will be other situations where evidence can be produced showing claims are for direct loss, damage or injury as a result of Iraq's unlawful invasion and occupation of Kuwait".
 - 8/ See also, E2(4) report, paras. 154-157.
- 9/ "United Nations Compensation Commission Claim Form for Corporations and Other Entities (Form E): Instructions for Claimants", ("Form E") para. 6.
 - 10/ Form E, para. 6.
- $\underline{11}/$ Governing Council decision 7, para. 23. In addition, the Governing Council stated in paragraph 5 of decision 15 that a claimant seeking compensation for business losses must provide "detailed factual descriptions of the circumstances of the claimed loss, damage or injury" in order for compensation to be awarded.
 - 12/ Governing Council decision 46.
 - 13/ The Rules, art. 35(1).
 - 14/ E2(4) report para. 89-96.

- 15/ Ibid., para. 94.
- 16/ Ibid., para. 89.
- 17/ Ibid., para. 96, note 23.
- 18/ Ibid., para. 92.
- 19/ In formulating this rule, the Panel was guided by article 47(a) of the Uniform Customs and Practice for Documentary Credits (1983 revision), ICC Publication No. 400. This provision states that, where a credit does not stipulate a specified period after the date of shipment during which presentation of documents must be made, "banks will refuse documents presented to them later than 21 days after the date of issuance of the transport document(s)."
 - 20/ E2(4) report, paras. 95, 96(b).
- 21/ See, for example, E2(5) report, para. 64, E1(3) report, para. 208.
- 22/ Further elaboration of the Panel's findings in relation to this conclusion are set out in the E2(4) report, paras. 106-116.
 - 23/ Ibid.
 - 24/ See discussion in the E2(4) report, para. 116.
 - 25/ E2(4) report, paras. 118-119.
 - 26/ See E2(4) report, paras. 159, 165.
- 27/ The Panel is mindful that, as a rule, a correspondent bank or a negotiating bank would have duly forwarded the documents to the issuing bank. Also, in most cases, it would have been difficult for a claimant to obtain proof of the receipt of documents by the Iraqi issuing bank.
 - 28/ E2(4) report, paras. 135-136.
 - 29/ Ibid.
 - 30/ <u>Ibid</u>., paras. 127-131.
 - 31/ Ibid., paras. 145-146.
 - 32/ Ibid., para. 147(b).
- 33/ For example, depending on the contract, the risk of loss may have passed to the buyer when the goods were handed over to the first carrier.
 - 34/ E2(4) report, para. 143
 - 35/ Ibid., para. 144.
 - 36/ <u>Ibid</u>., para 147.
- 37/ See, for example, "Report to the Secretary-General by a United Nations mission, led by Mr. Abdulrahim A. Farah, former Under-Secretary General, assessing the scope and nature of damage inflicted on Kuwait's infrastructure during the Iraqi occupation of the country from 2 August 1990 to 27 February 1991" (S/22535) (29 April 1991) the "Farah Report"); United Nations Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC), "Report on the

Situation of Human Rights in Kuwait under Iraqi Occupation, by Walter Kälin, Special Rapporteur of the ECOSOC Commission on Human Rights, E/CN/.4/1992/26 (16 January 1992)(the "Kälin Report"); C(1) report, passim. See also, E2(1) report, paras. 146-147.

- 38/ See para. 59 above, and E2(4) report, paras. 127-131, 149.
- 39/ E2(4) report, para. 123.
- 40/ Ibid., paras. 202-203.
- 41/ Ibid., paras. 161-162; 203(d).
- 42/ Ibid., para. 203(c).
- 43/ E2(1) report, para. 98.
- 44/ Ibid., paras. 90, 98
- 45/ Ibid., para. 100.
- 46/ Governing Council decision 9, para. 10.
- 47/ E2(4) report, para. 123.
- 48/ Ibid., para. 167.
- 49/ E2(1) report, para. 145, note 56.
- 50/ See also, E2(4) report, paras. 204-212.
- 51/ See also E2(4) report, paras. 159, 165.
- 52/ "Variable costs" are those expenses incurred in reliance upon and specifically with reference to the contract and which, if the contract were not to be performed, could be avoided.
- $\underline{53}/$ The Panel must be satisfied that the claimant reasonably mitigated its loss, such as by suspending production of specified goods to be supplied under the contract or by attempting to sell to third parties goods that could not be delivered to the Iraqi or Kuwaiti purchaser.
 - 54/ E2(2) report, para. 64.
- $\underline{55}/$ E2(1) report, paras. 158-161. See also, E2(2) report, para. 67, notes 13 and 14.
 - 56/ E2(3) report, para. 77.
 - 57/ E2(2) report, para. 78; E2(3) report, para. 101.
- 58/ See, for example, E2(3) report, para. 102; E2(4) report, para. 181.
 - 59/ Paragraph 11 of Governing council decision 9 provides:

"Where a loss has been suffered relating to a transaction that has been part of a business practice or course of dealing, Iraq is liable according to the principles that apply to contract losses. No liability exists for losses relating to transactions that were only expected to take place based on a previous course of dealing."

60/ E2(4) report, paras. 183-186.

- 61/ Ibid., para. 186.
- 62/ See also, E2(2) report, para. 142.
- 63/ E2(2) report, paras 146-152.
- 64/ Ibid.
- 65/ E2(1) report, paras. 213, 237; E3(1) report, paras. 172-174.
- $\underline{66}/$ See E2(1) report, paras. 252-253, with respect to employee productivity losses for staff in Saudi Arabia. See also E2(5) report, para. 130 with respect to salary payments to staff in Bahrain.
- $\underline{67}$ / E2(1) report, paras. 215, 238. See also E2(3) report, para. 161.
- $\underline{68}$ / E2(3) report, para. 161. See also F(1.1) report, paras. 66 and
 - 69/ E2(3) report, para. 100.
- $\frac{70}{}$ Governing Council decisions 1 and 7; E2(3) report, para. 162; F1(1.1) report, paras. 66-68.
- $\frac{71}{}$ E2(1) report, paras. 133, 153; E2(2) report, para. 60; E2(3) report, paras. 71-72; E3(1) report, paras. 177; F(1.1) report, paras. 94-96.
- $\frac{72}{}$ See also E2(3) report, para. 79, citing F1(2) report, para. 101.
 - 73/ E2(3) report, para. 79, citing E3(1) report, paras. 177-178.
 - 74/ E2(3) report, paras. 87-100, 156-158.
 - 75/ See E2(5) report, para. 152.
 - 76/ E2(1) report, paras. 271-273.
 - 77/ E2(3) report, paras. 203-205.
 - 78/ Ibid., para. 211.
 - 79/ Ibid., paras. 209-210.
 - 80/ Ibid., para. 212.
 - 81/ Ibid., para. 213.
 - 82/ Ibid., para. 216.
 - 83/ Ibid., para. 218; F1(1.1) report, para 101.
 - 84/ E2(3) report, para. 220

Annex I

LIST OF REASONS STATED IN ANNEX II FOR DENIAL IN WHOLE OR IN PART OF THE CLAIMED AMOUNT

No.	Compensability	<u>Explanation</u>
.	"Arising prior to" exclusion	All or part or the claim is based on a debt or obligation of Iraq that arose prior to 2 August 1990 and is, thus, outside the jurisdiction of the Commission pursuant to Resolution 687 (1991).
2.	Part or all of loss is not direct	The loss, in whole or part, is not a direct loss within the meaning of Resolution 687 (1991).
e.	Part or all of loss is outside compensable period	All or part of the loss occurred outside the period of time during which the Panel has determined that a loss may be directly related to Irag's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
4	Part or all of loss is outside compensable area	All or part of the loss occurred outside the geographical area within which the Panel has determined that a loss may be directly related to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
5.	Trade embargo is the sole cause	The loss claimed was caused exclusively by the trade embargo or related measures pursuant to Resolution 661 (1990) or other relevant resolutions and is accordingly not compensable.
9	No proof of loss	The claimant has not provided sufficient evidence to establish that it suffered an actual loss.
7.	Non-compensable expectancy	No liability exists for losses related to transactions that were only expected to take place.
· &	No proof of direct loss	The claimant has failed to submit sufficient evidence to demonstrate that the loss was a direct result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.

No.	Compensability	Explanation
. 6	Part or all of the loss is unsubstantiated	Claimant has failed to file documentation substantiating its claim; or, where documents have been provided, these do not demonstrate the circumstances or amount of part or all of the claimed loss as required under article 35 of the UNCC Provisional Rules for Claims Procedure.
10.	Failure to comply with formal filing requirements	The claimant has failed to meet the formal requirements for the filling of claims as specified under article 14 of the UNCC Provisional Rules for Claims Procedure.
11.	Calculated loss is less than loss alleged	1
12.	Deduction for failure to mitigate	The claimant has not taken such measures as are reasonable in the circumstances to reduce or minimize the loss as required under paragraph 23 of Governing Council decision 9 and paragraph 9(IV) of decision 15.
13.	Claim preparation costs	1 by the
14.	Interest	The issue of methods of calculation and of payment of interest will be considered by the Governing Council at the appropriate time pursuant to Governing Council decision 16. Moreover, where the Panel has recommended that no compensation be paid for the principal amounts claimed, no compensation is recommended for interest claimed on such principal amounts.
15.	Principal sum not compensable	Where the Panel has recommended that no compensation be paid for the principal amounts claimed, no compensation is recommended for interest claimed on such principal amounts.

Annex II

RECOMMENDED AWARDS FOR THE SIXTH INSTALMENT OF "E2" CLAIMS

		ra .				
	Total award in USD	N/A				_
ners e/	Report			23-29;	Paras. 23-29, 87; 27.	Paras. 23-29; 27.
Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award	40,000,000 Claim transferred to a different Panel to be considered with related claims.		loss is 23- unsubstantiated; 27.	loss. O Part or all of Par. loss is 23- unsubstantiated; 87; No proof of 27- loss.	O Part or all of Part loss is 23-unsubstantiated; 27. No proof of loss.
ion of the P	Amount recommended in USD	d to a differrelated clain				
Decis	Amount recommended in original currency	Claim transferred to a differen considered with related claims.)	0	0
	rec	Clai		1	ATS	ATS
<u>ā</u> /	Amount claimed in original currency	<u> </u>	9,341,935		500,000 ATS	800,000 ATS
ři]	Amor in 2	ATS	ATS		ATS	ATS
Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Business Loss of value loss or of course of shareholding dealing	Goods delivered to Kuwait but not paid for	contract (Amount owed to supplier)	Interrupted contract (Loss of profit)	Increased costs (Defence of legal action)
표	Type of loss	Business loss or course of dealing	Contract		Contract	Contract
claimed, missible a/	Total amount claimed restated in USD c/	4,486,446 Business or course of dealing				
Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	Amount claimed in original currency b/	49,341,935				
धाःता	Amou	ATS		3		
Claimant and UNCC Claim No.		Strabag österreich Aktiengesellschaft 4000112		rant camersoner Werkzeugbau Prazisionsteile GmbH	4000122	
	Country			64 40 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66		
		-		١ .		

e 48						
	Total award in USD	4 / N	2,160	0 .0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ners e/	Report		Paras. 97, 125; 23-29, 126.	Paras. 23-29, 87; 27.	34-37. Paras. 51-55.	s not
Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award	3,315,000 Claim transferred to a different Panel to be considered with related claims.	2,160 Part or all of loss is outside compensable area; Part or all of the loss is unsubstantiated.	loss is 23-7 No proof of 27-7 No proof o	to" exclusion. No proof of direct loss.	compensable.
ion of the P	Amount recommended in USD	i to a differelated clai				0
Decis	Amount recommended in original currency	Claim transferred to a differer considered with related claims	D 2,160			USD
	med re	3,315,000 Cla con 1,342,000	10,455 USD			192,977 US
/gi	Amount claimed in original currency				Ψ	
claim		ATS	USD	 	ot	aso
Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Goods partially manufactured and not shipped N/A	Increased costs (War risk insurance)	Interrupted contract (Loss of profit)	Goods delivered to Iraq but not paid for Goods delivered to Kuwait but not paid for	N/A
Re	Type of loss	423, 441 Contract Interest	10,455 Business Incre loss or Costs course of risk dealing insu	Contract	820,498 Contract	Interest
laimed, issible a/	Total amount claimed restated in	423,441	10,455	5,000,185 Cont	820,498	
Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	aimed inal b/	4,657,000	10,455	5,000,185	820,498	
inc	Amount cl in orig	ATS	USD	USD	USD	
Third free	claim No.	Linsinger Maschinenbau GES. M.B.H. 4000131	Mannai Aluminium & Glass. Division of Mannai Trading & Investment Co. Ltd.	Prerovské Strojirny Ltd. 4000297	Zavody Silnoproude Elektrotechniky - Joint Stock Company-holding 4000298	
	Country	Austria	Bahrain	Czech Republic	Czech Republic	
		m	4	ις.	٥	

	Total award in USD	109,720		12,370		0	
ners e/	Report citation	Paras. 24-28, 104; 108.	Para. 27.		Para. 90. Para. 86.	Paras. 34-37. Para. 90.	
Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award	109,720 Calculated loss is less than loss alleged; Part or all of loss is unsubstantiated.	0 No proof of loss.	"Arising prior to" exclusion.	Deduction for failure to mitigate. Part or all of loss is not direct.	to" exclusion. to exclusion. Deduction for failure to mitigate.	O Principal sum not compensable.
ion of the P	Amount recommended in USD	109,720	0	12,370	0	0	0
Decis	Amount recommended in original currency	31,709	0	12,370	0	0	0
	9 H		DKK			USD 8	USD
ק/	Amount claimed in original currency	83,441	000,000,00	600,910	6,964 713,867	2,709,337	1,022,679 USD
m'i.	Amou	l	DKK	usp	USD	USD	USD
Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Loss of profit	Business Misappropria- loss or tion of course of intellectual dealing property	Goods delivered to Iraq but not paid for	Goods manufactured but not shipped Finance costs	Goods delivered to Iraq but not paid for Goods manufactured but not	N/A
κ	Type of loss	Business loss or course of dealing	Business loss or course of dealing	Con	Contract	Contract	Interest
claimed, nissible a/	Total amount claimed restated in	15,316,274		1,321,741		5,113,394	
Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	Amount claimed in original currency b	83,441	90,000,000	1,321,741		5,113,394	
팅 iil	Amoun	КМД	DKK	USD		USD	
Claimant and UNCC claim No.		I.C.H. Industrial and Commercial Holding APS 4000047		Kaha Co. for Chemical Industries 4002638		Maasara Co. for Engineering Industries 4002639	
Country		Denmark		Egypt		Egypt	
		7		∞		_Q	

Ра 	ge 50					m		14	10	
		Total award in USD	44,800			23,688	N/A	N/A		
	ners e/	Report citation	Paras. 34-37.	Para. 90.	nt awarded ned as per 1 decision 1-148)		Para. 63.		Daran	51-55.
	Decision of the Panel of Commissioners		"Arising prior to" exclusion.	44,800 Deduction for failure to mitigate.	Awaiting Interest on amount awarded decision is to be determined as per Governing Council decision 16. (Paras. 147-148)	3 N/A	0 All or part of loss is not direct.		No 247	direct loss.
	on of the F	Amount recommended in USD	0	44,800	Awaiting decisior	23, 688 N/A				
	Decisi	Amount recommended in original currency	0	44,800	Awaiting	23,688	0			
		recom OI	OSD .	OSD	usp	usn	asu			DEM
	<u>ā</u> /	Amount claimed in original currency	625,500	1,536,000 USD	540,375	23,688	55,641			7,313
		Amoun	OSD	USD	usp	USD	USD			DEM
	Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Goods delivered to Iraq but not paid for	Goods manufactured but not shipped	N/A	Goods lost or destroyed in	Finance costs			Goods delivered to Kuwait but not paid for
	Re	Type of loss		Contract	Interest	79,329 Contract	Contract			4,682 Contract
	laimed, nissible a/	Total amount claimed restated in USD c/				79,329				
	Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	Amount claimed in original currency b/	2,701,875			79,329				м 7,313
		Amo	USD			usp				DEM
	CONTRACTOR OF TAXABLE PROPERTY.	Claimant and UNCC	Abu-Zaabal Co. for Speciality Chemicals	4002640		Youssef El Braky Furniture	4005780	Claim withdrawn 4001842	Claim withdrawn 4001876	DZ Licht Aussenleuchten GmbH & Co. KG. 4000348
		Country	Бдурс			Egypt		France	France	Germany
			10			11		12	13	14

			<u></u>	
	Total award in USD	100,306	0	187,970
ners e/	Report	Paras. 81, 88; 90.	Paras. 23-29, 130-132; 28.	Paras. 14-15, 130. Paras. 14-15, 130.
Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award	100,306 Part or all of loss is outside compensable period; Deduction for failure to mitigate.	O Part or all of loss is unsubstantiated; Failure to comply with formal filing requirements (translation).	187,970 Calculated loss Paras. is less than loss alleged. (The party who has legal title to a portion of the property has another pending claim before the Commission.) On proof of Paras. loss. (The party 14-15, who has legal title to property has another pending claim before the commission.)
ion of the P	Amount recommended in USD	100,306	0	0.00
Decis	Amount recommended in original currency	160,088	0	300,000
	reco oo o	рем	рем	DEM
<u>ā</u> /	Amount claimed in original currency	203,410	219,670	1,872,040
<u>.</u>	Amou	DEM	рем	DEM
Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Goods manufactured but not shipped	Machinery	Vehicle / Machinery Vehicles / Machinery
<u>α</u>	Type of loss	130,224 Contract	140,634 Tangible property	630,121 Tangible property Tangible property
claimed, nissible a/	Total amount claimed restated in	130,224	140,634	630,121
Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	Amount claimed in original currency b/	203,410	219,670	984,249
티뷰	Amoun	рем	рем	DEM
Claimant and UNCC	claim No.	Hans Holland GmbH 4000349	Connex-Werbekonzept I GmbH (Former BAPO Gesellschaft fur automatisierte Schweiblechnik, GmbH)	Schmidt GmbH
	Country	Germany	Germany	Germany
		15	16	11

Page 52

Page 52					
	Total award in USD	0	C		0
mers e/	Report citation	Paras. 51-55; 23-29, 54-55.	Paras. 59-60.	Paras. 23-29, 44-48; 28.	Paras. 51-55.
Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction award	O Part or all of loss is not direct; Deart or all of loss is unsubstantiated.	0 Part or all of loss is not direct.	Opert or all or Paras. loss is 23-29, unsubstantiated; 44-48; Failure to 28. comply with formal filing requirements (translation).	direct loss. O No proof of direct loss. O Principal sum not compensable.
ion of the P	Amount recommended in USD	0			
Decis	Amount recommended in original currency	0		0	
	rec	DEM		DEM	DEM DEM
ō/	Amount claimed in original currency	175,814	6,216	329,130	42,630
	Amour in	DEM	DEM	DEM	DEM DEM
Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Goods delivered to Kuwait but not paid for	Goods lost or destroyed in transit	Goods delivered to Iraq but not paid for	Goods delivered to Kuwait but not paid for N/A
Re	Type of loss	125,175 Contract	Contract	210,711 Contract	29,113 Contract
issible	Total amount claimed restated in	125,175		210,711	29,113
Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	ments aimed inal	182,030		329, 130	45,475
Tori	Amount cl in origi	DEM		DEM	рем
of simmer to the		Oswald Felix Gregor I		Lubing Maschinenfabrik GmbH & Co. KG. 4000530	Storck International GmbH 4000568
	Country	Germany		Germany	Germany
		18		19	20

	Total award in	2,201			6,404	8,581
ners e/	Report citation	Paras. 51-55.	Paras. 23-29, 68.	nt awarded ned as per 1 decision -148)	Paras. 59-61. Paras. 23-29, 68-69.	Para. 15.
Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award	No proof of direct loss.	2,201 Part or all of Par the loss is 23- unsubstantiated. 68.	Awaiting Interest on amount awarded decision is to be determined as per Governing Council decision 16. (Paras. 147-148)	6,404 No proof of direct loss. O Part or all of loss is unsubstantiated.	No proof of loss (for a portion of the claim claimant was paid by insurer which has a pending claim before the
ion of the F	Amount recommended in USD	0		Awaiting decision	6,40	8,581
Decis	Amount recommended in original currency	0	3,512	Awaiting decision	10,221	13,695
	reco	DEM	DEM	DEM	рем рем	DEM
₫/	Amount claimed in original currency	9,976	3,763	12,587	31,225	136,951
ni m	Amou in	DEM	DEM	DEM	DEM	рем
Reclassified claim	· Sub-category	Goods delivered to Kuwait but not paid for	Freight costs for diverted goods	N/A	Goods lost or destroyed in transit Goods shipped but diverted	Goods lost or destroyed in transit
Re	Type of loss	16,855 Contract	Contract	Interest	47,192 Contract	87, 676 Contract
laimed, nissible a/	Total amount claimed restated in	16,855			47,192	87,676
Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	Amount claimed in original currency b	26,326			73,714	136,951
	Amc i	DEM			e DEM	DEM
Claimant and UNCC		Accumulatorenwerke Hoppecke Carl Zoellner & Sohn GmbH & Co. KG.	4000717		Trucktec Automobile Parts Co. Ltd. 4000817	Dibona Markenvertrieb KG 4000894
Country		Germany			Germany	Germany
		21			22	23

Page 54

3 34						
	Total award in USD				>	
ners e/	Report	Paras. 23-29, 87.	Paras. 23-29, 130-132; 28.)t	Paras. 34-37; 42.	ot.
Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award	0 Part or all of Par loss is 23~ 0 unsubstantiated. 87.	O Part or all of Paras. loss is 23-29, unsubstantiated; 130-132; Failure to 28. comply with formal filing requirements (translation).	O Principal sum not compensable.	O Arising prior to Paras. exclusion; 34-37. Part or all of 42. the loss is outside compensable period.	O Principal sum not compensable.
ion of the P	Amount recommended in USD	0	0			0
Decis	Amount recommended in original currency	0	0	0	0	
	reco	KWD	KWD	XWD		USD
۵/	Amount claimed in original currency	205,962	37,490	139,371 KWD	619, 066	320,599
	Amoun in c	KWD	KWD	KWD	USD	OSD
Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Interrupted contract (loss of profit)	Not determinable	N/A	Goods delivered to Iraq but not paid for	N/A
Re	Type of loss	Contract	Tangible property	Interest	939, 665 Contract	Interest
laimed, issible a/	Total amount claimed restated in	1,828,391			939, 665	
Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	including permis amendments amendments Amount claimed in original currency b/ re	528,405			939, 665	
ह्मा जी	Amou	KWD			USD	
THE PASS THEORY	Claimant and UNCC claim No.				Auto International (India) 4000650	
	Country	Hungary			5 India	
		24			25	

	Total award in USD	2 , 848		0	•	
ners e/	Report	132.	nt awarded ned as per 1 decision -148)	Para. 86.	Paras. 34-37. Para. 43.	Paras. 34-37. not
Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award	2,848 Calculated loss is less than loss alleged. (In light of prior existing dispute between parties, loss was calculated on the basis of estimated resale value of goods.)	Awalting Interest on amount awarded decision is to be determined as per Governing Council decision 16. (Paras. 147-148)	O Part or all of the loss is not direct.	"Arising prior to" exclusion. Part or all of loss is not direct.	0 "Arising prior Pari to" exclusion. 34
ion of the E	Amount recommended in USD	2,848	Awaiting decision	0	0	0
Decisi	Amount recommended in original currency	2,848	Awaiting decision	0	0	0
	recol				usn usb	usp usp
۵/	Amount claimed in original currency	5,697	570	12,250	8,674,434	341,700
mi	Amou	USD	USD	usp	INR	USD
Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Textiles	N/A	Finance costs	Goods delivered to Iraq but not paid for Finance costs	Goods delivered to Iraq but not paid for N/A
Ř.	Type of loss	Tan	Interest	Contract	Contract	Contract
nissible a/	Total amount claimed restated in	6,267		12,250 Con	519, 626 Con	762,556 Con
Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	Amount claimed in original currency b/	6,267		12,250	9,159,452	762,556
SI ii	Amour in curr	USD		USD	INR	USD
Claimant and UNCC Claim No.		Rustom Mills & Industries Limited 4000674		Surat Diamond Industries Ltd 4000679	The Tata Iron and Steel Company Limited 4000680	The Decorative Laminates (India) Put Ltd 4000783
Country				India	India	India
		56		27	28	59

,c 						ļc	5 10	·]
		Total award in USD	·					18,928
	ners e/	Report citation	Paras. 23-29, 125-126; 28.		Paras. 93-101; 23-29, 107-108.	s not	23-29, 126.	
	Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award	Opert or all of Paras. loss is 23-29, unsubstantiated; 125-126; Failure to 28. comply with formal filing requirements (translation).		O No proof of direct loss; Part or all of loss is unsubstantiated.	O Principal sum is not compensable.	Deart or all or loss is unsubstantiated.	N/A
	on of the P	Amount recommended in USD	0	0	0			18, 928 N/A
	Decisi	Amount recommended in original currency	0	0	0			22,096,500
	_	reco	IRR	USD	ILS		usp	ITL
	<u>ت</u> ر	Amount claimed in original currency	14,400,000	175,000	8,087	4,222	572,000	22,096,500
		Amour	IRR	asn	ILS	ILS	usp	ITL
	Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Increased costs (transporta- tion and war risk insurance)	Increased costs (raw materials)	Decline in business	N/A	Increased	Goods lost or destroyed in transit
	R R	Type of loss	392,204 Business Course of Course of dealing	Business loss or course of dealing	of of	Interest	572,000 Business loss or course of dealing	19,060 Contract
	laimed, issible a/	Total amount claimed restated in	392,204		6,025		572,000	19,060
	Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	Amount claimed in original currency b/	14,400,000		12,309		572,000	22,096,500
	ᄄ	Amou	IRR		ILS		USD	ITL
	THICK	claim No.	Iran Marine Industrial Company (IMICO) 4001341		Naom Productions Limited 4000314		Fertilizers & Chemicals Ltd.	Remato Piralla Spa 4001055
		Country	Iran		Israel		Israel	Italy
			30		31		32	33

	Total award in USD	0	O
ners e/	Report	Paras. 23-29, 51-55. Paras. 83, 80, 90; 27.	Paras. 80, 83; 23-29, 54; 51-55. Paras. 23-29, 68-69. Paras. 23-29, 130-132.
Decision of the Panel of Commissioners		O Part or all of the loss is unsubstantiated. Deart or all of loss is not direct; Deduction for failure to mitigate; No proof of loss.	loss is not 80, 83; direct; 23-29, Part or all of 54; loss is unsubstantiated; No proof of direct loss. 10 Part or all of Paras. 10ss is unsubstantiated 68-69. 10ss is unsubstantiated 68-69. 10ss is unsubstantiated 130-132 unsubstantiated 130-132
ion of the P	Amount recommended in USD		
Decis	Amount recommended in original currency	O 1.	ITL 0
	l	795 ITL 221 ITL	
ا ت	Amount claimed in original currency	79,162,221	37,560,000
laim		ITL ITL	ITL GG LITE
Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Goods delivered to Kuwait but not paid for Goods manufactured for sale to Kuwait and other countries but not shipped	Goods delivered to Kuwait and the UAE but not paid for Goods shipped but diverted Furniture
Rec	Type of loss	Contract G Contract G Contract G Contract C Contract C Contract C C Contract C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	176,861 Contract Cont
nissible a/	Total amount claimed restated in	208,827	176,861
Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	Amount claimed in original currency b/	ITL 242,093,016	205, 035, 000
		IT	1 ITL
Claimant and UNCC	claim No.	Byblos S.P.A. 4001077	Linea G. Salotti di Grossi Clemente & C. snc. 4001267
	Country	Italy	Italy
		34	35

Page 58

58					
	Total award in USD		2,755		0
ners e/	Report citation	Paras. 23-29, 87; 23, 28;	Para. 68.	raras. 23-29, 87; 28.	Paras. 23-29, 130-132; 27. Paras. 23-29, 117-118.
Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	l lot	O Part or all of Pare the loss is 23 unsubstantiated; 87; Failure to 23, comply with formal filing requirements (translation, statement of claim).	2,755 Deduction for failure to mitigate.	O Part or all or loss is unsubstantiated; Failure to comply with formal filing requirements (translation).	Dest or all of loss is unsubstantiated; No proof of loss. Dest or all of loss is unsubstantiated.
on of the P	Amount recommended in USD	0	2,75		
Decisi	Amount recommended in original currency	٥	3,215,80	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
۵/		4,670,947, ITL 000		150,449,400 DEM	43,981 U
	Amoun	1 5 1	ITL	DEM	USD
Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Interrupted	Goods shipped but diverted	Interrupted	Vehicles, office furniture and equipment Personal property reimbursement
요 원	Type of loss	Contract	24,339 Contract	Contract	338,381 Tangible property Payment or relief to others
laimed, issible	Total amount claimed restated in	4,029,110	24,339	96,318,438	338,381
Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	Amount claimed in original currency b/	4,670,947,000	28,215,806	150,449,400	338,381
		ITL	ITL	DEM	o.
	Claimant and UNCC	Societa per Azioni Termomeccanica Italiana Spa 4001275	General Filter Srl	Danieli & C. Officine Meccaniche S.P.A. 4001288	Matsushita Electric Industrial Co. LTD. 4000947
	Country	Italy	Italy	Italy	Japan
		36	37	88	6 E

	Total aw rd in USD	1,764		4,267,644								46,128			0									
mers e/	Report citation		Paras. 27, 130.	Para. 90.			Paras.	125,	23-29,	87.		Paras.	34-37.		Paras.	23-29,		107.	not				not	
the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award	N/A	0 No proof of loss.	2,946,878 Deduction for	mitigate .		1 320 766 No proof of	loss;	Part or all of	loss is	unsubstantiated.	"Arising prior	to" exclusion.		O Part or all of	loss is	unsubstantiated.		O Principal sum is not	compensable.			O Principal sum is not compensable.	
Decision of the D	Amount recommended in USD	1,764 N/A	0	2,946,878			1 320 766	2				46,128))	
Decis	Amount recommended in original currency	259,963	0	434,222,395			194 614 890	00012401201				46,128			0				0				0	
;	00 01 01	ХđС	USD	JPY			TPV					USD			NLG				NLG				NLG	
ō/	Amount claimed in original currency	259,963	4,827	868,444,790			317 114 890					79,608			293,705 NLG				4,950				82,964	
E	Amou in C	YAC	usn	JPY			YQT.					USD			NLG				NLG				NLG	
Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Vehicle	Cash	Interrupted	contract (goods lost or	destroyed in transit)	Tocroscod	costs (labour	costs and	contract	cancellation fees)	Goods	delivered to	Iraq but not paid for	Course of	dealing			Consultancy	fee			N/A	
Ř	Type of loss	6,874 Tangible property	Tangible property	Contract			Bueinose	loss or	course of	dealing		Contract			216,706 Business	loss or	course of	dealing	Claim	prepara-	tion	costs	Interest	
claimed, nissible a/	Total amount claimed restated in USD C/	6,874		8,218,785								79,608 Cont			216,706									
Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	Amount claimed in original currency <u>b</u> /	6,874		1,185,559,	9							79,608			381,619									
of ni	Amou	usn		JPY		• **						USD			NLG									
Claimant and UNCC	claim No.	Taiyo Electric Co. Ltd.	4000949	on Works	Co. Ltd.	4000965						Ansell Malaysia SDN	ВНД	4001376	Netherlands Denka International	B.V.	-	4001390						
	Country	Japan		Japan								Malaysia			1									
		40		41								42			43									

	age oo							
		Total award in USD	9	o				
	oners e/	Report citation	Paras. 34-37.	Paras. 93-98, 102-104.	Para. 113.		Para. 82. Paras. 93-98, 102-104.	rara. yo. Int awarded ined as per il decision 7-148)
	Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award	"Arising pri to" exclusio	all not	O Part or all of loss is not direct.	O Principal sum is not compensable.	Deart or all of loss is not direct. Deart or all of loss is not direct.	10,000 Deduction for fara. 90. failure to mitigate. Awaiting Interest on amount awarded decision is to be determined as per Governing Council decision 16. (Paras. 147-148)
	on of the P	Amount recommended in USD	0	0	0	0	0 0	10,000 Awaiting decisior
	Decisi	Amount recommended in original currency	0	0	0	0	0	10,000 Awaiting decision
		recol	NLG	NLG	NLG	NLG		USD
	<u>a</u> /	Amount claimed in original currency	761,765 NLG	292,654	65,237	60,408	18,000	15,178
		Amour in cı	NLG	NEG	NLG	NLG	USD	USD
	Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Goods delivered to Iraq but not paid for	Course of dealing	Increased costs (unproductive salaries)	N/A	Interrupted contract (Loss of profit) Course of dealing	Goods manufactured but not shipped N/A
		Type of loss	Contract	237,535 Business loss or course of dealing	Business loss or course of dealing	Interest	Contract Business loss or course of dealing	Contract
	laimed, issible a/	Total amount claimed restated in	432,575	237,535			180,000	23,082
	Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	Amount claimed in original currency b/	761,765	418, 299			180,000	23,082
	or	Amour	NLG	NLG			USD	USD
	Claimant and UNCC	claim No.	Netherlands Driessen Aircraft Interior Systems (Europe) BV.	Netherlands W.G. Agencies B.V. 4001535			Run-Mate Instruments B.V. 4001539	Bento Ferreira- Industrias Texteis/SA 4(01224
		Country	Netherlands	Netherlands			Netherlands Run-Mate Instrume 4001539	Portugal
			44	45			46	47

			0				0			-					0				\neg
		Total award in USD																_	
oners e/		Report citation	Para. 83.			Ų.	Paras.	34-37;	51-55;	83.			not		Paras.	34-38.		s not	
Decision of the Panel of Commissioners		Reasons for denial or reduction of award	0 Part or all of loss is not	direct.		O Principal sum not compensable.	0 "Arising prior	to" exclusion;	No proof of	direct loss;	Part or all of	loss is not direct.	O Principal sum is not		"Arising prior	to" exclusion.		O Principal sum is not	compensable.
ion of the P		Amount recommended in USD											0	0	0		· <u>-</u>	0	
Decis		Amount recommended in original	0			0	0	_											
		rec	USD			USD	GBP/	USD	T.O.				GBP	dsn (SUSD			4 USD	
q/		Amount claimed in original currency	24,628			15,085	185,000 GBP/		28,325				112,944	10,190	2,374,036			839,254	
		Amoun	USD			USD	GBP		USD				GBP	USD	USD			USD	-
Reclassified claim		Sub-category	Goods manufactured	but not	delivered to Saudia Arabia	N/A	Goods	delivered to	Iraq, Kuwait	and Oman but	not paid for		N/A		Goods	delivered to	Iraq but not	N/A	
K		Type of loss	Contract			Interest	615,372 Contract	,					Interest		3,213,290 Contract			Interest	
laimed, nissible	1 0	Total amount claimed restated in	39,713				615,372												
Total amount claimed, including permissible	amendments	Amount claimed in original currency b/	39,713				84.985.355								3,213,290				
티큐		Amou	USD				PTE								usp				
	Claimant and UNCC	claim No.	Ceancarel - Alta Moda em	Marroquinaria Lda.	4001232		Sterling Winthrop	Produtos	Farmaceuticos LDA.		4001234				Republic of Shin Han Cast Iron	Co. Ltd.	1	4001119	
		Country	Portugal				Portugal								\neg				
			48				49	;							C.C.	2			

	Total award in USD	0												542,635								
ners e/	Report	Paras.	34-37.		Paras.	23-29	, , ,	87.	Paras.	23-29,	122.	not		Paras.	117-118,	122;	125;	23-29,	122, 126.			
Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award	0 "Arising prior	to" exclusion.		O Part or all of		5	unsubstantiated.	O Part or all of	loss is	unsubstantiated. 122.	O Principal sum is not	compensable.	542,635 No proof of	loss;	Part or all of	loss is not	direct;	Part or all of	loss is	unsubstantiated.	
ion of the Pa	Amount recommended in USD	0			C	•			0			0		542,635								
Decisi	Amount recommended in original currency	0			c	•			0			0		2,032,168								
	reco	OSD			TICD				asn			OSD		SAR								
ط/ عر/	Amount claimed in original currency	2,811,194		-	000 000 0				183,107			294,493		24,819,347 SAR								
E	Amot	USD			0.011	5			USD			USD		SAR								
Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Goods	delivered to	Iraq but not	para Lor	ייי מהרבי	contract (Loss	of profit)	Evacuation	costs		N/A		Increased	costs	(incentives,	bonus payments	and	unproductive	salaries,	evacuation and	other costs)
<u>κ</u>	Type of loss	Contract				רסוורדשכר			Payment	or relief	to others	Interest		Payment	or relief	to	others	Other	costs			
claimed, nissible a/	Total amount claimed restated in	11,288,794												6,627,329 Payment								
Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	Amount claimed in original currency b/	11,288,794												24,819,347								· ·
된다	Amo	USD												SAR							·	
Claimant and UNCC	claim No.	Industrialexport	S.A.	1	4001255									Belleli Saudi Heavy	Industries Ltd. and	Relleli Sandi	Available I am the state of the	שומסום חוווים	4002436			
	Country	Romania												Sandi		3		-				
		5.1												c,	·							

		0																		
	Total awrd in USD																			
oners e/	Report citation	Paras.	34-37.			Para. 79.													not	
Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award	0 "Arising prior	to" exclusion.			0 Part or all of	loss is not	direct	(contract was	interrupted by	the buyer's non-	payment for	earlier	shipments and	not due to	Irag's invasion	and occupation	of Kuwait).	O Principal sum is not	compensable.
ion of the F	Amount recommended in USD																			
Decis	Amount recommended in original currency	0				0													0	
	rec	1 USD				7 USD													OSD	
\p	Amount claimed in original currency	461,537 USD				26,527 USD													144,516	
	Amoun in	USD				USD													usp	
Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Goods	delivered to	Iraq but not	paid for	Loss of profit													N/A	
81	Type of loss	632,580 Contract				Contract													Interest	
claimed, nissible a/	Total amount claimed restated in	632,580																		
Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	Amount claimed in original currency b/	632,580																		
El 41	Amo	USD																		
Claimant and UNCC	Claim No.	Al-Kawther	Industries Ltd.		4002453															
C		Saudi	Arabia																	
		53																		

Page 64

Ра	.ge 04			0
		Total award in USD		
	oners e/	Report	falas. 23-29, 117, 27, 126, 97, 28, 97, 99- 100. f	Paras. 121; 23-29, 122.
	Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award	32,536 part or all of toss is unsubstantiated; 12 part or all of 12 loss is outside 12 compensable area; Failure to comply with formal filing requirements (translation); No proof of loss; No proof of direct loss (no proof that post-invasion factory closure was a direct result of the invasion and occupation). Awaiting To be resolved by decision Governing Council	149) No proof of direct loss; Part or all of the loss is unsubstantiated
	on of the P	Amount recommended in USD		
	Decisi		121,848 Awaiting	0
		recom or cu	SAR	USD
	ত্ত্		2,207,751	681,977
1		Amoun in c	SAR	USD
	Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Increased costs (incentives and bonus payments, war risk insurance, freight costs and other costs)	Evacuation
	Re	Type of loss	1	tion costs 681,977 Payment or relief to others
	laimed, issible a/	Total amount claimed restated in	593, 845	
	Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	aimed inal <u>b</u> /	2,223,951	681,977
	Tot	Amount cl in origi	SAR	USD
		Claimant and UNCC	Abdulaziz & Mohammed A. Aljomaih Co. 4002463	Saudi Shinwha Company Ltd. 4002474
		Country	Saudi Arabia	Saudi Arabia
			54	555

																					ige	: 0
	Total award in USD	0										161,964			0					0		
ners e/	Report	Paras. 23-29,	87; 27, 80.		Paras.	23-29,	107;	108.		11					Paras.	34-37.				Para. 27.		
Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award		unsubstantiated; 87; No proof of 27,	loss.	0 Part or all of	loss is	unsubstantiated(107;	insufficient	evidence or value).	O Principal sum not	compensable.	N/A			"Arising prior	to" exclusion.			Principal sum not compensable.	0 No proof of	loss.	
on of the P	Amount recommended in USD	0			0					0		161,964 N/A			0				Ö	0		
Decisi	Amount recommended in original currency	0			0					0		15,931,784			o				0	0		
	reco	SAR			SAR					SAR		ESP			ESP				ESP	ESP	_	
₫/	Amount claimed in original currency	112,500,000			13,817,756					2,585,362		15,931,784			239,322,018				127,899,974	26,234,031		
u	Amou	SAR			SAR					SAR	:	ESP			ESP				ESP	ESP		
Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Interrupted contract	(contract))) 1	Decline in	business				N/A		Spoog	manufactured	but not shipped	Goods	delivered to	Iraq but not	paid tor	N/A	Interrupted	contract	(costs incurred)
왕	Type of loss				Business	loss or	course of	dealing		Interest		163,655 Contract			Contract			П	Interest	269,482 Contract		
laimed, issible a/	Total amount claimed restated in	34,420,058 Contract										163,655			3,772,183 Contract					269,482		
Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	Amount claimed in original currency b/	SAR 128,903,118	.,									15,931,784			367,221,992					26,234,031		
티베	Amoun	SAR										ESP			ESP					ESP		
Claimant and UNCC	claim No.	Saudi Kuwaiti Cement	Manufacturing	Firedino	4002836							Zayer SA		4001574	Teka Industrial	S.A.		4001577		Walthon Weir	Pacific S.A.	4001579
	Country	56 Saudi Arabia										57 Spain			58 Spain					59 Spain		

	Total award in USD	145,852		0	36,254	18,868
ners e/	ion	Paras. 34-37; 27.	nt awarded ned as per l decision -148)	Paras. 51-55.	Paras. 23-29, 68-69. Paras. 27, 87, 90; 23-29,	Paras. 27, 126. Para. 63.
Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award	"Arising prior to" exclusion; No proof of loss (returned shipments).	Awaiting Interest on amount awarded decision is to be determined as per Governing Council decision 16. (Paras. 147-148)	O No proof of direct loss.	Part or all of loss is unsubstantiated. No proof of loss; Part or all of loss is unsubstantiated.	loss. No proof of loss. N/A NA O All or part of loss is not direct direct.
on of the P	Amount recommended in USD	145,852	Awaiting		36,254	0 N O 10S:
Decisi		145,852	Awaiting	0	49,088	18,868
	recom	asn	QSD	USD	CHF	CHF USD THB
ق/ ق	Amount claimed in original currency	6,768,270	1,377,920	119,931	602,560	35,604 18,868 306,980
	Amoun	USD	usn	USD	CHF	USD
Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Goods delivered to Iraq but not paid for	N/A	Goods delivered to Kuwait but not paid for	Goods shipped but diverted Goods manufactured but not shipped	Increased costs (storage costs) Goods lost or destroyed in transit Finance costs
Re	Type of loss		Interest	119,931 Contract	tract tract	Contract 30,916 Contract Contract
laimed, issible a/	Total amount claimed restated in	8,146,190 Contract		119,931	525, 560 Con	30,916
Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	aimed inal b/	8,146,190		119,931	679,023	18,868
Tot	Amount cl in orig currency	USD		USD	CHF	USD
Claimant and UNCC	claim No.	Cenavisa S.A. 4001588		Azu-vi S.A. 4001590	Switzerland Therma Grossküchen AG 4001513	General Sox Co. Ltd. 4001484
	Country	Spain		Spain	Switzerland	Thailand
		09		61	62	93

	Total awrd in USD	0		:	0				-	····		_		0				0			
/e szeuc	Report	Paras. 34-37.		is not	Paras.	34-37;				Paras.	23-29, 87.	not		Paras.	51-55;	83.		Paras.	34-37.		not
Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award	"Arising prior to" exclusion.		Principal sum compensable.		to" exclusion; Part or all of	loss is outside	compensable	period.	0 Part or all of	loss is unsubstantiated.	O Principal sum is not	compensable.	O No proof of	direct loss;	Fart or all or	direct.	0 "Arising prior	to" exclusion.		O Principal sum is not compensable.
ion of the F	Amount recommended in USD	0		0	0																
Decis	Amount recommended in original currency	О		0	0					0		0		0				0			0
	reco	USD		USD	OSD					usp		USD		asn				USD			usp
۵/	Amount claimed in original currency	746,691		195,987	830,048					2,395,550		160,824		990,193				2,948,580			609,504
Ei	Amou in c	USD		OSD	OSD					asn		USD		asn				USD			USD
Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Goods delivered to	Iraq but not paid for	N/A	Goods	delivered to	paid for			spoog	partially manufactured	N/A		Goods	delivered to	Kuwait and to	Croatia	Goods	delivered to	Iraq but not paid for	N/A
æ!	Type of loss	Contract		Interest	Contract					Contract		Interest		990,193 Contract				3,558,084 Contract			Interest
claimed, nissible a/	Total amount claimed restated in	942,678			3,386,422									990,193				3,558,084			
Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	Amount claimed in original currency b/	942,678			3,386,422					•				990,193				3,558,084			•
이 ui	Amoun in curr	usp			USD									usp				OSD	-,		
Claimant and UNCC	claim No.	Socially owned Enterprise for the	Production of Yarns "Politeks" - Prilep	4001675	nd Zinc Mines	"SASA"	4001676							MZT DOO PO Skopje		//9T007	,	Organic Chemical	Industry "Naum	Naumovski Borce"	4001678
y tain		FYR Macedonia			FYR	Macedonia								FYR	Macedonia			FYR	Macedonia		****
		64			9									99				67			

	Total award in USD	424,056		0		385,745	c		
ners e/	Report citation	Paras. 68-69.		Paras. 34-37.	not	Paras. 34-37.	nt awarded ned as per 1 decision 148)	. Para. 41.	not
Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award	424,056 Calculated loss is less than loss alleged.	O Part or all of the loss is unsubstantiated; No proof of loss.	"Arising p to" exclus	O Principal sum is not compensable.	385,745 "Arising prior to" exclusion.	Awaiting Interest on amount awarded decision is to be determined as per Governing Council decision 16. (Paras. 147-148)	Ofrade embargo is Fara. The sole cause.	O Principal sum is not compensable.
on of the P	Amount recommended in USD	424,056	0	0	0	385,745	Awaiting	0	0
Decisi	Amount recommended in original currency	424,056	0	0	0	385,745	Awaiting decision	0	0
	recon A	usp	USD	USD	asn	ບຮກ			usp
ء / ا	Amount claimed in original currency	765,589	76,189	2,820,000	636,956	1,542,239	632,422	945,000	195, 794
	Amoun	usp	USD	usp	USD	USD	USD	usn	usp
Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Goods shipped but diverted	Increased	Goods delivered to Iraq but not paid for	N/A	Goods delivered to Iraq but not paid for	N/A	Goods delivered to Iraq but not paid for	N/A
<u> </u>	Type of loss	841,778 Contract	Contract	Contract	Interest	2,174,661 Contract	Interest	1,140,794 Contract	Interest
laimed, issible a/	Total amount claimed restated in	841,778		3,456,957		2,174,661		1,140,794	
Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	aimed inal b/	841,778		3,456,956		2,174,661		1,140,794	
Tou	Amount cl in origi	USD		usp		USD		OSD	
500	claim No.	Anadolu Cam Sanayii A.S.	4001635	Oztiryakiler Madeni Esya Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S.	4001702	Egeplast EGE Plastik Ticaret ve Sanayii A.S.	4001703	Soydan Tarim Sanayi Ve Ticaret A.S. 4()1713	
	Country	68 Turkey		69 Turkey		70 Turkey		71 Turkey	

			Total award in	aco aco	850,26	· · · ·				119 858											1.1												
	mers e/		Report		-		Paras.		102-103,	10/-100.	51.55;	23.29,	54-56.		Para.	108.		Davage	ratas.	23.20			Paras.	23 - 29,	130-132.	Paras.			122.	,	unt awarded	ined as per	-148)
	Decision of the Panel of Commissioners		Reasons for denial or reduction of	award	N/A			loss is	unsubstantiated.	37.	direct loss:	Part or all of	loss is	unsubstantiated	119,858 Calculated loss	is less than	loss alleged.	110 11000	O NO Proof of	loss;	Fair of ant of	unsubstantiated.	0 Part or all of	loss is	unsubstantiated.	0 Part or all of	loss is	unsubstantiated.			Awaiting Interest on amount awarded	decision is to be determined as per	16. (Paras. 147-148)
	on of the P		Amount	1n USD	92,058 N/A		0				5				119,858				,												Awaitin	decisio	
	Decisi		Amount recommended in original	currency	337,945		0			•	>	-			440,000				o ·				0		•	0					Awaiting	decision	
	-		recom	cnz	AED		AED				O MA				AED			1	AED				AED			AED					KWD		
	/ p		Amount claimed in original	currency	337,945		157,333	•			27,356				1,404,721				1,435,000 AED				261,423			200,000					18,670		
			Amoun	히	AED		AED				KWD D				AED				AED				AED			AED					KWD		
	Reclassified claim		Sub-category		Goods lost or	destroyed in	Course of	dealing			Goods	delivered to	paid for	4	Decline in	business			Cost of unused	equipment;	Research and	development	Vehicles and	furniture		Evacuation,		for personal	property,	support	N/A		
	Re		jo edki	21	Contract		Business	loss or	course of	dealing	Contract				Business	loss or	course of	dealing	Business	loss or	course of	dealing	Tangible	property	7	Payment	or relief	to others			Interest	.	1
1	laimed, nissible a/		Total amount claimed	restated in USD C/	134,916						1,095,609 Contract																						
	Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/		Amount claimed in original	currency b/	D 495,275						D 316,631										-												
	:) 임	Am	اة 	AED	<u> </u>					KWD								-		-												
		Claimant and UNCC	claim No.		Serck Services	(Gulf) Limited	4001665				United Arab Terrazzo Inc.	2001004	5/1700							_													
		, and	ATIMOO		United Arab	Emirates						Emirates																-					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·	72 United						73 United	Emirat																					_

Page 70						
	Total award in USD	0		1,308,664	·	0
ners e/	Report citation	Paras. 102-104.	Paras. 23-29.	Para. 108.	Paras. 131-132.	Paras. 23-29, 87, 90.
Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award	No proof of loss.	Part or all of loss is unsubstantiated.	1,105,108 No proof of direct loss. (The nature of the goods was such that they could readily have been sold in other markets.)	N/A Calculated is less tha	O Part or all of loss is unsubstantiated; Deduction for failure to mitigate. O Principal sum not compensable.
on of the P	Amount recommended in USD	0	0	1,105,108	- I	
Decisi	Amount recommended in original	0	0	574,656	65,837 26,295 9,520	0
	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	usp	usp			GBP
ō/	Amount claimed in original currency	750,000	11,000		65,837 52,591 21,792	512,085 Not
	Amoun in c	usp	usp	GBP	GBP GBP KWD	GBP
Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Course of dealing	re.	Decline in business	Cancelled operations Vehicles, plant and equipment	Costs incurred
<u>8</u>	Type of loss	761,000 Business of loss or course of dealing				973,546 Contract
laimed: issible a/	Total amount claimed restated in	761,000		12,930,863 Business loss or course of dealing		973,546
Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	Amount claimed in original currency E'	761,000		6,801,634		512,085
Tori	Amoun	USD		GBP		GBP
Claimant and UNCC	claim No.	Hydroponic Machines Ltd. 4001796		Cothmans International Tobacco (UK) Limited 4001854		Agropharm Ltd 4001858
	Country	United Kingdom		United Kingdom		United Kingdom
		74		75		76

	崩	0	<u></u>	722	6,858
	Total awerd in USD			5,722	9,9
oners e/	Report	Paras. 51-55.	Paras. 102-103, 107.	Paras. 23-29, 68-69.	Paras. 23-29, 68-69.
Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award	No proof of direct loss.	Dert or all of loss is not direct.	5,722 Part or all of Paras. loss is 23-29, unsubstantiated. 68-69.	1,536 Part or all of Paras. 10ss is 23-29, unsubstantiated. 68-69
ion of the F	Amount recommended in USD	0	0	5,722	1,536
Decis	Amount recommended in original currency	0	0	3,090	5,638
	reco	GBP	GBP	GBP	AED GBP
<u>ā</u> /	Amount claimed in original currency	38,756	4,883	5,159	15,938 AED 5,749 GBP
im	Amou	GBP	GBP	GBP	AED
Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Goods delivered to Kuwait but not paid for	Course of dealing	Goods shipped but diverted	Goods shipped but diverted
<u>در</u> ا	Type of loss	82,964 Contract	Business loss or course of dealing	9,808 Contract	16,130 Contract
claimed, missible a/	Total amount claimed restated in USD c/	82,964		808'6	16,130
Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	Amount claimed in original currency b/	43, 639		5,159	8,485
된내	Amou	GBP		GBP	GBP
Claimant and UNCC	claim No.	The G.B. Clothing Company Limited 4001886		Ault & Wiborg International Limited 4001888	British Steel Tubes Exports Ltd. 4001892
Country		United Kingdom		United Kingdom	United Kingdom
		77		78	79

Э '	72						
		Total award in USD			C		
	ers e/	Report	Para. 27.	Para. 27.	Paras. 23-29, 130-132.	741435. 27; 23-29, 87.	Paras. 23-29, 130-132.
	Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award	O No proof of loss. (The claim is based upon an expected loss that has not yet materialised.)	ONo proof of loss. (The claim is based upon an expected loss that has not yet materialised).	177,980 Part or all of the loss is unsubstantiated; calculated loss is less than loss alleged.	UNO proof of loss; Part or all of loss is unsubstantiated.	O Part or all of Paras. loss is 23-29, unsubstantiated. 130-132
	ion of the P	Amount recommende in USD				5	0
	Decis	Amount oumended in original currency			96,10		
-			63 GBP	GBP		14, 895 GBP	12,200 GBP
	ا ت	Amount claimed in original currency	34,163	1,020,417	192,217	14,	12,
		Amou	GBP	GBP	GBP	GBP	GBP
	Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Goods delivered to Iraq but not paid for	Interrupted contract (Loss of profit)	Machinery	Interrupted contract (Costs incurred)	Equipment
	R	Type of loss		Contract		51,512 Contract	Tangible property
	laimed, issible a/	Total amount claimed restated in	2,370,337 Contract			51,512	
	Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	aimed inal b/	1,246,797			27,095	
	Tot	Amount cl in orig currency	GBP			GBP	
	CONTRACTOR	claim No.	BSA Tools Limited 4001913			Graham Johnson Limited 4001916	
		Country	United Kingdom			United Kingdom	
			08			81	

	Total award in USD	167,092							77,867	
mers e/	Report citation	Paras. 23-29, 62.	Paras. 80, 83; 88-90.		Paras. 23-29.	Para. 97.	Para. 134.	nt awarded ned as per 1 decision 148)	Paras. 63; 23-29, 62.	Paras. 27, 80.
Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award	Part or all of loss is unsubstantiated.		Calculated loss is less than loss alleged.	Part or all of loss is unsubstantiated.	874 Part or all of loss is not direct.		Interest on amount awarded is to be determined as per Governing Council decision 16. (Paras. 147-148)	Calculated loss Paris less than the 63, loss alleged; 23.7 Part or all of the loss is unsubstantiated.	No proof of loss.
ion of the E	Amount recommended in USD	43,624	116,983		5,611		0		77,867	
Decis	Amount recommended in original currency	23,557	63,171		3,030	472	0	0	42,048	0
	reco	GBP	GBP		СВР	GBP	GBP	GBP	GBP	KWD
ō/	Amount claimed in original currency	30,911	157,712		11,140	14,990	1,100	68,691	105,121	90,286
rii H	Amou	GBP	GBP		GBP	GBP	GBP	GBP	GBP	KWD
Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Goods lost or destroyed in transit	Cancelled orders from buyers in	Kuwait, UAE and Bahrain	Increased costs (travel costs)	Customer incentives	ECGD claim preparation costs	N/A	Goods lost or destroyed in transit	Goods lost or destroyed in transit
	Type of loss	Contract	Contract		Contract	Contract	Other	Interest	Contract	Contract
claimed, missible a/	Total amount claimed restated in USD C/	540,958							512, 196 Con	
Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	Amount claimed in original currency b/	284,544							105,121	90,268
	Am	GBP							GBP	KWD
Claimant and UNCC	claim No.	Smith Renton & Company Limited	4001925						Remsdag Ltd. 4001994	
	Country	82 United Kingdom							83 United Kingdom	
										•

је	74				
		Total award in USD	161,324	0	o
	orers e/	Report		Paras. 51-55. 23-29, 87-89. In awarded ned as per 148) Paras. 148)	81; 88-90. Para. 69. Para. 27.
	Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award	N/A N/A		loss is not direct; Deduction for failure to mitigate. Calculated loss is less than loss alleged. No proof of loss.
	sion of the	Amount recommended in USD	34,00	82,40 Awaiting decision	
	Deci	Amount recommended in original currency	18,361	44,500 Awaiting decision	0 0
		reco	GBP	GBP	GBP
	٩/	Amount claimed in original currency	18,361	123,599	4,163
		Amour in co	GBP	GBP	GBP
	Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Goods shipped but diverted Goods manufactured but not shipped	t c	manufactured but not shipped Goods shipped but diverted Legal costs incurred
	R	Type of loss	165, 618 Contract Contract	Contract Contract Interest 5,133 Contract	Contract
	laimed, issible a/	Total amount claimed restated in	165,618	Tontract Contract Interest Interest S,133 Contract	13,021
	Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	aimed inal b/	87,115	929,789	6,849
	OT out	Amount cl in origi	GBP	GBP	GBP
	Claimant and UNCC	claim No.	Datex Limited 4002012	6 PIC.	International Limited 4002047 R.P. Adam Limited
		Country	United Kingdom		Kingdom 87 United Kingdom
			8.4	88	σ

						Page 75
	Total a ward in USD	0			4,096,250	·
oners e/	Report citation	Paras. 23-29, 87.	Paras. 113; 23-29, 117-118.	not	Paras. 81, 125; 27; 23-29, 87-88, 126-127.	34-37. Paras. 27, 87. Paras. 27, 87.
Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award	O Part or all of loss is unsubstantiated.	Deart or all of loss is not direct; Part or all of loss is unsubstantiated	O Principal sum is compensable.	3,501,489 Part or all of loss is not direct; No proof of loss; Part or all of loss is unsubstantiated. 0 "Arising prior	to" exclusion. No proof of loss. No proof of
sion of the	Amount recommended in USD	0	0	0		0
Deci	Amount recommended in original currency	0	0	0	1,890,804	0 0
	reco	GBP	GBP	GBP	GBP	
ق /	Amount claimed in original currency	2,312,542	86,817	383,737	3,882,025	223,628
	Amour	GBP	GBP	GBP	GBP	GBP
Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Goods manufactured but not shipped		N/A	Interrupted contract - Al Shuallah project	contract - Dibbis project Interrupted contract - Al Quadisayah project Interrupted contract - Al
ř.	Type of loss	Contract	Business loss or course of dealing	Interest	Contract	Contract
laimed, tissible a/	Total amount claimed restated in	5,291,057 Contract			15,503,266 Contract Contract Contract	
Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	Amount claimed in original currency b/	2,783,096			8,154,718	
T I	Amou in cur	GBP			GBP	
Claimant and UNCC	claim No.	Francis Shaw and Company (Manchester) Ltd.	4002141		Weir Pumps Limited 4002144	
	A Trumo T	United Kingdom			United Kingdom	
		88			68	

Pε	ige 76																		_					7
		Total award in USD									,							_						
	oners e/	Report citation	Paras. 34-37; 81, 88;			Paras.			Paras.	87.	Paras.	102-104.			y Governing			Para. 134.			int awarded	ined as per	il decision	-148)
	Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award	594,761 "Arising prior to" exclusion; Part or all of	loss is mot direct; Part or all of	loss is unsubstantiated.		unsubstantiated.		O Part or all of	loss is unsubstantiated.	0 No proof of	loss.			Awaiting To be resolved by Governing	decision councit.		O Part or all of		direct.	Assiting Interest on amount awarded	decision is to be determined as per	Governing Council decision	16. (Paras. 147-148)
	ion of the	Amount recommended in USD	594,761			0			0						Awaiting	decision								
	Decis	Amount recommended in original currency	321,171			0			0		C	•			Awaiting	decision					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	decision		
		recom or cu	GBP			GBP			GBP		085				GBP			900	ra b			7 1 1 1 1		
-		nal cy	620,931			36,650		-	16,770		880 000	0000			44,366			42 600	000'7'		0.,	1,449,286	Y	
	ا و /	Amount claimed in original currency	9						_						L				_	,,	4			_
	n i	Amou	GBP			GBP			GBP		1		••		GBP			- 1	28P		4	GBP		
	Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Spare parts contract			Interrupted	contract - Kuwait Ring	Road project	Interrupted	contract - Al	paford remains	Course of	dealing (spare		N/A				ECGD claim	preparation	costs	N/A		
	R	Type of loss	Contract			Contract			Contract				loss or	dealing	Claim	prepara-	tion	costs	Other			Interest		
	claimed, nissible a/	Total amount claimed restated in USD c/	Į.																					
	Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	Amount claimed in original currency b/											-							-				
		claimant and UNCC	Weir Pumps Limited (continued)	4002144	, ,																-			
		Country	United Kingdom																					
			68	_																				

	Total award in USD	0.77,41				
oners e/	Report	Paras. 68-69; 23-29, 87-90; 23.		Paras. 23-29; 86.	Paras. 27, 126. Paras. 113, 23-29,	
Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award	Obeduction for failure to mitigate; Part or all of loss is	unsubstantiated; Pailure to comply with formal filing requirements (statement of claim).	Dest or all of loss is unsubstantiated; No proof of direct loss.	No proof of loss. No proof of direct loss; Part or all of lose is	unsubstantiated. 14,220 Calculated loss is less than loss alleged: Part or all of loss is unsubstantiated.
sion of the	Amount recommended in USD	0				
Decis	Amount recommended in original currency	0		0	0	7,679
	H G O D	GBP		GBP	GBP	двъ
ام/	Amount claimed in original currency	7,129,059		72,449	25,000	164,624
	Amoun in c	GBP		GBP	GBP	GBP
Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Contract cancellation costs		Increased costs (finance costs)	Increased costs (general administration costs) Increased costs (redundancy	costs) Vehicles, equipment, stock and household effects
Re	Type of loss	Contract		Business loss or course of dealing	Business loss or course of dealing Business loss or	dealing Tangible property
laimed, hissible a/	Total amount claimed restated in	17,165,981				
Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	Amount claimed in original currency b/	GBP 9,029,306				
The Total	Claim No.	Parsons Turbine Generators Limited (Formerly NEI Parsons Ltd.)	4002151			
	Country	90 United Kingdom				
L						

Page 78

е	78			
		Total award in USD	193,202	0
	oners e/	Report	Paras. 23-29, 117. 117. 23-29. 51-55. 51-55. 88-90; 88-90;	Paras. 51-55.
	Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award	of of of of of of	0 No proof of direct loss.
	sion of the	Amount recommended in USD		
	Decit	Amount recommended in original currency	0 0 0	0
		recon in long	GBP GBP	GBP
	اھ/ ا	Amount claimed in original currency	16,958	2,189
		Amoun in c	СВР	GBP
	Reclassified claim	Sub-category	t ten not not loss	Goods delivered to Kuwait but not paid for
	Re	Type of loss	payment Payments to or relief staff held to others hostage Business Iraqi Dina loss or income writ course of off dealing Goods 218,873 Contract Goods Kuwait but paid for Contract Interrupte contract (of profit)	4,162 Contract
	plaimed, nissible a/	Total amount claimed restated in	218,873	4,162
	Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	Amount claimed in original currency b/	115,127	2,189
	Tour	Amour	GBP	GBP
	Claimant and UNCC	claim No.	Parsons Turbine Generators Limited (continued) 4002151 Edwin Woodhouse & Co. Ltd.	Radiodetection Limited 4002361
		Country	United Kingdom United Kingdom	United Kingdom
			91	92

				1	Page 79
	Total a ard in USD	160,394		3,627	0
oners e/	Report	(It 19-20 hat s as	Paras. 130-132. Paras. 97,	Paras. 130-132. nt awarded ned as per 1 decision	Para. 86. Paras. 23-29, 87.
Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Reasons for denial or reduction of award	108,165 No proof of direct loss. (It has not been established that the incentives were provided as a direct result of invasion.)	52,229 Calculated loss is less than loss alleged. 0 Part or all of loss is outside compensable area.		loss is not direct. Opert or all of loss is unsubstantiated. Principal sum not compensable.
sion of the	Amount recommended in USD	108,165	52,229	3,627 Awaiting decision	
Decis	Amount recommended in original currency	108,165	52,229	3,627 Awaiting decision	0 0
	0 0 0	asn	USD	USD	USD
ō/	Amount claimed in original currency	434,968	69,638 544,544	13,500 Not	9,803 17,450 Not specified
	Amoun in c	OSD	USD	USD	USD
Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Goods shipped but diverted	Vehicles Evacuation costs	Equipment	Interrupted contract (finance costs) Interrupted contract (loss of profit) N/A
Re	Type of loss		Tangible property Payment or relief to others	13,500 Tangible property interest	Contract Contract Interest
laimed, issible a/	Total amount claimed restated in	1,049,151 Contract		13,500	27,253
Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	aimed inal b/	1,049,151		13,500	27,253
OT di	Amount cl in orig currency	OSD .		USD	asn
The trainer	claim No.	General Motors Overseas Distribution Corporation 4000603		Hydril Co (1) 4002236	Hydril Co (2) 4002237
	Country	United States of America		United States of America	States of America
		93		94	95

Page 80

Р	age 80																		
		Total award in USD	0		•				407,400			D.							
	oners e/	Report	Paras. 23-29, 87.	not	Paras. 23-29,	87.	not		Paras.	87.		Paras.	. TO-6/					Paras.	23-29, 130-132.
	Decision of the Panel of Commissioners	Re rec	0 Part or all of loss is unsubstantiated.	O Principal sum is not compensable.	O Part or all of loss is		O Principal sum is not	compensante.	407,406 Part or all of	unsubstantiated. 87.		O Part or all of	loss is not	direct.	interrupted	prior to the	invasion).	0 Part or all of	loss is 23-29, unsubstantiated 130-132
	sion of the	Amount recommended in USD	0	0	0		0												
	Deci	Amount recommended in original currency	0	0	0		0		407,406			0						0	
		reco o o o	OSD	USD	usp		OSD		usp			asn						USD	
		Amount claimed in original currency	108,265	Not specified	1,728		Not	specified	1,004,299			8,656,756 USD	•					11,500	
	φ'/ _Γ	Amoun in c	usp	usn	usp		OSD		usn	-		usp						USD	
	Reclassified claim	Sub-category	Interrupted contract (loss of profit)		Interrupted	of profit)	N/A			manufactured but not	shipped	Interrupted	contract (loss	of profit)				Equipment in	Kuwait
	<u>8</u>	Type of loss	108,265 Contract	Interest	1,728 Contract		Interest		Contract			8,668,256 Contract						Tangible	property
	laimed, hissible a/	Total amount claimed restated in	108,265		1,728				1,004,299 Contract			8,668,256							
	Total amount claimed, including permissible amendments a/	aimed inal b/	108,265		1,728				1,004,299			8,668,256							
	Tot	Amount cl in orig currency	USD		OSD				usp	.,		asn							
	Inental	claim No.	Hydril Co (3)	0 0 7 7 7 0 0 #	Hydril Co (4)	4002239			Precision Air	Structures Co. Inc.	4002253	Teletec Corp.		4002255					
		Country	United States of	Allertoa	United	States of America				States of	Willet LCG	United	States of	America					
	i		96		97				86			99							

Notes to table of recommendations

- to the In accordance with the Governing Council's decision taken at its twenty-seventh session held in March 1998, Accordingly, the total claimed amounts stated in this table include only those supplements and amendments the Panel has not considered unsolicited supplements or amendments submitted after 11 May 1998 to previously filed original claimed amounts submitted prior to 11 May 1998 or submitted after that date where these comply with the requirements of the Commission.
 - (United Arab Emirates dirham), ATS (Austrian schilling), CHF (Swiss franc), DEM (Deutsche INR (Indian rupee), IQD (Iraqi dinar), IRR (Iranian rial), ITL (Italian lire), JPY (Japanese yen), KWD (Kuwaiti dinar), mark), DKK (Danish kroner), ESP (Spanish peseta), GBP (Pound sterling), HUF (Hungarian Forint), ILS (Israeli schekel), In the column entitled "Total amount claimed restated in USD", for claims originally expressed by the (Netherlands guilder), PTE (Portuguese escudos), SAR (Saudi Arabian riyal), SEK (Swedish kroner), THB (Thai (United States dollar).
 - In contrast, the date of the exchange rate that was applied to calculate the recommended amount is described of Statistics claimant in currencies other than United States dollars, the secretariat has converted the amount claimed to United In the columns under the heading entitled "Reclassified claim", the Panel has recategorized certain of conversion is made solely to provide an indication of the amount claimed in United States dollars for comparative or in cases where this exchange rate is not available, the latest exchange rate available prior to August 1990. States dollars based on August 1990 rates of exchange as indicated in the United Nations Monthly Bulletin in paragraphs 140 to 146.
- In addition, on occasion, the secretariat has also recalculated the amount claimed in the currency of the original loss equality of treatment and fairness in the analysis of the claims and is consistent with the practice of the Commission. losses using standard classifications, as appropriate, since many claimants have presented similar losses in different ways (see columns entitled "Type of loss" and "Sub-category"). This procedure is intended (see column entitled "Amount claimed in original currency").
 - e/ As used in this table, "N/A" means not applicable.