



**Economic and Social
Council**

Distr.
LIMITED

E/CN.4/2001/L.10/Add.10
25 April 2001

Original: ENGLISH

COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS
Fifty-seventh session
Agenda item 21 (b)

**REPORT TO THE ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL COUNCIL ON THE
FIFTY-SEVENTH SESSION OF THE COMMISSION**

Draft report of the Commission

Rapporteur: Mr. Imtiaz HUSSAIN

CONTENTS*

Chapter

X. ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND CULTURAL RIGHTS

* Documents E/CN.4/2001/L.10 and addenda will contain the chapters of the report relating to the organization of the session and the various items on the agenda. Resolutions and decisions adopted by the Commission, as well as draft resolutions and decisions for action by, and other matters of concern to, the Economic and Social Council, will be contained in documents E/CN.4/2001/L.11 and addenda.

X. Economic, social and cultural rights

1. The Commission considered agenda item 10 at its 31st to 36th meetings, from 2 to 4 April, at its 70th meeting, on 20 April, and at its 71st meeting, on 23 April 2001.
2. For the documents issued under agenda item 10, see annex VI to the present report. For a list of all resolutions and decisions adopted by the Commission and Chairperson's statements, by agenda item, see annex V.
3. At the 32nd meeting, on 3 April 2001:
 - (a) The Special Rapporteur on the right to education, Ms. Katarina Tomasevski, introduced her report (E/CN.4/2001/52);
 - (b) The Special Rapporteur on the adverse effects of the illicit movement and dumping of toxic and dangerous products and wastes on the enjoyment of human rights, Ms. Fatma Zohra Ouhachi-Vesely, introduced her report (E/CN.4/2001/55 and Add.1). At the 36th meeting, on 4 April 2001, the Special Rapporteur made her concluding remarks;
 - (c) The Special Rapporteur on the right to food, Mr. Jean Ziegler, introduced his report (E/CN.4/2001/53). At the 35th meeting, on 4 April 2001, the Special Rapporteur made his concluding remarks;
 - (d) The independent expert on the effects of structural adjustment policies and foreign debt on the full enjoyment of all human rights, in particular economic, social and cultural rights, Mr. Fantu Cheru, introduced his report (E/CN.4/2001/56). At the 36th meeting, on 4 April 2001, the independent expert made his concluding remarks;
 - (e) The Special Rapporteur on adequate housing as a component of the right to an adequate standard of living, Mr. Miloon Kothari, introduced his report (E/CN.4/2001/51). At the 36th meeting, on 4 April 2001, the Special Rapporteur made his concluding remarks.
4. At the 33rd meeting, on 3 April 2001, the independent expert on human rights and extreme poverty, Ms. Anne-Marie Lizin, introduced her report (E/CN.4/2001/54 and Corr.1 and Add.1 and Corr.1). At the 35th meeting, on 4 April 2001, the independent expert made her concluding remarks.
5. In the general debate on agenda item 10, statements were made by members of the Commission, observers and representatives of non-governmental organizations. For a detailed list of speakers, see annex III to the present report.

The right to food

6. At the 70th meeting, on 20 April 2001, the representative of Cuba introduced draft resolution E/CN.4/2001/L.12, sponsored by Algeria, Angola, Bangladesh, Belarus, Belgium, Bhutan, Botswana, Burundi, Cameroon, China, Costa Rica, Côte d'Ivoire, Cuba, the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Egypt, El Salvador, Equatorial Guinea, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Gabon, Ghana, Guatemala, Guinea, Haiti, Honduras, India, Indonesia, Iraq, the Islamic Republic of Iran, Kenya, the Lao Democratic People's Republic, the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Mauritania, Mauritius, Mexico, Mongolia, Nepal, Niger, Nigeria, Pakistan, Peru, the Philippines, Portugal, the Republic of the Congo, the Russian Federation, Rwanda, Senegal, South Africa, Sri Lanka, the Sudan, Swaziland, the Syrian Arab Republic, Togo, Tunisia, Turkey, the United Republic of Tanzania, Viet Nam, Yemen and Zambia. Colombia, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Malaysia, Nicaragua, Norway, Spain, Slovenia, Thailand and Switzerland subsequently joined the sponsors.

7. In accordance with rule 28 of the rules of procedure of the functional commissions of the Economic and Social Council, the attention of the Commission was drawn to the estimated administrative and programme budget implications of the draft resolution.

8. A statement in explanation of vote before the vote was made by the representative of the United States of America.

9. The representative of the United States of America requested a vote. At the request of the representative of Cuba, a roll-call vote was taken on the draft resolution, which was adopted by 52 votes to 1, with no abstentions. The voting was as follows:

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Belgium, Brazil, Burundi, Cameroon, Canada, China, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, Czech Republic, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, France, Germany, Guatemala, India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, Kenya, Latvia, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malaysia, Mauritius, Mexico, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Pakistan, Peru, Poland, Portugal, Qatar, Republic of Korea, Romania, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, South Africa, Spain, Swaziland, Syrian Arab Republic, Thailand, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Uruguay, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Zambia.

Against: United States of America.

Abstaining: None.

10. For the text of the resolution as adopted, see chapter II, section A, resolution 2001/25.

Human rights and unilateral coercive measures

11. Also at the 70th meeting, the representative of South Africa introduced draft resolution E/CN.4/2001/L.16, sponsored by South Africa (on behalf of the States Members of the United Nations that are members of the Movement of Non-Aligned Countries and China). Armenia and Costa Rica subsequently joined the sponsors.

12. At the request of the representative of the United States of America, a roll-call vote was taken on the draft resolution, which was adopted by 37 votes to 8, with 8 abstentions. The voting was as follows:

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Brazil, Burundi, Cameroon, China, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, Guatemala, India, Indonesia, Kenya, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malaysia, Mauritius, Mexico, Niger, Nigeria, Pakistan, Peru, Qatar, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, South Africa, Swaziland, Syrian Arab Republic, Thailand, Uruguay, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Zambia.

Against: Canada, Germany, Japan, Latvia, Norway, Poland, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America.

Abstaining: Belgium, Czech Republic, France, Italy, Portugal, Republic of Korea, Romania, Spain.

13. For the text of the resolution as adopted, see chapter II, section A, resolution 2001/26.

Effects of structural adjustment policies and foreign debt on the full enjoyment of all human rights, particularly economic, social and cultural rights

14. Also at the 70th meeting, the representative of Cuba introduced draft resolution E/CN.4/2001/L.33, sponsored by Algeria, Angola, Bangladesh, Burundi, Cameroon, China, Côte d'Ivoire, Cuba, the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Egypt, Equatorial Guinea, Ethiopia, Ghana, Haiti, Indonesia, Iraq, the Lao People's Democratic Republic, Madagascar, Mauritania, Nigeria, Pakistan, the Philippines,

the Republic of the Congo, Rwanda, Senegal, Swaziland, the Sudan, the Syrian Arab Republic, the United Republic of Tanzania, Uganda, Uruguay, Togo, Tunisia, Viet Nam, Yemen and Zambia. Kenya, Malaysia and Myanmar subsequently joined the sponsors.

15. Statements in explanation of vote before the vote were made by the representatives of Belgium (on behalf of States members of the European Union that are members of the Commission on Human Rights - France, Germany, Italy, Portugal, Spain and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland; the associated countries that are members of the Commission on Human Rights - the Czech Republic, Latvia, Poland and Romania, aligned themselves with the statement) and Japan.

16. At the request of the representative of Belgium, a roll-call vote was taken on the draft resolution, which was adopted by 31 votes to 15, with 7 abstentions. The voting was as follows:

In favour: Algeria, Brazil, Burundi, Cameroon, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, India, Indonesia, Kenya, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malaysia, Mauritius, Niger, Nigeria, Pakistan, Peru, Russian Federation, Senegal, South Africa, Swaziland, Syrian Arab Republic, Thailand, Uruguay, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Zambia.

Against: Belgium, Canada, Czech Republic, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Latvia, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Spain, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America.

Abstaining: Argentina, Colombia, Guatemala, Mexico, Qatar, Republic of Korea, Saudi Arabia.

17. For the text of the resolution as adopted, see chapter II, section A, resolution 2001/27.

Adequate housing as a component of the right to an adequate standard of living

18. Also at the 70th meeting, the representative of Germany introduced draft resolution E/CN.4/2001/L.39, sponsored by Belgium, Bulgaria, Finland, France, Germany, Guatemala, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Mexico, the Netherlands, Portugal, Romania, South Africa, Spain and Switzerland. Albania, Cameroon, Costa Rica, Denmark, Ecuador, Georgia, Kenya, Nicaragua, Poland, Senegal, Slovenia, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia and Tunisia subsequently joined the sponsors.

19. A statement in connection with the draft resolution was made by the representative of the United States of America.

20. In accordance with rule 28 of the rules of procedure of the functional commissions of the Economic and Social Council, the attention of the Commission was drawn to the estimated administrative and programme budget implications of the draft resolution.

21. The draft resolution was adopted without a vote. For the text as adopted, see chapter II, section A, resolution 2001/28.

The right to education

22. Also at the 70th meeting, the representative of Portugal introduced draft resolution E/CN.4/2001/L.41, sponsored by Angola, Australia, Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Burundi, Canada, Croatia, Denmark, Ethiopia, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Iceland, India, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Madagascar, Mexico, Norway, Pakistan, Poland, Portugal, Romania, San Marino, Senegal, Slovakia, Slovenia, South Africa, Spain, Swaziland, Sweden, Switzerland and Thailand. Albania, Algeria, Argentina, Brazil, Bulgaria, Cameroon, Costa Rica, Cyprus, Ecuador, Georgia, Guatemala, Israel, Japan, Kenya, the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Mauritius, Mongolia, Morocco, Panama, the Russian Federation, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Tunisia and Viet Nam subsequently joined the sponsors.

23. In accordance with rule 28 of the rules of procedure of the functional commissions of the Economic and Social Council, the attention of the Commission was drawn to the estimated administrative and programme budget implications of the draft resolution.

24. The draft resolution was adopted without a vote. For the text as adopted, see chapter II, section A, resolution 2001/29.

Question of the realization in all countries of the economic, social and cultural rights contained in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and in the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, and study of special problems which the developing countries face in their efforts to achieve these human rights

25. Also at the 70th meeting, the representative of Portugal introduced draft resolution E/CN.4/2001/L.42, sponsored by Angola, Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Cyprus, Denmark, the Dominican Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Madagascar, Mexico, the Netherlands, Norway, Paraguay, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Senegal, Slovakia, Slovenia, South Africa, Spain and Switzerland. Albania, Brazil, Burundi, Cameroon, Chile, Croatia,

the Czech Republic, Ecuador, Guatemala, Malta, Mongolia, Morocco, Nicaragua, Panama, the Russian Federation, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Tunisia and Ukraine subsequently joined the sponsors.

26. Statements in connection with the draft resolution were made by the representatives of Cuba, Portugal and the United States of America.

27. In accordance with rule 28 of the rules of procedure of the functional commissions of the Economic and Social Council, the attention of the Commission was drawn to the estimated administrative and programme budget implications of the draft resolution.

28. The representative of the United States of America requested a separate vote on paragraph 8 (c) of the draft resolution. At the request of the representative of Cuba, a roll-call vote was taken on paragraph 8 (c), which was retained by 44 votes to 2, with 7 abstentions. The voting was as follows:

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Belgium, Brazil, Burundi, Cameroon, Canada, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, Czech Republic, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, France, Germany, Guatemala, Italy, Japan, Kenya, Latvia, Liberia, Madagascar, Mauritius, Mexico, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Peru, Poland, Portugal, Republic of Korea, Romania, Russian Federation, Senegal, South Africa, Spain, Swaziland, Syrian Arab Republic, Thailand, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Uruguay, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Zambia.

Against: Saudi Arabia, United States of America.

Abstaining: China, India, Indonesia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Malaysia, Pakistan, Qatar.

29. Statements in explanation of vote after the vote were made by the representatives of the India and Japan.

30. The draft resolution was adopted without a vote. For the text as adopted, see chapter II, section A, resolution 2001/30.

Human rights and extreme poverty

31. At the 71st meeting, on 23 April 2001, the representative of France introduced draft resolution E/CN.4/2001/L.45, sponsored by Algeria, Belarus, Belgium, Bhutan, Brazil, Bulgaria, Canada, China, Denmark, El Salvador, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Iceland, Ireland,

Italy, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, Morocco, Nepal, Niger, Peru, Poland, Portugal, Romania, the Russian Federation, San Marino, Senegal, Slovakia, South Africa, Spain, Sri Lanka, Switzerland, Thailand, Togo, Tunisia, Uruguay, Venezuela, Viet Nam and Zambia.

Argentina, Australia, Burundi, Cameroon, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, the Dominican Republic, Georgia, India, Indonesia, Japan, Kenya, Madagascar, Nicaragua, Norway, the Republic of Korea, Slovenia, Sweden and Ukraine subsequently joined the sponsors.

32. The representative of France orally revised the draft resolution by deleting paragraph 1 (*f*).

33. The draft resolution, as orally revised, was adopted without a vote. For the text as adopted, see chapter II, section A, resolution 2001/31.

Globalization and its impact on the full enjoyment of all human rights

34. Also at the 71st meeting, the representative of Pakistan introduced draft resolution E/CN.4/2001/L.48, sponsored by Algeria, Bangladesh, Bhutan, China, Cuba, Egypt, India, Indonesia, Malaysia, Pakistan, the Sudan and Viet Nam. Burundi, Cameroon, Brazil, Ecuador, Kenya, the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Mauritius, Nepal, Nigeria, Myanmar, the Philippines, South Africa, Sri Lanka, the Syrian Arab Republic, Togo and Zambia subsequently joined the sponsors.

35. The representative of Pakistan orally revised the draft resolution by deleting paragraphs 9 and 11.

36. Statements in explanation of vote before the vote were made by the representatives of Belgium (on behalf of States members of the European Union that are members of the Commission on Human Rights - France, Germany, Italy, Portugal, Spain and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland; the associated countries that are members of the Commission on Human Rights - the Czech Republic, Latvia, Poland and Romania, aligned themselves with the statement), Canada and the United States of America.

37. At the request of the representative of the United States of America, a roll-call vote was taken on the draft resolution, as orally revised, which was adopted by 37 votes to 15, with 1 abstention. The voting was as follows:

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Brazil, Burundi, Cameroon, China, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, Guatemala, India, Indonesia, Kenya, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malaysia, Mauritius, Mexico, Niger, Nigeria, Pakistan, Peru, Qatar, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, South Africa, Swaziland, Syrian Arab Republic, Thailand, Uruguay, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Zambia.

Against: Belgium, Canada, Czech Republic, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Latvia, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Spain, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America.

Abstaining: Republic of Korea.

38. For the text of the resolution as adopted, see chapter II, section A, resolution 2001/32.

Access to medication in the context of pandemics such as HIV/AIDS

39. Also at the 71st meeting, the representative of Brazil introduced draft resolution E/CN.4/2001/L.50, sponsored by Angola, Azerbaijan, Botswana, Brazil, Burundi, Cameroon, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Egypt, El Salvador, Gabon, Ghana, Guatemala, Honduras, Kenya, Mexico, Nicaragua, Paraguay, Peru, South Africa, Swaziland, Togo, Turkey, Uruguay and Viet Nam. Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Belgium, Chile, Colombia, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, France, Georgia, Greece, India, Indonesia, Ireland, Italy, the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Luxembourg, Madagascar, Malaysia, Mozambique, the Netherlands, Niger, Norway, Pakistan, Panama, Poland, Portugal, Spain, Thailand, Venezuela and Zambia subsequently joined the sponsors.

40. Statements in connection with the draft resolution were made by the representatives of Belgium (on behalf of States members of the European Union that are members of the Commission on Human Rights - France, Germany, Italy, Portugal, Spain and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland; the associated countries that are members of the Commission on Human Rights - the Czech Republic, Latvia, Poland and Romania, aligned themselves with the statement), Italy and Norway.

41. Statements in explanation of vote before the vote were made by the representatives of Nigeria, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America.

42. At the request of the representative of the United States of America, a roll-call vote was taken on the draft resolution, which was adopted by 52 votes to none , with 1 abstention. The voting was as follows:

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Belgium, Brazil, Burundi, Cameroon, Canada, China, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, Czech Republic, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, France, Germany, Guatemala, India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, Kenya, Latvia, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malaysia, Mauritius, Mexico, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Pakistan, Peru, Poland, Portugal, Qatar, Republic of Korea, Romania, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, South Africa, Spain, Swaziland, Syrian Arab Republic, Thailand, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Uruguay, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Zambia.

Against: None.

Abstaining: United States of America.

43. For the text of the resolution as adopted, see chapter II, section A, resolution 2001/33.

Women's equal ownership of, access to and control over land and the equal rights to own property and to adequate housing

44. Also at the 71st meeting, the representative of Mexico introduced draft resolution E/CN.4/2001/L.53, sponsored by Australia, Belgium, Brazil, Bulgaria, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Côte d'Ivoire, Cuba, Denmark, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Finland, France, Germany, Guatemala, India, Italy, Japan, Liberia, Madagascar, Mexico, Morocco, New Zealand, Norway, Pakistan, Panama, Peru, Portugal, Senegal, South Africa, Spain, Swaziland, Switzerland, Turkey, the United Republic of Tanzania, Uruguay, Venezuela and Zambia. Algeria, Austria, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Georgia, Greece, Ireland, Kenya, Luxembourg, Mauritius, the Netherlands, Sweden, Togo and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland subsequently joined the sponsors.

45. The representative of the United States of America introduced proposed amendments (E/CN.4/2001/L.68) to draft resolution E/CN.4/2001/L.53, sponsored by the United States of America. The proposed amendments contained in document E/CN.4/2001/L.68, which were subsequently withdrawn by the representative of the United States of America, read as follows:

“Replace operative paragraph 5 with the following:

‘*Reaffirms* Commission on the Status of Women resolution 42/1 of 13 March 1998, which, *inter alia*, urged States to design and revise laws to ensure that women are accorded full and equal rights to own land and other property, including through the right to inheritance, and to undertake administrative reforms and other necessary measures to give women the same right as men to credit, capital, appropriate technologies, access to markets and information;’”

46. Statements in connection with the proposed amendments (E/CN.4/2001/L.68) to draft resolution E/CN.4/2001/L.53 were made by the representatives of Mexico and the United States of America.

47. Statements in explanation of vote before the vote were made by the representatives of Belgium (on behalf of States members of the European Union that are members of the Commission on Human Rights - France, Germany, Italy, Portugal, Spain and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland; the associated countries that are members of the Commission on Human Rights - the Czech Republic, Latvia, Poland and Romania, aligned themselves with the statement) and Mexico.

48. At the request of the representative of the United States of America, a roll-call vote was taken on paragraph 5 of draft resolution E/CN.4/2001/L.53, which was retained by 49 votes to 1, with 3 abstentions. The voting was as follows:

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Belgium, Brazil, Burundi, Cameroon, Canada, China, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, Czech Republic, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, France, Germany, Guatemala, India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, Kenya, Latvia, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malaysia, Mauritius, Mexico, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Pakistan, Peru, Poland, Portugal, Republic of Korea, Romania, Russian Federation, Senegal, South Africa, Spain, Swaziland, Thailand, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Uruguay, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Zambia.

Against: United States of America.

Abstaining: Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syrian Arab Republic.

49. The draft resolution was adopted without a vote. For the text as adopted, see chapter II, section A, resolution 2001/34.

Adverse effects of the illicit movement and dumping of toxic and dangerous products and wastes on the enjoyment of human rights

50. Also at the 71st meeting, the representative of Kenya introduced draft resolution E/CN.4/2001/L.54, sponsored by Kenya (on behalf of the Group of African States). Costa Rica, Ecuador, Nicaragua and Paraguay subsequently joined the sponsors.

51. In accordance with rule 28 of the rules of procedure of the functional commissions of the Economic and Social Council, the attention of the Commission was drawn to the estimated administrative and programme budget implications of the draft resolution.

52. Statements in explanation of vote before the vote were made by the representatives of Belgium (on behalf of States members of the European Union that are members of the Commission on Human Rights - France, Germany, Italy, Portugal, Spain and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland; the associated countries that are members of the Commission on Human Rights - the Czech Republic, Latvia, Poland and Romania, aligned themselves with the statement) and Japan.

53. At the request of the representative of Belgium, a roll-call vote was taken on the draft resolution, which was adopted by 38 votes to 15, with no abstentions. The voting was as follows:

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Brazil, Burundi, Cameroon, China, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ecuador, Guatemala, India, Indonesia, Kenya, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malaysia, Mauritius, Mexico, Niger, Nigeria, Pakistan, Peru, Qatar, Republic of Korea, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, South Africa, Swaziland, Syrian Arab Republic, Thailand, Uruguay, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Zambia.

Against: Belgium, Canada, Czech Republic, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Latvia, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Spain, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America.

Abstaining: None.

54. For the text of the resolution as adopted, see chapter II, section A, resolution 2001/35.

The Social Forum

55. Also at the 71st meeting, the representative of Norway introduced proposed amendments (E/CN.4/2001/L.37) to draft decision 2 of the Sub-Commission on the Promotion and Protection of Human Rights, sponsored by Norway.

56. The representative of Norway orally revised the proposed amendments contained in document E/CN.4/2001/L.37.

57. Statements in connection with the proposed amendments (E/CN.4/2001/L.37) to draft decision 2 of the Sub-Commission on the Promotion and Protection of Human Rights, as orally revised, were made by the representatives of India, Kenya, Nigeria and Norway.

58. The draft decision, as orally revised, was adopted without a vote. For the text as adopted, see chapter II, section B, decision 2001/103.

59. In view of the adoption of decision 2001/103, the Commission took no action on draft decision 2, recommended by the Sub-Commission on the Promotion and Protection of Human Rights (see E/CN.4/2001/2-E/CN.4/Sub.2/2000/46).

Promotion of the realization of the right to drinking water and sanitation

60. Also at the 71st meeting, the representative of Norway introduced proposed amendments (E/CN.4/2001/L.43) to draft decision 3 of the Sub-Commission on the Promotion and Protection of Human Rights, sponsored by Norway.

61. The draft decision was adopted without a vote. For the text as adopted, see chapter II, section B, decision 2001/104.

62. In view of the adoption of decision 2001/104, the Commission took no action on draft decision 3, recommended by the Sub-Commission on the Promotion and Protection of Human Rights (see E/CN.4/2001/2-E/CN.4/Sub.2/2000/46).
