



**Tenth  
United Nations Congress  
on the Prevention of Crime  
and the Treatment of Offenders  
Vienna, 10-17 April 2000**

Distr.: Limited  
15 April 2000

Original: English

---

Agenda item 5

**Effective crime prevention: keeping pace  
with new developments**

## **Report of Committee II**

### **Workshop on community involvement in crime prevention**

#### **Discussion**

1. The workshop on community involvement in crime prevention, organized by the International Centre for the Prevention of Crime, was held on 12 and 13 April 2000. The workshop had before it a background paper on community involvement in crime prevention (A/CONF.187/11). Twenty-nine panellists addressed the workshop, followed by the representatives of 13 States, the observers for 2 non-governmental organizations and 2 individual experts.
2. The workshop focused on two issues: (a) identification of models of best practice in community involvement in crime prevention; and (b) how States and communities could share their experiences in successful crime prevention. It was acknowledged that crime was costly both for the victims and the communities concerned, and that it affected the quality of people's lives. Every year, millions of people around the world were victims of such crime as homicide, violence against women, burglary and car theft. It was noted that the costs of crime represented 5 per cent of gross domestic product (GDP) in some developed countries and as much as 14 per cent of GDP in developing countries. To reduce the level of crime and increase the public's sense of security, a well-balanced approach between the traditional responses to crime and preventive measures that involved the community was required. Indeed, the fight against transnational organized crime could not be won if it failed to address the safety and security needs of local communities.
3. It was agreed that knowledge about the causes and risk factors associated with crime and victimization was now well developed. Many countries had established effective crime prevention programmes and policies, and promising results had been obtained in a number of projects that had been thoroughly evaluated. Many contemporary trends, however, gave rise to concern, such as the widening gap between rich and poor, exclusion of youth, the gender gap, urban expansion and the availability of firearms. Street violence and gratuitous, senseless and random violence were growing concerns that posed significant

threats to society. The involvement of youth in criminal activity, both as perpetrators and as victims, was also a concern in many communities. In countries in which crime had increased significantly in recent years, the level of urban insecurity had also grown. Even where crime had diminished, insecurity and fear of crime had tended to increase, especially in urban areas. One challenge to societies was to develop sustainable responses to crime.

4. It was stated, that in devising measures of community safety, it was necessary to go beyond assessing crime figures. Responsiveness to the demands and expectations of the population by means of consultative mechanisms, victimization surveys, crime audits and action plans were also keys to mobilizing local communities. Policy development should be based on solid research, and strategies must be based on the analysis of social and urban development indicators, such as levels of unemployment, exclusion of youth, proportion of immigrants or type of housing.

5. It was emphasized that Governments and communities should work together to respond effectively to such challenges. Integrated policies that involved crime control, crime prevention and social solidarity measures were required. Inter-ministerial cooperation at the national level and the horizontal integration of partners at the local level were also encouraged. Action at the local level was viewed as the engine of prevention, since it involved the affected populations and was most attuned to local issues. In this regard, various tools had proved to be effective. These included the establishment of community councils and safety and security contracts between central and local governments. A number of speakers stated that integrated and knowledge-based approaches should involve situational, social development and community reorganization measures that targeted risk factors. Several speakers stressed that the establishment of true partnerships between key agencies and the community was a long-term process, requiring the sharing of information and power. Key lessons learned included the need for political leadership and commitment, ensuring the involvement of local officials, the need to integrate local and national strategies, and the importance of taking local concerns into account and providing proper training for practitioners.

6. It was agreed that the implementation of a comprehensive prevention strategy required new ways of thinking and organization. It was imperative that all governmental, public and private organizations concerned with human, social and economic development recognized community safety as a fundamental right, and that they integrated crime prevention into their mandates and activities. Relying on community action should not be an excuse or a mask for providing poor public services. Adequate levels of funding and support were essential elements of success.

7. Information was provided on technical cooperation projects in which Governments, intergovernmental organizations and non-governmental organizations were involved. The activities described were aimed at both national and local governments, and ranged from the development of crime prevention manuals to the raising of public awareness. Assistance could also be made available for the formulation of strategy documents, the design and implementation of victim surveys and the collection of data for crime statistics.

## **Conclusion**

8. A number of core issues for the involvement of the community in crime prevention were identified. It was emphasized that there were no simple or short-term solutions to the nexus of crime, victimization and insecurity, which were complex realities with multiple causes. There were, however, numerous promising crime prevention strategies and

programmes that had been effective. Integrated, comprehensive and sustainable responses could be formulated on the basis of examples of good practice, such as those shared by the participants in the workshop. Identifying key elements of successful prevention models would help to ensure their transferability and sustainability. It was agreed that such models would need to be adapted to the specific needs of interested countries and communities, bearing in mind their respective social conditions and cultural norms. There was also a need to develop more knowledge-based approaches by monitoring and evaluating ongoing initiatives, and their cost-effectiveness, and replication studies.

9. Participants in the workshop on community involvement in crime prevention called for action in the following areas:

(a) Local communities should be recognized as the focal points for the effective delivery of crime prevention initiatives. Member States should be encouraged to implement programmes for building the capacity of communities to respond effectively to local concerns;

(b) Governments and relevant international funding organizations should be invited to provide increased financial and technical assistance to countries and communities in support of their crime prevention efforts;

(c) Anti-crime strategies in which a crime prevention policy is an integral part offer more sustainable responses to crime and insecurity problems. Governments should be called upon to intensify their efforts to identify the successful elements of effective community mobilization, and the benefits of crime prevention programmes and initiatives. Governments should cooperate in the development of a common set of indicators of community safety which could serve as benchmarks in this regard;

(d) More systematic efforts should be made to implement successful prevention actions and strategies. International support networks for crime prevention should be assigned the task of furthering the development of knowledge-based strategies, identifying elements of their transferability and making such knowledge available to communities throughout the world;

(e) The Expert Group Meeting on Community Involvement on Crime Prevention, held in Buenos Aires from 8 to 10 February 1999, identified some key elements of successful and responsible strategies to prevent and reduce crime and enhance community safety, while fully respecting human rights and the rule of law. Those recommendations should be given appropriate consideration by the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice, Member States and all relevant entities;

(f) Member States, intergovernmental organizations and non-governmental organizations and the institutes of the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Programme network should be invited to consider the present recommendations in their activities and plans of action.

---