UNITED NATIONS



Security Council

Distr.
GENERAL

S/AC.26/2000/26 7 December 2000

Original: ENGLISH

UNITED NATIONS
COMPENSATION COMMISSION
GOVERNING COUNCIL

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS MADE BY THE PANEL OF COMMISSIONERS CONCERNING THE SECOND INSTALMENT OF "F2" CLAIMS

CONTENTS

	Paragraphs	Page
Introduction	1 - 2	7
I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY	3 - 13	10
II. BACKGROUND TO THE CLAIMS	14 - 17	11
A. The influx of refugees into Saudi Arabia after		
Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait	14 - 15	11
B. Saudi Arabia's participation in the military respo	onse	
to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait	16 - 17	12
III. LEGAL FRAMEWORK	18 - 30	12
A. Functions of the Commission	18 - 20	12
B. Applicable law	21	13
C. Liability of Iraq	22	13
D. The directness requirement	23 - 28	13
E. Location of the loss	29	14
F. Mitigation	30	14
IV. CONSIDERATION OF COMMON LEGAL ISSUES	31 - 64	15
A. Military operations	32 - 37	15
B. Military costs	38 - 42	16
C. Threat of military action	43 - 47	17
D. Payment or relief to others	48 - 49	18
E. Salary and labour-related benefits	50 - 64	18
1. Refugee-related staff costs	51 - 54	18
2. Additional salary costs incurred in implementing		
preventive and protective measures	55 - 58	19
3. Expatriate staff travel costs4. Increased staff costs incurred with respect to the	59 - 63	20
Allied Coalition Forces	64	21
V. VERIFICATION AND VALUATION	65 - 77	21
A. The importance of evidence	65 - 70	21
B. The procedures adopted by the Panel to verify and		
value the Claims	71 - 77	22
VI. OTHER ISSUES	78 - 86	23
A. Dates of loss	78 - 79	23
B. Currency exchange rate	80 - 83	24
C. Interest	84	24
D. Categorization	85 - 86	24

		Paragraphs	Page
VII.	THE CLAIMS	87 - 660	26
A.	Customs Department (UNCC Claim No. 5000196)	87 - 111	26
	1. Business transaction or course of dealing	88 - 93	26
	2. Real property	94 - 103	27
	3. Other tangible property	104 - 110	28
	4. Recommendation for Customs Department	111	30
В.	Saudi Railways Organization (UNCC Claim No. 5000198)	112 - 133	31
	1. Contract	113 - 116	31
	2. Business transaction or course of dealing	117 - 122	31
	3. Payment or relief to others	123 - 126	32
	4. Public service expenditures	127 - 132	33
	5. Recommendation for Saudi Railways Organization	133	34
C.	Ministry of Communications (UNCC Claim No. 5000199)	134 - 165	35
	1. Real Property	135 - 143	35
	2. Public Service Expenditures	144 - 164	36
	3. Recommendation for Ministry of Communications	165	40
D.	Real Estate Development Fund (UNCC Claim No. 5000200)	166 - 195	41
	1. Payment or relief to others	167 - 189	41
	2. Public service expenditures	190 - 194	44
	3. Recommendation for Real Estate Development Fund .	195	45
E.	Ministry of Post, Telegraph and Telephone (General		
	Directorate of Post and Deputy Ministry of Operations		
	and Maintenance) (UNCC Claim No. 5000210)	196 - 224	46
	1. Real Property	205 - 207	47
	2. Other tangible property	208 - 211	48
	3. Public service expenditures - DMOM	212 - 218	48
	4. Public service expenditures - GDP	219 - 223	49
_	5. Recommendation for Ministry of PTT, GDP and DMOM	224	50
F'.	Ministry of Post, Telegraph and Telephone	225 270	г1
	(Central Region) (UNCC Claim No. 5000211)	225 - 270	51
	1. Public service expenditures	226 - 269 270	51 56
~	2. Recommendation for Ministry of PTT, Central Region	270	56
G.	Ministry of Post, Telegraph and Telephone		
	(Southern Region) (UNCC Claim No. 5000212)	271 - 292	57
	1. Business transaction or course of dealing	272 - 275	57
	2. Public service expenditures	276 - 291	57
	3. Recommendation for Ministry of PTT, Southern Region	292	60
н.	Ministry of Post, Telegraph and Telephone		
	(Eastern Region) (UNCC Claim No. 5000213)	293 - 322	61
	1. Business transaction or course of dealing	293 - 296	61
	2. Real property	297 - 304	61
	3. Other tangible property	305 - 309	62
	4. Public service expenditures5. Recommendation for Ministry of PTT, Eastern Region	310 - 321 322	63 65
	J. Recommendation for Ministry of Pil, Eastern Region	244	UD

		Paragraphs	Page
I.	Ministry of Post, Telegraph and Telephone		
	(Western Region) (UNCC Claim No. 5000214)	323 - 332	66
	1. Public service expenditures	323 - 331	66
	2. Recommendation for Ministry of PTT, Western Region	332	67
J.	Ministry of Health (UNCC Claim No. 5000215)	333 - 370	68
	1. Contract	335 - 354	68
	2. Public service expenditures	355 – 369	71
	3. Recommendation for Ministry of Health	370	73
Κ.	Ministry of Information (UNCC Claim No. 5000218)	371 - 393	74
	1. Other tangible property	372 - 375	74
	2. Payment or relief to others	376 - 379	74
	3. Public service expenditures	380 - 392	75
	4. Recommendation for Ministry of Information	393	77
L.	Ministry of Higher Education (UNCC Claim No. 5000221)	394 - 436	78
	1. Contract	395 - 399	78
	2. Real property	400 - 405	78
	3. Other tangible property	406 - 409	79
	4. Payment or relief to others - King Saud University	410 - 413	80
	5. Payment or relief to others -		
	King Faisal University	414 - 418	80
	6. Public service expenditures	419 - 435	81
7.	Recommendation for Ministry of Higher Education	436	84
Μ.	Ministry of Higher Education - Cultural Attaché		
	(UNCC Claim No. 5000222)	437 - 441	85
	1. Other tangible property	437 - 440	85
	2. Recommendation for Ministry of Higher Education		
	- Cultural Attaché	441	85
N.	National Guard (UNCC Claim No. 5000223)	442 - 498	86
	1. Real property	448 - 453	87
	2. Payment or relief to others	454 - 462	88
	3. Public service expenditures	463 - 497	89
	4. Recommendation for National Guard	498	95
Ο.	Water and Sewerage Authority (UNCC Claim No. 5000225)	499 - 538	96
	1. Real property	501 - 503	96
	2. Other tangible property	504 - 506	96
	3. Public service expenditures	507 - 537	97
	4. Recommendation for Water and Sewerage Authority.	538	101
Р.	Municipality of Al Khafji (UNCC Claim No. 5000226) .	539 - 565	102
	1. Real property	540 - 554	102
	2. Other tangible property	555 - 557	104
	3. Public service expenditures	558 - 564	104
	4. Recommendation for Municipality of Al Khafji	565	106

	3	
	<u>Paragraphs</u>	Page
Q. Charity Society (UNCC Claim No. 5000227)	566 - 572	107
1. Payment or relief to others	567 - 571	107
2. Recommendation for Charity Society	572	108
R. Saudi Arabian Red Crescent Society		
(UNCC Claim No. 5000228)	573 - 580	109
1. Real Property	574 - 576	109
2. Other tangible property	577 - 579	109
3. Recommendation for Red Crescent Society	580	110
S. Department of Education for Girls		
(UNCC Claim No. 5000229)	581 - 602	111
1. Real property)	583 - 592	111
2. Other tangible property	593 - 597	112
3. Payment or relief to others	598 - 601	113
4. Recommendation for Department of Education		
for Girls	602	113
T. Al Alamein Sports Club (UNCC Claim No. 5000231)	603 - 614	114
1. Real property	604 - 606	114
2. Other tangible property	607 - 609	114
3. Evacuation costs)	610 - 613	115
4. Recommendation for Al Alamein Sports Club	614	115
J. Goodness Preaching Authority (UNCC Claim No. 5000232) 615 - 624	116
1. Other tangible property	616 - 618	116
2. Public service expenditures	619 - 623	116
3. Recommendation for Goodness Preaching Authority.	624	117
V. Ministry of Education (Dammam)		
(UNCC Claim No. 5000235)	625 - 643	118
1. Real property	627 - 635	118
2. Other tangible property	636 - 642	119
3. Recommendation for Ministry of Education (Dammam)	643	120
W. Ministry of Education (Hafr Al Baten)		
(UNCC Claim No. 5000236)	644 - 654	121
1. Real property	644 - 650	121
2. Other tangible property	651 - 653	122
3. Recommendation for Ministry of Education		
(Hafr Al Baten)	654	122
X. Ministry of Education (Riyadh)		
(UNCC Claim No. 5000237)	655 - 660	123
1. Real property	655 - 659	123
2. Recommendation for Ministry of Education (Riyadh)	660	123
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
III. SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS	661	124
otes		126

List of tables

1.	Summary of an	mounts claime	d ir	n second instalment of "F2" claims9
2.	Recommended of	compensation	for	Customs Department30
3.	Recommended of	compensation	for	Saudi Railways Organization34
4.	Recommended of	compensation	for	Ministry of Communications40
5.	Recommended of	compensation	for	Real Estate Development Fund45
6.	Recommended of	compensation	for	Ministry of PTT, GDP and DMOM50
7.	Recommended of	compensation	for	Ministry of PTT, Central Region56
8.	Recommended of	compensation	for	Ministry of PTT, Southern Region60
9.	Recommended of	compensation	for	Ministry of PTT, Eastern Region65
10.	Recommended of	compensation	for	Ministry of PTT, Western Region67
11.	Recommended of	compensation	for	Ministry of Health
12.	Recommended of	compensation	for	Ministry of Information
13.	Recommended of	compensation	for	Ministry of Higher Education84
14.	Recommended of	compensation	for	Ministry of Higher Education -
	Cultural Atta	aché		85
15.	Recommended of	compensation	for	National Guard95
16.	Recommended of	compensation	for	Water and Sewerage Authority101
17.	Recommended of	compensation	for	Municipality of Al Khafji106
18.	Recommended of	compensation	for	Charity Society108
19.	Recommended of	compensation	for	Red Crescent Society110
20.	Recommended of	compensation	for	Department of Education for Girls113
21.	Recommended of	compensation	for	Al Alamein Sports Club115
22.	Recommended of	compensation	for	Goodness Preaching Authority117
23.	Recommended of	compensation	for	Ministry of Education (Dammam)120
24.	Recommended of	compensation	for	Ministry of Education (Hafr Al Baten)122
25.	Recommended of	compensation	for	Ministry of Education (Riyadh)123
26.	Summary of re	ecommended am	ount	es in second instalment of "F2" claims124

Introduction

- 1. This is the second report submitted to the Governing Council of the United Nations Compensation Commission (the "Commission") pursuant to article 38(e) of the Provisional Rules for Claims Procedure (the "Rules") 1/ by the Panel of Commissioners (the "Panel"), composed of Messrs. Francisco Orrego Vicuña (Chairman), Hans van Houtte and Jen Shek Voon, appointed to review "F2" claims. 2/ This report sets forth the Panel's determinations and recommendations to the Governing Council with respect to the second instalment of "F2" claims, comprising 24 claims (the "Claims") filed by ministries and other Government entities of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia ("Saudi Arabia") (collectively, the "Claimants"). 3/ The Claims were submitted to the Panel in accordance with article 32 of the Rules on 23 September 1999. The Claims seek compensation totalling approximately USD 498,958,209 4/ for alleged direct loss, damage or injury arising as a result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 2. The Claimants are listed in Table 1 below, together with the total asserted amount of compensation claimed and the total amount of compensation recommended by the Panel. The claim amounts set out in Table 1 are principal amounts as the Claimants make no claim for interest. The Claimants also seek no compensation for claim preparation costs. For the sole purpose of comparison, claimed amounts that were expressed in Saudi riyals ("SAR") or Kuwaiti dinars ("KWD") have been converted to United States dollars ("USD") based on August 1990 mid-point rates of exchange as indicated in the <u>United</u> Nations Monthly Bulletin of Statistics. 5/

Table 1. Summary of amounts claimed and recommended amounts in second instalment of "F2" claims

<u>Claimant</u>	clai	riginal amount med (in original currency) 6/	Original amount claimed (in USD)	Review amount (in original currency) 7/				Review amount (in USD)		ommended amount (in original currency) <u>8</u> /
Customs Department	SAR	50,093,796	13,376,181	SAR	50,093,796	13,376,181	SAR	2,263,288		
Saudi Railways Organization	SAR	1,700,478	454,066	SAR	1,692,432	451,918	SAR	616,604		
Ministry of Communications	SAR	286,289,867	76,445,892	SAR	286,289,867	76,445,892	SAR	nil		
Real Estate Development Fund	SAR	347,827,260	92,877,773	SAR	333,699,532	89,105,349	SAR	63,332,820		
Ministry of PTT (General Directorate for Post & Deputy Ministry for Maintenance and Operations)	SAR	3,175,594	847,956	SAR	2,471,143	659,851	SAR	1,045,078		
Ministry of PTT (Central Region)	SAR	3,114,000	831,509	SAR	2,905,073	775,720	SAR	574,373		
Ministry of PTT (Southern Region)	SAR	2,748,357	733,874	SAR	2,211,655	590,562	SAR	520,016		
Ministry of PTT (Eastern Region)	SAR	9,583,850	2,559,105	SAR	7,814,257	2,086,584	SAR	1,235,160		
Ministry of PTT (Western Region)	SAR	4,438,000	1,185,047	SAR	4,438,000	1,185,047	SAR	1,983,564		
Ministry of Health	SAR	47,694,878	12,735,615	SAR	46,234,678	12,345,708	SAR	18,682,967		
Ministry of Information	SAR	5,556,169	1,483,623	SAR	5,556,169	1,483,623	SAR	1,220,429		
Ministry of Higher Education	SAR	45,752,688	12,217,006	SAR	44,651,278	11,922,905	SAR	25,383,170		
Ministry of Higher Education - Cultural Attaché	KWD	5,386	18,637	KWD	-	-	KWD	nil		
National Guard	SAR	205,336,292	54,829,450	SAR	205,336,292	54,829,450	SAR	2,169,932		
	USD	210,000,000	210,000,000		-	_		=		
Water & Sewerage Authority (Al-Khafji) <u>9</u> /	SAR	38,615,295	10,311,160	SAR	38,615,295	10,311,160	SAR	3,518,565		
Municipality of Al-Khafji	SAR	13,867,600	3,702,964	SAR	13,867,600	3,702,964	SAR	2,131,811		

<u>Claimant</u>	clai	riginal amount med (in original currency) <u>6</u> /	Original amount claimed (in USD)		Review amount (in original currency) 7/ (in USD)		Recommended amount (in original currency) 8/	
Charity Society	SAR	389,287	103,948	SAR	389,287	103,948	SAR	143,476
Saudi Red Crescent Society	SAR	115,000	30,708	SAR	115,000	30,708	SAR	51,750
Department for the Education of Girls	SAR	9,650,000	2,576,769	SAR	9,650,000	2,576,769	SAR	2,162
Al Alamein Sports Club	SAR	155,285	41,465	SAR	155,285	41,465	SAR	45,139
Goodness Preaching Authority	SAR	9,005	2,405	SAR	9,005	2,405	SAR	1,352
Ministry of Education - Region of Dammam	SAR	5,339,785	1,425,844	SAR	4,672,285	1,247,606	SAR	459,879
Ministry of Education - Region of Hafr Al Baten	SAR	529,118	141,287	SAR	529,118	141,287	SAR	29,450
Ministry of Education - Region of Riyadh	SAR	97,090	25,925	SAR	97,090	25,925	SAR	82,865
Summary total	SAR KWD USD	1,082,078,694 5,386 210,000,000	18,637	SAR KWD USD	1,061,494,137	- - 283,443,027	SAR KWD USD	125,493,850 nil nil
Total (USD)		-	498,958,209		-	283,443,027		-

I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

- 3. Pursuant to article 16 of the Rules, the Executive Secretary of the Commission reported to the Governing Council the significant factual and legal issues raised by the Claims in the twenty-seventh and twenty-eighth reports, dated 26 April 1999 and 23 July 1999, respectively. Those reports were circulated to all Governments and international organizations that filed claims before the Commission and to the Government of the Republic of Iraq ("Iraq"). Pursuant to article 16(3) of the Rules, a number of Governments, including Iraq, submitted their information and views concerning the reports to the Commission. These responses have been considered by the Panel during its review, consideration and resolution of the Claims.
- 4. In March 1999, pursuant to article 36 of the Rules and after a competitive bidding process, the services of expert consultants in accounting and loss adjusting were retained to assist the Panel in the review and valuation of the Claims.
- 5. After a preliminary review of the Claims, the secretariat sent notifications to each Claimant in June 1999 seeking additional information or documentation to assist the Panel in verifying and valuing the Claims, pursuant to article 34 of the Rules ("article 34 notifications"). An addendum to an article 34 notification relating to one Claim was sent to the relevant Claimant in July 1999. The Commission received documentation and information in response to the article 34 notifications from the Claimants during the period from September to November 1999.
- 6. Following submission of the Claims to the Panel on 23 September 1999, procedural orders were issued informing the Claimants that their Claims were under review and had been classified as "unusually large or complex" within the meaning of article 38(d) of the Rules.
- 7. In accordance with the instruction of the Panel, copies of the procedural orders were sent to Iraq and to the Claimants.
- 8. During the course of review of the Claims, the Panel held regular meetings at the Commission's headquarters in Geneva. Pursuant to article 34 of the Rules, the secretariat provided legal, administrative and technical support to the Panel.
- 9. After consideration of the Claimants' responses to the article 34 notifications, and in accordance with its work programme for the Claims, the Panel directed a verification team, comprising members of the secretariat and the expert consultants, to visit Saudi Arabia from 3 to 11 February 2000 to clarify issues arising from the review of the Claims. Prior to the inspection, the verification team sent detailed requests for further documentation and information to each Claimant. During the inspection, the verification team met with the Claimants, inspected physical assets, and examined documentation too voluminous to dispatch to

the Commission's headquarters in Geneva. The verification team also met with officials from the Ministry of Finance and National Economy ("Ministry of Finance").

- 10. During the on-site inspection, the verification team requested that additional documents and information be provided by the Claimants. The Claimants' responses to those requests were received by 29 February 2000.
- 11. The Panel held detailed discussions with the members of the verification team in respect of their findings.
- 12. On 22 March 2000, the Panel issued Procedural Order No. 2 requesting information from the Ministry of Finance concerning Saudi Arabia's sources of revenue and budgetary processes. The Ministry of Finance submitted its response to the procedural order on 4 May 2000. The Panel established that this was the date until which it was able to take into consideration information and documentation provided in relation to the Claims.
- 13. All responses to the requests for information and documentation and to Procedural Order No. 2 have been considered by the Panel.

II. BACKGROUND TO THE CLAIMS

A. The influx of refugees into Saudi Arabia after Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait

- 14. The Claimants assert that as a result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, approximately 350,000 to 360,000 refugees entered Saudi Arabia from Kuwait during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. 10/ The majority of the refugees, comprising Kuwaiti and non-Kuwaiti nationals, entered the country through Saudi Arabia's Eastern Province bordering Kuwait. Of this number, a large proportion is alleged to have arrived in Saudi Arabia immediately following Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The closing of the border between Saudi Arabia and Kuwait by the Iraqi army soon after Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait prevented other Kuwaiti and non-Kuwaiti nationals from leaving Kuwait until the middle of September 1990, when the border was reopened, thereby allowing more refugees to enter Saudi Arabia.
- 15. In response to the influx of refugees into the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia, the King of Saudi Arabia issued a Royal Decree in early August 1990 instructing Saudi Arabian Government ministries and entities to provide accommodation and other assistance to the refugees. Government ministries and entities responded by providing refugees of Kuwaiti nationality ("Kuwaiti refugees") with accommodation, food, clothing and medical care, while the majority of the non-Kuwaiti refugees were provided with emergency assistance prior to being repatriated.

B. Saudi Arabia's participation in the military response to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait

- 16. In response to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait on 2 August 1990 and the massing of Iraqi troops on Saudi Arabia's northern border on 3 August 1990, Saudi Arabia agreed on 6 August 1990 to the deployment of foreign troops on its territory. The Claimants assert that during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, Saudi Arabia provided "host nation support" in the form of transport, accommodation, food and water to approximately 560,000 troops from those nations participating in the Coalition against Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait (referred to in this report as the "Allied Coalition Forces"). 11/ Saudi Arabian infrastructure and facilities were used by Allied Coalition Forces and Saudi Arabian Government entities in support of military preparations taking place in Saudi Arabia.
- 17. In addition to providing "host nation support", Saudi Arabia was a member of the Allied Coalition Forces and participated in military operations against Iraq. $\underline{12}$ / Approximately 100,000 Saudi Arabian troops, including members of the Army, Air Force and National Guard, were mobilized and deployed during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. $\underline{13}$ / As a result, costs were also incurred by Saudi Arabia in respect of preparing for and participating in the military response to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.

III. LEGAL FRAMEWORK

A. Functions of the Commission

- 18. Three tasks have been entrusted to the Panel in the present proceedings. First, the Panel must determine whether the various types of losses asserted fall within the jurisdiction of the Commission. Second, it must verify whether the asserted losses that are, in principle, compensable have in fact been incurred. Third, it must value those losses found to be compensable and to have been incurred.
- 19. In carrying out these tasks, the Panel has given careful consideration to the additional information and views provided by the Government of Iraq and claimant Governments in response to the Executive Secretary's reports circulated pursuant to article 16 of the Rules. The Panel has also taken note of certain findings contained in the reports of other panels of Commissioners, which have been approved by the Governing Council, regarding the interpretation of relevant Security Council resolutions and Governing Council decisions and the relevance of various legal principles.
- 20. The Panel has applied relevant and established legal and valuation principles to the assessment, verification and valuation of the Claims as presented in this report.

B. Applicable law

21. Article 31 of the Rules provides that:

"In considering the claims, Commissioners will apply Security Council resolution 687 (1991) and other relevant Security Council resolutions, the criteria established by the Governing Council for particular categories of claims, and any pertinent decisions of the Governing Council. In addition, where necessary, Commissioners shall apply other relevant rules of international law."

C. Liability of Iraq

- 22. Paragraph 16 of Security Council resolution 687 (1991) reaffirmed Iraq's liability under international law:
 - "...for any direct loss, damage, including environmental damage and the depletion of natural resources, or injury to foreign Governments, nationals and corporations, as a result of Iraq's unlawful invasion and occupation of Kuwait". 14/

D. The directness requirement

- 23. The Governing Council has provided guidance, most notably in Governing Council decisions 7, 9 and 15, $\underline{15}$ / on what constitutes "direct loss, damage ... or injury" for which Iraq is liable under Security Council resolution 687 (1991).
- 24. Paragraph 34 of decision 7 provides that:

"These payments are available with respect to any direct loss, damage, or injury to Governments or international organizations as a result of Iraq's unlawful invasion and occupation of Kuwait. This will include any loss suffered as a result of:

- (a) Military operations or the threat of military action by either side during the period 2 August 1990 to 2 March 1991;
- (b) Departure of persons from or their inability to leave Iraq or Kuwait (or a decision not to return) during that period;
- (c) Actions by officials, employees or agents of the Government of Iraq or its controlled entities during that period in connection with the invasion or occupation;
- (d) The breakdown of civil order in Kuwait or Iraq during that period; or
- (e) Hostage-taking or other illegal detention."

25. Paragraph 36 of decision 7 provides that:

"These payments will include loss of or damage to property of a Government, as well as losses and costs incurred by a Government in evacuating its nationals from Iraq or Kuwait. These payments are also available to reimburse payments made or relief provided by Governments or international organizations to others - for example to nationals, residents or employees or to others pursuant to contractual obligations - for losses covered by any of the criteria adopted by the Council."

26. Paragraph 3 of Governing Council decision 15 provides that:

"The two essential elements of admissible losses are (a) that such losses must be the result of Iraq's unlawful invasion and occupation of Kuwait and (b) that the causal link must be direct."

- 27. Paragraph 6 of decision 15 states that the enumerated circumstances set out in paragraph 34 of Governing Council decision 7 are not exhaustive and that there "will be other situations where evidence can be produced showing claims are for direct loss, damage or injury as a result of Iraq's unlawful invasion and occupation of Kuwait".
- 28. The Panel finds that a direct loss is one which, as a matter of objective assessment, would have been expected as a normal and natural consequence of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. 16/

E. Location of the loss

29. The losses that comprise these Claims are asserted, with one exception, to have been sustained in Saudi Arabia. $\underline{17}/$ The Panel notes that Security Council resolution 687 (1991) does not expressly indicate where a direct loss should have occurred and finds that there is no jurisdictional bar, in principle, to the award of compensation for losses sustained in Saudi Arabia. $\underline{18}/$ The Panel finds, however, that a claim based on an incident occurring outside Iraq or Kuwait must be more fully substantiated than losses occurring in Iraq or Kuwait, as the latter are more easily attributable to Iraqi actions. 19/

F. Mitigation

30. Paragraph 6 of Governing Council decision 9, which relates to compensation for business losses, provides that "[t]he total amount of compensable losses will be reduced to the extent that those losses could reasonably have been avoided". Paragraph 9(IV) of Governing Council decision 15 confirms that the duty to mitigate applies to all claims. In its review and assessment of the Claims, the Panel has been mindful of the duty of the Claimants to take reasonable measures to avoid, diminish or mitigate any direct loss, damage or injury resulting from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.

IV. CONSIDERATION OF COMMON LEGAL ISSUES

31. Many of the legal issues raised in the Claims arise in more than one Claim. In these circumstances, the Panel finds it appropriate first to consider the legal issues that are common to several Claims and then, in the light of these common considerations, to examine and report on each Claim individually.

A. Military operations

- 32. Paragraph 34(a) of Governing Council decision 7 provides for the payment of compensation for any loss suffered as a result of military operations or the threat of military action by either side during the period 2 August 1990 to 2 March 1991. 20/
- 33. Some of the Claims include claims for losses, including real and tangible property damage, that the Claimants allege arose as the result of military operations, including ground, air and Scud missile attacks by Iraq against Saudi Arabia during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. $\underline{21}/$
- 34. Between 18 January and 26 February 1991, Iraq fired 46 Scud missiles at Saudi Arabia. 22/ While many of the missiles were intercepted by Patriot missiles, one missile landed in Al Khobar, near Dhahran, causing, inter alia, damage to property. Debris from intercepted missiles landed in the capital, Riyadh, and in other parts of northeastern Saudi Arabia, including Hafr Al Baten, causing damage to property in those areas. 23/
- 35. The Claims also include claims for real and tangible property losses arising as a result of Allied Coalition military operations which took place in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia between 15 January and 2 March 1991. 24/ In response to the commencement of these military operations, the town of Al Khafji, situated approximately 20 kilometres south of the border between Saudi Arabia and Kuwait and close to important Saudi Arabian oil fields, was immediately evacuated. From 29 to 30 January 1991, the town, which was only lightly defended, was occupied by Iraqi troops who had crossed the Saudi Arabian border from Kuwait. On 31 January 1991, a battle to liberate Al Khafji resulted in the retreat of the Iraqi forces from the town. The town suffered property damage during the course of the occupation and battle. 25/
- 36. The Panel finds that a claimant seeking compensation for loss or damage arising out of military operations in Saudi Arabia must demonstrate that the loss or damage for which compensation is claimed resulted from a specific military event or events in order to establish the requisite causal link between the loss or damage and Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. This is because the military operations that resulted in damage in Saudi Arabia were sporadic events that did not bring about the kind of systematic and thorough damage and injury inflicted by the military operations that took place all over Kuwait during the relevant period. 26/

Page 16

37. The Panel finds that Saudi Arabian Government claims for real and tangible property damage suffered as a result of Iraq's Scud missile attacks on Saudi Arabia, the battle of Al Khafji and other military operations in Saudi Arabia are, in principle, compensable in accordance with paragraph 34(a) of Governing Council decision 7, subject to consideration of the applicability of Governing Council decision 19.

B. Military costs

38. Governing Council decision 19 provides:

"The Governing Council confirms that the costs of the Allied Coalition Forces, including those of military operations against Iraq, are not eligible for compensation." 27/

- 39. One claim, that of the National Guard, seeks compensation for, inter alia, costs of recruiting and training new recruits as part of the National Guard's mobilisation and deployment of troops for, inter alia, the Allied Coalition Forces' military operations against Iraq. 28/ Some of the Claims, including those of the Saudi Railways Organization, the Ministry of Communications, the Real Estate Development Fund, the Ministry of Post, Telegraph and Telephone, the Ministry of Education and the Ministry of Health, include claims for the costs of providing accommodation, food, medical services, transport, logistical support and telecommunications services to the Allied Coalition Forces. These services were provided to Saudi Arabian as well as other units of the Allied Coalition Forces in the context of Saudi Arabia's role as "host nation" during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. 29/ The Panel finds that such services constitute support provided in relation to the activities of the Allied Coalition Forces and their military response to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 40. The Panel finds that the costs of the above Claimants' preparation for, participation in, or provision of support in relation to, the activities of the Allied Coalition Forces and their military response to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait fall within the scope of Governing Council decision 19 and are therefore not eligible for compensation.
- 41. Other Claims, such as that of the National Guard, include claims for the costs of relief and assistance provided by military entities to civilians and refugees. The Panel finds that the fact that a claimant is a military entity, while a factor to be considered, is not determinative of the question of exclusion from compensation under Governing Council decision 19. Rather, the Panel must also examine the nature of the activity for which the costs were incurred and the purpose for which it was carried out. Having considered the nature and purpose of expenditures to assist Saudi Arabia's civilian population or refugees present in Saudi Arabia as a result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, the Panel finds that such expenditures do not fall within the terms of Governing

Council decision 19 as discussed above, even where those expenditures have been incurred by a military entity.

42. The circumstances of each Claim and the applicability of Governing Council decision 19 thereto are discussed in more detail in the context of the individual Claims, below.

C. Threat of military action

- 43. Paragraph 34(a) of decision 7, cited at paragraph 24 above, also refers to losses arising as a result of "the threat of military action". Not only was Saudi Arabia subject to actual military operations as described in paragraphs 33 to 36 above, but it was also the subject of threats of military action, including verbal threats, the threat posed by the massing of Iraqi troops on the Saudi Arabian border on 3 August 1990 and the aiming of Scud missiles at the territory of Saudi Arabia during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. 30/ The incursion of Iraqi troops into Saudi Arabian territory in January 1991 confirmed the reality of the threat of military action posed by Iraq to Saudi Arabia during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 44. Confronted with such a credible and serious threat of military action by Iraq, the Government of Saudi Arabia and its entities responded by implementing preventive and protective measures throughout the country for the benefit of the civilian population. 31/
- 45. A number of Claimants, including the Saudi Railways Organization, the Ministry of Post, Telegraph and Telephone, the Ministry of Health, the Ministry of Information, the Ministry of Higher Education, the Municipality of Al Khafji and the Water and Sewerage Authority, claim for the costs of the measures that they undertook in response to the threat of military action to which Saudi Arabia was exposed during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. These measures included the purchase of gas masks, protective clothing, medicines, medical supplies and emergency equipment, as well as the setting up of emergency health and telecommunications plans.
- 46. This and other Panels have found that preventive and protective measures such as those set out above that were implemented for the benefit of the civilian population in response to the threat of military action posed by Iraq during the period of its invasion and occupation of Kuwait are, in principle, compensable. 32/ The Panel finds that the measures implemented must, however, have been a reasonable and proportionate response to the type of risk to which Saudi Arabia was exposed during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. 33/
- 47. The measures undertaken by the Government of Saudi Arabia in response to the threat of military action will be examined in the context of each individual Claim as discussed below. In all cases, however, the Claimant

must show that the costs incurred are direct losses resulting from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.

D. Payment or relief to others

- 48. The provision of assistance to refugees by Saudi Arabian Government ministries and entities gave rise to Claims from the following Saudi Arabian ministries and organizations: Saudi Railways Organization, the Real Estate Development Fund, the Ministry of Post, Telegraph and Telephone, the Ministry of Education, the Ministry of Higher Education, and various entities in the Municipality of Al Khafji. These Claims include claims for the costs of providing accommodation, food, water, medical supplies, education, telecommunications facilities and allowances to the refugees during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Claims also include claims for the costs of overtime and additional salaries paid to Government employees and contracted workers by the Government ministries and entities that assisted in the refugee assistance operation. 34/
- 49. The Panel finds that costs incurred in making payments or providing relief to the refugees who were present in Saudi Arabia as a result of departure from (or a decision not to return to) Iraq or Kuwait during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait are, in principle, compensable in accordance with paragraphs 34(b) and 36 of Governing Council decision 7.

E. Salary and labour-related benefits

- 50. The Claims include claims for wage and salary costs and other labour-related benefits that are asserted in four distinct circumstances:
- (a) claims for staff costs (including additional salaries, overtime and incidental staff costs) incurred in providing assistance to refugees;
- (b) claims for staff costs (including salaries, overtime, bonuses, meal and transport allowances) incurred in implementing emergency plans in response to the threat of military action during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait;
- (c) claims for increased expatriate staff travel costs alleged to result from increased war risk insurance premiums and re-routing of flights; and
- (d) claims for staff costs (including salaries and overtime) incurred in providing support in relation to the activities of the Allied Coalition Forces (including Saudi Arabian military forces) and their military response to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.

The Panel will consider each of the four categories listed above in turn.

1. Refugee-related staff costs

51. A number of the Claimants, including the Real Estate Development Fund, the Ministry of Post, Telegraph and Telephone, the Ministry of Higher

Education, the Water and Sewerage Authority and the Municipality of Al Khafji, seek compensation for staff costs incurred in providing assistance to refugees. These costs include salaries and overtime paid to staff who assisted in equipping and furnishing refugee accommodation and salaries paid to staff specifically recruited to provide such assistance, overtime paid to university staff who assisted Kuwaiti refugees accommodated on university campuses, overtime paid to water tanker drivers hired to transport water to refugee camps, salary costs of security guards hired to protect refugee accommodation, and costs of meals for staff who assisted refugees.

- 52. Pursuant to its finding at paragraph 49 above, the Panel finds that incremental salary and overtime costs incurred in assisting refugees during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait are, in principle, compensable. 35/
- 53. Incremental salary and overtime payments include payments made over and above normal salary and overtime payments made to regular staff as a direct result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, as well as salary and overtime payments to staff specifically recruited as a result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. $\underline{36}$ / In all cases, the salary and overtime payments must also be reasonable in order to be compensable.
- 54. The Panel finds that salary and overtime payments made to staff members who performed their regular tasks in assisting refugees are not, in principle, compensable where those payments would have been made regardless of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. $\underline{37}/$

2. Additional salary costs incurred in implementing preventive and protective measures

- 55. A number of Claimants, including the Ministry of Communications, the Ministry of Post, Telegraph and Telephone, the Ministry of Health, the Ministry of Information, the Water and Sewerage Authority and the Municipality of Al Khafji, seek compensation for increased staff costs, including increased salary and overtime costs, bonus payments, and the costs of providing benefits such as meals, accommodation and travel allowances, to staff who were required to implement emergency plans and other preventive and protective measures in response to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 56. Pursuant to its finding at paragraph 46 above, the Panel finds that increased staff costs incurred in implementing reasonable and proportionate protective measures are, in principle, compensable. Such costs include incremental salary and overtime costs, and other incremental costs of providing benefits such as meals, accommodation and travel allowances, incurred in implementing emergency plans and other preventive and protective measures during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. $\underline{38}/$ In all cases, the costs must also be reasonable in order to be compensable.

- 57. The Panel finds that payments made to staff members who performed their regular tasks in implementing emergency plans and other preventive and protective measures are not in principle compensable where those payments would have been made regardless of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 58. The Claims also include claims for bonus payments made to staff during and after the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Panel finds that in determining the compensability of claims for bonuses, the Claimant must demonstrate that the bonuses were paid as a direct result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait and that the amounts of the bonuses were reasonable. 39/

3. Expatriate staff travel costs

- 59. The Claims include claims for increased expatriate staff travel costs alleged to result from the payment of war risk insurance premiums and additional travel costs incurred as a result of re-routing.
- 60. Pursuant to their contracts of employment, expatriate staff employed by the Government of Saudi Arabia or one of its entities were entitled annually to a maximum of four return air tickets with Saudi Arabian Airlines to enable the staff member and his or her family to return to their home countries for leave. A number of Claimants, including the Ministry of Post, Telegraph and Telephone and the Ministry of Higher Education, allege that in response to the threat of military action to which Saudi Arabia was exposed, expatriate staff took their home leave entitlements during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait and that, as a result, the Claimants incurred incremental costs because of the imposition of war risk insurance at fixed rates on one-way and return air fares during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 61. The Panel finds that in view of the threat of military action to which Saudi Arabia was exposed during the relevant period, incremental expatriate staff travel costs incurred as a result of the imposition of war risk insurance are, in principle, compensable in accordance with paragraph 34(a) of Governing Council decision 7, to the extent such increased costs were reasonable. 40/
- 62. With respect to increased costs alleged to have arisen as a result of re-routing, many Claimants state that direct flights to the workers' home countries were no longer available. Many flights were re-routed, often via Cairo, during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Claimants assert that as a result, some of their employees incurred incidental transit costs for meals and accommodation which they would not have incurred under normal circumstances, and which costs were passed on to the Claimants.
- 63. The Panel finds that in view of the threat of military action to which Saudi Arabia was exposed, incidental staff transit costs that were

incremental and were incurred as a result of re-routing of flights to and from Saudi Arabia during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait are, in principle, compensable, to the extent such costs were reasonable. 41/

${\rm 4.\ \, \underline{Increased\ staff\ costs\ incurred\ with\ respect\ to\ the\ Allied\ Coalition}}} \\ {\rm Forces}$

64. The Panel finds that in accordance with Governing Council decision 19, as discussed in paragraph 40 above, incremental salary costs incurred in preparing for, participating in or providing support in relation to the activities of the Allied Coalition Forces (including the Saudi Arabian military forces) and their military response to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait constitute military costs and are therefore not eligible for compensation.

V. VERIFICATION AND VALUATION

A. The importance of evidence

- 65. Under article 35(1) of the Rules, "[e]ach claimant is responsible for submitting documents and other evidence which demonstrate satisfactorily that a particular claim or group of claims is eligible for compensation pursuant to Security Council resolution 687 (1991)", and it is for the Panel to decide "the admissibility, relevance, materiality and weight of any documents and other evidence submitted".
- 66. With respect to category "F" claims, article 35(3) of the Rules requires that "such claims must be supported by documentary and other appropriate evidence sufficient to demonstrate the circumstances and amount of the claimed loss".
- 67. The Governing Council had previously emphasized the mandatory nature of this requirement for Governments in paragraph 37 of decision 7, which states that "[s]ince these [category "F"] claims will be for substantial amounts, they must be supported by documentary and other appropriate evidence".
- 68. The evidentiary requirement of article 35(3) of the Rules was included in the category "F" claim form. $\underline{42}/$ In addition, the category "F" claim form advised each claimant to include in its statement of claim the following:
- (a) The date, type and basis of the Commission's jurisdiction for each element of loss;
- (b) The facts supporting the claim;
- (c) The legal basis for each element of the claim;
- (d) The amount of compensation sought, and an explanation of how this amount was arrived at.

- 69. In decision 46, the Governing Council affirmed that:
 - "... claims received in categories 'D', 'E' and 'F' must be supported by documentary and other appropriate evidence sufficient to demonstrate the circumstances and amount of the claimed loss in accordance with the Provisional Rules for Claims Procedure and the criteria established by the Governing Council..."

and that

- "...no loss shall be compensated by the Commission solely on the basis of an explanatory statement provided by the claimant ..." 43/
- 70. The Panel notes that several of the Claimants filed their claims without documentary and other appropriate evidence sufficient to demonstrate the circumstances and the amount of the claimed loss. These Claimants were asked, in article 34 notifications, in supplementary written requests for further documentation and information sent prior to the onsite inspection, and at interviews with members of the Commission's verification team in Saudi Arabia during the on-site inspection, to provide evidence to enable the Panel to verify and value the asserted losses. In those cases where insufficient evidence was provided in support of the Claims to permit their verification or valuation, despite the requests detailed above, the Panel does not recommend any award of compensation.
 - B. The procedures adopted by the Panel to verify and value the Claims
- 71. With the requirements of article 35 of the Rules in mind, the Panel developed, with the assistance of its expert consultants, evidentiary guidelines that it used to verify and value each Claim that was found to be compensable in principle. Such guidelines took into account the difficulties in providing evidence relating to a period of military disturbance. While the Panel reviewed and considered all of the evidence submitted in respect of each Claim, the Panel first looked for primary documentation, such as contracts, audited accounts, payment orders or invoices. In the absence of such documentation, or where primary documentation alone was insufficient to permit verification and valuation, the Panel looked for secondary documentation, such as unaudited accounts, payroll records, contemporaneous estimates, photographs, video footage or independent witness statements.
- 72. At the outset of its review of each Claim, the Panel examined each distinct loss element in the Claim to determine whether each loss element was, in principle, compensable.
- 73. With respect to those loss elements of the Claims that the Panel determined were, in principle, compensable, the Panel proceeded, with the assistance of the expert consultants, to verify and value the loss elements.

- 74. Where the evidence was insufficient to establish that a loss was sustained, the Panel recommends no award of compensation. Where the evidence was sufficient to establish that a loss was sustained, the Panel makes its recommendation as to compensation on the basis of its evaluation of the evidence provided by the Claimant.
- 75. With regard to compensable real and tangible property losses, the Panel satisfied itself as to the existence of the property and the Claimant's title thereto at the time of the loss, the fact of loss and whether the loss was caused by Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Panel then determined whether the claim was for incurred or estimated costs to repair or replace the asset, or for net book value.
- 76. For claims based on incurred repair or replacement costs, proof of payment was ascertained and the Claims adjusted for any unsubstantiated payments. For estimated repair or replacement costs, quotations, tenders or other appropriate documentation were examined. The Panel then verified whether the Claims reflected appropriate depreciation, normal maintenance costs or betterment. When the Claimant did not use a method of valuation that accounts for betterment, normal maintenance costs or depreciation, or when the Claimant did not tender when it would have been reasonable for it to do so, the Panel made appropriate adjustments.
- 77. For claims based on net book value, the Panel reviewed the evidence provided to establish the cost and date of acquisition of the asset, considered whether depreciation had been applied by the Claimant, and adjusted the claim amount to account for depreciation where necessary.

VI. OTHER ISSUES

A. Dates of loss

- 78. The Panel notes that it must determine the appropriate dates of loss. To this effect, the Panel notes that the majority of losses occurred during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Panel determines that, with the exception of claims for real and tangible property losses occurring as a result of military operations in Al Khafji, the Eastern Province and as a result of Scud missile attacks, the mid-point of the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, being the mid-point of the period during which the losses occurred (that is, 16 November 1990, the mid-point of the period 2 August 1990 to 2 March 1991), is the most appropriate date of loss.
- 79. With respect to claims for real and tangible property damage occurring as a result of military operations in Al Khafji, the Eastern Province and as a result of Scud missile attacks, the Panel determines that the date of loss is 7 February 1991. 44/

B. Currency exchange rate

- 80. The Claimants have incurred losses or stated their claims in currencies other than United States dollars. As the Commission pays awards in United States dollars ("USD"), the Panel is required to determine the appropriate rate of exchange. Panels have consistently determined that the currency exchange rate as at the date of loss, as determined above, is the most appropriate method of calculating the applicable exchange rate. 45/
- 81. The claimed losses for which compensation is recommended have been incurred in Saudi Arabian riyals ("SAR"). The Panel determines that, with the exception of claims for real and tangible property losses occurring as a result of military operations in Al Khafji, the Eastern Province and as a result of Scud missile attacks, the average exchange rate during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, namely, SAR 3.745:USD 1, is the most appropriate exchange rate to be applied to convert amounts awarded in Saudi Arabian riyals to United States dollars.
- 82. With respect to claims for real and tangible property damage occurring as a result of military operations in Al Khafji, the Eastern Province and as a result of Scud missile attacks, the Panel determines that the rate as of 7 February 1991, namely SAR 3.745:USD 1 is the most appropriate rate to convert amounts awarded in Saudi Arabian riyals to United States dollars.
- 83. In calculating the rates of exchange, the Panel has used the exchange rates as reported in the United Nations Monthly Bulletin of Statistics. 46/

C. Interest

84. Paragraph 1 of decision 16 of the Governing Council states that "[i]nterest will be awarded from the date the loss occurred until the date of payment, at a rate sufficient to compensate successful claimants for the loss of use of the principal amount of the award". 47/ Paragraph 2 states that "[t]he methods of calculation and of payment of interest will be considered by the Governing Council at the appropriate time", while paragraph 3 provides that "[i]nterest will be paid after the principal amount of awards". Thus, as the Panel need only set the date from which interest will run, the Panel determines that interest will run from the dates set out at paragraphs 78 and 79 above, in respect of the losses described therein.

D. Categorization

85. The category "F" claim form used by Governments to submit their claims classifies losses as follows: contract; business transaction or course of dealing; real property; other tangible property; bank accounts and securities; income-producing property; payment or relief to others; evacuation costs (of citizens or other nationals); public service expenditures; environmental damage; depletion of natural resources; and other. 48/ Classification of the claims was initially made by the

Claimants on the claim forms. In many instances, the asserted losses were revised in the statements of claim. Based on its review of the Claimants' assertions and the supporting evidence, the Panel has reclassified all or some of the losses claimed in the statements of claim in accordance with the loss types set out in the category "F" claim form.

86. The Panel turns now to its review and assessment of the Claims in the light of the framework set out above.

VII. THE CLAIMS

A. Customs Department (UNCC Claim No. 5000196)

- 87. The Claimant is a Saudi Arabian Government entity whose activities include collecting customs duties and enforcing the prohibition on the importation of contraband into Saudi Arabia. The Claimant's resources are allocated from the general budget of the Government of Saudi Arabia through the Ministry of Finance.
 - 1. Business transaction or course of dealing (SAR 36,990,197)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 88. The Claimant seeks compensation for a decline in revenue that it alleges occurred at three border customs posts, Al Khafji, Al Raqa'i and Judaida Ar'ar, during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Al Khafji and Al Raqa'i customs posts are situated on Saudi Arabia's border with Kuwait, while Judaida Ar'ar is situated on Saudi Arabia's border with Iraq. The Claimant asserts that the three border posts ceased to function on 2 August 1990 "due to the situation that prevailed at the time and, in addition, due to the real property damage suffered by all three posts". During the on-site inspection, the Claimant stated that all three posts were evacuated on 15 January 1991, immediately prior to the commencement of military operations. The Claimant alleges that the posts remained closed until 2 March 1991.
- 89. The claimed amount is calculated on the basis of "the average monthly revenue in the three years preceding the emergency" in respect of each post, multiplied by seven, representing the seven month period of Iraq's occupation of Kuwait.

(b) Analysis and valuation

- 90. The Panel finds that the evidence indicates that all three customs posts were evacuated between 15 January and 2 March 1991, in response to the threat to the safety of the Claimant's personnel posed by the military operations that took place during this period. However, the Panel finds that the asserted period of seven months during which the posts allegedly ceased to function is not supported by the evidence.
- 91. Moreover, the evidence indicates that the revenue that the Claimant asserts was lost from the three border customs posts was compensated for by an increase in revenue earned at other customs posts in Saudi Arabia during the relevant period.
- 92. The Panel therefore finds that the Claimant has failed to demonstrate that it suffered a direct loss as a result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait and recommends no award of compensation.

(c) Recommendation

93. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for business transaction or course of dealing.

2. Real property (SAR 12,084,547)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 94. The Claimant seeks compensation for real property damage alleged to have occurred at customs posts in Al Khafji and Al Raqa'i as a result of military operations by Iraqi and Allied Coalition Forces, and at the customs post in Judaida Ar'ar as a result of evacuating the post in response to the threat of military action during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 95. With respect to the Al Khafji post, the Claimant asserts that during the attack by Iraqi forces on Al Khafji at the end of January 1991 and the ensuing battle between Allied Coalition Forces and the Iraqi forces to liberate the town, the customs offices, warehouses and sheds were seriously damaged and some buildings partially collapsed. The Claimant states that after liberation, it undertook urgent repairs to the customs post. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 809,500 for the costs of urgent repair as well as SAR 5,000,000 for "the estimated costs of long term structural repair and reconstruction" of the customs premises.
- 96. With respect to the Al Raqa'i post, the Claimant asserts that it was the target of an air attack on 17 January 1991 and that the air raid and the military operations of the Allied Coalition Forces resulted in serious damage and partial destruction of the customs buildings. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 1,799,447 for the costs of urgent repairs as well as SAR 4,400,000 for the estimated costs of long-term structural repair. This latter amount includes a claim for SAR 1,331,952 for repair of the civil protection building at the Al Raqa'i post.
- 97. The Claimant asserts that minor real property damage, requiring repairs in the amount of SAR 75,600, occurred at the Judaida Ar'ar customs post when the post was evacuated during the period of military operations from 15 January to 2 March 1991.

(b) Analysis and valuation

- 98. For the reasons stated at paragraph 37, the Panel finds that the claim for real property damage at the Al Khafji and Al Raqa'i customs posts is, in principle, compensable.
- 99. With respect to the claim for real property damage at the Judaida Ar'ar customs post, the Panel finds that evacuation of the post was a reasonable and proportionate response to actual military operations or the threat of military action to which the post was exposed and that damage that occurred during the period when the customs post was evacuated is, in

principle, compensable in accordance with paragraph 34(a) of Governing Council decision 7.

- 100. The Panel therefore finds that costs of urgent repairs at all three customs posts are, in principle, compensable. In the light of the evidence and adjustments made for betterment and depreciation in accordance with paragraph 76 above, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 809,500 for urgent repairs at Al Khafji, SAR 1,143,884 at Al Raqa'i and SAR 75,600 at Judaida Ar'ar customs posts.
- 101. The evidence provided in support of the claim for long term structural repairs of the Al Khafji and Al Raqa'i customs posts indicates that work was carried out at both posts from 1993 to 1995. The Panel notes that with the exception of repairs to the civil protection building at Al Raqa'i, referred to below, no evidence indicating the scope of the works undertaken at either of the posts was provided by the Claimant, despite requests in the article 34 notification and during the on-site inspection. In addition, the evidence provided does not demonstrate that the costs claimed constitute a direct loss resulting from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Panel therefore recommends no award of compensation for long-term repair costs.
- 102. The Panel finds that the evidence provided in support of the claim for repair and reconstruction of the civil protection building at Al Raqa'i, which was located outside the main boundaries of the Al Raqa'i customs complex, does not support the conclusion that damage arising as a result of military operations during the relevant period necessitated the reconstruction of the building. However, the evidence does indicate that some damage to the building occurred as a result of military operations, which damage was capable of being repaired. Therefore, the Panel finds that only the cost of repairing the civil protection building is, in principle, compensable. In the light of the evidence and adjustments for betterment and depreciation made in accordance with paragraph 76 above, the Panel recommends compensation in the amount of SAR 154,391 for repair of the civil protection building.

(c) Recommendation

103. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 2,183,375 for real property.

3. Other tangible property (SAR 1,019,052)

(a) Facts and contentions

104. The Claimant seeks compensation for loss of or damage to other tangible property alleged to have occurred at the customs posts in Al Khafji and Al Raqa'i as a result of military operations by Iraqi and Allied Coalition Forces, and at the customs post in Judaida Ar'ar as a result of evacuating the post in response to the threat of military action during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Claimant seeks

compensation in the amounts of SAR 152,500, SAR 859,139, and SAR 7,413 for the Al Khafji, Al Raqa'i and Judaida Ar'ar customs posts, respectively.

105. The Claimant also seeks compensation in respect of property (cash and gold) that was allegedly looted from a safe at the customs post at Al Raqa'i. The property was deposited by "passengers in transit" who were crossing the border from Kuwait into Saudi Arabia. The Claimant issued provisional receipts dated 26 August 1990 for the property. Despite the Claimant's request to the owners of the gold and cash to send a representative to collect the property, a representative had not arrived at the post prior to the commencement of military operations on 15 January 1991. The Claimant alleges that upon the return of its staff to the post after the cessation of military operations, it was discovered that the safe had been broken into and the property looted. No claim has been submitted by the owners for the return of the looted property, nor has the Claimant paid any money to them in respect of the property.

(b) Analysis and valuation

- 106. For the reasons stated at paragraph 37 above, the Panel finds that the claim for other tangible property damage suffered as a result of military operations at the Al Khafji and Al Raqa'i posts is, in principle, compensable.
- 107. The Panel further finds that loss or damage to tangible property that occurred when the three customs posts were left unguarded is, in principle, compensable in accordance with Governing Council decision 9, paragraph 13. 49/
- 108. With respect to the claim for property looted from the Al Raqa'i post, the Claimant was not the owner of the goods. The Panel is unable to determine from the evidence that the Claimant is obligated to reimburse the owners for the property. The Panel therefore finds that the Claimant has not sustained any loss and recommends no award of compensation.
- 109. In the light of the evidence and adjustments for depreciation made in accordance with paragraph 76 above, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 48,100 for tangible property loss at Al Khafji, SAR 31,257 at Al Raqa'i and SAR 556 at Judaida Ar'ar customs posts.

(c) Recommendation

110. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 79,913 for other tangible property.

4. Recommendation for Customs Department

111. Based on its findings regarding the Claim by the Customs Department, the Panel recommends compensation in the total amount of SAR 2,263,288.

Table 2. Recommended compensation for Customs Department

Loss type	Original claim amount (SAR)	Review amount (SAR)	Recommended compensation (SAR)
Business transaction or course of dealing	36,990,197	36,990,197	nil
Real property	12,084,547	12,084,547	2,183,375
Other tangible property	1,019,052	1,019,052	79,913
<u>Total</u>	50,093,796	50,093,796	2,263,288

B. Saudi Railways Organization (UNCC Claim No. 5000198)

112. The Saudi Railways Organization is a Saudi Arabian Government entity affiliated with the Ministry of Communications. The Claimant operates a rail service from Dammam, on Saudi Arabia's east coast, to Riyadh.

1. Contract (SAR 19,916)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 113. The Claimant seeks compensation for the cost of increased war risk insurance premiums it alleges it paid in respect of marine and air cargo, comprising spare parts for locomotives and carriages, that it imported into Saudi Arabia through Dhahran airport and King Abdul Aziz Port in Dammam. The increased insurance premiums relate to the period from 7 August 1990 to 3 March 1991.
- 114. The Claimant initially sought compensation in the amount of SAR 19,916; however, it subsequently reduced the amount claimed to SAR 11,870.

(b) Analysis and valuation

115. The Panel finds that war risk insurance costs incurred by the Claimant in importing spare parts into Dammam and Dhahran during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait are, in principle, compensable. $\underline{50}$ / However, the Panel finds that the evidence is insufficient to verify and value the full amount claimed.

(c) Recommendation

116. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 7,122 for contract.

2. Business transaction or course of dealing (SAR 1,352,317)

(a) Facts and Contentions

- 117. The Claimant asserts that during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, the volume of maritime freight arriving at King Abdul Aziz Port in Dammam declined because "cargo could not reach the port safely". The Claimant seeks compensation for a resultant decline in revenue earned from the transport of maritime freight by rail from Dammam Port to Riyadh during the period 1 September 1990 to 2 March 1991.
- 118. The Claimant originally calculated its loss by comparing revenue earned during the same periods in 1989-1990 and 1990-1991. However, the Claimant subsequently submitted a recalculation indicating that the decline in revenue earned on the transport of maritime freight from Dammam to Riyadh dry port was approximately 23 per cent, rather than 8% as asserted in the statement of claim. This recalculation resulted in an increased claim amount of approximately SAR 3,492,882 for loss of revenue.

(b) Analysis and Valuation

- 119. The Panel finds that the Claimant is not permitted to increase the amount claimed by way of information provided during the on-site inspection. 51/ Accordingly, the Panel's review was based on the original claim amount of SAR 1,352,317.
- 120. The Panel finds that the loss incurred by the Claimant as a result of military operations affecting Dammam port is, in principle, compensable in accordance with paragraph 34(a) of decision 7. $\underline{52}$ / Accordingly, the Claimant's decline in revenue is a direct loss resulting from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait and is therefore, in principle, compensable.
- 121. The Panel finds, however, that the Claimant has not taken into account any cost savings achieved as a result of the decreased volume of freight transported during the relevant period and has therefore made an adjustment in order to do so.

(c) Recommendation

122. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 405,695 for business transaction or course of dealing.

3. Payment or relief to others (SAR 98,958)

(a) <u>Facts and contentions</u>

- 123. The Claimant seeks compensation for the costs of transporting 2,898 Kuwaiti refugees free of charge on regular train services from Dammam, Hofuf and Bekik to Riyadh during the period August to October 1990. The amount claimed is based on the standard second-class passenger fares normally charged by the Claimant, which fares are heavily subsidised by the Government of Saudi Arabia.
- 124. The Claimant asserts that its trains were operating at or near 100 per cent capacity during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, and that in order to transport 2,898 refugees, an equivalent number of fare-paying passengers could not be carried.

(b) Analysis and valuation

125. The Panel notes that no evidence was provided in support of the assertions referred to at paragraph 124 above. The Panel finds that there is insufficient evidence to demonstrate that a loss was sustained by the Claimant and therefore recommends no award of compensation.

(c) Recommendation

126. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for payment or relief to others.

4. Public service expenditures (SAR 229,287)

(a) Facts and contentions

(i) Gas masks and safety equipment

- 127. The Claimant asserts that due to the massing of Iraqi troops on Saudi Arabia's northern frontier, 53/ the Claimant undertook certain measures to protect its employees, passengers and freight. The Claimant authorized the purchase of 2,200 gas masks in January 1991 for its employees in Dammam, their families and 242 sub-contractors, at a total cost of SAR 220,000. The Claimant did not claim for the costs of the gas masks purchased for the sub-contractors. Accordingly, the Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 195,800 for the costs of purchasing gas masks.
- 128. In addition, the Claimant asserts that it purchased safety equipment for bomb shelters intended to protect its employees and passengers in the port of Dammam. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 7,987 for the costs of such equipment.

(ii) Support for Allied Coalition Forces

129. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 25,500 for the costs of assigning several of its employees to accompany members of the Allied Coalition Forces by rail from Riyadh to Dammam, in particular during the period of military operations against Iraq's forces in and around the city of Al Khafji.

(b) Analysis and valuation

(i) Gas masks and safety equipment

130. In accordance with the findings at paragraph 46 above, the Panel finds the purchase of the gas masks and safety equipment for the bomb shelters 54/ to be a reasonable and proportionate response to the risk of military operations to which individuals in Dammam were exposed during the relevant period. The Panel therefore finds that the expenses incurred by the Claimant in purchasing the gas masks and safety equipment are, in principle, compensable. 55/

(ii) Support for Allied Coalition Forces

131. The Panel finds that the costs of staff accompanying members of the Allied Coalition Forces were incurred in providing support in relation to the activities of the Allied Coalition Forces and their military response to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. For the reasons stated at paragraph 64 above, the Panel finds that these costs constitute military costs under Governing Council decision 19 and therefore recommends no award of compensation.

Page 34

(c) Recommendation

132. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 203,787 for public service expenditures.

5. Recommendation for Saudi Railways Organization

133. Based on its findings regarding the Claim by the Saudi Railways Organization, the Panel recommends compensation in the total amount of SAR 616,604.

Table 3. Recommended compensation for Saudi Railways Organization

Loss type	Original claim Review amount Recommended			
	amount (SAR)	<u>(SAR)</u>	compensation (SAR)	
Contract	19,916	11,870	7,122	
Business transaction or course of dealing	1,352,317	1,352,317	405,695	
Payment or relief to others	98,958	98,958	nil	
Public service expenditures	229,287	229,287	203,787	
<u>Total</u>	1,700,478	1,692,432	616,604	

C. Ministry of Communications (UNCC Claim No. 5000199)

134. The Claimant is responsible for planning, designing, constructing and maintaining Saudi Arabia's road network.

1. Real Property (SAR 98,565,828)

(a) Costs of undertaking road study (SAR 14,565,828)

(i) Facts and Contentions

- 135. The Claimant asserts that, in response to damage to roads and bridges allegedly sustained as a result of the transport of heavy equipment and vehicles in Saudi Arabia's eastern and northern regions, it commissioned a study by the Road Directorate of the Danish Ministry of Transport in June 1991 to examine the condition of the entire road and bridge network throughout Saudi Arabia and the need for its repair. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 14,565,828, representing the fee paid to the Danish Road Directorate for its investigation and report, which was undertaken between August 1991 and March 1993.
- 136. The Claimant stated that prior to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, Saudi Arabia's roads and bridges were in "good condition". On 9 January 1991, a Royal Decree was issued lifting the allowable weight limits on Saudi Arabia's roads. The Minister of Defence, Aviation and Inspector General instructed the Claimant by telegram dated 10 January 1991 to give effect to the Royal Decree. The Royal Decree was issued "because of the state of war". The Claimant stated that suspension of the weight limits affected the condition of the roads.
- 137. A letter dated 24 March 1991 from the President of the Council of Ministers authorizing the Claimant to commission the study by the Danish Road Directorate refers to:

"the fact that authorisation was given for the road network to be used by all military transport vehicles disregarding weight limits..."

(ii) Analysis and valuation

138. The Panel notes that the Danish Road Directorate's report does not indicate that it was commissioned in response to damage incurred during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait and does not attribute the condition of the roads to damage sustained during the relevant period. Nor does the report refer to damage caused to roads by vehicles exceeding allowable weight limits. Rather, the report identifies a budget programme for Saudi Arabia's roads by identifying the percentage of roads and bridges that would require preventative maintenance in the future.

Page 36

- 139. No evidence is provided in support of the Claimant's allegations that the roads were damaged as a result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 140. The Panel finds that the evidence does not demonstrate that the road study was carried out in response to damage caused as a result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Panel therefore finds that the cost of the study is not a direct loss resulting from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait and recommends no award of compensation.

(b) Repairs to roads and bridges (SAR 84,000,000)

(i) Facts and contentions

141. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 84,000,000, representing approximately 20 per cent of the total cost of SAR 420,180,415 budgeted and recommended by the Danish Road Directorate in its report for long term road and bridge repair and maintenance costs. The Claimant asserts that the remainder of the estimated costs represented betterment or part of its normal expenditure for road maintenance.

(ii) Analysis and valuation

142. The Panel finds that the repairs form part of the long term general repair and maintenance programme for Saudi Arabia's infrastructure, as recommended by the Danish Road Directorate, and the costs thereof are not a direct loss resulting from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Panel therefore recommends no award of compensation.

(c) Recommendation

143. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for real property.

2. Public Service Expenditures (SAR 187,724,039)

(a) Construction of emergency base for staff (SAR 598,528)

(i) Facts and contentions

- 144. The Claimant asserts that due to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait it was necessary to modify the basement in its official premises in Riyadh to accommodate staff members during times of emergency. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 598,528 for the cost of modifying the basement.
- 145. The Claimant stated that the basement was not in use before Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, but was later modified as a bomb shelter and used by staff whenever they heard air-raid sirens. During the on-site inspection, the Claimant stated that the existing basement could only be accessed from outside the building prior to Iraq's invasion and occupation

of Kuwait and that as a result of the invasion, the Claimant decided to build an annex to its building to provide internal access to the basement.

146. The evidence provided included a letter dated 28 May 1991 authorizing the construction of the annex and a contract dated 8 June 1991 between the Claimant and a contractor for its construction. The amount claimed, representing the construction costs, was paid on 20 April 1992.

(ii) Analysis and valuation

- 147. The Panel notes that despite requests in the article 34 notification and during the on-site inspection, no evidence in support of the claim for the costs of modifying the basement for use as a bomb shelter was provided by the Claimant.
- 148. Although costs of constructing an air raid shelter are, in principle, compensable, $\underline{56}$ / the Panel finds that the evidence provided is insufficient to verify and value the claim for costs of modifying the basement for staff and therefore recommends no award of compensation.

(b) Construction of detours (SAR 6,333,339)

(i) Facts and contentions

- 149. The Claimant seeks compensation for the costs incurred in constructing a number of detours at bridges and tunnels in the central, eastern and northern regions during the period 2 August 1990 to 2 March 1991.
- 150. The Claimant stated in its statement of claim that the bridges "had not been intended for the weight of traffic they were suddenly expected to bear". However, in its response to the article 34 notification, the Claimant stated that "to ensure the smooth flow of traffic movement during the war, the circumstances made it imperative that detours adjacent to bridges and narrow passageways be constructed for emergency use in case such facilities were successfully targeted by enemy action whether by missile or bomb attack." The Claimant also stated that "supplies to some areas might have been discontinued due to interruption of traffic".
- 151. During the on-site inspection, the Claimant asserted that the decision to construct detours was taken by the Claimant to maintain communications throughout Saudi Arabia, and was not related to the Royal Decree, referred to above, lifting weight restrictions on roads. The Claimant further stated that only the cost of surfacing the detours had been claimed and not the cost of earthworks carried out in constructing the detours.

(ii) Analysis and valuation

- 152. In support of its Claim, the Claimant provided a list of authorisations and invoices dated between 10 May 1990 and 8 April 1993 totalling the amount claimed for the cost of surfacing the detours.
- 153. The Panel finds that the evidence does not demonstrate that the detours were constructed for any purpose other than to cope with increased military traffic in the central, eastern and northern regions of Saudi Arabia. The Panel also notes that part of the amount claimed relates to costs incurred prior to and after the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait and that these costs are therefore not compensable. Furthermore, the Panel finds that the costs of constructing detours that were incurred during the relevant period constitute support provided in relation to the activities of the Allied Coalition Forces and their military response to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. For the reasons stated at paragraph 40 above, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for this claim.

(c) Construction of alternative roads (SAR 177,000,000)

(i) Facts and contentions

- 154. The Claimant seeks compensation for the costs of constructing "alternative roads" in the northeastern region of Saudi Arabia. The statement of claim asserts that the Ministry of Defence and Aviation called for the construction of the roads when it became "evident that the preparations for war would involve considerable congestion on certain roads in the eastern and northern regions".
- 155. On 21 December 1990, a Royal Decree was issued authorising the award of the road construction contract to a local contractor. The Royal Decree stated that the Ministry of Defence and Aviation had requested the roadwork "for transfer of troops and logistics purposes". The contract, dated 21 February 1991, was for the construction of four "alternative roads" totalling 980 kilometres in the northeastern region of Saudi Arabia. The contract period was stated to run from 1 May 1991 to 30 December 1993.
- 156. A second Royal Decree was issued on 3 September 1991, approving the Claimant's request to "alter certain road specifications and ensuring review of priorities and uses following liberation of Kuwait". The contract price was increased accordingly. No further details of the contract variation were provided.

157. The Claimant stated that:

"...the roads were constructed in anticipation of being used for movement of traffic if and when the roads adjacent to the borders with Iraqi-held Kuwait were closed. During the war period border roads adjacent to Iraq were virtually closed. As to being able to

differentiate between military and non-military traffic movements, this is practically impossible."

158. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 177,000,000, representing approximately 25 per cent of the total cost of the road construction contract. The Claimant asserts that this figure allows for betterment and continuing use of the roads after the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.

(ii) Analysis and valuation

159. The Panel finds that the evidence provided is insufficient to demonstrate that the costs, which were incurred by the Claimant after the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, were a direct loss resulting from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Panel therefore recommends no award of compensation for this claim.

(d) Overtime and additional staff costs (SAR 3,792,172)

(i) Facts and contentions

- 160. The Claimant asserts that during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, 536 staff members were assigned to various maintenance centres in the central, northern and eastern regions "to monitor roads continuously to ensure their serviceability at all times" and to arrange detours for "emergency use at bridges and tunnels, where these were vulnerable to damage". The Claimant seeks compensation for the costs, including of food and accommodation, incurred in seconding these staff members. Employees were seconded primarily in the Northern and Eastern Provinces. Employees were also assigned to be on 24-hour call at the Claimant's headquarters in Riyadh and at vital points in the road system to ensure the uninterrupted flow of traffic and to take immediate action to repair damage or clear accidents.
- 161. The Claimant also seeks compensation for overtime paid to 604 staff members. The overtime was mainly performed by staff in the Eastern Province.

- 162. The evidence demonstrates that most of the overtime and secondment took place in the Eastern and Northern Provinces where Allied Coalition Forces', including Saudi Arabian, troops were mobilised. The Panel notes the evidence provided in support of the other loss elements of this Claim that indicates the presence of heavy traffic, in particular military traffic, in Saudi Arabia during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 163. The Panel finds that the evidence is insufficient to demonstrate that the overtime and additional staff costs were incurred for any purpose other than to direct and assist military traffic and therefore that the increased

Page 40

staff costs were costs incurred in providing support in relation to the activities of the Allied Coalition Forces and their military response to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. For the reasons stated at paragraph 64 above, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for these costs.

(e) Recommendation

164. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for public service expenditures.

3. Recommendation for Ministry of Communications

165. Based on its findings regarding the Claim by the Ministry of Communications, the Panel recommends no award of compensation.

Table 4. Recommended compensation for Ministry of Communications

Loss type	Original claim amount (SAR)	Review amount (SAR)	Recommended compensation (SAR)
Real Property	98,565,828	98,565,828	nil
Public service expenditures	187,724,039	187,724,039	nil
<u>Total</u>	286,289,867	286,289,867	nil

D. Real Estate Development Fund (UNCC Claim No. 5000200)

166. The Claimant is an entity controlled and subsidised by the Government of Saudi Arabia. Its resources are allocated from the general budget of the Government of Saudi Arabia. Its functions include providing Saudi Arabian citizens with interest-free short and long term housing loans and equipping and allocating Government funded apartments and houses ("housing units") to eligible Saudi Arabian citizens.

1. Payment or relief to others (SAR 230,953,745)

(a) Loss of income (SAR 148,137,500)

(i) Facts and contentions

- 167. The Claimant asserts that in accordance with a Royal Decree issued in August 1990, 7,059 apartments and houses were provided rent-free for the accommodation of 86,305 Kuwaiti refugees in seven major Saudi Arabian cities: Riyadh, Dammam, Jeddah, Qassim, Qatif, Ahsa and Khobar. The Claimant seeks compensation equivalent to the "notional rental costs" of the accommodation for the seven month period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 168. The Claimant states that one of its duties under normal circumstances is to allocate housing units built by the Ministry of Public Works and Housing to Saudi Arabian nationals. The housing units in which the refugees were accommodated had been handed over to the Claimant by a decision of the Council of Ministers dated 6 March 1989 for allocation to, and purchase by, Saudi Arabian nationals. The housing units were vacant on 2 August 1990.
- 169. In its response to the article 34 notification, the Claimant sought to increase the amount claimed with respect to provision of accommodation to Kuwaiti refugees from SAR 148,137,500 to SAR 148,435,000.

- 170. The Panel in its First Report held that a claimant is not permitted to increase the amount claimed for loss types of a claim by way of its response to an article 34 notification. $\underline{57}$ / The amount claimed for "notional rental costs" is therefore limited to SAR 148,137,500.
- 171. The Panel finds that allocating the housing units to the refugees delayed the allocation of the housing units to Saudi Arabian nationals and the consequent receipt of purchase proceeds from these Saudi Arabian nationals. The Claimant uses these proceeds to grant interest-free loans to Saudi Arabian nationals to buy land or property. The Panel finds that although the costs of providing assistance to refugees are, in principle, compensable, $\underline{58}$ / the delay in allocating housing units to Saudi Arabian nationals did not result in any financial loss to the Claimant. Therefore the Panel recommends no award of compensation for loss of income.

(b) Guards, maintenance, repair and furnishings (SAR 77,458,602)

(i) Facts and contentions

- 172. The Claimant seeks compensation for the costs of hiring security guards, and equipping, furnishing, maintaining and repairing the housing units provided to Kuwaiti refugees.
- 173. The Claimant asserts that the security guards were employed under contract on a 24-hour basis to maintain order at entry and exit points and to check the identities of visitors to the housing projects occupied by the refugees.
- 174. The Claimant further asserts that maintenance and repair works were carried out during the period from 2 August 1990 to the end of 1994. The Claimant alleges that the work was required to prepare the housing units for occupation by the refugees and to repair, after their departure, damage caused by the refugees. The Claimant states that it signed "continued maintenance contracts" for these purposes.
- 175. The Claimant further states that as the housing units are normally provided to Saudi Arabian nationals unfurnished, furniture had to be acquired at the Al Khobar housing complex. Due to the urgent need for furniture, no tender process was entered into. The Claimant stated that as a humanitarian gesture, the refugees were allowed to take the furniture with them upon their departure from Saudi Arabian Arabia, in the expectation that their houses in Kuwait would be empty. As half of the Al Khobar housing units were used to accommodate refugees while the remaining half were used to accommodate members of the Allied Coalition Forces, the Claimant has reduced the amount claimed in respect of furniture accordingly.
- 176. In its response to the article 34 notification, the Claimant purported to increase the amount claimed in respect of security guards, maintenance, equipment and furnishings from SAR 77,458,602 to SAR 158,374,618.

- 177. For the reasons stated in paragraph 170 above, the Panel finds that the claim amount is limited to SAR 77,458,602.
- 178. For the reasons stated at paragraph 49 above, the Panel finds that costs incurred in guarding, maintaining, repairing and furnishing the refugee accommodation are, in principle, compensable. The Panel has made adjustments for residual value to the amount claimed for furnishing refugee accommodation in accordance with paragraph 76 above.
- 179. During the on-site inspection of a housing project in Riyadh used to accommodate refugees, the Claimant stated that the vacant properties had been guarded prior to the arrival and accommodation of the refugees. The

Panel finds that only incremental costs of guarding are, in principle, compensable, and therefore the amount claimed with respect to guarding refugee accommodation is reduced accordingly.

- 180. The Panel notes that the evidence provided for maintenance and repair included contracts, invoices and payment orders dated between June 1989 and September 1994. Some repair work must have been carried out after 2 March 1991, given that not all the refugees left Saudi Arabia immediately after the liberation of Kuwait. $\underline{59}/$ The Panel therefore finds it reasonable to expect that contracts for all repair and maintenance work necessitated by accommodating the refugees would have been entered into within five months of the date of the cease-fire, that is, on or before 2 August 1991. The amount claimed with respect to maintenance and repair is reduced accordingly to reflect the costs incurred in maintaining and repairing refugee accommodation during the period from 2 August 1990 to 2 August 1991.
- 181. The Panel notes that some of the contracts for maintenance and repair that were provided in support of the Claim related to housing projects where members of the Allied Coalition Forces were accommodated. These costs are excluded by reason of Governing Council decision 19, $\underline{60}$ / and the Panel has reduced the amount claimed accordingly. The Panel has made adjustments for residual value to the amount claimed for furniture in accordance with paragraph 77 above.
- 182. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 58,395,560 in respect of guarding, equipping, furnishing, maintaining and repairing refugee accommodation.

(c) Utilities and overtime (SAR 5,357,643)

(i) Facts and contentions

183. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 3,802,343 for the costs of water and electricity consumed by the refugees during the period of their stay. The Claimant also seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 1,555,300 for remuneration and overtime paid to the Claimant's employees who were engaged in equipping and preparing the refugee accommodation, as well as to additional staff recruited to assist the refugees. In its response to the article 34 notification, the Claimant purported to increase the amount claimed with respect to utility costs from SAR 3,802,343 to SAR 8,290,826.

- 184. For the reasons stated at paragraph 170 above, the Panel finds that the claim for utilities is limited to SAR 3,802,343.
- 185. Pursuant to the findings in paragraph 49 above, the Panel finds that the costs incurred in providing water and electricity for refugee accommodation are, in principle, compensable.

Page 44

- 186. With respect to the claim for remuneration and overtime paid to staff who assisted refugees, the Panel finds that pursuant to its findings at paragraphs 52 to 53 above, overtime paid to the Claimant's staff and remuneration paid to additional staff specifically recruited to assist in the refugee relief operation are, in principle, compensable. However, for the reasons stated at paragraph 54 above, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for regular staff salaries.
- 187. In determining the amount to be awarded with respect to overtime and additional remuneration, the Panel ensured that none of the amounts claimed were incurred with respect to Allied Coalition Forces' accommodation.
- 188. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 4,937,260 for utilities and remuneration and overtime costs.

(d) Recommendation

189. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 63,332,820 for payment or relief to others.

2. Public service expenditures (SAR 116,873,515)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 190. The Claimant asserts that it provided 3,615 apartments and houses in two major cities, Riyadh and Khobar, to members of the Allied Coalition Forces during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Claimant seeks compensation for the "notional rental costs" of the Allied Coalition Forces' accommodation for the seven month period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 191. The Claimant also seeks compensation for the costs of hiring security guards for the accommodation provided to members of the Allied Coalition Forces, as well as for the costs of maintaining the housing units and providing water and electricity. The Claimant also seeks compensation for salaries paid to its employees who were engaged in equipping and preparing the Allied Coalition Forces' accommodation.
- 192. During the on-site inspection, the Claimant reduced the amount claimed for public service expenditures to SAR 102,745,787.

(b) Analysis and valuation

193. The Panel finds that the costs of guarding, furnishing and maintaining Allied Coalition Forces' accommodation were incurred in providing support in relation to the activities of the Allied Coalition Forces and their military response to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. For the reasons stated at paragraph 40 above, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for these costs. Similarly, for the reasons stated at paragraph 64 above, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for salary costs incurred in providing support to the Allied Coalition Forces.

(c) Recommendation

194. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends no award of compensation in respect of public service expenditures.

3. Recommendation for Real Estate Development Fund

195. Based on its findings regarding the Claim by the Real Estate Development Fund, the Panel recommends compensation in the total amount of SAR 63,332,820.

Table 5. Recommended compensation for Real Estate Development Fund

Loss type	Original claim amount (SAR)	Review amount (SAR)	Recommended compensation (SAR)
Payment or relief to others	230,953,745	230,953,745	63,332,820
Public service expenditures	116,873,515	102,745,787	nil
<u>Total</u>	347,827,260	333,699,532	63,332,820

- E. Ministry of Post, Telegraph and Telephone (General Directorate of Post and Deputy Ministry of Operations and Maintenance) (UNCC Claim No. 5000210)
- 196. The Ministry of Post, Telegraph and Telephone (the "Ministry of PTT") has filed five Claims, comprising the Claims of the General Directorate of Post and Deputy Ministry of Operations and Maintenance, and four Ministry of PTT regions, seeking compensation for direct losses asserted to have occurred as a result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Ministry of PTT was a Saudi Arabian Government entity during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 197. In addition to claims for damage to real and tangible property, the five Ministry of PTT Claimants also seek compensation for costs incurred in implementing the Ministry of PTT's emergency procedures to ensure adequate telecommunications capacity during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The expenditures were incurred in respect of emergency equipment, overtime and secondment of staff.
- 198. During the on-site inspection, the Claimant asserted that three or four years prior to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, emergency operations procedures were established for implementation in the event of disruption to the telecommunications network. The procedures involve four stages of alert, brown, orange, yellow and red, the highest being red alert. Yellow and red alerts, representing states of imminent and actual attack respectively, are issued on a national or regional basis, and apply to every telecommunications building at the Ministry of PTT's headquarters in Riyadh or in each region.
- 199. The Claimant stated that in response to the threat of military action posed by Iraq to Saudi Arabia, a condition of yellow alert went into effect from 2 August 1990 until 3 March 1991. Yellow alerts were upgraded to red alerts when Saudi Arabia came under attack.
- 200. The Claimant further stated that in accordance with the emergency procedures, a National Emergency Committee and district emergency committees were set up. Each district committee co-ordinated with the National Committee with respect to supplies, purchases and repairs. The committees were active in all regions during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. Emergency rooms were also established at the Claimant's Riyadh headquarters and in each district to provide facilities for co-ordination of the emergency committees and task forces. These rooms were staffed on a 24-hour basis and were equipped with wireless equipment, hotlines and long distance communications equipment.
- 201. The Claimant asserts that staff, especially technicians and management staff from each district, were placed on shifts in the field and in exchanges, telephone services administration and customer services centres. Staff were also required to effect repairs on a 24-hour basis in the event of damage or destruction to telecommunications facilities and

switches. Overtime and secondment costs were incurred as a result of these procedures.

- 202. In accordance with the reasons set out at paragraph 46 above, the Panel finds that the implementation of the Ministry of PTT's emergency procedures was a reasonable and proportionate response to the threat of military action to which Saudi Arabia was exposed during the relevant period. The Panel therefore finds that the reasonable costs incurred by the Ministry of PTT Claimants, as detailed below, in implementing the emergency procedures, including equipping the emergency rooms and manning the emergency committees, setting up emergency telecommunications networks and implementing emergency repair procedures in case of damage to the telecommunications network are, in principle, compensable.
- 203. The General Directorate of Post ("GDP") and the Deputy Ministry for Operations and Maintenance ("DMOM") were Saudi Arabian Government entities attached to the Ministry of PTT at the time of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The GDP was responsible for postal services throughout the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, while the DMOM was responsible for providing telecommunications services and overseeing the operation of the entire telecommunications network in Saudi Arabia.
- 204. In the statement of claim, the GDP sought compensation in the total amount of SAR 523,750. However, the Panel notes that this amount appears to be an arithmetical error, since the loss elements asserted in the statement of claim total SAR 473,750.

1. Real Property (SAR 25,000)

(a) Facts and contentions

205. The GDP seeks compensation for real property damage to two post office buildings in Al Khafji, comprising bullet hole damage and the destruction of doors and windows. The GDP alleges that the damage occurred during the course of the battle of Al Khafji.

(b) Analysis and valuation

206. For the reasons stated at paragraph 37 above, the Panel finds that the claim for real property damage is, in principle, compensable. However, the Panel finds that the evidence is insufficient to verify and value the full amount claimed.

(c) Recommendation

207. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 7,500 for real property.

2. Other tangible property (SAR 58,750)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 208. The GDP seeks compensation for damage to furniture and the theft of a car and items of equipment from the two post office buildings in Al Khafji. These losses are alleged to have occurred during Iraq's occupation of Al Khafji.
- 209. The GDP further alleges that Iraqi troops caused damage to furniture and equipment belonging to the post offices in Al Raqa'i and Al Hamatiyat, on the border between Saudi Arabia and Iraq. The GDP alleges that the troops looted items of tangible property from the post office buildings during this period.

(b) Analysis and valuation

210. For the reasons stated at paragraphs 37 and 107 above, the Panel finds that the claim for other tangible property damage is, in principle, compensable. Adjustments for depreciation to the amount claimed were made in accordance with paragraph 76 above.

(c) Recommendation

211. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 7,313 for other tangible property.

3. Public service expenditures - DMOM (SAR 2,701,844)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 212. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 783,707 for the purchase of emergency equipment, including gas masks and protective clothing. The amounts claimed are based upon the cost of the assets, prorated to account for use of the assets after the liberation of Kuwait.
- 213. The Claimant also seeks compensation for overtime and secondment of staff. In the statement of claim, the Claimant alleges that:

"The increase in the usage of the network and its functioning had an immediate effect on many of the technicians who had to carry out supplementary work and involved relocation to many scattered areas."

214. The amount asserted in the statement of claim in respect of these costs was SAR 1,918,137, which was reduced by the Claimant in its response to the article 34 notification to SAR 1,213,686. This amount comprises SAR 713,655 for overtime and SAR 500,031 for secondment of staff.

(b) Analysis and valuation

- 215. The Panel finds that for the reasons stated at paragraph 202 above, the costs of purchasing equipment in accordance with the Claimant's emergency procedures are, in principle, compensable.
- 216. Similarly, the Panel finds that for the reasons stated at paragraphs 56 to 57 and 202 above, incremental costs of overtime performed by staff in setting up and maintaining the emergency operations rooms and participating in the emergency committees in accordance with the Claimant's emergency procedures are, in principle, compensable.
- 217. In support of its claim for secondment costs, the Claimant provided written authorizations for the secondment of staff to man mobile satellite stations for use by the Allied Coalition Forces in Kuwait. The Panel finds that the secondment costs were incurred in providing support in relation to the activities of the Allied Coalition Forces in Kuwait. For the reasons stated at paragraph 64 above, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for these costs.

(c) Recommendation

218. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 1,030,265 for public service expenditures incurred by DMOM.

4. Public service expenditures - GDP (SAR 390,000)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 219. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 310,000 for costs it allegedly incurred in relation to unscheduled "surface mail flights" between a number of cities in Saudi Arabia.
- 220. In written answers provided to questions raised prior to and during the on-site inspection, the Claimant asserted that air flights in Saudi Arabia ceased as a result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, causing disruption to air mail services. As a result, the Claimant had to rent trucks for the transport of intra and inter-city mail. During the on-site inspection, the Claimant also stated that cars were purchased to transport mail in the event of disruption to regular airmail services. The cars remained in regular use by the Claimant after the liberation of Kuwait. No further details or explanation of the claim were provided.
- 221. The Claimant also seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 80,000 for the costs of setting up an "emergency office" at the GDP in Riyadh. This amount was originally described in the supporting documentation as "losses of the operations room at the building of the General Directorate for Post". However, during the on-site inspection, the Claimant confirmed that the amount represented the cost of constructing the GDP's emergency room. No evidence was provided in support of the claim.

(b) Analysis and valuation

222. The Panel finds that the Claimant has not provided evidence sufficient to demonstrate or explain the circumstances of the claim for unscheduled "surface mail flights" and therefore recommends no award of compensation. Similarly, the Panel finds that the Claimant has not provided evidence sufficient to verify and value its claim for costs of constructing the GDP's emergency room in Riyadh and therefore recommends no award of compensation.

(c) Recommendation

223. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for the GDP's claim for public service expenditures.

5. Recommendation for Ministry of PTT, GDP and DMOM

224. Based on its findings regarding the Claim by the Ministry of PTT, GDP and DMOM, the Panel recommends compensation in the total amount of SAR 1,045,078.

Table 6. Recommended compensation for Ministry of PTT, GDP and DMOM

Loss type	Original claim amount (SAR)	Review amount (SAR)	Recommended compensation (SAR)
Real property (GDP)	25,000	25,000	7,500
Other tangible property (GDP)	58,750	58,750	7,313
Public service expenditures (DMOM)	2,701,844	1,997,393	1,030,265
Public service expenditures (GDP)	390,000	390,000	nil
<u>Total</u>	3,175,594	2,471,143	1,045,078

F. Ministry of Post, Telegraph and Telephone (Central Region) (UNCC Claim No. 5000211)

225. The Ministry of PTT (Central Region) comprises a central headquarters and four districts, Riyadh City, Riyadh District, Al Qassim and Ha'il. As no breakdown of the amount claimed by each district was provided in the statement of claim, the following breakdown has been taken from the supporting documentation.

1. Public service expenditures (SAR 3,114,000)

(a) Central Region Head Office (SAR 218,000)

(i) Facts and contentions

- 226. The Central Region Head Office requests compensation in the total amount of SAR 218,000 for the cost of purchasing emergency equipment (SAR 51,000), staff meal costs (SAR 15,000), increased expatriate staff travel costs resulting from increased war risk insurance premiums and re-routing (SAR 102,000) and overtime (SAR 50,000) asserted to have been incurred as a result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 227. In its response to the article 34 notification, the Claimant sought to increase the amount claimed for overtime performed by staff in the emergency room and in the field from SAR 50,000 to SAR 144,268.

- 228. For the reasons stated at paragraph 170 above, the Panel finds that the claim for overtime costs is limited to SAR 50,000.
- 229. The Panel notes that despite requests in the article 34 notification, written requests for further information and documentation made to the Claimant prior to the on-site inspection, and verbal requests for further information and documentation made during the on-site inspection, no documentary evidence was provided in support of the claim for purchase of equipment.
- 230. With respect to the claim for staff meals, a limited sample of invoices was provided in support of the claimed amount. No further evidence was provided in support of the claim, despite the requests referred to in the previous paragraph. Similarly, no evidence was provided in support of the amount claimed for increased expatriate staff travel costs.
- 231. The Panel finds that the Claimant has not provided evidence sufficient to verify and value the claims for emergency equipment, subsistence expenses and increased expatriate staff travel costs. The Panel therefore recommends that no compensation be awarded in respect thereof.

Page 52

- 232. In support of the amount claimed for overtime, the evidence indicates that while the majority of the overtime was performed during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, some costs were incurred after 2 March 1991.
- 233. For the reasons stated at paragraph 216 above, the Panel finds that the incremental costs of overtime that were incurred in implementing the Claimant's emergency procedures are, in principle, compensable, to the extent that the overtime was performed during the period from 2 August 1990 to 2 March 1991.
- 234. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 50,000 for incremental overtime costs incurred by Central Region Head Office.

(b) Riyadh City (SAR 1,425,000)

(i) Facts and contentions

- 235. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 137,000 for increased expatriate staff travel costs, SAR 72,000 for staff meal costs and SAR 1,216,000 for overtime performed by its staff in order to guarantee the proper functioning of the telecommunications network during the period of Irag's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 236. In its response to the article 34 notification, the Claimant reduced the claim for overtime from SAR 1,216,000 to SAR 1,207,073.

- 237. The Panel finds that, because no evidence was provided in support of the claims for staff meal costs and increased expatriate staff travel costs despite the requests referred to at paragraph 229 above, it recommends no award of compensation therefor.
- 238. With respect to the claim for overtime, the Panel notes that the evidence provided indicates that while the majority of the overtime was performed during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, some costs were incurred after 2 March 1991.
- 239. For the reasons stated at paragraph 216 above, the Panel finds that the incremental costs of overtime that were incurred in implementing the Claimant's emergency procedures are, in principle, compensable, to the extent that the overtime was performed during the period from 2 August 1990 to 2 March 1991.
- 240. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 403,331 for incremental overtime costs incurred by Riyadh City.

(c) Riyadh District (SAR 361,000)

(i) Facts and contentions

- 241. Riyadh District seeks compensation for increased operational costs, including costs of increased fuel consumption (SAR 50,000), increased vehicle maintenance and spare parts (SAR 50,000), staff meals (SAR 72,000), modifications to buildings and emergency rooms (SAR 39,000), subsistence expenses (SAR 50,000), telephone connections to civil defence units (SAR 200,000), increased expatriate staff travel costs (SAR 104,000) and overtime costs (SAR 68,000).
- 242. During the on-site inspection, the Claimant stated that the claim for increased vehicle maintenance and spare parts was a duplicate of a claim asserted by Ha'il region and therefore withdrew the duplicate claim.
- 243. The Claimant asserts that overtime was performed by its staff in order to guarantee the proper functioning of the telecommunications network during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. In its response to the article 34 notification, the Claimant sought to increase the amount claimed for overtime from SAR 68,000 to SAR 86,006.
- 244. In the statement of claim, Riyadh District sought compensation in the total amount of SAR 361,000. However, the Panel notes that the loss elements asserted in the supporting documentation, as set out above, total SAR 633,000.

- 245. The Panel finds that the total amount claimed by Riyadh District is limited to SAR 361,000 as claimed in the statement of claim and further, for the reasons stated at paragraph 170 above, that the claim amount for overtime costs is limited to SAR 68,000.
- 246. The Panel finds that because the Claimant has not provided evidence sufficient to verify and value its claims for increased fuel consumption, staff meal costs, modifications to buildings and emergency rooms, subsistence expenses, telephone connections to civil defence units and increased expatriate staff travel costs, it recommends no award of compensation for these claims.
- 247. The Panel notes that the evidence provided for overtime costs includes overtime records and an administrative decree authorising overtime to be performed for one month from 17 February 1991. The evidence indicates that the majority of the overtime costs was incurred after 2 March 1991.
- 248. For the reasons stated at paragraph 216 above, the Panel finds that the incremental costs of overtime that were incurred in implementing the Claimant's emergency procedures are, in principle, compensable, to the

Page 54

extent that overtime was performed during the period from 2 August 1990 to 2 March 1991.

249. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 16,771 for incremental overtime costs incurred by Riyadh District.

(d) Al Qassim District (SAR 708,000)

(i) Facts and contentions

- 250. Al Qassim District seeks compensation for increased operational costs, including transport allowances (SAR 5,380), subsistence expenses (SAR 27,130), accommodation (SAR 6,327), modifications to buildings and emergency room (SAR 85,000), telephone connections to housing units for Kuwaiti refugees (SAR 85,737), increased expatriate staff travel costs (SAR 24,455), amounts paid to "staff who stayed behind during the war" (SAR 124,997) and overtime costs (SAR 348,606).
- 251. In the statement of claim, Al Qassim District sought compensation in the total amount of SAR 708,000. However, the Panel notes that the loss elements asserted in the supporting documentation, as set out above, total SAR 707,632.

- 252. The Claimant asserts in its response to the article 34 notification that the amount claimed for accommodation represents "food allowances". However, no further explanation or documentation was provided in support of the claim.
- 253. In support of its claim for increased expatriate staff travel costs, the Claimant provided a list of increased air fares totalling SAR 9,220. No underlying documentation, such as ticket vouchers, required to verify and value this schedule was provided by the Claimant, despite the requests referred to paragraph 229 above.
- 254. The Panel finds that because the Claimant has not provided evidence sufficient to verify and value the claims for transport allowances, subsistence expenses, accommodation (food allowances), modifications to buildings and emergency room and increased expatriate staff travel costs, it recommends no award of compensation therefor.
- 255. The evidence provided in support of the claim for providing telecommunications facilities to refugee camps includes four manpower and contract cost worksheets totalling SAR 52,162 and corresponding authorisations. The worksheets indicate that the work was performed at Buridah housing estate, where refugees were housed during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, and are dated October and November 1990. One authorisation, dated November 1991, relates to costs of removing the facilities.

- 256. The Panel finds that for the reasons stated at paragraphs 52 to 54 above, costs incurred in providing telecommunications services to refugees are, in principle, compensable, provided such costs were reasonable and incremental. However, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for the costs of removing the network in 1991, as the Claimant has failed to establish that the costs of removal were a direct loss resulting from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 257. In support of its claim for "payments made to staff who stayed behind during the war", the Claimant asserted in its response to the article 34 notification that payments were made to reimburse staff who were on vacation when Iraq invaded Kuwait and incurred extra expense in returning to work. However, during the on-site inspection, the Claimant provided a schedule entitled "deductions from expatriates who fail to report to work due to Gulf war", totalling SAR 124,997. The Panel finds that the amount claimed relates to deductions made from expatriate staff salaries and not to any amounts paid by the Claimant. As the Claimant has not incurred any loss, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for the claim.
- 258. For the reasons stated at paragraph 216 above, the Panel finds that the incremental costs of overtime that were incurred in implementing the Claimant's emergency procedures are, in principle, compensable. However, the Panel notes that despite the requests referred to at paragraph 229 above, the evidence is insufficient to verify and value the full amount claimed.
- 259. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 60,088 for costs of providing telecommunications services to refugees and incremental overtime costs incurred by Al Qassim District.

(e) Ha'il District (SAR 202,000)

(i) Facts and contentions

- 260. Ha'il District seeks compensation for increased expatriate staff travel costs (SAR 29,000), additional spare parts and maintenance (SAR 50,000), furniture for the emergency room (SAR 20,000), subsistence expenses (SAR 10,000) and overtime costs (SAR 93,000).
- 261. The Claimant asserts that overtime was performed in order to guarantee the proper functioning of the telecommunications network during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. In its response to the article 34 notification, the Claimant sought to increase the amount claimed for overtime from SAR 93,000 to SAR 100,852.

(ii) Analysis and valuation

262. For the reasons stated at paragraph 170 above, the Panel finds that the amount claimed for overtime is limited to SAR 93,000.

- 263. The Panel finds that because the Claimant has not provided evidence sufficient to verify and value the claims for increased expatriate staff travel costs, additional spare parts and maintenance and subsistence expenses, it recommends no award of compensation for these claims.
- 264. For the reasons stated in paragraph 215 above, the Panel finds that the cost of purchasing furniture for the emergency room is, in principle, compensable. Adjustments for residual value have been made in accordance with paragraph 76 above.
- 265. The evidence provided for overtime costs indicates that all the overtime was performed during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. For the reasons stated at paragraph 216 above, the Panel finds that the incremental costs of overtime that were incurred in implementing the Claimant's emergency procedures are, in principle, compensable.
- 266. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 44,183 for purchase of furniture and incremental overtime costs incurred by Ha'il District.

(f) General services (SAR 200,000)

- 267. In its statement of claim, the Claimant sought compensation in the amount of SAR 200,000 for "the costs of general services provided by the CRT directly related to the invasion and occupation of Kuwait." During the on-site inspection, the Claimant stated that the claim related to assistance provided to the Allied Coalition Forces and therefore withdrew the claim.
- 268. The Panel takes note of the Claimant's withdrawal of the claim for general services.

(g) Recommendation

269. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 574,373 for public service expenditures.

2. Recommendation for Ministry of PTT, Central Region

270. Based on its findings regarding the Claim by the Ministry of PTT, Central Region, the Panel recommends an award of compensation in the total amount of SAR 574,373.

Table 7. Recommended compensation for Ministry of PTT, Central Region

Loss type	Original claim amount (SAR)	Review amount (SAR)	Recommended compensation (SAR)
Public service expenditures	3,114,000	2,905,073	574,373
<u>Total</u>	3,114,000	2,905,073	574,373

G. Ministry of Post, Telegraph and Telephone (Southern Region) (UNCC Claim No. 5000212)

- 271. The Ministry of PTT (Southern Region) comprises a central headquarters and three districts bordering Saudi Arabia's southern border with Yemen.
 - 1. Business transaction or course of dealing (SAR 300,000)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 272. The Claimant seeks compensation for loss of revenue alleged to result from the delayed installation of 600 telephone lines. The Claimant asserts that the contractor for the installation of the telephone lines was urgently requested to install a telephone network for the use of the Saudi Arabian Armed Forces, and that as a result, the installation of the lines was delayed. The Claimant asserts that no other contractors were available to carry out the work.
- 273. The Claimant further states that the contractor experienced a delay of 45 days in performing the contract, and that when the telephone lines became available, a waiting list of subscribers for the lines existed. No further explanation of or supporting documentation for this alleged loss were provided.

(b) Analysis and valuation

274. The Panel finds that the evidence is insufficient to demonstrate that installation of the telephone lines was delayed or that the Claimant suffered a consequent loss of revenue as a result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Panel therefore recommends no award of compensation for the claim.

(c) Recommendation

275. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for business transaction or course of dealing.

2. Public service expenditures (SAR 2,448,357)

(a) Increased staff costs (SAR 2,239,510)

(i) Facts and contentions

- 276. The Claimant seeks compensation for increased staff costs, including overtime and secondment costs, incurred as a result of staff performing shift work in the Claimant's technical unit, emergency room and other departments.
- 277. The Claimant asserts that employees were seconded to Saudi Arabia's southern border to inspect, during the period of Iraq's invasion and

occupation of Kuwait, all telephone installations and facilities in the field. The Claimant also asserts that some of the employees who were seconded were also required to perform overtime, and that secondment was necessary due to:

- "...the presence of border posts in the area; the sensitivity of telecommunication facilities and the need to maintain their operation on a 24-hour basis; the need for continuous field supervision of facilities and supplies".
- 278. The Claimant further asserted that maintenance of the network at full capacity was necessary as (i) Yemen had supported Iraq during its invasion and occupation of Kuwait, and (ii) continuous pressure on the different network elements resulted in failure of the network. As a result, technicians were seconded from central headquarters to the three districts (Asir, Najarn, and Jizan) to perform maintenance and repair services.
- 279. In its statement of claim, the Claimant sought compensation in the amount of SAR 2,239,510 for increased staff costs. In its response to the article 34 notification, the Claimant stated that the amount claimed comprised SAR 1,155,590 for overtime and SAR 547,218 for secondment costs, thereby reducing the amount claimed for increased staff costs to SAR 1,702,808.

- 280. For the reasons stated at paragraph 216 above, the Panel finds that the incremental costs of overtime that were incurred in implementing the Claimant's emergency procedures are, in principle, compensable.
- 281. For the reasons stated at paragraph 64 above, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for any overtime costs that were incurred in providing support in relation to the activities of the Allied Coalition Forces, including the Saudi Arabian Armed Forces, and their military response to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 282. The Panel also finds that procedures that were implemented in response to the perceived threats to security along the border with Yemen were not implemented in response to the threat of military action posed by Iraq. $\underline{61}$ / The Panel finds that the secondment costs were not a direct loss resulting from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait and therefore recommends no award of compensation for these costs.
- 283. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 520,016 in respect of incremental overtime costs.

(b) <u>Increased expatriate staff trav</u>el costs (SAR 185,000)

(i) Facts and contentions

284. The Claimant seeks compensation for increased expatriate staff travel costs, which resulted from re-routing, the change in status of airline tickets from discount to full economy and the imposition of increased war risk insurance premiums on airline tickets. No evidence was provided in support of the claim.

(ii) Analysis and valuation

- 285. For the reasons stated at paragraphs 61 to 63 above, the Panel finds that increased expatriate staff travel costs resulting from the imposition of war risk insurance premiums and re-routing are, in principle, compensable.
- 286. However, the Panel finds that because the Claimant has not provided evidence sufficient to verify and value the claim, it therefore recommends no award of compensation.

(c) Installation of emergency network (SAR 23,847)

(i) Facts and contentions

- 287. The Claimant seeks compensation for the cost of installing an emergency communications network "for use by the Army" in the Jizan province close to the border with Yemen. The Claimant asserts that some of the emergency hotlines are still in operation and are used to connect villages along the border with Yemen.
- 288. The Claimant in its statement of claim sought compensation in the amount of SAR 23,847, taking into account the fact that some of the lines are still in use and that the network was used for military purposes. However, in its response to the article 34 notification the Claimant stated that the alleged original cost of installing the emergency network was SAR 1,021,999.

(ii) Analysis and valuation

- 289. For the reasons stated at paragraph 170 above, the Panel finds that the claim amount is limited to SAR 23,847.
- 290. The Panel finds that the costs of installing an emergency communications network for the Saudi Arabian Army in Jizan province were not direct costs resulting from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait and therefore recommends no award of compensation.

(d) Recommendation

291. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 520,016 for public service expenditures.

3. Recommendation for Ministry of PTT, Southern Region

292. Based on its findings regarding the Claim by the Ministry of PTT, Southern Region, the Panel recommends compensation in the total amount of SAR 520,016.

Table 8. Recommended compensation for Ministry of PTT, Southern Region

Loss type	Original claim amount (SAR)	Review amount (SAR)	Recommended compensation (SAR)
Business transaction or course of dealing	300,000	300,000	nil
Public service expenditures	2,448,357	1,911,655	520,016
<u>Total</u>	2,748,357	2,211,655	520,016

H. Ministry of Post, Telegraph and Telephone (Eastern Region) (UNCC Claim No. 5000213)

1. Business transaction or course of dealing (SAR 1,774,640)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 293. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 5,047 for telephone bills not paid by the Iraqi Land Transport Office of the Iraqi Ministry of Transportation in Dammam. The Claimant asserts that the telephone lines to the Iraqi Office were disconnected in October 1990. The Embassy of Iraq in Riyadh informed the Ministry of PTT that it was unable to pay the outstanding bills because its bank assets in Saudi Arabia had been frozen, and that the bills would be forwarded to the Iraqi Ministry of Transport to settle.
- 294. In its statement of claim, the Claimant also sought compensation in the amount of SAR 1,769,593 for telephone services provided to the French Forces that were based in the region during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. However, during the on-site inspection the Claimant withdrew this part of the claim.

(b) Analysis and Valuation

295. The Panel finds that the non-payment of the Iraqi Land Transportation Office's telephone bills results from the freezing of Iraqi assets in Saudi Arabia as a result of the trade embargo imposed pursuant to Security Council resolution 661 (1990). Claims for losses alleged to have been incurred as a result of the trade embargo are precluded from compensation by paragraph 6 of Governing Council decision 9. The Panel therefore recommends no award of compensation for the claim.

(c) Recommendation

296. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for business transaction or course of dealing.

2. Real property (SAR 1,639,595)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 297. The Claimant seeks to recover the cost of repairing the telegraph building at Al Khafji and the central telephone exchanges at Al Khafji and Al Samah. The Claimant alleges that the buildings were damaged during the military operations that took place in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 298. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 492,550 for repair of the Al Khafji telegraph building. During the on-site inspection to Al Khafji, the Claimant asserted that the telegraph building suffered damage by artillery fire and that an Iraqi tank was driven into the front entrance. The Claimant also asserted that smoke from the Kuwaiti oil

Page 62

fields that had been ignited by departing Iraqi troops damaged the decorations and furnishings in the building.

299. The Claimant also seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 897,045 for repairs of the Al Khafji telephone exchange and an amount of SAR 250,000 for damage to the Al Samah telephone exchange building. In both cases, the Claimant asserts that artillery and gunfire caused the damage.

(b) Analysis and valuation

- 300. For the reasons stated at paragraph 37 above, the Panel finds that the claim for real property damage to the telegraph and telephone exchanges is, in principle, compensable.
- 301. In support of its claim for real property damage, the Claimant provided, <u>inter alia</u>, contemporaneous video footage of the damage caused to the town of Al Khafji as a result of the occupation by Iraqi troops and the subsequent battle to liberate the town. The footage depicted damage suffered by the Al Khafji telephone exchange building. Contemporaneous photographs of the damage to the three buildings in Al Khafji and Al Samah were also provided during the on-site inspection.
- 302. In the light of the evidence and the adjustments for depreciation made in accordance with paragraph 76 above, the Panel recommends an award of compensation in the amount of SAR 208,449 for real property damage to the Al Khafji telegraph and telephone exchange buildings.
- 303. With respect to the claim for repairs to the Al Samah exchange building, the Panel finds that the evidence is insufficient to verify and value the full amount claimed. The Panel therefore recommends an award of compensation in the amount of SAR 79,821 for repair of the Al Samah telephone exchange building.

(c) Recommendation

304. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 288,270 for real property.

3. Other tangible property (SAR 3,702,648)

(a) Facts and contentions

305. The Claimant seeks compensation in the total amount of SAR 3,702,648 for replacement or repair of telephone exchange equipment, electrical and auxiliary electrical power connections, air conditioning units, control boards, telephones, furniture, equipment and vehicles that it asserts were damaged or lost during the military operations in Al Khafji. The claim also includes a claim for the supply of cables.

(b) Analysis and valuation

- 306. For the reasons stated at paragraph 37 above, the Panel finds that the claim for other tangible property damage relating to telephone exchange equipment, electrical and auxiliary electrical power, air conditioning units, control boards, telephones, furniture and equipment is, in principle, compensable. Adjustments for depreciation to the amount claimed were made in accordance with paragraph 76 above.
- 307. With respect to the claim for loss of vehicles, the Claimant provided three supply contracts, dated 21 and 23 August 1990, for the supply of 22 vehicles. The Claimant asserts that the vehicles were purchased in accordance with the emergency procedures referred to at paragraphs 198 to 202 above, and further, that the vehicles that were parked next to the telephone exchange building in Al Khafji were lost, stolen or destroyed as a result of the military operations that took place in that town. No evidence was provided to indicate the total number of vehicles that was lost, stolen or destroyed. However, the video footage provided by the Claimant showed damage to a small number of PTT vehicles. The Panel has reduced the amount claimed for loss of vehicles accordingly.
- 308. The evidence provided in support of the claim for supply of cables includes contracts for the purchase of the cables dated February and April 1992. The Panel finds that the Claimant has provided insufficient evidence to demonstrate that the cables were purchased to replace cables that were destroyed during military operations in Al Khafji. The Panel therefore recommends no award of compensation for supply of cables.

(c) Recommendation

309. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 457,712 for other tangible property.

4. Public service expenditures (SAR 2,466,967)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 310. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 2,452,114 for overtime and secondment costs. The Claimant asserts that certain employees, especially those attached to the technical section, worked overtime to maintain the efficiency of the network in the Eastern region and to carry out rapid repairs to the network, should the need arise.
- 311. In its response to the article 34 notification, the Claimant stated that overtime costs amount to SAR 2,501,800 and secondment costs to SAR 172,686, thereby increasing the amount claimed for overtime and secondment costs from SAR 2,452,114 to SAR 2,674,486.
- 312. The Claimant also seeks SAR 14,853 for increased expatriate staff travel costs alleged to result from the imposition of war risk insurance on

airfares for staff who travelled during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.

- 313. For the reasons stated at paragraph 170 above, the Panel finds that the claim amount for overtime and secondment costs is limited to SAR 2,452,114.
- 314. For the reasons stated at paragraph 216 above, the Panel finds that the incremental costs of overtime that were incurred in implementing the Claimant's emergency procedures are, in principle, compensable.
- 315. The evidence provided in support of the claim for overtime included authorized overtime records indicating the names of the employees, the periods during which overtime was performed and the costs that were incurred. The dates on the majority of the overtime records were omitted in the course of reproduction. Where records were dated, some indicated that overtime was performed in March 1991. The Panel finds that only those costs of overtime which relate to the period from 2 August 1990 to 2 March 1991 are, in principle, compensable.
- 316. Records provided in support of the claim for secondment indicate that all of the secondment took place during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 317. During the on-site inspection, the Claimant stated that some of the overtime and secondment costs were incurred in providing assistance to the Allied Coalition Forces stationed in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. However, the Claimant's written response to questions posed during the on-site inspection stated that the overtime and secondment costs were incurred for emergency maintenance and repair work only.
- 318. For the reasons stated at paragraph 64 above, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for any overtime and secondment costs that were incurred in providing support to the Allied Coalition Forces.
- 319. With respect to the claim for increased travel costs, the evidence provided included a schedule of the increased cost of travel for six families. The schedule, which totals SAR 29,711, states that the calculation of the loss is based on estimates as ticket prices fluctuated during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Claimant provided no underlying documentation in support of the schedule.
- 320. The Panel finds that the evidence is insufficient to verify and value the claim for increased expatriate staff travel costs and therefore recommends no award of compensation.

(c) Recommendation

321. In the light of the evidence in respect of overtime and secondment, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 489,178 for public service expenditures.

5. Recommendation for Ministry of PTT, Eastern Region

322. Based on its findings regarding the Claim by the Ministry of PTT, Eastern Region, the Panel recommends compensation in the total amount of SAR 1,235,160.

Table 9. Recommended compensation for Ministry of PTT, Eastern Region

Loss type	Original claim amount (SAR)	Review amount (SAR)	Recommended compensation (SAR)
Business transaction or course of dealing	1,774,640	5,047	nil
Real property	1,639,595	1,639,595	288,270
Other tangible property	3,702,648	3,702,648	457,712
Public service expenditures	2,466,967	2,466,967	489,178
Total	9,583,850	7,814,257	1,235,160

I. Ministry of Post, Telegraph and Telephone (Western Region) (UNCC Claim No. 5000214)

1. Public service expenditures (SAR 4,438,000)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 323. The Claimant seeks compensation for increased staff costs, including overtime and secondment costs, which it alleges it incurred during the period from 2 August 1990 to 2 March 1991 in Jeddah, Mecca, Al Ta'if, Medinah, Yanbu, Baha and Tabbak.
- 324. The Claimant asserts that the amounts claimed were paid to staff who performed the following duties: providing technical assistance for exchanges and operators; participating in stand-by and emergency teams on 24-hour call to maintain continuous operation of the telecommunications system; guarding exchanges and telecommunications centres; establishing emergency rooms to monitor the network; providing telecommunications services to the Kuwaiti Royal Family and the Government of Kuwait in exile, which both sought refuge in Saudi Arabia; and providing telecommunications services to refugees in the region.
- 325. In its statement of claim, the Claimant sought compensation in the amount of SAR 4,438,000 for overtime and secondment costs. However, the Claimant stated in its response to the article 34 notification that the total overtime costs amounted to SAR 5,304,564.

- 326. For the reasons stated at paragraph 170 above, the Panel finds that the claim amount is limited to SAR 4,438,000.
- 327. For the reasons stated at paragraph 216 above, the Panel finds that incremental staff costs that were incurred in implementing the Claimant's emergency procedures are, in principle, compensable.
- 328. The Panel further finds that for the reasons stated at paragraphs 52 to 54, incremental staff costs incurred in providing telecommunications services to the Kuwaiti Royal Family, the Government of Kuwait in exile and refugees are, in principle, compensable.
- 329. However, for the reasons stated at paragraph 282 above, the Panel finds that costs incurred in guarding PTT buildings and installations in the Western Region are not direct losses caused by Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Panel therefore recommends no award of compensation for these costs.
- 330. The evidence provided in support of the claim includes overtime records indicating the names of the employees who performed overtime or were seconded and the periods during which overtime was performed or secondment took place. The evidence indicates that in some cases, overtime or secondment was performed after 2 March 1991. The Panel finds that only

those costs of overtime and secondment that relate to the period from 2 August 1990 to 2 March 1991 are, in principle, compensable.

(c) Recommendation

331. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 1,983,564 for public service expenditures.

2. Recommendation for Ministry of PTT, Western Region

332. Based on its findings regarding the Claim by the Ministry of PTT, Western Region, the Panel recommends compensation in the total amount of SAR 1,983,564.

Table 10. Recommended compensation for Ministry of PTT, Western Region

Loss type	Original claim amount (SAR)	Review amount (SAR)	Recommended compensation (SAR)
Public service expenditures	4,438,000	4,438,000	1,983,564
<u>Total</u>	4,438,000	4,438,000	1,983,564

J. Ministry of Health (UNCC Claim No. 5000215)

- 333. The Ministry of Health provides health services for both urban and rural areas throughout Saudi Arabia.
- 334. Claims have been filed by the Ministry of Health in Riyadh (UNCC Claim No. 5000215) and the Ministry of Health in Al Khafji (UNCC Claim No. 5000230). A review of the evidence indicates that the Claim of the Ministry of Health in Al Khafji is included in the Claim of the Riyadh headquarters, which was confirmed by a Note Verbale dated 29 October 1999 from the Permanent Mission of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia to the United Nations and other international organisations in Geneva. The claims of the Ministry of Health and the Ministry of Health in Al Khafji will therefore be considered together. 62/

1. Contract (SAR 12,837,918)

(a) Facts and contentions

335. The Claimant seeks compensation for payments which were requested by two contractors in relation to hospital construction projects in Al Khafji, Rafha and Domat Al-Jandal ("Group C Hospital project", SAR 7,970,762) and a project for construction and maintenance of hospital facilities in Ar'ar ("Ar'ar Hospital project", SAR 4,867,156).

(i) Group C Hospital project

- 336. A contract was entered into in 1984 between the Ministry of Health and Societe General d'Entreprise ("SGE"), a French company, for the construction of three hospitals, each with 100 bed capacity, in Rafha, Domat Al-Jandal and Al Khafji. The original contract price was SAR 362,000,000, with completion to take place within 720 days from the date of handing over the site to the contractor. Although the project was scheduled to be completed in January 1987, the evidence shows that as at 3 November 1989, the contractor had executed approximately 40 per cent of the work and further, that the project was ongoing on 2 August 1990.
- 337. The Claimant asserts that after concluding the contract in 1984, the Claimant decided that the hospitals to be constructed would not meet its new requirements, and therefore granted SGE an extension of time to redesign the hospital buildings.
- 338. Work under the contract was delayed during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait as access to the construction sites, two of which were located on the Saudi Arabian border with Kuwait, was restricted. Work recommenced three months after the liberation of Kuwait.
- 339. In November 1991, SGE made a claim against the Claimant for losses it alleged it incurred as a result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. These losses included monthly guarantee, insurance and maintenance costs; costs of evacuating staff; expenses resulting from suspension of

work and closing of the Rafha and Damat Al-Jandal sites; emergency purchases; severance pay and return air fares for foreign workers; costs of pre-fabricated structures; overheads; and price increases due to the fluctuating exchange rate of the French franc and the Saudi Arabian riyal.

- 340. As part of its claim against the Claimant, SGE sought an amount for damage to the Al Khafji hospital site. The evidence provided in support of the claim indicates that damage was sustained by temporary and permanent structures on the Al Khafji site.
- 341. In addition to the above, SGE requested a "fair settlement" to complete the project after the liberation of Kuwait, stating that "to undertake the same work now would require further time and will be subject to inflation."
- 342. SGE's request was examined by consultants employed by the Claimant. The consultants reduced the claim for losses that SGE alleged it incurred as a result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait from SAR 14,213,503 to SAR 7,970,762 and reduced the claim for a fair settlement from SAR 147,958,814 to SAR 21,432,040. After considering SGE's request and the consultants' report, the Claimant terminated the contract with SGE by letter dated 1 January 1992. In the letter, the Claimant cited unexplained delays in the performance of the contract as the reason for terminating the contract with SGE.
- 343. The Claimant stated that it was prepared to award the amount of SAR 7,970,762 to SGE as "extraordinary expenses". The Claimant states however, that this amount, which was assessed by the Claimant's consultants to represent SGE's losses, has not been paid, as SGE disputed the quantum of the settlement.
- 344. The Claimant also states that in 1998, after a lengthy tender process, it entered into a new construction contract with a second contractor for an amount of SAR 374,593,605 to complete construction of the three hospitals. During the on-site inspection, the Claimant emphasised that its claim was limited to the claim for losses suffered by SGE, as revised by the Claimant's consultants, and that it was not claiming for its own losses resulting from continuing the project with a second contractor at an increased contract price.
- 345. In its response to the article 34 notification, the Claimant sought to increase the amount claimed in respect of the Group C Hospital project from SAR 7,970,762 to SAR 12,970,762.

(ii) Ar'ar Hospital project

346. Al Rashid Co. Ltd ("Al Rashid") was awarded the contract for construction of a 100 bed hospital in Ar'ar in 1984. Building approval was granted in February 1990 and foundations laid in May 1990. By 2 August 1990, 13 per cent of the contract had been completed. The project, which

had been delayed to redesign the building as described at paragraph 337 above, was due to be completed in November 1991. The work on the contract was delayed during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, although the site was not damaged by military operations.

- 347. In March 1992, Al Rashid made a claim against the Claimant for losses resulting from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, comprising increased labour costs and increased costs of materials for the period during and immediately after Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. In addition, Al Rashid requested a "fair settlement" to complete the project after the liberation of Kuwait. Al Rashid's claim was reviewed and reduced from SAR 20,512,703 to SAR 4,867,156 by the Claimant's consultants, but this amount was rejected by Al Rashid. The contract was terminated by the Claimant in June 1993. The evidence shows that Al Rashid has continued to request the amount of SAR 20,512,703 that it had originally claimed against the Claimant. There is no evidence that the Claimant has paid the amount of SAR 4,867,156 to Al Rashid.
- 348. The Claimant states that due to an unsuccessful tender process, the project has not yet been resumed.
- 349. The Claimant in its response to the article 34 notification sought to increase the total claim amount in respect of the Ar'ar Hospital Project to SAR 9,867,000.

- 350. For the reasons stated at paragraph 170 above, the Panel finds that the claim amount is limited to SAR 7,970,762 in respect of the Group C Hospital Project and SAR 4,867,156 in respect of the Ar'ar Hospital Project.
- 351. The Panel finds that as the Claimant has made no payment to either SGE or Al Rashid in respect of their claims against it, the Claimant has not suffered a direct loss resulting from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. 63/ Further, the evidence is insufficient to demonstrate that the Claimant has incurred any other increased costs or losses, with the exception of damage to the Al Khafji hospital site which is discussed below, in respect of the two construction projects as a result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Panel therefore recommends no award of compensation for contract, with the exception of the claim for damage to the Al Khafji site.
- 352. With respect to the Al Khafji hospital site, the Panel finds that the Claimant as owner of the permanent structure which was damaged as a result of military operations in Al Khafji has suffered a loss which is, in principle, compensable for the reasons stated at paragraph 37 above. $\underline{64}$ / However, the evidence provided is insufficient to verify and value the full amount claimed for damage to the Al Khafji site.

353. With respect to damage to SGE's temporary structures at the Al Khafji site and equipment that was located therein, the Panel finds that for the reasons stated at paragraph 351 above the Claimant has suffered no loss and therefore recommends no award of compensation for this part of the amount claimed.

(c) Recommendation

354. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an amount of SAR 45,457 for contract.

2. Public service expenditures (SAR 34,856,960)

355. The Claimant asserts that in response to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, it organised and improved existing protective measures to enable its medical and administrative teams to provide medical care to the civilian population in the event of an Iraqi military attack or bomb explosions during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Claimant seeks compensation for the purchase of protective equipment, including gas masks and protective clothing; increased stocks of furniture and medical equipment and supplies; and increased overtime and bonus payments to staff.

(a) Gas masks and protective clothing

(i) Facts and contentions

356. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 10,456,000 for purchase costs of 10,000 gas masks, gas mask filters and 3,300 sets of protective clothing which were issued to its employees in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia.

(ii) Analysis and valuation

357. For the reasons stated at paragraph 130 above, the Panel finds that the purchase costs of the gas masks and protective clothing are, in principle, compensable. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 10,456,000 for gas masks and protective clothing.

(b) Furniture, medical equipment and supplies

(i) Facts and contentions

- 358. The Claimant asserts that in order to provide comprehensive and professional health-care services to the civilian population, it had to increase its stocks of medical supplies and procure medicines, medical equipment and surgical supplies. Medical centres were outfitted in areas prone to attack, especially those areas vulnerable to the threat of bomb and missile attack.
- 359. The Claimant seeks SAR 13,276,349 for the costs of purchasing the increased stocks of medicines, medical equipment and surgical supplies in

15 regions throughout Saudi Arabia. This amount was reduced to SAR 11,816,149 in the Claimant's response to the article 34 notification to take into account the fact that one loss element had been asserted in a currency other than Saudi riyals. The Claim includes a claim by the General Directorate of the Eastern Region for damage to furniture and equipment at the health centre in Al Khafji resulting from military operations. The Claimant also seeks compensation for the loss of an ambulance in Riyadh District during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. No explanation of the circumstances giving rise to the loss of the ambulance was provided by the Claimant.

(ii) Analysis and valuation

- 360. The Panel finds that increasing stocks of medicines, medical equipment and surgical supplies in its hospitals and medical centres throughout Saudi Arabia was a reasonable and proportionate response to the threat of military action to which Saudi Arabia was exposed during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. For the reasons stated at paragraph 46 above, the Panel finds that the incremental costs of implementing these measures are, in principle, compensable.
- 361. With respect to damage to furniture and equipment lost at the Al Khafji medical centre as a result of military operations, the Panel finds that for the reasons stated at paragraph 37 above, the claim is, in principle, compensable.
- 362. With respect to the claim for the loss of an ambulance, the Panel finds that no evidence has been provided to demonstrate that the loss of the ambulance was a direct loss resulting from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Panel therefore recommends no award of compensation for loss of the ambulance.
- 363. In the light of the evidence and subject to adjustments for the residual value of purchased equipment and depreciation of damaged equipment made in accordance with paragraph 76 above, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 3,141,829 for increased stocks of medicines, medical equipment and surgical supplies, and damage to furniture and equipment in Al Khafji.

(c) Overtime and allowances (SAR 11,124,611)

(i) Facts and contentions

- 364. The Claimant asserts that as a result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, it was necessary to send specialised personnel to areas of particular need for training and supervising the mobilising of the Claimant's hospitals and support services. Medical teams were placed on 24-hour standby and emergency medical teams were placed on alert in remote locations to provide immediate medical support to the civilian population.
- 365. The Claimant asserts that in addition to the threat of military action, the influx of refugees resulted in the need for increased health

care services. The Claimant asserts that an increased amount of overtime was paid by the Claimant to its staff to cope with the emergency measures. Travel allowances were paid to staff who were seconded to areas directly threatened, while subsistence allowances were paid to emergency teams working overnight.

(ii) Analysis and valuation

- 366. For the reasons stated at paragraph 216 above, the Panel finds that the incremental costs of overtime and staff allowances that were incurred in implementing the Claimant's emergency procedures are, in principle, compensable.
- 367. The Panel further finds that for the reasons stated at paragraphs 52 to 54, incremental overtime and staff allowances incurred in providing health services to Kuwaiti refugees are, in principle, compensable.
- 368. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 5,039,681 for overtime and allowances.

(d) Recommendation

369. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 18,637,510 for public service expenditures.

3. Recommendation for Ministry of Health

370. Based on its findings regarding the Claim by the Ministry of Health, the Panel recommends compensation in the total amount of SAR 18,682,967.

Table 11. Recommended compensation for Ministry of Health

Loss type	Original claim amount (SAR)	Review amount (SAR)	Recommended compensation (SAR)
Contract	12,837,918	12,837,918	45,457
Public service expenditures	34,856,960	33,396,760	18,637,510
<u>Total</u>	47,694,878	46,234,678	18,682,967

K. Ministry of Information (UNCC Claim No. 5000218)

371. The Claimant is responsible for television broadcasting throughout Saudi Arabia and information centres outside Saudi Arabia, including Kuwait City.

1. Other tangible property (SAR 152,327)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 372. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 65,000 for the loss of tangible property, including a car, office furniture and materials, from its information centre in Kuwait City. The Claimant asserts that the property disappeared during Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Claimant also seeks compensation for tangible property, comprised primarily of small pieces of equipment, from an "old" and a "new" television centre in Al Khafji, which was lost or damaged during the occupation of the town by Iraqi troops. The Claimant states that citizens were ordered to evacuate Al Khafji and that, while unattended, the television centres were looted. The amounts claimed are SAR 58,047 and SAR 29,280 respectively.
- 373. The "old" and "new" television stations in Al Khafji were mobile units located on the same property. Construction and outfitting of the "new" television centre had been completed on 18 February 1990.

(b) Analysis and valuation

374. For the reasons stated at paragraphs 37 and 107 above, the Panel finds that the claim for other tangible property loss and damage in Kuwait and Al Khafji is, in principle, compensable. Adjustments for depreciation to the amount claimed were made in accordance with paragraph 76 above.

(c) Recommendation

375. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 31,565 for loss of other tangible property.

2. Payment or relief to others (SAR 120,000)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 376. The Claimant seeks compensation for amounts paid to the families of two Ministry of Information employees who were killed in two separate road accidents during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The amounts claimed were paid in accordance with Civil Service laws.
- 377. The Claimant states that the accidents occurred on 4 January 1991 and 15 January 1991, respectively. One victim was accompanying a photographer compiling news material and the other was en route to the radio station at Hafr Al Baten.

(b) Analysis and valuation

378. The Panel finds that no evidence has been provided to indicate that either of the accidents resulted from "military operations or the threat of military action" for the purposes of paragraph 34(a) of decision 7. $\underline{65}$ / As the loss does not fall within any of the other sub-paragraphs of paragraph 34, decision 7, the Claimant must specifically show a direct loss resulting from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait in order for the claim to be, in principle, compensable. The Claimant has failed to do so. The Panel therefore recommends no award of compensation.

(c) Recommendation

379. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for payment or relief to others.

3. Public service expenditures (SAR 5,283,842)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 380. The Claimant seeks compensation for an amount of SAR 5,283,842 paid to a contractor, Delta/Stesa, for incremental costs incurred in providing 24-hour television broadcasts during the period 16 January to 28 February 1991. The Claimant asserts that it authorised 24-hour television broadcasts during this period in order to provide the people of Saudi Arabia with information bulletins regarding the conflict and civil defence instructions, if necessary.
- 381. During the on-site inspection, the Claimant stated that the Ministry of Information broadcasts two channels, one in Arabic and the other in English and French. During the period 16 January to 28 February 1991, both channels broadcast on a 24-hour basis, showing news, religious and entertainment programmes. Warnings of air attacks were also broadcast on both channels.
- 382. The Claimant further asserted that Iraq had a powerful broadcasting system that enabled it to broadcast propaganda against Saudi Arabia and the Royal Family which could be viewed in Riyadh. Twenty-four hour broadcasting was therefore intended to counter Iraq's broadcasts.
- 383. At the time of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, the Saudi Arabian television network was operated and maintained by Delta/Stesa. Pursuant to the contract for services between the Ministry of Information and Delta/Stesa, the company undertook to "service and maintain the television network in the Kingdom". The television network comprised the main transmission and production stations and studios of the first and second channels in various locations throughout Saudi Arabia, as well as mobile television centres and transmitters, mini studios, a central microwave connection, various transmission centres and television and broadcasting centres in Riyadh. The contract stipulated that the contractor was responsible for the maintenance and repair of all equipment

- at all sites of the television network, as well as for operating all transmitters and broadcasting equipment during programme periods, as stipulated in the contract.
- 384. The contract did not stipulate the period during which Delta/Stesa was required to ensure continuity of transmission. However, correspondence between Delta/Stesa and the Claimant stated that normal transmission hours included 12 hours of transmission during 26 days in a 30 day month, and 15 hours of transmission on the remaining four days in a 30 day month.
- 385. Under the contract, Delta/Stesa was obliged to "respect all emergency transmission schedules which shall be communicated by the Ministry Representative." On 17 January 1991, soon after the commencement of military operations between the Allied Coalition Forces and Iraq, the Under Secretary of the Assistant Minister of Television Affairs approved a decision of 16 January 1991 to implement 24-hour broadcasting.
- 386. Delta/Stesa made a claim against the Claimant for the additional expenditure, including overtime costs paid to its staff, that it allegedly incurred as a result of the increased broadcasting hours. After a review of Delta/Stesa's claim by the Ministry of Finance, the Claimant paid on 22 February 1993 part of Delta/Stesa's claim.
- 387. The Claimant seeks compensation for the amount paid to Delta/Stesa in satisfaction of the latter's claim for increased broadcasting costs during the period 16 January to 28 February 1991.

- 388. The Panel finds that the extension of broadcasting hours during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait in order to provide news and information of an emergency nature (such as warnings concerning air attacks and civil defence instructions) was a reasonable and proportionate response to military operations and the threat of military action to which Saudi Arabia was exposed.
- 389. The Panel therefore finds that the incremental and reasonable costs of distributing news of an emergency nature are, in principle, compensable, in accordance with paragraph 34(a) of Governing Council decision 7. 66/
- 390. It is clear from the contract that Delta/Stesa's services included all the operations necessary for television broadcasting. Therefore, the Panel finds that the participation of Delta/Stesa's employees was necessary in order to provide 24-hour broadcasts. However, the evidence does not clearly identify the fixed and variable costs of broadcasting that would have been incurred under the contract in normal circumstances, so as precisely to identify the incremental costs incurred by Delta in extending broadcasting hours.
- 391. Further, the Panel notes that the broadcasts were not devoted solely to news of an emergency nature, but included religious and entertainment

programmes. The Panel finds that the need for broadcasting these programmes did not arise as a direct result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Panel has reduced the amount claimed accordingly.

(c) Recommendation

392. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 1,188,864 for public service expenditures.

4. Recommendation for Ministry of Information

393. Based on its findings regarding the Claim by the Ministry of Information, the Panel recommends compensation in the total amount of SAR 1,220,429.

Table 12. Recommended compensation for Ministry of Information

Loss type	Original claim amount (SAR)	Review amount (SAR)	Recommended compensation (SAR)
Other Tangible Property	152,327	152,327	31,565
Payment or relief to others	120,000	120,000	nil
Public service expenditures	5,283,842	5,283,842	1,188,864
Total	5,556,169	5,556,169	1,220,429

L. Ministry of Higher Education (UNCC Claim No. 5000221)

394. The Claimant is a Saudi Arabian Government entity that oversees universities in Saudi Arabia. The Claim relates to four universities, King Faisal University, King Saud University, Al Immam Muhammad Ibn Saud Islamic University and King Abdul Aziz University, that allegedly suffered direct loss or damage as a result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.

1. Contract (SAR 430,553)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 395. The Claimant seeks compensation for increased staff travel costs incurred by King Abdul Aziz University in Jeddah as a result of the imposition of war risk insurance premiums on airline tickets purchased for expatriate employees.
- 396. The Claimant alleged that evidence of the war risk insurance payments could not be provided as all records were destroyed in a fire in October 1993.

(b) Analysis and valuation

- 397. The Panel finds that for the reasons stated at paragraph 61 above, incremental expatriate staff travel costs incurred as a result of the imposition of war risk insurance are, in principle, compensable.
- 398. However, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for the claim as the evidence is insufficient to verify and value the amount claimed.

(c) Recommendation

399. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for contract.

2. Real property (SAR 22,209,122)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 400. The Claimant asserts that on the evening of 11 February 1991, an Iraqi Scud missile hit the campus of Al Immam Muhammad Ibn Saud Islamic University in Riyadh and exploded. Blast damage was suffered at a newly constructed sports complex next to the point of impact and at a nearby student residential complex. Other buildings in the vicinity of the blast, including a new athletics stadium, water tower and chlorination building, were also damaged.
- 401. The Claimant in support of its claim for real property damage provided videos and contemporaneous photographs of the area of impact and the damage to the nearby buildings.
- 402. In its response to the article 34 notification, the Claimant reduced the amount claimed for the damage described above to SAR 21,107,712.

However, in documentation provided with its response to the article 34 notification and during the on-site inspection, the Claimant sought to include a claim for major structural repairs to the water tower, in the amount of SAR 804,899. The damage, comprising damage to concrete surrounding the entrance of the tower and cracked foundations, was discovered in November 1994 during routine inspections.

(b) Analysis and valuation

- 403. For the reasons stated at paragraph 37 above, the Panel finds that real property damage to the Claimant's buildings and facilities arising as a result of Scud missile attack is, in principle, compensable.
- 404. However, the Panel finds that with respect to the claim for structural repairs to the water tower, the Claimant is not allowed to introduce a new claim by means of its response to the article 34 notification and that the claimed amount is therefore limited to SAR 21,107,712. Furthermore, a report commissioned by the Claimant on the damage to the water tower does not demonstrate that this damage was caused by the Scud missile blast, but rather indicates that the damage arose as a result of circumstances unrelated to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Panel finds that the damage is a not a direct loss resulting from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait and therefore recommends no award of compensation.

(c) Recommendation

405. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 21,107,712 for real property.

3. Other tangible property (SAR 228,950)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 406. The Claimant asserts that King Faisal University ("KFU") in Dammam provided assistance and accommodation to Kuwaiti refugee families during Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Claimant asserts that single occupant student flats were each used to accommodate two Kuwaiti families. The Claimant asserts that the provision of accommodation to Kuwaiti families in single occupant flats caused "extreme and rapid wear and tear on furniture, textiles and fixtures."
- 407. The Claimant asserted that part of the university accommodation was locked when the refugees arrived during the university holidays. Locks were broken by the refugees as they attempted to enter the accommodation.

(b) Analysis and valuation

408. The Panel finds that other tangible property damage to the Claimant's student flats arising as a result of accommodating refugees is, in principle, compensable for the reasons stated at paragraph 49 above.

Page 80

Adjustments for depreciation to the amount claimed have been made in accordance with paragraph 76 above.

(c) Recommendation

- 409. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 57,238 for other tangible property.
 - 4. Payment or relief to others King Saud University (SAR 3,432,184)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 410. The Claimant seeks compensation for costs incurred by King Saud University ("KSU") (Al Qassim campus) in providing 550 Kuwaiti refugees with food, medical care and accommodation for part of the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. Some of the refugees who received assistance were students at the University of Kuwait who were permitted to attend KSU to continue their studies.
- 411. The Claim also includes a claim for meals provided to University hospital staff who were required to perform overtime in caring for the refugees during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.

(b) Analysis and valuation

412. For the reasons stated at paragraph 49 above, the Panel finds that costs incurred in providing food, medical care and accommodation for Kuwaiti refugees or to those who assisted refugees are, in principle, compensable. The Panel also finds that incidental costs of meals for hospital staff who assisted the refugees are compensable in accordance with paragraphs 52 to 54 above. However, the evidence is insufficient to verify and value the full amount claimed.

(c) Recommendation

- 413. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 1,111,594 for payment or relief to others.
 - 5. Payment or relief to others King Faisal University (SAR 360,000)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 414. The Claimant seeks compensation for overtime payments paid to KFU staff technicians who were required to assist in and supervise the accommodation of Kuwaiti refugees during the period 2 August 1990 to 2 March 1991.
- 415. The Claimant also seeks compensation for bonus payments made to KFU staff for emergency work performed in the university hospital during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The bonus payments were made in accordance with a Royal Decree dated 16 March 1993 and were paid in October 1993.

(b) Analysis and valuation

- 416. The Panel finds that for the reasons stated at paragraphs 52 to 54, incremental overtime costs incurred in providing assistance to Kuwaiti refugees are, in principle, compensable, to the extent such costs were incurred during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 417. However, the Panel finds that the bonus payments were authorized and paid to workers two years after the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait in relation to emergency work performed during the occupation period. The payments were not in the nature of incentive payments to staff to continue to work in the affected locations during the emergency period. Nor was any evidence provided to indicate that the Claimant was bound by a pre-existing promise or legal obligation to pay the staff bonuses. The Panel finds that the payments are not direct losses resulting from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait and therefore recommends no award of compensation for bonus payments. 67/

(c) Recommendation

418. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 115,135 for payment or relief to others.

6. Public service expenditures (SAR 19,091,879)

419. The Claimant seeks compensation for costs incurred by KSU in Riyadh for purchasing medical supplies and equipment for its university hospitals; protective clothing, gas masks and emergency maintenance work at its Riyadh campus; and overtime wages paid to members of its emergency teams.

(a) Medical supplies and equipment

(i) Facts and contentions

420. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 609,601 for the costs of medical supplies and equipment purchased for its hospitals. The Claimant asserts that the hospitals provided emergency medical treatment for the civilian population, including refugees.

- 421. The Panel finds that the purchase of medicines and medical supplies for the hospitals was a reasonable and proportionate response to the threat of military action to which Saudi Arabia was exposed during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. For the reasons stated at paragraph 46 above, the Panel finds that the incremental costs of implementing these measures are, in principle, compensable.
- 422. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 609,601.

(b) Maintenance and equipment

(i) Facts and contentions

423. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 2,045,752 for the purchase of protective clothing, gas masks and torches. The Claimant also asserts that it installed safety devices and emergency water pipelines during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait and performed emergency maintenance work. These measures were intended to benefit the civilian population and refugees who were present on campus.

(ii) Analysis and valuation

- 424. For the reasons stated at paragraph 130 above, the Panel finds that the purchase costs of the protective clothing, gas masks and torches are, in principle, compensable. The Panel finds that the performance of emergency maintenance work and the installation of an emergency water pipe for the benefit of the civilian and refugee population are, in principle, compensable for the reasons stated at paragraphs 46 and 49 above. However, the Panel notes that some of the evidence relating to the purchase of equipment did not indicate the dates of purchase. The Panel therefore recommends no award of compensation for this portion of the claim.
- 425. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 1,585,979 for maintenance and equipment.

(c) Overtime for emergency teams and miscellaneous expenditures

(i) Facts and contentions

- 426. The Claimant in its statement of claim sought compensation in the amount of SAR 16,436,526 for overtime payments made to members of emergency teams and various miscellaneous costs, as set out below:
- (a) Overtime paid to security guards and university staff who assisted refugees at university hospitals, on campus or at other university premises;
- (b) Purchase of emergency items, such as tents and refreshments for emergency centres;
- (c) Increased contract price of SAR 11,106,452 with respect to an operations and maintenance contract; and
- (d) Increased expatriate staff travel costs resulting from the imposition of war risk insurance on air travel.
- 427. With respect to the claim for an increased contract price, the Claimant awarded a new operations and maintenance contract on 27 November 1990. The contract was due to commence on 17 March 1991, but the contractor was required to have its staff on site on 17 January 1991 for a two-month "hand-over" period. However, as a result of problems encountered

by the contractor in recruiting staff, allegedly due to the commencement of military operations, the contractor was unable to commence performance of the contract. The Claimant rescinded the contract on 4 February 1991 and entered into a new contract on 17 June 1991 with a second contractor at an increased price. In a letter dated 3 April 1991 to the original contractor, the Claimant sought to recover the difference between the original and second contract prices. During the on-site inspection, the Claimant stated that on advice from its legal advisors, it had decided not to pursue the claim against the first contractor for the difference in the two contract prices. However, the Claimant seeks compensation from the Commission for the increased contract price.

428. In its revised statement of claim filed in January 1999, the Claimant sought to include a claim in the amount of SAR 25,204,354 for staff salaries. The Claimant alleged that it continued to pay the salaries even although the staff were unable to carry out their normal teaching duties as a result of the closure of KSU's campus.

- 429. The Panel finds that the Claimant is not permitted to introduce a new claim after the Governing Council's deadline of 11 May 1998 for unsolicited supplements or amendments to previously filed claims. Accordingly, the Panel has not considered the claim for staff salaries, and the amount claimed for overtime and other costs is limited to SAR 16,436,526.
- 430. For the reasons stated at paragraph 49 above, incremental costs incurred in providing security guards for the benefit of the civilian and refugee population are, in principle, compensable. The Panel further finds that for the reasons stated at paragraphs 52 to 54 above, incremental overtime costs paid to KSU staff who assisted Kuwaiti refugees are, in principle, compensable.
- 431. The Panel finds that the purchase costs of items for the emergency centre are, in principle, compensable for the reasons stated at paragraphs 46 and 49 above.
- 432. However, with respect to the operations and maintenance contract, the Panel finds that the evidence is insufficient to demonstrate that the increased contract price is a direct loss resulting from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Panel therefore recommends no award of compensation for the contract.
- 433. With respect to the claim for increased expatriate staff travel costs, the Panel finds that the Claimant has not provided evidence sufficient to verify and value the amount claimed. The Panel therefore recommends no award of compensation for the claim.
- 434. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 795,911 for overtime and miscellaneous expenditures.

(d) Recommendation

435. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 2,991,491 for public service expenditures.

7. Recommendation for Ministry of Higher Education

436. Based on its findings regarding the Claim by the Ministry of Higher Education, the Panel recommends compensation in the total amount of SAR 25,383,170.

Table 13. Recommended compensation for Ministry of Higher Education

Loss type	Original claim amount (SAR)	Review amount (SAR)	Recommended compensation (SAR)
Contract	430,553	430,553	nil
Real property	22,209,122	21,107,712	21,107,712
Other tangible property	228,950	228,950	57,238
Payment or relief to others (KSU)	3,432,184	3,432,184	1,111,594
Payment or relief to others (KFU)	360,000	360,000	115,135
Public service expenditures	19,091,879	19,091,879	2,991,491
<u>Total</u>	45,752,688	44,651,278	25,383,170

M. Ministry of Higher Education - Cultural Attaché (UNCC Claim No. 5000222)

1. Other tangible property (KWD 5,386)

- 437. The Claimant seeks compensation for a car alleged to have been stolen from outside the residence of the Saudi Arabian Cultural Attaché in Kuwait City on the night of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The car, a 1986 Honda Accord, was the personal property of the Saudi Arabian Cultural Attaché at the Saudi Arabian Embassy in Kuwait.
- 438. A cross-category check between categories "C" and "F" revealed that a category "C" claim was filed by the owner of the car for the loss of a 1986 Honda Accord. Compensation in the amount claimed was awarded in the fifth instalment of category "C" claims.
- 439. Accordingly, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for the claim for the loss of the car.
- 440. The Panel therefore recommends no award of compensation for other tangible property.
 - 2. Recommendation for Ministry of Higher Education Cultural Attaché
- 441. Based on its findings regarding the Claim by the Ministry of Higher Education Cultural Attaché, the Panel recommends no award of compensation.

Table 14. Recommended compensation for Ministry of Higher Education - Cultural Attaché

Loss type	Original claim amount (KWD)	Review amount (KWD)	Recommended compensation (SAR)
Other Tangible Property	5,386	5,386	nil
<u>Total</u>	5,386	5,386	nil

Page 86

N. National Guard (UNCC Claim No. 5000223)

- 442. The Claimant is a division of the Saudi Arabian Ministry of Defence. The role of the Claimant in peacetime is to maintain order and general security for important installations, protect important persons, provide public health services, and maintain military academies. During hostilities its role is to support the Army in defending Saudi Arabia's borders.
- 443. During the on-site inspection, the Claimant stated that its role was similar to that of reserve troops who could be called upon in the event of an emergency. While the National Guard did not comprise formal military groups that trained every day, the Claimant stated that it did retain a number of formal units, including mechanized brigades, light infantry, medical services units, armoured and educational units. Some of these units actively participated in military operations against Iraq.
- 444. The Claimant asserts that in response to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, Royal orders were issued to the Claimant's troops and civilian employees to maintain military readiness "on all its levels". During the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, the Claimant was responsible for enhancing security measures with respect to important facilities, such as water facilities, electricity stations, communication centres and oil refineries. The Claimant was also charged with establishing security checkpoints throughout the main Saudi Arabian cities and on some main roads and highways and with establishing 24-hour mobile patrols in all Saudi Arabian cities.
- 445. The Panel notes that as there were considerable discrepancies between the losses asserted in the statement of claim and the supporting documentation, the losses as stated in the statement of claim formed the basis of the Panel's review and determination of the Claim.
- 446. In the statement of claim, the Claimant asserted a loss in the amount of USD 210,000,000 for costs allegedly incurred in providing food, water, medical care and accommodation for approximately 70,000 prisoners of war. However, in the response to the article 34 notification, the Claimant stated that:

"The Saudi Ministry of Defence was the one who was responsible for providing the POWs with accommodation, food, and full care. As a result, the National Guard has not claimed any compensation for POWs."

447. In the light of the Claimant's withdrawal of the Claim for USD 210,000,000, the Panel will not consider this part of the Claim further.

1. Real property (SAR 96,304,000)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 448. The Claimant seeks compensation for the loss of barracks, command posts and offices of both the 24th Regiment and the Logistics Base for the Eastern Region, located in Al Khafji, which it alleges were completely destroyed as a result of the Iraqi occupation of Al Khafji on 29-30 January 1991.
- 449. The Claimant asserted that the complex was taken over by higher level National Guard personnel and converted into a communications, supply and logistics centre during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Claimant alleges that the complex was targeted by Iraqi forces and suffered such extensive damage during the occupation and battle of Al Khafji that complete demolition was required. All rubble was removed from the site at the end of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait in order to lessen the detrimental effect on civilian morale in Al Khafji. The Claimant seeks to recover the estimated cost of reconstructing the complex, as the facilities have not been reconstructed. The Claimant stated that currently there are no plans to rebuild the complex as it has adequate facilities in other parts of the Kingdom.

- 450. The Panel notes that the evidence provided in support of the claim includes an estimation of the reconstruction costs and a plan of a standard barracks facility. In response to requests made at the on-site inspection, the Claimant also provided details of building costs for Prince Abdullah Military City, a complex allegedly similar to the Al Khafji complex, as evidence to support the claimed amount. No documentation indicating the original costs of constructing the complex that is the subject of the claim was provided. Nor were photographs of the facility prior to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait or of the damage resulting from the military operations provided.
- 451. During the on-site inspection to Al Khafji, the verification team inspected the site where the Claimant alleges the barracks, command posts and logistics facility were located. The Claimant asserted that all debris was removed from the site after the liberation of Kuwait. However, the verification team did not detect any evidence of previous construction at this site.
- 452. The Panel finds that there is no evidence to establish the existence of the very subject matter of the claim. Therefore, the Panel finds it unnecessary to consider the applicability of Governing Council decision 19. Accordingly, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for the claim.

Page 88

(c) Recommendation

453. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for real property.

2. Payment or relief to others (SAR 7,117,721)

(a) Compensation for dead and wounded (SAR 3,474,000)

(i) Facts and contentions

454. The Claimant seeks reimbursement of the compensation it asserts it was obligated to pay to the families of fourteen persons killed and eight persons wounded as a result of the military operations in Al Khafji. The Claimant alleges that the casualties were sustained on 30 January 1991.

(ii) Analysis and valuation

455. Governing Council decision 11 states that:

"The Governing Council decides that members of the Allied Coalition Armed Forces are not eligible for compensation for loss or injury arising as a consequence of their involvement in Coalition military operations against Iraq, except if the following three conditions are met:

- (a) the compensation is awarded in accordance with the general criteria already adopted; and
- (b) they were prisoners of war as a consequence of their involvement in Coalition military operations against Iraq in response to its unlawful invasion and occupation of Kuwait; and
- (c) the loss or injury resulted from mistreatment in violation of international humanitarian law (including the Geneva Conventions of 1949)."
- 456. The Panel finds that the compensation payments made to military personnel or their families do not satisfy the exceptions stated in Governing Council decision 11 and therefore recommends no award of compensation for this claim.

(b) Hospitality for new arrivals (SAR 3,643,721)

(i) Facts and contentions

- 457. The Claimant seeks compensation for "expenditure on hospitality for new arrivals", comprising food, accommodation and other necessities provided to refugees during the period from 2 August 1990 to 2 March 1991 pursuant to commands given by the Commander of the National Guard.
- 458. During the on-site inspection, the Claimant stated that the claim related to accommodation and hospitality provided to dignitaries, who were

not necessarily refugees. Accommodation was provided mainly in hotels for families or groups, representing both Kuwaiti and non-Kuwaiti organisations.

(ii) Analysis and valuation

- 459. The evidence provided included tables setting out the dates, locations and costs of accommodation and hospitality. The tables did not consistently state the number of people who were hosted. Despite requests in the article 34 notification and during the on-site inspection, the Claimant could neither confirm the total number nor provide a listing of the people who received hospitality.
- 460. In addition, some of the entries on the tables pre-date 2 August 1990, although all the payment orders provided in support of the claim are dated during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. No explanation for these discrepancies was provided.
- 461. The Panel finds that the evidence is insufficient to demonstrate that the costs of accommodating non-Saudi dignitaries were a direct loss resulting from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Panel therefore recommends no award of compensation for the claim.

(c) Recommendation

- 462. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for payment or relief to others.
 - 3. Public service expenditures (SAR 101,914,571)
- (a) Training and bonus payments for new recruits (SAR 3,424,806)

(i) Facts and contentions

- 463. The Claimant seeks compensation for the costs of training and paying "bonuses" and expenses to new recruits during the period from 2 August 1990 to 2 March 1991. A Royal Decree calling for the recruitment of 20,000 troops was issued on 22 October 1990. The Claimant states that in accordance with orders issued to the National Guard troops to be prepared for aggressive action, 5,678 persons of different ranks were recruited.
- 464. The Claimant stated that at the time of Iraq's invasion of Kuwait, approximately four to five million non-Saudi nationals were present in Saudi Arabia. The Claimant asserted that the risk of violence due to the presence of such a large number of foreign nationals necessitated the guarding of various installations and facilities throughout the country. The recruitment of troops was required to ensure internal security, particularly in urban centres.
- 465. The Claimant also stated that some assistance was provided to civilians in the event of a Scud missile attack, and that some National

Guard camps were set up to guard refugee camps. However, no evidence in support of these assertions was provided.

466. With respect to the claim for "bonuses" for new recruits, the Claimant stated that these comprised remuneration paid to new recruits who would not have been recruited but for Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The amount claimed includes both the amounts paid to the recruits and the costs of training.

(ii) Analysis and valuation

- 467. The Panel finds that for the reasons stated at paragraph 40 above, costs incurred in recruiting and training new recruits to prepare for or participate in the military response to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait constitute costs of the Allied Coalition Forces within the meaning of Governing Council decision 19. The Panel therefore recommends no award of compensation for these costs.
- 468. Further, the Panel finds that where these costs were incurred for internal security purposes during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, such costs were not incurred as a direct result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait for the reasons stated at paragraph 282 above. The Panel therefore recommends no award of compensation for these costs.

(b) Overtime for civilian officials (SAR 10,353,777)

(i) Facts and contentions

- 469. The Claimant asserts that in order to maintain its functions and to assist the civilian population, its civilian officials were required to perform overtime during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Claimant seeks to recover the costs of overtime and other staff expenses that it alleges it paid to civilian employees during the period from 2 August 1990 to 2 March 1991. The Claimant states that these payments were made in addition to their normal salaries.
- 470. The evidence provided indicates that the nature of the overtime work was administrative or support work for military units, including logistical support and supervision of arrivals. The Claimant states that the overtime duties performed by civilians were the same as those duties performed during regular work hours.

(ii) Analysis and valuation

471. The Panel finds that, for the reasons stated at paragraph 40 above, costs incurred in providing support to the Claimant's military units are not, in principle, compensable in accordance with Governing Council decision 19. The Panel therefore recommends no award of compensation for overtime and other staff expenses.

(c) Medical services and supplies (SAR 88,135,988)

(i) Facts and contentions

- 472. The Claimant seeks compensation for the costs of medical services and supplies that were incurred during the period from 2 August 1990 to 2 March 1991. In its statement of claim, the Claimant asserted that it incurred SAR 88,135,988 as part of measures taken "to organise and improve the existing medical services, mobilising medical teams available around the clock to counter all eventualities and to bring medical care to its members and to the civilian population in the event of an Iraqi military attack or bomb explosions". The Claimant asserts that in order to undertake these measures, it had to procure stocks of medicines, medical and surgical instruments and a wide range of supplies.
- 473. In its response to the article 34 notification, the Claimant elaborated upon the emergency measures undertaken by one National Guard hospital, King Fahd Hospital in Riyadh. The Claimant also alleged that emergency measures were carried out by other National Guard hospitals, clinics and emergency teams located throughout Saudi Arabia. However, no evidence was provided in support of these assertions. Consideration of the claim is therefore limited to measures undertaken by King Fahd Hospital.
- 474. The Claimant asserted that during peacetime, King Fahd Hospital provides medical services to both military personnel and civilians. During the relevant period, the hospital treated casualties of Scud missile attacks on Riyadh and other casualties from military operations outside Riyadh.
- 475. During the on-site inspection, the Claimant provided evidence in relation to payments made to Gama Services Limited ("Gama"), which was contracted during the relevant period to manage King Fahd Hospital in Riyadh. The evidence supports a total claim amount of SAR 51,632,122, comprising SAR 26,536,456 for consumed medical supplies; SAR 24,062,066 for increased staff costs; and SAR 1,033,600 for gas masks. The total amount claimed for medical services and supplies is reduced accordingly.

(ii) Analysis and valuation

a. Consumed medical supplies (SAR 26,536,456)

- 476. The Panel finds that, for the reasons stated at paragraph 46 above, incremental costs incurred by the Claimant in purchasing emergency medical supplies for the benefit of the civilian population are, in principle, compensable.
- 477. With respect to the claim for consumed medical supplies, the evidence provided comprised a computer-generated schedule summarising, on a monthly basis, consumed medical supplies for the period August 1990 to February 1991. The schedule totals SAR 26,536,456. However, it does not indicate the incremental costs of the consumables and medicines incurred as a result

of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. No breakdown of the incremental costs incurred in respect of military and civilian personnel was provided, despite requests made during the on-site inspection.

- 478. The Panel finds that the evidence is insufficient to demonstrate the incremental costs of consumables and medicines purchased for the benefit of the civilian population as a result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Panel therefore recommends no award of compensation.
 - b. Increased staff costs (SAR 24,062,066)
- 479. In respect of the claim for increased staff costs, the Claimant stated that the following expenditures were incurred:
 - a. evacuation of dependants;
 - b. chartering a flight from Manila;
 - c. increased health and travel insurance for staff;
 - d. staff bonuses;
 - e. overtime;
 - f. locum costs;
 - g. penalty payments; and
 - h. food provided to military personnel and police.

Each of the losses asserted will be addressed in turn.

- 480. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 1,098,446 for evacuation of staff dependants, which the Claimant asserts was undertaken on the advice of "different Embassies". The Panel finds that the costs of evacuating staff dependants from Riyadh during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait are, in principle, compensable in accordance with paragraph 34(a) of Governing Council decision 7, to the extent that the costs are supported by the evidence. 68/
- 481. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 602,066 for chartering a flight from Manila to fly in 171 medical personnel to replace existing staff who left the hospital as a result of the threat of military action to which Saudi Arabia was exposed during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. However, no evidence was provided in support of the assertion that staff left the hospital during that period as a result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, and that recruitment of replacement staff was therefore required. Accordingly, the Panel finds that the evidence provided in support of the claim is insufficient to demonstrate that the charter costs are a direct loss resulting from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Panel therefore recommends no award of compensation for this claim.

- 482. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 83,543 for the increased costs of health insurance resulting from the imposition of war risk insurance for staff. The costs were incurred during the period from January to March 1991. The Claimant also seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 429,759 for the increased costs of travel insurance, alleged to have been incurred as a result of war risk insurance that was imposed on staff travel. The costs were paid on an individual employee basis for each month from September 1990 to February 1991.
- 483. The Panel finds that for the reasons stated at paragraph 61 above, incremental insurance costs resulting from the imposition of war risk insurance are, in principle, compensable to the extent that these costs are supported by the evidence. The Panel finds, however, that the incremental health insurance costs incurred in March 1991 should be deducted from the amount claimed as these were incurred after the relevant period.
- 484. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 14,414,034 for bonuses paid to employees. The Claimant asserts that the bonuses were paid to encourage foreign medical personnel to work at King Fahd Hospital during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. In support of its claim, the Claimant provided the final page of a 166 page computer printout purporting to list the bonus payments. The printout includes details of each employee's name, nationality, description of the payment, amount paid and number of hours worked. The evidence also includes a schedule purporting to show the total amount claimed for "war related employee bonus for the period covering from November 1990 through February 1991".
- 485. The Panel finds that in accordance with paragraph 58 above, bonus payments that were paid as a direct result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait are, in principle, compensable. Such payments would include incentive payments made to staff to work in Riyadh during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, to the extent that they were paid to enable the Claimant to continue its operations.
- 486. However, no evidence was provided in support of the assertion that payment of the bonuses was necessary to induce staff to work at King Fahd hospital in Riyadh during the relevant period. Nor has sufficient evidence been provided to verify and value the amount claimed. The Panel finds that the evidence is insufficient to demonstrate that the bonuses constitute direct costs resulting from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait and therefore recommends no award of compensation.
- 487. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 1,279,693 for overtime that it alleges Gama's employees were required to perform in providing emergency medical services during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 488. The Panel finds that, for the reasons stated at paragraphs 56 to 57 above, incremental costs of overtime incurred in providing emergency medical services for the benefit of the civilian population are, in

principle, compensable. Compensation is not recommended for any overtime performed in assisting military personnel.

- 489. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 797,651 for locum costs and SAR 4,155,000 for "man-month penalties". The evidence provided in support of the claim for locum costs included a list of locum costs incurred during the period from September 1990 to the end of February 1991. No evidence of the locum costs normally incurred by Gama was provided. No explanation or evidence was provided in support of the claim for "man-month penalties".
- 490. The Panel finds that the Claimant has not provided evidence sufficient to demonstrate that incremental locum costs were incurred during the relevant period, and that such costs constitute a direct loss resulting from Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Panel further finds that the Claimant has not provided evidence sufficient to demonstrate and verify the circumstances and amount of the claim for "man-month penalties". The Panel therefore recommends no award of compensation for locum costs and "man-month penalties".
- 491. Finally, the Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 479,538 for food provided to United States military personnel, and SAR 722,336 for food provided to the Claimant's military police.
- 492. The Panel finds that the provision of food to military personnel and police constitutes support provided in relation to the activities of the Allied Coalition Forces and their military response to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. For the reasons stated at paragraph 40 above, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for the costs of providing the food.
- 493. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 1,136,332 for increased staff costs.

c. Gas masks (SAR 1,033,600)

- 494. The Claimant asserts that 10,366 gas masks were purchased for employees of King Fahd Hospital and their dependants during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 495. In accordance with the findings referred to at paragraph 130 above, the Panel finds that the costs of purchasing the gas masks are, in principle, compensable.
- $496. \ \ \,$ In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 1,033,600 for gas masks.

(d) Recommendation

497. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 2,169,932 for public service expenditures.

4. Recommendation for National Guard

498. Based on its findings regarding the Claim by the National Guard, the Panel recommends compensation in the total amount of SAR 2,169,932.

Table 15. Recommended compensation for National Guard

Loss type	Original claim amount (SAR)	Review amount (SAR)	Recommended compensation (SAR)
Real property	96,304,000	96,304,000	nil
Payment or relief to others	7,117,721	7,117,721	nil
Public service expenditures	101,914,571	65,410,705	2,169,932
<u>Total</u>	205,336,292	168,832,426	2,169,932

O. Water and Sewerage Authority (UNCC Claim No. 5000225)

- 499. The Claimant operates, maintains, manages and supervises water and sewerage projects in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia under the authority of the Ministry of Municipal and Rural Affairs. It is funded under the general budget of the Government of Saudi Arabia and earns revenue from consumers of water and sewerage services.
- 500. The Claimant sought compensation in the total amount of SAR 40,009,268 in the statement of claim. However, one claim element, for water and drainage equipment and staff who assisted the Meteorology and Environmental Protection Administration, relates to environmental damage. This loss element has been severed and assigned to the "F4" Panel appointed to review environmental claims. The remaining components of the Claim total SAR 38,615,546.

1. Real property (SAR 30,000)

(a) Facts and contentions

501. The Claimant asserts that its main offices, a pump house and staff accommodation in Al Khafji were damaged as a result of shelling and rocket attacks that occurred in Al Khafji from 29 to 31 January 1991. The Claimant's maintenance contractors carried out maintenance and repair works in respect of the damaged buildings in Al Khafji after the liberation of Kuwait.

(b) Analysis and valuation

502. The Panel finds that for the reasons stated at paragraph 37 above, the real property damage to the Claimant's buildings is, in principle, compensable. However, the evidence is insufficient to verify and value the full amount claimed.

(c) Recommendation

503. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 4,500 for real property.

2. Other tangible property (SAR 42,950)

(a) Facts and contentions

504. The Claimant asserts that laboratory equipment was lost or damaged as a result of military operations in Al Khafji.

(b) Analysis and valuation

505. The Panel finds that the loss or damage of laboratory equipment is, in principle, compensable for the reasons stated at paragraph 37 above. Adjustments for depreciation to the amount claimed have been made in accordance with paragraph 76 above.

(c) Recommendation

506. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 3,938 for other tangible property.

3. Public service expenditures (SAR 38,542,596)

- 507. The Claimant seeks compensation for a number of measures it asserts were carried out to provide water to refugees and the displaced local population. The Claimant asserts that the refugees and civilians did not have access to the public water supply or could no longer obtain water due to damage to the Claimant's property. During the on-site inspection, the Claimant stated that during the period 2 August 1990 to 2 March 1991 it provided water and sewerage services, including water tanks and portable toilets, to two refugee camps and four schools in which refugees were accommodated in Al Khafji. Water and sewerage services were also provided to some refugees in a camp in Dammam.
- 508. During the on-site inspection, the Claimant explained that two water sources were available in the region ground water and desalination plants and that one source could be substituted for the other in event of emergency, such as pollution of the Persian Gulf waters. The Claimant seeks compensation for the cost of emergency work undertaken to ensure an uninterrupted water supply in the event of damage or disruption to desalination plants and power supplies. These measures were carried out in accordance with the Claimant's emergency plans that were devised and implemented in the Eastern Province.

(a) Wages and operational costs for water tankers (SAR 129,910)

(i) Facts and contentions

509. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 119,910 for wages paid to drivers and SAR 10,000 for fuel for water tankers that were used to transport water to refugee camps in the Eastern Province during the period from 3 August 1990 to 18 January 1991. The Claimant states that the drivers had not previously been in the Claimant's employ.

- 510. The Panel finds that costs incurred in providing water for refugees and civilians are, in principle, compensable for the reasons stated at paragraphs 52 to 54 above.
- 511. However, the evidence provided included a sample of payroll records dated August to November 1988 but no payroll records relating to overtime performed during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. No evidence was provided in support of the asserted fuel costs. The Panel therefore finds that the evidence is insufficient to verify and value the claim and recommends no award of compensation.

(b) Purchase of 100 water tankers (SAR 12,578,390)

(i) Facts and contentions

- 512. The Claimant states that 100 water tankers were purchased to transport and provide water supplies to refugees and "to deal with the emergency circumstances" during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 513. The Claimant stated that the tankers were severely damaged during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait due to harmful weather conditions, the condition of the roads and the intense usage to which the tankers were put. The Claimant asserted that the tankers therefore had no useful life after the relevant period. It was further asserted that five of the tankers were lost or destroyed during the relevant period as a result of military operations.

(ii) Analysis and valuation

- 514. The Panel finds that costs of purchasing water tankers to provide water for refugees and civilians are, in principle, compensable for the reasons stated in paragraph 49 above. Adjustments for residual value to the amount claimed were made in accordance with paragraph 76 above.
- 515. The Panel also finds that the claim for loss or destruction of five tankers as a result of military operations is, in principle, compensable for the reasons stated at paragraph 37 above.
- 516. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 858,088 for purchase of water tankers.

(c) Drilling of 19 wells (SAR 4,187,750)

(i) Facts and contentions

517. The Claimant asserts that 19 water wells were drilled during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait at locations where refugees were accommodated due to lack of water supplies in those areas.

- 518. The Panel finds that costs of drilling wells to provide water for refugees and civilians during the relevant period are, in principle, compensable for the reasons stated at paragraph 49 above. Adjustments for residual value to the amount claimed were made in accordance with paragraph 76 above.
- 519. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 1,357,688 for drilling wells.

(d) Purchase of 110 water pumps (SAR 3,603,750)

(i) Facts and contentions

520. The Claimant seeks compensation for the costs of purchasing 110 water pumps for pre-existing wells as well as for the 19 wells drilled for the refugee camps. The water pumps, which were purchased between December 1990 and February 1991, were to be used to operate the wells in the event of breakdown of the desalination plants. The Claimant asserts that the pumps were severely damaged during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait due to harmful weather conditions and intense usage.

(ii) Analysis and valuation

- 521. The Panel finds that the costs of purchasing the pumps are, in principle, compensable for the reasons stated at paragraphs 46 and 49 above. Adjustments for residual value to the amount claimed were made in accordance with paragraph 76 above.
- 522. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 234,244 for pumps.

(e) Purchase of 93 generators (SAR 15,767,444)

(i) $\underline{\text{Facts and contenti}}$ ons

- 523. The Claimant asserts that generators were purchased to operate the water pumps attached to the pre-existing and purpose-built water wells in case of disruption to the main power supply. The Claimant further asserts that the generators, which were purchased during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, were severely damaged during this period due to harmful weather conditions and intense usage. The Claimant seeks compensation for the purchase costs of the generators.
- 524. During the on-site inspection, the Claimant confirmed that the amount claimed included a duplicate amount. The claim amount is therefore reduced to SAR 15,304,371.

- 525. The Panel finds that the costs of purchasing the generators are, in principle, compensable for the reasons stated at paragraphs 46 and 49 above. Adjustments for residual value to the amount claimed were made in accordance with paragraph 76 above.
- 526. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 994,784 for generators.

(f) Purchase of portable toilets and reservoirs (SAR 629,750)

(i) Facts and contentions

527. The Claimant seeks compensation for the purchase costs of 70 portable toilets and 237 reservoirs for portable toilets. The Claimant asserts that the reservoirs and portable toilets were delivered to Civil Defence for "use in the inflicted areas and at Civil Defence request".

(ii) Analysis and valuation

- 528. The Panel finds that for the reasons stated at paragraph 49 above the costs of the portable toilets and reservoirs are, in principle, compensable. Adjustments for residual value to the amount claimed were made in accordance with paragraph 76 above.
- 529. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an amount of SAR 40,914 for portable toilets and reservoirs.

(g) Purchase of telecommunications equipment (SAR 751,040)

(i) Facts and contentions

530. The Claimant seeks compensation for wireless communications equipment that it asserts was purchased to provide communication in areas outside the telephone network and to be used in the event of disruption to the public telecommunications network.

(ii) Analysis and valuation

- 531. The Panel finds that costs of purchasing mobile telecommunications equipment are, in principle, compensable for the reasons stated at paragraphs 46 above. Adjustments for residual value to the amount claimed were made in accordance with paragraph 76 above.
- 532. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 24,409 for telecommunications equipment.

(h) Overtime (SAR 894,562)

(i) Facts and contentions

533. The Claimant states that during the period 2 August 1990 to 2 August 1991, staff members were assigned to specific emergency tasks or functions, ad hoc committees made up of the claimant's officials and other technical experts were established and staff were placed on shifts in order to maintain operations on a 24-hour basis as part of the Claimant's emergency plan. The Claimant asserted that approximately 200 employees performed overtime during this period.

(ii) Analysis and valuation

- 534. The Panel finds that for the reasons stated at paragraphs 56 to 57 above, incremental overtime costs that were incurred by the Claimant in implementating its emergency plan are, in principle, compensable to the extent that such costs were incurred during the period 2 August 1990 to 2 March 1991.
- 535. The Claimant provided copies of payment orders for overtime work, none of which were dated during the relevant period. The payment orders related to decisions approving overtime that were also not dated during that period. No payroll records were provided in support of this claim.
- 536. The Panel finds that the evidence is insufficient to verify and value the claim for overtime performed during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait and therefore recommends no award of compensation.

(i) Recommendation

537. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 3,510,127 for public service expenditures.

4. Recommendation for Water and Sewerage Authority

538. Based on its findings regarding the Claim by the Water and Sewerage Authority, the Panel recommends compensation in the total amount of SAR 3,518,565.

Table 16. Recommended compensation for Water and Sewerage Authority

Loss type	Original claim amount (SAR)	Review amount (SAR)	Recommended compensation (SAR)
Real property	30,000	30,000	4,500
Other tangible property	42,950	42,950	3,938
Public service expenditures	38,542,596	38,079,523	3,510,127
<u>Total</u>	38,615,546	38,152,473	3,518,565

P. Municipality of Al Khafji (UNCC Claim No. 5000226)

539. The Claimant is a Saudi Arabian Government entity that is supervised by the Ministry of Municipal and Rural Affairs.

1. Real property (SAR 13,063,293)

- 540. The Claimant alleges that military operations and the passage of military vehicles in Al Khafji caused damage to municipal buildings, road surfaces, pavements, lighting and public parks. The Claimant seeks compensation for the cost of repairs to the damaged roads and buildings.
- 541. During the on-site inspection, the Claimant provided contemporaneous video footage of the damage caused to the town of Al Khafji as a result of military operations.

(a) Damage to roads (SAR 11,672,100)

(i) Facts and contentions

- 542. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 11,672,100 for the costs of repairing the Municipality's roads. The Claimant asserts that the damage to the roads occurred as a result of heavy traffic, artillery damage and flooding due to high water tables. The Claimant asserts that the flooding occurred as it was prevented from carrying out its usual practice of pumping out ponded rainwater to vacant land during the period of the military operations.
- 543. After the on-site inspection, the Claimant sought to increase the amount claimed for damage to roads to SAR 12,433,663.

(ii) Analysis and valuation

- 544. For the reasons stated at paragraph 429 above, the Panel finds that the Claimant is not permitted to increase the amount claimed and that the claim amount for damage to roads is therefore limited to SAR 11,672,100.
- 545. The Panel finds that the damage to roads occurred as a result of military operations by either side in Al Khafji and is therefore, in principle, compensable for the reasons stated at paragraph 37 above. Adjustments for depreciation to the amount claimed were made in accordance with paragraph 76 above.
- 546. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 1,890,760 for damage to roads.

(b) Damage to buildings (SAR 400,000) and lighting (SAR 372,000)

(i) Facts and contentions

547. The Claimant asserts that as a result of the military operations in Al Khafji, damage was caused to municipal buildings and lighting. The

Claimant also asserts that some damage was due to extensive use of the buildings by refugees.

(ii) Analysis and valuation

548. The Panel finds that real property damage to civilian buildings and lighting in Al Khafji arising as a result of military operations by either side is, in principle, compensable for the reasons stated at paragraph 37 above. The Panel also finds that real property damage to municipal buildings arising as a result of accommodating refugees is, in principle, compensable for the reasons stated at paragraph 49 above. However, the Panel finds that the evidence is insufficient to verify and value the full amount claimed for damage to buildings. Adjustments for depreciation to the amount claimed for lighting have been made in accordance with paragraph 76 above.

549. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 101,538 for damage to buildings and SAR 63,500 for damage to lighting.

(c) Damage to municipal greenland (SAR 500,000)

(i) Facts and contentions

550. The Claimant asserts that as a result of military operations in Al Khafji, it could not water trees and plants in the Municipality during the relevant period. As a result, increased ground salinity destroyed some of the Municipality's greenland. During the on-site inspection, the Claimant also alleged that some damage to vegetation occurred as the result of oily rain that fell after the Kuwaiti oil fields were set alight although no evidence was provided in support of this assertion. The Claimant seeks compensation for the loss of palm trees, shrubbery and grass.

(ii) Analysis and valuation

551. The Panel finds that military operations that took place in Al Khafji prevented the Claimant from watering the Municipality greenland, which in turn led to the loss of palm trees, shrubbery and grass. The Panel finds that such losses are, in principle, compensable for the reasons stated at paragraph 37 above. However, the evidence is insufficient to verify and value the full amount claimed. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 75,000 for greenland.

(d) Damage to municipal garage (SAR 119,193)

(i) Facts and contentions

552. The Claimant asserts that the door and locks of the garage were broken and tangible property located in the garage damaged during military operations in Al Khafji. In particular, the Claimant asserts that a shovel and a crane were damaged as a result of use by the Allied Coalition Forces.

Page 104

However, no evidence in support of these assertions or of the damage alleged to have occurred was provided by the Claimant.

(ii) Analysis and valuation

553. The Panel finds that the Claimant has not provided evidence sufficient to demonstrate the circumstances and amount of the claimed loss and therefore recommends that no compensation be awarded for damage to the municipal garage.

(e) Recommendation

554. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 2,130,798 for real property.

2. Other tangible property (SAR 354,307)

(a) Facts and contentions

555. The Claimant seeks compensation in respect of garage equipment, including an air compressor, battery accumulator, crane and a shovel, $\underline{69}$ / which it alleges was lost or destroyed during the occupation and battle of Al Khafji.

(b) Analysis and valuation

556. The Panel finds that other tangible property damage arising as a result of military operations in Al Khafji is, in principle, compensable for the reasons stated at paragraph 37 above. Adjustments for depreciation to the amount claimed were made in accordance with paragraph 76 above.

(c) Recommendation

557. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 1,013 for other tangible property.

3. Public service expenditures (SAR 450,000)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 558. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 180,000 for overtime and SAR 270,000 for additional fuel costs it alleges were incurred in implementing emergency procedures during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 559. The Claimant asserts that the emergency measures were implemented in accordance with directives issued by the Minister of the Interior and Head of the Civil Defence Authority on 1 September 1990 and that the implementation of the emergency measures required the Claimant's staff to work under a state of emergency on a 24-hour basis. The staff were charged with, inter alia, assisting refugees; setting up and training teams in evacuation, first aid and driving service and emergency vehicles; constructing, filling and distributing water tanks as a precaution against

possible attack on the saline water conversion plant; and ensuring an uninterrupted supply of commodities.

560. The Claimant also asserts that its staff, including engineers and surveyors, were required to assist in the removal of damaged buildings and clearing of debris; identify water reserves; and support civil defence units. The Claimant asserts that these activities resulted in increased fuel consumption.

(b) Analysis and valuation

- 561. In accordance with the reasons set out at paragraph 46 above, the Panel finds that the Claimant's emergency procedures were a reasonable and proportionate response to the threat of military action to which the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia was exposed during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. For the reasons stated at paragraphs 56 to 57 above, the Panel therefore finds that the incremental costs of overtime incurred in carrying out the emergency procedures are, in principle, compensable.
- 562. However, the Panel notes that the evidence provided in support of the claim included overtime records dated in 1993 and payment orders that do not correspond to the amount claimed. The Panel finds that the evidence is insufficient to verify and value the claim for overtime costs and therefore recommends no award of compensation.
- 563. With respect to the claim for increased fuel consumption, the Claimant has provided payment orders that are dated after the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The Panel finds that the evidence is insufficient to verify and value the claim for increased fuel costs and therefore recommends no award of compensation.

(c) Recommendation

564. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for public service expenditures.

4. Recommendation for Municipality of Al Khafji

565. Based on its findings regarding the Claim by the Municipality of Al Khafji, the Panel recommends compensation in the total amount of SAR 2,131,811.

Table 17. Recommended compensation for Municipality of Al Khafji

Loss type	Original claim amount (SAR)	Review amount (SAR)	Recommended compensation (SAR)
Real property	13,063,293	13,063,293	2,130,798
Other tangible property	354,307	354,307	1,013
Public service expenditures	450,000	450,000	nil
<u>Total</u>	13,867,600	13,867,600	2,131,811

Q. Charity Society (UNCC Claim No. 5000227)

566. The Charity Society is a charitable organization based in Al Khafji that operates under the supervision of the Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs. The Society, which is funded by donations and receives annual financial assistance from that Ministry, determines its annual budgets in accordance with the instructions of that Ministry.

1. Payment or relief to others (SAR 389,287)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 567. The Claimant seeks compensation for costs incurred in providing refugees with food, water, accommodation, medical aid and other services between 2 August 1990 and 2 March 1991. In its response to the article 34 notification, the Claimant stated that approximately 350,000 refugees from Kuwait entered Saudi Arabia through Al Khafji. However, no record of the number or identities of the refugees who received assistance from the Claimant was maintained.
- 568. During the on-site inspection, the Claimant provided evidence, including a number of letters dated during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait from the Emir of Al Khafji to the Secretary General of the Charity Society, requesting that cash assistance be given to Kuwaiti refugees out of a fund entitled "committee for assistance to displaced Kuwaitis". The evidence indicates that this fund was established by the Al Khafji Emirate and received donations from various entities for the provision of relief to Kuwaiti refugees. The evidence also includes payment orders in respect of payments made to refugees.

(b) Analysis and valuation

- 569. The Panel finds that for the reasons stated at paragraph 49 above, the claim for assistance provided by the Charity Society to refugees entering Saudi Arabia during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait is, in principle, compensable.
- 570. An examination of the evidence indicates that the Charity Society received donations for the fund to provide assistance to Kuwaiti refugees and that payments were made out of this fund. The evidence also indicates that the Charity Society transferred funds within its own budget to provide assistance to refugees, and therefore had to postpone construction of a new building. However, the evidence was not sufficient to verify and value the full amount claimed.

(c) Recommendation

571. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 143,476 for payment or relief to others.

2. Recommendation for Charity Society

572. Based on its findings regarding the Claim by the Charity Society, the Panel recommends compensation in the total amount of SAR 143,476.

Table 18. Recommended compensation for Charity Society

Loss type	Original claim amount (SAR)	Review amount (SAR)	Recommended compensation (SAR)
Payment or relief to others	389,287	389,287	143,476
<u>Total</u>	389,287	389,287	143,476

R. Saudi Arabian Red Crescent Society (UNCC Claim No. 5000228)

573. The Saudi Arabian Red Crescent Society in Al Khafji is a charitable society that was established by Royal Decree, and is a permanent member of the International Federation of the Red Crescent and Red Cross. It is funded by means of contributions, grants and aid from a number of sources, including the Government of Saudi Arabia.

1. Real Property (SAR 80,000)

(a) Facts and contentions

574. The Claimant seeks compensation for damage to two prefabricated buildings, comprising offices, a training hall, storage room, an infirmary and a prayer room. The Claimant alleges that the buildings were damaged by Iraqi forces during the battle of Al Khafji and could no longer be used after the withdrawal of Iraqi forces from the town. The Claimant alleges that it had to use a student house as a temporary centre until new premises could be constructed.

(b) Analysis and valuation

575. For the reasons stated at paragraph 37 above, the Panel finds that real property damage to the Claimant's buildings arising as a result of military operations in Al Khafji is, in principle, compensable. Adjustments for depreciation to the amount claimed were made in accordance with paragraph 76 above.

(c) Recommendation

576. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 46,500 for real property.

2. Other tangible property (SAR 35,000)

(a) Facts and contentions

577. The Claimant seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 15,000 for the loss of medical equipment, including oxygen cylinders and first aid kits, and SAR 20,000 for the loss of office furniture, blankets and carpets.

(b) Analysis and valuation

578. For the reasons stated at paragraph 37 above, the Panel finds that other tangible property damage at the Claimant's buildings arising as a result of military operations in Al Khafji is, in principle, compensable. Adjustments for depreciation to the amount claimed were made in accordance with paragraph 76 above.

(c) Recommendation

579. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 5,250 for other tangible property.

3. Recommendation for Red Crescent Society

580. Based on its findings regarding the Claim by the Red Crescent Society, the Panel recommends compensation in the total amount of SAR 51,750.

Table 19. Recommended compensation for Red Crescent Society

Loss type	Original claim amount (SAR)	Review amount (SAR)	Recommended compensation (SAR)
Real property	80,000	80,000	46,500
Other tangible property	35,000	35,000	5,250
Total	115,000	115,000	51,750

S. Department of Education for Girls (UNCC Claim No. 5000229)

- 581. The Claimant is a Saudi Arabian Government entity based in Al Khafji. It is responsible for operating and supervising schools for girls in the Eastern Province.
- 582. In its revised statement of claim filed in February 1999, the Claimant sought to increase the total amount claimed from SAR 9,650,000 to SAR 9,678,830. For the reasons stated at paragraph 429 above, the Panel finds that the Claimant is not permitted to increase the amount claimed. The total amount claimed is therefore limited to SAR 9,650,000.

1. Real property (SAR 9,360,486)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 583. The Claimant seeks compensation for the estimated value of the loss of three school buildings that it alleges became unusable as a result of damage inflicted during the battle of Al Khafji or as a result of accommodating Kuwaiti refugees. The Claimant states that one school was demolished in 1998 while two schools, which were evacuated in 1992 and 1994 respectively, were scheduled for demolition.
- 584. The Claimant also seeks compensation for the estimated costs of repairing a fourth school. The Claimant asserts that the building was damaged as a result of military operations but is still in use.

(b) Analysis and valuation

- 585. The Panel finds that real property damage to the schools arising as a result of military operations is, in principle, compensable for the reasons stated at paragraph 37 above. The Panel also finds that real property damage arising as a result of accommodating refugees in the schools is, in principle, compensable for the reasons stated at paragraph 49 above.
- 586. However, despite requests made in the article 34 notification and during the on-site inspection, neither a schedule of damage to the properties nor any quantified estimates for repair and reconstruction were provided by the Claimant.
- 587. Furthermore, inspection of the two schools scheduled for demolition suggested that the damage asserted was unrelated to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. This conclusion is supported by the fact that the schools remained in use for varying periods after Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- 588. The Panel therefore concludes that the evidence is insufficient to verify and value the claim for real property damage to one school, and insufficient evidence to demonstrate that the damage to the remaining two schools was suffered as a result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait.

Page 112

- 589. In support of the claim for the fourth school, the Claimant provided a scope of works and an invoice for repair works totalling SAR 289,261 for works carried out between 5 August and 29 November 1990. The Panel concludes that the work was carried out prior to military operations, including the occupation and battle of Al Khafji, that took place in the Eastern Province between 15 January and 2 March 1991.
- 590. Although the Claimant alleged that some damage was caused to the schools as a result of accommodating Kuwaiti refugees, no evidence in support of this assertion was provided.
- 591. The Panel therefore finds that the Claimant has not provided evidence sufficient to verify and value the claim for real property damage to the fourth school and recommends no award of compensation.

(c) Recommendation

592. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for real property.

2. Other tangible property (SAR 139,514)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 593. The Claimant seeks compensation for items of tangible property, including school furniture, equipment and electrical items, that it asserts were lost or damaged from the four school buildings in Al Khafji.
- 594. The Claimant asserts that the losses of one school resulted from accommodating Kuwaiti refugees while the losses of the remaining three school buildings arose as a result of military operations in Al Khafji. General damage reports were provided in support of the claims, together with some evidence relating to the losses from the fourth school.

(b) Analysis and valuation

- 595. The Panel finds that other tangible property damage to the schools arising as a result of military operations is, in principle, compensable for the reasons stated at paragraph 37 above. The Panel also finds that other tangible property damage arising as a result of accommodating refugees in one school building is, in principle, compensable for the reasons stated at paragraph 49 above.
- 596. The Panel finds that the evidence is insufficient to verify and value the claim for other tangible property losses from three of the schools. However, some evidence was provided in support of the claim for losses from the fourth school.

(c) Recommendation

597. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 2,162 for other tangible property.

3. Payment or relief to others (SAR 150,000)

(a) Facts and contentions

598. The Claimant seeks compensation for overtime payments made to five staff members who assisted refugees during the period from 2 August 1990 to 2 March 1991. Apart from a statement setting out the calculations for overtime in respect of the five listed staff members, no other evidence was provided in support of the claim.

(b) Analysis and valuation

- 599. The Panel finds that for the reasons stated at paragraphs 52 to 54 above, incremental overtime costs that were incurred in providing assistance to refugees are, in principle, compensable.
- 600. However, the Panel finds that the evidence is insufficient to verify and value the claim and therefore recommends no award of compensation.

(c) Recommendation

601. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for payment or relief to others.

4. Recommendation for Department of Education for Girls

602. Based on its findings regarding the Claim by the Department of Education for Girls, the Panel recommends compensation in the total amount of SAR 2,162.

Table 20. Recommended compensation for Department of Education for Girls

Loss type	Original claim amount (SAR)	Review amount (SAR)	Recommended compensation (SAR)
Real property	9,360,486	9,360,486	nil
Other tangible property	139,514	139,514	2,162
Payment or relief to others	150,000	150,000	nil
<u>Total</u>	9,650,000	9,650,000	2,162

T. Al Alamein Sports Club (UNCC Claim No. 5000231)

603. The Claimant is a social club based in Al Khafji that organizes sports, social and cultural activities. It is a Saudi Arabian Government entity that is affiliated with the General Presidency of Youth Welfare, from which it receives its funding. The General Presidency of Youth Welfare itself receives budgetary allocations through the Ministry of Finance and National Economy.

1. Real property (SAR 2,480)

(a) Facts and contentions

604. The Claimant seeks compensation for the repair of real property damage to the doors and windows of the club's administration building, alleged to have occurred as a result of military operations in Al Khafji.

(b) Analysis and valuation

605. The Panel finds that real property damage to the club's administration building arising as a result of military operations is, in principle, compensable for the reasons stated at paragraph 37 above. However, the Panel finds that the evidence is insufficient to verify and value the full amount claimed.

(c) Recommendation

606. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 1,240 for real property.

2. Other tangible property (SAR 131,805)

(a) Facts and contentions

607. The Claimant seeks compensation for sporting and office equipment alleged to have been stolen from the club's premises during the occupation of Al Khafji by Iraqi forces, the subsequent battle and the period of evacuation of the town which the Claimant asserts was ordered by the Saudi Arabian military commander.

(b) Analysis and valuation

608. For the reasons stated at paragraphs 37 and 107 above, the Panel finds that the claim for other tangible property damage in Al Khafji is, in principle, compensable. Adjustments for depreciation to the amount claimed were made in accordance with paragraph 76 above.

(c) Recommendation

 $609.\,$ In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 31,299 for other tangible property.

3. Evacuation costs (SAR 21,000)

(a) Facts and contentions

610. The Claimant seeks compensation for the costs of evacuating sports coaches and other staff members from Al Khafji and for providing them with accommodation, meals and domestic transport fares. In its response to the article 34 notification, the Claimant purported to increase the amount claimed to SAR 22,000.

(b) Analysis and valuation

- 611. For the reasons stated at paragraph 170 above, the Panel finds that the claim amount for evacuation costs is limited to SAR 21,000.
- 612. The Panel finds that costs of evacuating staff as a result of military operations or the threat of military action in Al Khafji are, in principle, compensable in accordance with paragraph 34(a) of Governing Council decision 7. However, the evidence is insufficient to verify and value the full amount claimed.

(c) Recommendation

613. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 12,600 for evacuation costs.

4. Recommendation for Al Alamein Sports Club

614. Based on its findings regarding the Claim by the Al Alamein Sports Club, the Panel recommends compensation in the total amount of SAR 45,139.

Table 21. Recommended compensation for Al Alamein Sports Club

Loss type	Original claim amount (SAR)	Review amount (SAR)	Recommended compensation (SAR)
Real property	2,480	2,480	1,240
Other tangible property	131,805	131,805	31,299
Evacuation Costs	21,000	21,000	12,600
<u>Total</u>	155,285	155,285	45,139

U. Goodness Preaching Authority (UNCC Claim No. 5000232)

615. The Claimant is a Saudi Arabian Government entity that is responsible for providing guidance on Islamic teaching. 70/

1. Other tangible property (SAR 4,505)

(a) Facts and contentions

616. The Claimant seeks compensation for damage or loss of tangible property, including office furniture and equipment, from its office in Al Khafji that it alleges to have resulted from military operations in that town.

(b) Analysis and valuation

617. For the reasons stated at paragraphs 37 and 107 above, the Panel finds that the claim for other tangible property in Al Khafji is, in principle, compensable. Adjustments for depreciation to the amount claimed were made in accordance with paragraph 76 above.

(c) Recommendation

618. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 1,352 for other tangible property.

2. Public service expenditures (SAR 4,500)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 619. The Claimant asserts that during Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, damage occurred to its building and contents in Al Khafji. The Claimant asserts that the building damage was such that it was necessary for the Claimant to find temporary accommodation in Dammam until repairs could be undertaken. However, as the building was leased, these repairs were undertaken at no cost to the Claimant and therefore do not form part of this Claim.
- 620. In its response to the article 34 notification, the Claimant states that costs were incurred in moving offices temporarily to Dammam. The amount of these costs is not stated. It is assumed that the amount of SAR 4,500, representing the balance of the amount claimed for tangible property and the total amount claimed, is the amount claimed for the costs of moving to Dammam.

(b) Analysis and valuation

621. The Panel finds that costs incurred in moving offices due to damage caused to the Claimant's building in Al Khafji as a result of military operations are, in principle, compensable pursuant to paragraph 34(a) of Governing Council decision 7.

622. However, the Claimant has not provided any evidence to verify and value its claim for the costs of moving to Dammam. The Panel finds that there is insufficient evidence to demonstrate that a loss was sustained by the Claimant and therefore recommends no award of compensation.

(c) Recommendation

623. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for public service expenditures.

3. Recommendation for Goodness Preaching Authority

624. Based on its findings regarding the Claim by the Goodness Preaching Authority, the Panel recommends compensation in the total amount of SAR 1,352.

Table 22. Recommended compensation for Goodness Preaching Authority

Loss type	Original claim amount (SAR)	Review amount (SAR)	Recommended compensation (SAR)
Other tangible property	4,505	4,505	1,352
Public service expenditures	4,500	4,500	nil
<u>Total</u>	9,005	9,005	1,352

V. Ministry of Education (Dammam) (UNCC Claim No. 5000235)

- 625. The Ministry of Education is a Government entity that is responsible for primary and secondary schools in Saudi Arabia. The Ministry of Education has filed three claims with the Commission, each of which relates to a different region in Saudi Arabia.
- 626. The Ministry of Education in Dammam is responsible for primary and secondary schools in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia, including Dammam and Al Khafji.

1. Real property (SAR 1,650,285)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 627. The Claimant alleges that a number of its school buildings in Al Khafji and Dammam suffered damage both as a result of military operations in the Eastern Province and as a result of accommodating Kuwaiti refugees during the period 2 August 1990 to 2 March 1991. The Claimant states that the vast majority of the damage arose as a result of accommodating Kuwaiti refugees in the Eastern Province.
- 628. The Claim includes an amount of SAR 632,500 for the costs of repairing the student house at Al Khafji, where the evidence indicates 307 refugees were accommodated.
- 629. The Claim also includes an amount of SAR 747,785 for damage to nine intermediate schools. The evidence for intermediate schools indicates that two of the schools were used to accommodate Kuwaiti refugees, while a third school suffered damage as a result of military operations or the accommodation of refugees in the Eastern Province. No evidence was provided in support of the amounts asserted for the remaining six intermediate schools.
- 630. The Claimant also seeks SAR 270,000 for damage to the water network. An undated internal damage report which estimates the cost of the repair works to be SAR 270,000 was provided in support of the claim.

(b) Analysis and valuation

- 631. The Panel finds that real property damage to the schools arising as a result of military operations is, in principle, compensable for the reasons stated at paragraph 37 above. The Panel also finds that real property damage to the schools arising as a result of accommodating refugees is, in principle, compensable for the reasons stated at paragraph 49 above.
- 632. With respect to the intermediate schools, the Panel finds that the evidence was sufficient only to verify and value the claim for repair costs asserted in respect of three of the nine intermediate schools.

- 633. The Panel finds that the Claimant has not provided evidence sufficient to verify and value the claim for costs of repairing the water network and therefore recommends no award of compensation.
- 634. Adjustments for betterment and depreciation to the amounts claimed for the student house and three intermediate schools were made in accordance with paragraph 76 above.

(c) Recommendation

635. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 233,229 for real property.

2. Other tangible property (SAR 3,689,500)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 636. The Claimant seeks compensation for other tangible property losses it alleges were incurred as a result of accommodating Kuwaiti refugees in its buildings.
- 637. In the original statement of claim, a total amount of SAR 3,659,500 was claimed in respect of other tangible losses suffered by a number of secondary schools, a library, scout block and student homes in the region. However, the Panel notes that this amount appears to be an arithmetical error, since the separate loss elements asserted in the statement of claim total SAR 3,689,500.
- 638. In the revised statement of claim, filed in early 1999, an additional amount of SAR 955,325 was sought by the Claimant in respect of other tangible property damage to 23 primary schools. In addition, the revised statement of claim sought compensation in the amount of SAR 1,018,500 for damage to the student home at Al Khafji, an increase to the amount of SAR 1,016,000 claimed in the original statement of claim for this loss element.

(b) Analysis and valuation

- 639. For the reasons stated at paragraph 429 above, the Panel finds that the Claimant is not permitted to increase the amount claimed or add a new claim. The claim amount for other tangible property is therefore limited to SAR 3,689,500.
- 640. The Panel finds that in considering all the evidence for the Claim, the claim for SAR 632,500 for real property damage at the Al Khafji student home is duplicated in the claim for other tangible property damage at the same building. A claim for SAR 35,000 for wardrobes is also duplicated. Therefore, the amount claimed for other tangible property damage is reduced to SAR 3,022,000.
- 641. The Panel finds that other tangible property damage arising as a result of accommodating refugees in the schools is, in principle, compensable for the reasons stated at paragraph 49 above. Adjustments for

Page 120

depreciation to the amount claimed were made in accordance with paragraph 76 above.

(c) Recommendation

642. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 226,650 for other tangible property.

3. Recommendation for Ministry of Education (Dammam)

643. Based on its findings regarding the Claim by the Ministry of Education (Dammam), the Panel recommends compensation in the total amount of SAR 459,879.

Table 23. Recommended compensation for Ministry of Education (Dammam)

Loss type	Original claim amount (SAR)	Review amount (SAR)	Recommended compensation (SAR)
Real property	1,650,285	1,650,285	233,229
Other tangible property	3,689,500	3,022,000	226,650
<u>Total</u>	5,339,785	4,672,285	459,879

W. Ministry of Education (Hafr Al Baten) (UNCC Claim No. 5000236)

1. Real property (SAR 508,500)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 644. The Claimant seeks compensation for damage asserted to have been caused by an Iraqi Scud missile explosion near the Abou Bakr School and student centre at Hafr Al Baten, which is located close to the northern border of Saudi Arabia with Iraq. The Claimant asserts that the explosion caused damage to the exterior and the interior of the buildings that necessitated repairs to doors, windows and cracks and fissures in walls. The Claimant seeks SAR 77,550 for the costs of repairing the damage caused by the Scud missile explosion. Contemporaneous video footage, including news reports, of Scud missile attacks over Hafr Al Baten and damage resulting therefrom was provided during the on-site inspection.
- 645. The Claimant also seeks compensation in the amount of SAR 430,950 for damage to its sports centre and grounds in Hafr Al Baten that was incurred as a result of their use as a training camp for Saudi Arabian volunteers and as a prisoner of war ("POW") camp for Iraqi POWs during the period from 2 August 1990 to 2 March 1991.
- 646. The Claimant asserts that Saudi Arabia had to recruit and train civilians to form militias in order to defend the civilian population from the risk of Iraqi hostilities. The Claimant alleges that use of its facilities as a training centre and POW camp caused deterioration of the grounds and water pipes. The Claimant further asserts that it was required to remove debris, including wood and barbed wire; repair and replace the damaged water network and the grass surface of the football field at the sports centre; and repair and replace damaged property. The Claimant further states that up to 70 per cent of the damage resulted from the use of the areas as "accommodation camps".
- 647. The Claimant could not state the respective dates when the sports centre was used as a training camp and POW camp, but provided a translated copy of an urgent cable, dated 4 October 1990, from the Minister of Education to the Director of Education, Hafr Al Baten, ordering the handing over of the sports stadium to military forces. The Claimant estimated the total number of occupants to be 10,000 men, but no breakdown between volunteer and POW occupants was provided, despite requests in the article 34 notification and during the on-site inspection. No other evidence of use or occupation of the facilities by the volunteers or POWs was provided in support of the claim.

(b) Analysis and valuation

648. For the reasons stated at paragraph 37 above, the Panel finds that the claim for real property damage caused by a Scud missile attack to be, in principle, compensable. However, the Panel finds that the evidence is

insufficient to verify and value the full amount claimed in respect of the damage.

649. With respect to the sports facilities used as a training camp as part of Saudi Arabia's participation in military operations against Iraq, the Panel recommends no award of compensation for the reasons stated at paragraph 40 above. Further, with respect to the use of facilities as a POW camp, the Panel finds that the evidence is insufficient to demonstrate the circumstances and the amount of the claim for damage and therefore recommends no award of compensation.

(c) Recommendation

650. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 23,265 for real property.

2. Other tangible property (SAR 20,618)

(a) Facts and contentions

651. The Claimant asserts that the Scud missile explosion referred to at paragraph 644 above caused the destruction of items of tangible property, including furniture and equipment.

(b) Analysis and valuation

652. For the reasons stated at paragraph 37 above, the Panel finds that the claim for other tangible property damage caused by a Scud missile attack to be, in principle, compensable. However, the Panel finds that the evidence is insufficient to verify and value the full amount claimed.

(c) Recommendation

653. In the light of the evidence, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 6,185 for other tangible property.

3. Recommendation for Ministry of Education (Hafr Al Baten)

654. Based on its findings regarding the Claim by the Ministry of Education (Hafr Al Baten), the Panel recommends compensation in the total amount of SAR 29,450.

Table 24. Recommended compensation for Ministry of Education (Hafr Al Baten)

Loss type	Original claim amount (SAR)	Review amount (SAR)	Recommended compensation (SAR)
Real property	508,500	508,500	23,265
Other tangible property	20,618	20,618	6,185
<u>Total</u>	529,118	529,118	29,450

X. Ministry of Education (Riyadh) (UNCC Claim No. 5000237)

1. Real property (SAR 97,090)

(a) Facts and contentions

- 655. The Claimant seeks compensation for the costs of repairing real property damage to the Al Fajr Primary school building resulting from accommodating Kuwaiti refugees.
- 656. The Claimant provided a translated copy of a circular dated 7 August 1990 by the Mayor of Riyadh addressed to "all mayors" authorizing accommodation of Kuwaiti refugees in Government schools in the Riyadh region.
- 657. Although the precise number of refugees accommodated in the Al Fajr school is not stated, the evidence indicates that approximately 40,900 persons had been provided with accommodation in 236 schools in the Riyadh district as of September 1990.

(b) Analysis and valuation

658. The Panel finds that real property damage arising as a result of accommodating refugees in the schools is, in principle, compensable for the reasons stated at paragraph 49 above. Adjustments for betterment to the amount claimed were made in accordance with paragraph 76 above.

(c) Recommendation

659. Based on its findings, the Panel recommends an award of SAR 82,865 for real property.

2. Recommendation for Ministry of Education (Riyadh)

660. Based on its findings regarding the Claim by the Ministry of Education (Riyadh), the Panel recommends compensation in the total amount of SAR 82,865.

Table 25. Recommended compensation for Ministry of Education (Riyadh)

Loss type	Original claim amount (SAR)	Review amount (SAR)	Recommended compensation (SAR)
Real property	97,090	97,090	82,865
<u>Total</u>	97,090	97,090	82,865

VIII. SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

661. The recommendations of the Panel are summarized as follows:

Table 26. Summary of recommended amounts in second instalment of "F2" claims

Ministry or entity	Amount recommended (SAR)	Amount recommended (converted to USD)
Customs Department	2,263,288	604,349
Saudi Railways Organization	616,604	164,647
Ministry of Communications	nil	nil
Real Estate Development Fund	63,332,820	16,911,300
Ministry of PTT (General Directorate for Post & Deputy Ministry for Maintenance and Operations)	1,045,078	279,060
Ministry of PTT (Central Region)	574,373	153,371
Ministry of PTT (Southern Region)	520,016	138,856
Ministry of PTT (Eastern Region)	1,235,160	329,816
Ministry of PTT (Western Region)	1,983,564	529,657
Ministry of Health	18,682,967	4,988,776
Ministry of Information	1,220,429	325,882
Ministry of Higher Education	25,383,170	6,777,883
Ministry of Higher Education - Cultural Attaché	nil	nil
National Guard	2,169,932	579,421
Water & Sewerage Authority (Al- Khafji)	3,518,565	939,537
Municipality of Al-Khafji	2,131,811	569,242
Charity Society	143,476	38,311
Saudi Red Crescent Society	51,750	13,818
Department for the Education of Girls	2,162	577
Al Alamein Sports Club	45,139	12,053
Goodness Preaching Authority	1,352	361
Ministry of Education - Region of Dammam	459,879	122,798
Ministry of Education - Region of Hafr Al Baten	29,450	7,864
Ministry of Education - Region of Riyadh	82,865	22,127
<u>Total</u>	125,493,850	33,509,706

Geneva, 21 September 2000

 $(\underline{\mathtt{Signed}})$ Mr. Francisco Orrego Vicuña

Chairman

 $(\underline{\text{Signed}})$ Mr. Jen Shek Voon

Commissioner

(Signed) Mr. Hans van Houtte

Commissioner

Notes

- 1/ Governing Council decision 10 (S/AC.26/1992/10).
- 2/ "F2" claims are the claims submitted by the Governments of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan and the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, except environmental claims, which are being considered by the "F4" Panel.
- 3/ The Claims originally comprised 25 claims. However, one claim, that of the Ministry of Health Al Khafji (UNCC Claim No. 5000230) has been consolidated in the claim of the Ministry of Health (UNCC Claim No. 5000215). See further para. 334.
- $\underline{4}/$ This figure includes an amount of USD 210,000,000 that was asserted by the National Guard in its statement of claim but that was subsequently withdrawn in the National Guard's response to the article 34 notification. See paras. 446 to 447.
- $\underline{5}/$ Vol. XLV, No. 4, April 1991 (ST/ESA/STAT/SER.1/220). The dates of loss for the purpose of determining the exchange rate to be applied to calculate the recommended amounts of compensation are described in paras. 78 to 79 of this report.
- $\underline{6}/$ The "Original amount claimed" is that amount asserted in the Claimants' statements of claim. It is stated in the original currency in which the amount was claimed.
- 7/ The "Review amount" is that amount upon which the Panel bases its review of the claim. It includes corrections of any arithmetical errors that were made in the statements of claim and any reductions in the amount claimed that were made by the Claimants during the period of review of the Claims. The review amount is stated in the original currency in which the amount was claimed, and converted into United States dollars. As the Claimants are not permitted to increase amounts claimed by way of their responses to the article 34 notifications, such increases are not included in the review amounts listed in Table 1.
- $\underline{8}/$ The "Recommended amount" is the amount of compensation which the Panel recommends should be awarded in respect of each of the Claims, and is stated in the original currency in which the amount was claimed and converted into United States dollars.
- $\underline{9}/$ The amount originally claimed in respect of the Water and Sewerage Authority included a claim in the amount of SAR 1,393,973 for environmental damage. This amount was severed and transferred to the "F4" Panel for future review.
- 10/ The precise number of refugees who arrived in Saudi Arabia during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait is difficult to ascertain. The Ministry of Finance, in response to a request by the Commission for further information during the on-site inspection, provided information detailing the number of refugees present in 12 regions of Saudi

Arabia during the relevant period. The Ministry of Finance stated that more than 262,126 refugees entered Saudi Arabia between 2 August 1990 and 2 March 1991.

- 11/ See also the letter dated 31 January 1991 from the Permanent Representative of Saudi Arabia to the United Nations to the President of the Security Council (S/22180) detailing the support provided to the Allied Coalition Forces in Saudi Arabia.
- 12/ No Security Council resolution clearly defined the composition of the "Allied Coalition Forces" as such. Rather, resolutions such as Security Council resolutions 665 (1990) and 678 (1990) acknowledged the existence of "Member States co-operating with the Government of Kuwait" and authorised such States to undertake certain measures, including "all necessary means ... to restore international peace and security." By January 1991, a multi-nation coalition, including Saudi Arabia, was participating in military operations against Iraq.
- 13/ The letter of 31 January 1991 referred to at endnote 10 above provides details of the military support provided by the Saudi Arabian air, naval and ground forces during the period 17 to 27 January 1991. Letters dated 20 and 21 February 1991 and 14 and 28 March 1991 (S/22258, S/22259, S/22350 and S/22413, respectively) provide further details of the participation of Saudi Arabian forces in military operations against Iraq during the period 3 to 26 February 1991.
- 14/ Three days after the adoption of Security Council resolution 687 (1991), Iraq, in a letter to the Secretary-General and the President of the Security Council, accepted the terms of the resolution, thereby accepting legal responsibility for damage directly caused to Governments, individuals and corporations by its invasion and occupation of Kuwait.
- $\underline{15}/$ S/AC.26/1991/7/Rev.1, S/AC/26/1992/9, and S/AC.26/1992/15, respectively.
- 16/ See also "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the first instalment of 'F3' claims" (S/AC.26/1999/24), ("First 'F3' Report), para. 23; and "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the first instalment of individual claims for damages up to USD 100,000 (category 'C' claims)" (S/AC.26/1994/3), ("First 'C' Report"), at Part II, section D.
- 17/ The Claim of the Ministry of Information (UNCC Claim No. 5000218) relates to a loss alleged to have occurred in Kuwait City.
- 18/ The Panel notes that the "E2" Panel, in its "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the second instalment of 'E2' claims" (S/AC.26/1999/6), ("Second 'E2' Report"), stated at para. 54 that "the place where the loss or damage was suffered by the claimant is not in itself determinative of the Commission's competence". See also "Recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning

individual claims for serious personal injury or death (category 'B' claims)" (S/AC.26.1994/1), ("First 'B' Report"), page 23. Further, the "E2" Panel, in its "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the first instalment of 'E2' claims" (S/AC.26/1998/7), ("First 'E2' Report) at para. 155, stated that "losses suffered outside of Iraq or Kuwait, at a minimum, must also be specifically and closely related to the invasion and occupation of Kuwait".

- 19/ First "C" Report, pp. 13-14.
- 20/ See the "Executive Summary of the report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners appointed to review the Well Blowout Control Claim", (S/AC.26/1996/5), ("'WBC' Claim"), at para. 20, where the "El" Panel held, in accordance with paragraph 34(a) of Governing Council decision 7, that Iraq is liable for any direct loss, damage or injury whether caused by its own or by the Allied Coalition Forces.
- 21/ During the on-site inspection, the Claimants provided contemporaneous video footage, including news reports, of Scud missile attacks over Riyadh and Dhahran and damage suffered by the town of Al Khafji as a result of its occupation by Iraqi troops and the battle to liberate the town. The Claimants also provided contemporaneous photographic evidence of property damage in Riyadh and Al Khafji arising as a result of Scud missile attacks and other military operations that took place in those locations, respectively.
- $\underline{22}/$ <u>Military lessons of the Gulf War</u>, ed. Bruce W. Watson, Greenhill Books, London, 1991, pp. 224-225.
- 23/ The Ministry of Higher Education and the Ministry of Education seek compensation for real property damage arising as a result of Scud missile attacks in Riyadh and Hafr Al Baten, respectively.
- $\underline{24}/$ The Customs Department, the Ministry of Post, Telegraph and Telephone, the National Guard, the Water and Sewerage Authority, the Municipality of Al Khafji and other Government entities in Al Khafji, and the Ministry of Education all claim for real and tangible property damage arising as a result of military operations that took place in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia during this period.
- 25/ In a letter dated 6 February 1991 from the Permanent Representative of Saudi Arabia to the United Nations addressed to the President of the Security Council (S/22200), it was stated that "material damage included the destruction of some buildings and facilities in the town of Al Khafji as a result of the Iraqi attack."
 - 26/ See First "E2" Report, para. 157.
 - 27/ S/AC.26/Dec.19 (1994).
- 28/ The participation of Saudi Arabia's forces in military operations against Iraq is described at para. 17.

- 29/ See para. 16.
- 30/ See First "E2" Report, paras. 158-159, 162, where the "E2" Panel examined in some detail what constitutes a threat of military action for the purposes of paragraph 34(a) of Governing Council decision 7.
- 31/ Given the unique circumstances in which Saudi Arabia was placed during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait as a result of the threats posed by Iraq to the territory of Saudi Arabia and the incursion of Iraqi troops onto Saudi Arabian soil and the nature of the preventive and protective measures implemented by the Saudi Arabian Government in response thereto, it was reasonable to expect that the implementation of these measures could not be limited to the area directly threatened. The situation in which the Saudi Arabian Government was placed therefore differed from that of corporate claimants, as addressed by the "E2" Panel in its "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the third instalment of 'E2' claims" (S/AC.26/1999/22), ("Third 'E2' Report"), at paras. 62-63.
- 32/ For example, "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the second instalment of 'F1' claims", (S/AC.26/1998/12), ("Third 'F1' Report"), para. 122; "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the third instalment of 'F1' claims", (S/AC.26/1999/7), ("Fourth 'F1' Report"), para. 140; and "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the first instalment of 'F2' claims", (S/AC.26/1999/23), ("First Report"), para. 257.
- $\underline{33}/$ See Third "Fl" Report, para. 122 and Fourth "Fl" Report, para. 140.
- 34/ Kuwait also provided financial assistance to Kuwaiti refugees who were present in Saudi Arabia for all or part of the duration of Iraq's occupation of Kuwait and for some time thereafter. The "F3" Panel in its First Report, at paras. 188-211, held that the support payments made by the Kuwaiti Ministry of Finance were compensable under paragraphs 34(b) and 36 of Governing Council decision 7. However, the Kuwaiti Ministry of Finance asserted that the level of support payments made in Saudi Arabia to Kuwaiti refugees was "quite low". Kuwait asserted that this was due to the fact that the Government of Saudi Arabia provided free accommodation for refugees in a large number of vacant apartment buildings and therefore that housing support for rent was not paid to those families. In addition, the Ministry of Finance asserted that nearly all the medical and educational services that were required by the Kuwaiti refugees present in Saudi Arabia were provided by the Government of Saudi Arabia free of charge.
- 35/ The Panel notes that the "F1" Panel has drawn a distinction between general expenditures and expenditures specifically incurred in providing humanitarian relief. See Fourth "F1" Report, para. 127.

Page 130

- 36/ See also First Report, paras. 103.
- 37/ First Report, paras. 101, 366. See also "WBC" Claim, para. 162; Third "F1" Report, para. 115; "Report and Recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the Fifth Instalment of 'E3' Claims" (S/AC.26/1999/2), ("Fifth 'E3' Report"), para. 205; and First "E2" Report, para. 213.
- 38/ See First Report, paras. 100-102, 255-257. See also "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the first instalment of 'E3' claims" (S/AC.26/1998/13), ("First "E3" Report"), paras. 218-219, 379-381.
 - 39/ See Third "E2" Report, para. 100.
- $\underline{40}/$ The "E3" and "E4" Panels have each determined that claims for incremental travel costs incurred as a direct result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait are, in principle, compensable. See Fifth "E3" Report, para. 188; "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the first instalment of 'E4' claims" (S/AC.26/1999/4), ("First 'E4' Report"), para. 222. See further para. 115.
- 41/ See First "E2" Report, para. 228. The "E2" Panel in its Third Report took account of the fact that contingency routes were established by the International Civil Aviation Organization (the "ICAO") during the period of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait. The "E2" Panel held at paras. 97-99 that costs alleged to have been incurred by corporate claimants as a result of re-routing are not compensable as "re-routing is factored into the calculations of operating costs by civil carriers." However, the Panel finds that while the transportation industry takes rerouting into account as part of its normal practice, incidental costs of re-routing were not normally taken into account by the Claimants.
- 42/ "United Nations Compensation Commission Claim Form for Governments and International Organizations, Instructions for Claimants" ("category 'F' claim form"), para. 4. On 16 October 1992, the Commission's Executive Secretary circulated a letter to the Permanent Representatives of United Nations Member States in which he reiterated the pleading and evidentiary requirements set forth in the Rules and in the category "F" claim form (S/AC.26/1992/Note No. 55).
 - 43/ S/AC.26/Dec.46 (1998).
- $\underline{44}/$ Military operations took place between 15 January to 2 March 1991. 7 February 1991 is the mid-point of the loss period.
- $\underline{45}/$ See, for example, First "C" Report, pp. 30-33; First "E2" Report, para. 279; First "E4" Report, para. 227; and "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning part one of the first instalment of claims by Governments and international

organizations (category `F1' claims)" (S/AC.26/1997/6), ("First `F1' Report"), para. 100.

- 46/ Vol. XLV, No.4, April 1991 (ST/ESA/STAT/SER.1/220).
- 47/ S/AC.26/1992/16.
- 48/ Category "F" claim form, Part F, Summary of Losses Claimed.
- 49/ Paragraph 13 of Governing Council decision 9 states that "[i]n a case where business property had been lost because it had been left unguarded by company personnel departing due to the situation in Iraq and Kuwait, such loss may be considered as resulting directly from the invasion and occupation." The "F3" Panel in its First Report found this statement to be applicable to the Government of Kuwait's property left unguarded, at para. 29 and endnote 12. The "E3" Panel, in its "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the eleventh instalment of 'E3' claims" (S/AC.26/2000/4), ("Eleventh 'E3' Report"), at para. 59 extended application of paragraph 13 to business property left unguarded in Saudi Arabia.
- 50/ The Panel in its First Report at para. 134 held that increased costs of insurance for transportation of goods in areas subject to military operations or the threat of military action are, in principle, compensable. The "E2" Panel has made a similar finding in its Third Report at paras. 89-93, limiting the compensability of such claims to those for war risk insurance covering the risk of military operations only (as opposed to other risks, such as the risk of terrorist attack). See also the First "F3" Report, para. 63.
- 51/ On-site inspections are part of the claims development process and provide claimants with an opportunity to submit additional evidence and information in support of amounts previously claimed in order to assist the Panel. However, in providing this evidence and information, claimants may not increase claimed amounts or add new loss elements to the claim.
- 52/ In relation to military operations in the Persian Gulf, the "E2" Panel in its Third Report found that "[s]hipping operations in the Middle East were significantly affected by Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait". The "E2" Panel found further that Iraq laid mines in the Persian Gulf and that the minefield and the drifting of mines that had broken free posed a grave risk to shipping. The "E2" Panel held that Iraq's laying of mines in the northern part of the Persian Gulf, that is, the waters above the 27th parallel from the Saudi Arabian coast to the western Iranian coast, constituted military operations for the purpose of paragraph 21(a) of decision 7 (relating to corporate claimants and equivalent in terms to paragraph 34(a)). The Saudi Arabian ports of Al Khafji, Jubail and Dammam all fall within this area. See Third "E2" Report, paras. 26, 73.
 - 53/ See paragraph 43.

- 54/ See also Fourth "F1" Report, para. 138.
- 55/ See also First "F3" Report, para. 191, in which the "F3" Panel recommended an award of compensation for the purchase of gas masks for Kuwaiti citizens in Saudi Arabia. The "E3" Panel in its Eleventh "E3" Report, para. 167, also recommended compensation for the purchase of gas masks that were provided to claimants' employees in Saudi Arabia.
 - 56/ Fourth "F1" Report, para. 138.
- 57/ Replies to enquiries by the Commission provide claimants with an opportunity to submit additional evidence in support of amounts previously claimed in order to assist the Panel. However, in providing this evidence and information, claimants may not increase claimed amounts or add new loss elements to the claim. See First Report, para. 120.
 - 58/ See para. 49.
 - 59/ See First "F3" Report, para. 190.
 - 60/ See para. 40.
 - 61/ See Third "E2" Report, para. 91.
- $\underline{62}/$ The consolidation of these two claims has reduced the total number of Claims from 25 to 24.
- $\underline{63}/$ See also First "E3" Report, paras. 181-183, 287-289, where the "E3" Panel recommended no compensation for unresolved disputes with the employer.
- $\underline{64}/$ See "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the second instalment of 'F3' claims", (S/AC.26/2000/R.40), paras. 35-37.
- 65/ The "B" Panel in its First Report found at para. 69 that, due to the circumstances prevailing at the time of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait, all traffic accidents involving an Iraqi military vehicle were a direct consequence of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait and fell within the provisions of the equivalent to paragraph 34(c) of decision 7. The "B" Panel further concluded that "military accidents" in respect of which compensation could be awarded could result from military operations within the meaning of the equivalent to paragraph 34(a) of decision 7, such as an air raid that caused a driver to lose control and overturn his car, or the chasing of a Kuwaiti vehicle by Iraqi forces. None of these circumstances apply to the present Claim.
- $\underline{66}/$ The "F3" Panel in its First Report at paras. 383-386 held that the reasonable costs of distributing news of an emergency nature (such as details of mine clearance and condition of roads) were direct losses as a result of Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait and were therefore compensable.
 - 67/ See para. 58.

- $\underline{68}/$ First "F1" Report, para. 96; Eleventh "E3" Report, paras. 60-64.
- $\underline{69}/$ It is apparent from the evidence that the claim with respect to the shovel is duplicated in the claim for real property damage and is therefore not considered further under other tangible property.
- 70/ The Claimant's official name in English is the "Society for the Promotion of Virtue and Prevention of Vice."

. _ _ _ _