
**2000 Review Conference of the Parties
to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation
of Nuclear Weapons**

1 May 2000

Original: English

New York, 24 April-19 May 2000

Working paper submitted to Main Committee II by Norway

1. Proposed language:

“While the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) must strive to optimize its resources, the funding for safeguards activities should be made available in a sufficient and predictable manner.”

Rationale:

The workload of IAEA has significantly increased over recent years and is likely to continue at a high level with an increasing need for safeguards and verification activities.

2. Proposed language:

“States Parties should consider making the voluntary recommendations for physical protection standards (INFCIRC/225/Rev.4) mandatory.”

Rationale:

The IAEA recommendations for the protection of fissile materials (INFCIRC/225/Rev.4) have been newly revised, but the extent to which they are implemented varies widely at the national level.

3. Proposed language:

“States Parties should consider amending the Convention on the Physical Protection of Nuclear Material with a view to broadening its scope.”

Rationale:

The Convention currently only applies to the protection of fissile material while in international transport. A broader application to nuclear material for peaceful uses while in use or storage within a country is desirable.
