



Convention on Biological Diversity

Distr.: General
25 October 2024

Original: English

**Conference of the Parties to the Convention
on Biological Diversity serving as the meeting
of the Parties to the Nagoya Protocol on Access
to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable
Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization
Fifth meeting**

Cali, Colombia, 21 October–1 November 2024

Agenda item 10

Assessment and review of the effectiveness of the Protocol

**Decision adopted by the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on
Biological Diversity serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Nagoya
Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable
Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization on 25 October 2024**

**NP-5/5. Methodology for the second assessment and review of the effectiveness of the
Nagoya Protocol**

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Nagoya Protocol,

Recalling Article 31 of the Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from Their Utilization,¹

Recalling also its decision [NP-2/4](#) of 17 December 2016, in which it decided to conduct the first assessment and review of the Nagoya Protocol on the basis of the elements in the annex to that decision, and the importance of continuity in approaches in order to ensure comparability of results,

Recalling further its decision [NP-3/1 A](#) of 25 November 2018, in which it identified additional elements to consider for the second assessment and review of the effectiveness of the Nagoya Protocol and requested the Executive Secretary to carry out a targeted survey on challenges related to the implementation of the Protocol and seek feedback from all types of users of the Access and Benefit-sharing Clearing-House on its implementation and operation,

Recalling its decision [NP-4/3](#) of 10 December 2022, by which it adopted the guidelines and format for the first national report on the implementation of the Nagoya Protocol,

Recalling also its decision [NP-4/6](#) of 19 December 2022 and decision [15/9](#) of 19 December 2022 of the Conference of the Parties, both on digital sequence information on genetic resources,

1. *Decides* to conduct the second assessment and review of the effectiveness of the Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from Their Utilization on the basis of the elements in the annex to the present decision;

¹ United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 3008, No. 30619.

2. *Urges* Parties, and encourages other Governments, relevant organizations, indigenous peoples and local communities, and women and youth representatives, to publish information in the Access and Benefit-sharing Clearing-House so that it is available for the second assessment and review of the effectiveness of the Nagoya Protocol;
3. *Emphasizes* that Parties should submit their first national reports on the implementation of the Nagoya Protocol by 28 February 2026 in order to facilitate the analysis for the second assessment and review of the effectiveness of the Protocol;
4. *Urges* eligible Parties to submit their letters of endorsement to the implementing agency in a timely manner to ensure that projects to support the preparation of their first national reports are submitted to the Global Environment Facility for approval well before the deadline for submission of the reports;
5. *Requests* the Global Environment Facility and the implementing agencies to facilitate the relevant processes in a timely manner in order to ensure that support is provided to Parties that submit their letters of endorsement;
6. *Invites* Parties, other Governments, indigenous peoples and local communities, women and youth representatives and relevant stakeholders and other organizations to respond to the targeted survey and action to be carried out by the Executive Secretary of the Convention pursuant to paragraphs 18 (a) and 20 (c) of decision [NP-3/1 A](#) and to submit their views in order to inform a preliminary review of the compliance procedures and mechanisms set out in the annex to decision [NP-1/4](#) of 17 October 2014;
7. *Requests* the Executive Secretary:
 - (a) To analyse and synthesize information on the implementation of the Nagoya Protocol using the sources of information listed in the annex to the present decision, measure the indicators in the framework of indicators contained in annex II to decision [NP-3/1 A](#) and make the information available to the Informal Advisory Committee on Capacity-building for the Implementation of the Nagoya Protocol, the Compliance Committee under the Nagoya Protocol and the Subsidiary Body on Implementation;
 - (b) To commission a scoping study, subject to the availability of resources, on the possible reasons and underlying root causes for the challenges to effective implementation and compliance and on possible ways to enhance implementation, taking into account the specific challenges faced by developing country Parties, including those related to means of implementation;
 - (c) To include the main conclusions of the study within the context of the elements for the second assessment and review of the effectiveness of the Nagoya Protocol as a complementary source of information, as relevant;
8. *Requests* the Informal Advisory Committee on Capacity-building for the Implementation of the Nagoya Protocol² and the Compliance Committee under the Nagoya Protocol, working in a complementary and non-duplicative manner, to contribute to the second assessment and review of the effectiveness of the Protocol and to submit their conclusions for consideration by the Subsidiary Body on Implementation at its sixth meeting.

² In subparagraph 10 (b) of decision NP-5/3, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Nagoya Protocol decided to expand the mandate of the Informal Advisory Committee to include the provision of advice on issues more generally related to the implementation of the Protocol.

Annex**Elements and sources of information for the second assessment and review of the effectiveness of the Nagoya Protocol**

<i>Element</i>	<i>Sources of information</i>
(a) Extent of implementation of the provisions of the Nagoya Protocol and related obligations of Parties, including assessment of progress by Parties in establishing institutional structures and access and benefit-sharing measures to implement the Protocol (this includes Articles 4–8, 11–13, 15, 17 and 21) ^a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – First national reports^b – Access and Benefit-sharing Clearing-House – National reports submitted under the Convention – National biodiversity strategies and action plans – Targeted survey^c – Relevant documents on cooperation with other conventions, international organizations and initiatives submitted to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Nagoya Protocol
(b) Assessment of effectiveness (this includes Article 9)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – First national reports (questions 10, 12, 19, 30–32 and 43–45 of the format) – Access and Benefit-sharing Clearing-House – Targeted survey – National reports submitted under the Convention as they refer to Goal C and Target 13 of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework^d and relate to the implementation of the Nagoya Protocol
(c) Assessment of support available for implementation (Articles 22 and 25)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – First national reports (questions 54, 55 and 59–64) – Access and Benefit-sharing Clearing-House – Information on capacity-building projects and resources – Targeted survey
(d) Assessment of effectiveness of Article 18 (extent of implementation)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – First national reports (questions 25–28) – Targeted survey
(e) Assessment of implementation of Article 16 in the light of developments in other relevant international organizations, including the World Intellectual Property Organization	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – First national reports (question 20) – Reports of, inter alia, the Intergovernmental Committee on Intellectual Property and Genetic Resources, Traditional Knowledge and Folklore of the World Intellectual Property Organization
(f) Stocktaking of the use of model contractual clauses, codes of conduct, guidelines, best practices and standards, as well as the customary laws, community protocols and procedures of indigenous peoples and local communities (Articles 12, 19 and 20)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – First national reports (questions 39, 50 and 51) – Access and Benefit-sharing Clearing-House – Targeted survey
(g) Review of implementation and operation of the Access and Benefit-sharing Clearing-House, including number of access and benefit-sharing measures made available; number of countries that have published information on their competent national authorities; number of published internationally recognized certificates of compliance; and number of published checkpoint communiqués (Article 14)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – First national reports (questions 4, 5, 7, 12, 13 and 21) – Access and Benefit-sharing Clearing-House – Reports of meetings relevant to the Access and Benefit-sharing Clearing-House – Targeted survey – Statistics on the Access and Benefit-sharing Clearing-House website traffic^e
(h) Progress on the implementation of Article 10, on a global multilateral benefit-sharing mechanism	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Relevant documents prepared for the consideration of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Nagoya Protocol

<i>Element</i>	<i>Sources of information</i>
(i) Progress on the implementation of Article 23, on technology transfer, collaboration and cooperation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – First national reports (question 57) – Targeted survey
(j) Preliminary review of the compliance procedures and mechanisms (see decision NP-1/4, annex) (Article 30)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Submission of views – Report of the Compliance Committee

^a Element (a) addresses all relevant Articles of the Nagoya Protocol covered by the first national reports that are not addressed under other elements.

^b The format for the first national report is available at <https://absch.cbd.int/en/kb/tags/abs/First-National-Report-on-the-Implementation-of-the-Nagoya-Protocol/66199bba4defc2994ae886d7>.

^c In paragraph 18 (a) of its decision [NP-3/1 A](#), the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Nagoya Protocol requested the Executive Secretary to carry out a targeted survey of access and benefit-sharing national focal points, competent national authorities, and users and providers of genetic resources and/or associated traditional knowledge on challenges related to the implementation of the Protocol, to provide an additional source of information in future processes for the assessment and review of the effectiveness of the Protocol.

^d Decision 15/4, annex.

^e Encompassing number of visitors, country of visitor and average duration on a web page.