

INTERNATIONAL CHILDREN'S EMERGENCY FUND

EXECUTIVE BOARD

The statement of the representative of Poland as amended and agreed to by the representative of the United Kingdom reads as follows:

"The Delegate for the Netherlands suggested that German children be included in the ~~programs~~ of the Fund; but refrained from making any formal proposal. The Chairman, pointed out that in Paragraph 1 (c) of the resolution of the Assembly (57 (1)) the principle of universality was clearly stated with the qualification, however, that high priority must be given to children of countries victims of aggression. He expressed the view that, should Allied Control Council apply at some future date, the consideration of this priority would therefore have to precede that of the substance of the application.

"The United Kingdom Delegate expressed his general agreement with the statements made but reserved the right of his Government to apply at some later date for children in the British Zone of Germany. When, at a subsequent meeting of the Board, he requested that his remarks should be inserted in the Report of the Board, several delegations (namely, the delegations of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, France, Poland, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, and Yugoslavia) reserved formally the attitudes of their governments both as to the form of application suggested by him which they regarded as irregular, and as to the substance of the eventual application.

"The Chairman stated that competent authorities of the United Nations should be requested to give a ruling on the question of legality of application to the Fund by one of the occupying powers on behalf of their zone of occupation. In the event of an affirmative opinion, the question of priority for victims of aggression would have to be considered first, just as in the case of application by the Allied Control Council. He

/appealed,

