



General Assembly

Fifty-third session

Official Records

Distr.: General
21 December 1998

Original: English

Fifth Committee

Summary record of the 43rd meeting

Held at Headquarters, New York, on Monday, 14 December 1998, at 10 a.m.

Chairman: Mr. Abelian (Armenia)
*Chairman of the Advisory Committee on Administrative
and Budgetary Questions:* Mr. Mselle

Contents

Agenda item 123: Financing of the United Nations Angola Verification Mission and the United Nations Observer Mission in Angola (*continued*)

Agenda item 117: Pattern of conferences (*continued*)

Agenda item 114: Programme planning (*continued*)

Agenda item 119: Human resources management (*continued*)

Agenda item 113: Programme budget for the biennium 1998–1999 (*continued*)

*Programme budget implications of draft resolution A/C.5/53/L.66 concerning
agenda item 45 (continued)*

This record is subject to correction. Corrections should be sent under the signature of a member of the delegation concerned *within one week of the date of publication* to the Chief of the Official Records Editing Section, room DC2-750, 2 United Nations Plaza, and incorporated in a copy of the record.

Corrections will be issued after the end of the session, in a separate corrigendum for each Committee.

The meeting was called to order at 10 a.m.

Agenda item 123: Financing of the United Nations Angola Verification Mission and the United Nations Observer Mission In Angola (*continued*) (A/C.5/53/L.19)

Draft resolution A/C.5/53/L.19

1. **The Chairman** introduced draft resolution A/C.5/53/L.19.

2. *Draft resolution A/C.5/53/L.19 was adopted.*

Agenda item 117: Pattern of conferences (*continued*) (A/C.5/53/L.24)

Draft resolution A/C.5/53/L.24

3. **Ms. Achouri** (Tunisia), introducing draft resolution A/C.5/53/L.24, drew the Committee's attention to section A, paragraphs 30 and 31. It was the understanding of the Committee that the reports requested would be issued as updated versions and that they would include data covering the relevant period.

4. *Draft resolution A/C.5/53/L.24 was adopted.*

5. **Mr. Farid** (Saudi Arabia), speaking in explanation of position, asked that the names of staff members with an academic background and previous experience in cost accounting should be provided by the second part of the resumed session.

6. **Mr. Atiyanto** (Indonesia), speaking on behalf of the Group of 77 and China, said that compliance with the letter and spirit of the draft resolution was important; it should improve the work of both the Fifth Committee and the other Main Committees. He appealed to the Secretariat to address the chronic problem of the submission and issuance of documentation to help the Fifth Committee in its decision-making process, which had an impact on the work of the Organization as a whole.

7. **Mr. Schlesinger** (Austria), speaking on behalf of the European Union, said that the issue of conference services was of great importance. It was regrettable that several months of informal consultations had been required to arrive at the draft resolution, particularly since many of the concerns raised in informal consultations had been addressed in the report of the Committee on Conferences. It was unfortunate that the Committee had benefited so little from the recommendations of the Committee on Conferences.

8. **Mr. Jaremczuk** (Poland) said that his delegation aligned itself with the statement made by the representative of Austria on behalf of the European Union.

9. **Mr. Sulaiman** (Syrian Arab Republic) reiterated his delegation's earlier proposal, which had not met with approval in informal consultations, that the Procurement Manual should be issued in all six official languages so that all Member States could benefit from procurement by the Organization.

Agenda item 114: Programme planning (*continued*) (A/C.5/53/L.18)

Draft resolution A/C.5/53/L.18

10. **Mr. Odaga-Jalomayo** (Uganda), introducing draft resolution A/C.5/53/L.18, drew attention to paragraphs 1 and 2 which commended the good work performed by the Committee for Programme and Coordination.

11. *Draft resolution A/C.5/53/L.18 was adopted.*

12. **Mr. Schlesinger** (Austria), speaking on behalf of the European Union in explanation of position, said that the European Union had continually stressed that attention must be paid to all phases of the planning, programming, budgeting, monitoring and evaluation cycle, and that all the phases should be linked so as to address the Organization's objectives, strategy and future challenges in the most effective and efficient manner. Ideally there should be much closer links between planning and budgeting to allow for enhanced accountability within the Secretariat. Evaluation was instrumental in attaining that goal.

13. It was regrettable that the Secretary-General's report on programme performance and coordination had been of a rather descriptive nature and had not provided Member States with sufficient analytical information on all programmes for input into the other stages of the planning and budgeting cycle. In response to that concern, Member States had revised the Regulations and Rules Governing Programme Planning, the Programme Aspects of the Budget, the Monitoring of Implementation and the Methods of Evaluation accordingly, so that programme narratives would indicate subprogrammes and outputs, objectives and expected accomplishments during the biennium. The revised Regulations and Rules should be vigorously implemented in the proposed programme budget for the biennium 2000–2001. That would allow Member States to evaluate an individual programme both on its own merits and in the context of the main programmes of the Organization.

14. **Mr. Jaremczuk** (Poland) said that his delegation aligned itself with the statement made by the representative of Austria on behalf of the European Union.

15. **Mr. Atiyanto** (Indonesia), speaking on behalf of the Group of 77 and China, said that the balance reflected in the draft resolution should help to guide the Organization in

programme planning, the programme aspects of the budget, the monitoring of implementation and the methods of evaluation. The Group of 77 and China fully supported the continued setting of priorities in the medium-term plan, the Organization's principal policy directive; that would guide the allocation of resources in accordance with General Assembly resolution 41/213. He welcomed the fact that the priorities set forth in the budget outline were in conformity with those contained in the medium-term plan.

16. **Ms. Buergo-Rodriguez** (Cuba) said that her delegation endorsed the statement made by the representative of Indonesia on behalf of the Group of 77 and China. It had joined the consensus on the draft resolution on the understanding that all recommendations on results-based budgeting contained in the report of the Administrative Committee on Coordination would be implemented only after action by the General Assembly, based on recommendations from the Committee.

Agenda Item 119: Human resources management
(continued) (A/C.5/53/L.26)

Draft decision A/C.5/53/L.26

17. **Mr. Armitage** (Australia), introducing draft decision A/C.5/53/L.26, said that disappointment had been expressed in informal consultations that the various issues arising under the item had not been considered substantively in what was supposed to be a personnel year.

18. *Draft decision A/C.5/53/L.26 was adopted.*

19. **Ms. Butschek** (Austria), speaking on behalf of the European Union in explanation of position, said that the European Union attached great importance to human resources management, and regretted that in what was supposed to be a personnel year the Committee had not been able to begin its consideration of the item until mid-November owing to a lack of documentation. Since insufficient time had been left for a meaningful discussion, the European Union had advocated deferral of the item to the first part of the resumed session.

20. **Mr. Atiyanto** (Indonesia), speaking on behalf of the Group of 77 and China, said he regretted that it had proved necessary to defer consideration of such a very important item. The Group had reluctantly joined the consensus because the Fifth Committee had been unable to begin its consideration of the item earlier in the session. He appealed for ample time to be made available to allow the Committee to finalize its work during the first part of the resumed session.

21. **Mr. Yamagiwa** (Japan) said that his delegation, too, regretted that the Committee had been unable to consider the

item, since it was intended to be the main item on its agenda for the current session. The Committee must take steps to avoid a recurrence of such a situation in the future. The issue of timely documentation should also be addressed.

22. With regard to subparagraph (b) of the draft decision, it was his delegation's understanding that the paragraph conveyed the message to the Secretary-General that, until the Committee had taken a decision on the matter, the judgement of the Administrative Tribunal should not be applied to any other case but the one which it had reviewed.

23. **Mr. Jaremczuk** (Poland) said that his delegation aligned itself with the statement made by the representative of Austria on behalf of the European Union.

24. **Mr. Saha** (India) said that his delegation associated itself with all the previous speakers and looked forward to giving the item priority consideration at the resumed session.

Agenda item 113: Programme budget for the biennium 1998–1999 (continued)

Draft resolution A/C.5/53/L.25

25. **Ms. Brennen-Haylock** (Bahamas), introducing draft resolution A/C.5/53/L.25 on conditions of service and compensation for officials other than Secretariat officials: members of the International Court of Justice and judges of the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia and judges of the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda, said that, if the draft resolution was adopted, changes would be made in the conditions of service for members of the International Court of Justice and judges of the international criminal tribunals. The intention of paragraphs 5 and 6 of the draft resolution was to regularize their conditions of service.

26. **Mr. Bond** (United States of America) said that his delegation appreciated the importance of the International Court of Justice and the need to attract the best possible jurists to its challenging work. It had therefore supported the substantial increase in salaries proposed by ACABQ, but had envisioned that it would be coupled with a more moderate increase in pensions. His delegation remained concerned about the proposed pension increase, particularly because it was to be in addition to a very generous salary increase. A pension of one half of salary after nine years of service was, in its view, excessive. A pension of one third of salary after nine years of service was generous, particularly since judges were not required to contribute, there was no minimum age requirement, and provision was made for a surviving spouse's benefit. His delegation had proposed, and would have supported, a compromise that judges should receive one third of their salary after nine years of service and up to two thirds of their salary if they completed a second full term. Since that

proposal could not be accommodated, his delegation must disassociate itself from the consensus.

27. *Draft resolution A/C.5/53/L.25 was adopted.*

Programme budget implications of draft resolution A/C.5/53/L.66 concerning agenda item 45 (*continued*) (A/53/734; A/C.5/53/45)

28. **The Chairman** drew attention to the statement of programme budget implications of draft resolution A/53/L.66 on the situation in Afghanistan and its implications for international peace and security (A/C.5/53/45) and the related report of ACABQ (A/53/734). The replies from the Secretariat to questions raised at the Committee's 42nd meeting had been distributed.

29. **Mr. Sial** (Pakistan) said that, after a detailed examination of the reports, his delegation had some additional comments to make. In paragraph 16 of its report (A/53/734), the Advisory Committee recommended a 10 per cent reduction in the budgetary allocation proposed by the Secretary-General. As a matter of principle, his delegation did not subscribe to an across-the-board reduction without first analysing its implications. The allocation for "hazard pay" proposed by the Secretary-General (A/C.5/53/45, annex I) was not included in the 1998 budget; that proposal therefore needed to be clarified and justified. Moreover, although the total number of military observers was being reduced from five to four, an increase had been proposed in their travel costs; that increase also needed to be clarified and justified. Similarly, an increase from \$72,000 to \$296,000 — an increase of over 400 per cent — had been proposed in the travel costs of civilian staff in 1999 (annex I), and annex IV showed an excess spending on civilian staff travel in 1998. Those increases, too, should be explained and justified.

30. The proposed increase for the procurement of furniture and equipment in 1999 was about 900 per cent of the appropriation for 1998 and expenditure incurred under that item of the account in 1998 had been 250 per cent higher than the amount appropriated; detailed clarifications were needed in that area.

31. A provision had also been made for the travel of "political groups" to attend consultation meetings in Afghanistan (annex III. B, para. 6). In that connection, his delegation would like to know, first, the definition of "political groups"; second, whether such an activity had been mandated by the General Assembly; and third, what would be the estimated amount to be spent on such visits.

32. An explanation was also needed of the mission-specific cost parameters for the mission subsistence allowance and the daily subsistence allowance for the level of Assistant

Secretary-General and above (annex III). His delegation also had questions regarding the increase in the number of international staff shown in annex III and the costs listed for certain items of equipment and computers.

33. He noted that the investigations requested in paragraph 13 of General Assembly resolution 52/211 B had not been carried out. Since voluntary contributions had not been forthcoming as expected, the Secretariat should state the reasons for not budgeting the required expenditure to carry out that very important mandated activity.

34. Lastly, he noted that \$61,700 had been spent for the preparation of a report by the Special Rapporteur, who had visited neither Afghanistan nor Pakistan because of the so-called security situation, and asked for a clarification.

35. **The Chairman** said that the matter would be referred to informal consultations and he would ask the Secretariat to provide the requested clarification and information. He appealed to all delegations to conduct their work in the spirit of rule 153 of the rules of procedure.

The meeting rose at 11.05 a.m.