

Executive Board of the United Nations Development Programme and of the United Nations Population Fund

Distr. GENERAL

DP/1998/12/Corr.1
16 April 1998

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

Second regular session 1998 20-24 April 1998, New York Item 1 of the provisional agenda UNDP

REPORT OF THE FIRST REGULAR SESSION

NEW YORK, 19-26 JANUARY 1998

Corrigendum

Page 7, paragraph 32, line 3

For first regular session 1998 read first regular session 1999

Page 38, paragraph 184

For the existing text <u>substitute</u>

184. One delegation, also on behalf of two others, stated that the report did not respond to their questions, as the analysis confused the different types of non-core funding such as trust funds, global fund, government cost-sharing, and loans from international financial institutions. Document DP/1998/3 described only the advantages of non-core funding, which did not apply to all the different types of non-core funding. The delegations felt that the discussions could have been more efficient if figures had been provided that differentiated between regions and types of non-core funding. There was concern that UNDP was becoming an executing agency for the Bretton Woods institutions. The subcontracting to external bodies clearly could not be subjected to prior programming of UNDP and could not be controlled by the Executive Board. The acquisition of non-core funds and the management of non-core activities was taking an increasing amount of resident representatives' time, interfered with their responsibilities as resident coordinators, and was turning UNDP into a planning and development agency. The trend also called into question the division of labour between UNDP and UNOPS as legislated by the General

Assembly. The delegations expressed concern about the effective contribution to national capacities in middle-income countries in which UNDP undertook important co-financing. The delegations had serious concerns about direct implementation by UNDP, which exceeded the mandate of UNDP
