UNITED NATIONS



Economic and Social Council

Distr.
GENERAL

E/CN.4/1997/SR.6 1 May 1997

ENGLISH

Original: FRENCH

COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS

Fifty-third session

SUMMARY RECORD OF THE 6th MEETING

Held at the Palais des Nations, Geneva, on Thursday, 13 March 1997, at 10 a.m.

Chairman: Mr. SOMOL (Czech Republic)

CONTENTS

STATEMENT BY MR. CYRIL SVOBODA, VICE-MINISTER FOR FOREIGN AFFAIRS OF THE CZECH REPUBLIC

STATEMENT BY MR. ISMAËL TIDJANI-SERPOS, MINISTER OF JUSTICE, LEGISLATION AND HUMAN RIGHTS OF BENIN

QUESTION OF THE VIOLATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS IN THE OCCUPIED ARAB TERRITORIES, INCLUDING PALESTINE ($\underline{continued}$)

THE RIGHT OF PEOPLES TO SELF-DETERMINATION AND ITS APPLICATION TO PEOPLES UNDER COLONIAL OR ALIEN DOMINATION OR FOREIGN OCCUPATION (continued)

This record is subject to correction.

Corrections should be submitted in one of the working languages. They should be set forth in a memorandum and also incorporated in a copy of the record. They should be sent within one week of the date of this document to the Official Records Editing Section, room E.4108, Palais des Nations, Geneva.

Any corrections to the records of the public meetings of the Commission at this session will be consolidated in a single corrigendum, to be issued shortly after the end of the session.

GE.97-10934 (E)

The meeting was called to order at 10.10 a.m.

STATEMENT BY MR. CYRIL SVOBODA, VICE-MINISTER FOR FOREIGN AFFAIRS OF THE CZECH REPUBLIC

- 1. Mr. SVOBODA (Czech Republic) said that, on the eve of the celebration of the fiftieth anniversary of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, human rights standards were still far from universally applied. Although a set of human rights conventions existed, the practice of reservations, whether for the purpose of reducing the scope of treaty obligations or the competence of the treaty-monitoring bodies, weakened them considerably. His Government urged States to consider lifting their reservations; it had withdrawn the reservation made by the previous regime to the effect that it did not recognize the competence of the Committee against Torture to accept individual petitions. In addition to fulfilling their obligations under the relevant international instrument, States should be open to the procedures of the various human rights mechanisms. Where the Commission was concerned, the primary requirement should be cooperation with the relevant special rapporteurs.
- 2. States should focus on consistent and effective implementation of existing mechanisms and instruments. Conflict situations had revealed inconsistencies in the application of existing standards and gaps in the system of general international law. There was no doubt that the establishment of international war crimes tribunals in the former Yugoslavia and Rwanda was a remarkable achievement, but those tribunals could not execute their mandates fully if the States concerned did not fulfil their obligations regarding the prosecution and extradition of suspects. It should be noted, moreover, that the tribunals were ad hoc organs and, considering the frequency and seriousness of armed conflicts in various parts of the world, there was a widely-felt need to establish a permanent international criminal court. In that connection he mentioned the positive results achieved by the Preparatory Committee on the establishment of an international criminal court. His Government hoped that an international conference on the subject would be held in 1998.
- 3. Another theme which merited special attention from the international community was the protection of children. His Government supported the work of the open-ended working group on a draft optional protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child on the involvement of children in armed conflicts. It also hoped to see progress in the work on the sexual exploitation of children and, to that end, had hosted a visit by the Special Rapporteur on the sale of children, child prostitution and child pornography. It supported the working group for the preparation of the relevant optional protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child.
- 4. The international community had a role to play in conflict prevention. One of the effective devices for doing so was the United Nations system of human rights field operations, especially those in Cambodia, Georgia, the former Yugoslavia, Rwanda, Burundi and Zaire.

5. Despite the differing interpretations of the concept of the universal nature of human rights, it was essential for States to forget their cultural, political and economic differences and work together in a spirit of cooperation on issues of concern to all.

STATEMENT BY MR. ISMAËL TIDJANI-SERPOS, MINISTER OF JUSTICE, LEGISLATION AND HUMAN RIGHTS OF BENIN

- 6. Mr. TIDJANI-SERPOS (Benin) said that it was all the more important for the Commission to continue the campaign it had been waging for over 50 years in defence of human dignity and individual freedoms, as human rights continued to be under serious threat throughout the world. Large-scale and persistent violations of human rights continued to be the source or consequence of continuing ethnic, racial and religious tensions in some parts of the world, especially Africa and particularly in many countries of the Great Lakes region.
- 7. Convinced as it was that all States, whatever their political system, economic level or cultural particularities, were bound to protect, respect and promote human rights and fundamental freedoms, his Government worked actively to promote human rights in the framework of strengthening the democratic experiment begun with the February 1990 National Conference of key sectors of society. It was currently working to put into practical effect the conclusions of the General Judicial Conference and the National Economic Conference, held in November and December 1996 respectively, with a view to strengthening the legal and economic foundations for the emerging democratic State. The Conferences had enabled people in all of the country's social and professional categories to propose solutions for increasing the legal security indispensable for the effective enjoyment of freedoms and for sustainable economic and social development.
- The universal and indivisible nature of human rights had been affirmed 8. in various international instruments and reaffirmed at the major summits and conferences held in recent years. Particular mention should be made of the conclusions of the World Conference on Human Rights, held at Vienna in 1993, and the Fourth World Conference on Women, held in Beijing in 1995, which had stressed the fact that the fundamental rights of women and girls were an integral and indissociable part of the universal rights of the human person and indicated the steps that should be taken to eliminate all forms of discrimination based on sex. Everything possible should also be done to ensure respect for the rights of children and to combat all forms of exploitation of children throughout the world. Benin, which had ratified the Convention on the Rights of the Child on 3 August 1990, had introduced a national policy for the protection and promotion of children, notably by developing a national programme of action on behalf of women and children and establishing a committee to coordinate and monitor it.
- 9. Commission resolution 1996/15 on the right to development and Economic and Social Council resolution 1996/43 on strengthening collaboration between the United Nations development system and the Bretton Woods institutions, attested to the international community's increasing commitment to the right to development. It was time for that commitment to be reflected in the

adoption of practical measures to implement that right. The Economic and Social Council resolution was an instrument for revitalizing and diversifying international cooperation. International solidarity should make it possible to reduce poverty, defined as the non-satisfaction of vital material and cultural needs, and establish a balance in the distribution of the planet's wealth as a guarantee of international peace and security when economic globalization was inexorably dividing the world into "haves" and "have-nots". The implementation of the measures recommended in the resolutions on the right to development would narrow the gulf between North and South and that which was developing between the South and the giant economic groupings being formed by the developed nations. Realization of the right to development required genuine solidarity based on a redefinition of the legal bases for international assistance.

- 10. Being convinced that poverty was a serious obstacle to the development of human rights, his Government had designed its strategy around the concept of a society's minimum needs, or the set of goods and services needed by a community of people to subsist and to participate in human development. It comprised four basic components: food security, basic education, access to primary health services and development of wealth generating capacity. That approach was based on the human development index, a global measurement instrument proposed by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), which focused development on the human person and not solely on the accumulation of income and wealth.
- 11. Like the right to development, the right to work deserved the attention of the international community because enjoyment of that right had a key role to play in the campaign against poverty. It was thus important to combat the unemployment that was currently proliferating throughout the world, across all systems and regions, and which was persistent enough to shake the fragile foundations of the young democracies. As stressed by the Copenhagen World Summit for Social Development, it was necessary to define and implement a new world social system incorporating new paradigmes capable of resolving the crisis in man's relationship with his economic, natural, political, social and cultural environment.
- 12. The right to freedom of thought and religion, universally recognized as a source of culture and peace among communities, presupposed tolerance and respect for the opinion of others. Only an eceumenical approach would make it possible to prevent peoples from turning to extremism as they were doing in certain parts of the world. For that reason, his Government appealed to the international community to take action to promote a more intense social and cultural dialogue among peoples and among the different population groups living in a single territory.
- 13. Since the adoption of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the international community had, in the aggregate, made considerable progress in defining standards for the enjoyment and protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms. Benin, where democratic values had prevailed since 1990, was preparing to celebrate the fiftieth anniversary of the Universal Declaration in a fitting manner; it would be the occasion for a critical assessment of the Declaration in the light of practice, with a view to publicizing it and strengthening its application.

14. The assistance which the United Nations had provided to his Government, in the framework of the just completed programme of technical cooperation in the field of human rights had helped to promote better understanding of international human rights standards in public opinion, especially among judges, lawyers, police officers, military personnel, journalists and representatives of human rights defence organizations. The programme had undoubtedly helped to strengthen the democratic process in Benin, but it had primarily affected the urban intellectual and political elites. Its scope should therefore be expanded to enable all citizens to become aware of their rights and to report any violations thereof. His Government hoped therefore that the next programme of technical cooperation in Benin would place particular emphasis on initiatives and activities to implement a civic education programme in the schools and conduct a national campaign to increase awareness of human rights.

QUESTION OF THE VIOLATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS IN THE OCCUPIED ARAB TERRITORIES, INCLUDING PALESTINE (agenda item 4) ($\underline{continued}$) ($\underline{E/CN.4/1997/13-16}$, 107, 109, 111, 116, 117).

- 15. Mr. PELL (United States of America) said that the protection of human rights was not only a question of preparing and adopting legal texts but also of measuring achievements against the standards set. The Commission, which had been assigned that noble task 50 years previously, should rededicate itself to the ambitious objectives set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.
- Everyone had agreed for years that the Commission's heavy agenda should be reorganized. In his view, item 4 should be removed from the agenda since it was no longer justified, given the peace process under way in the Middle East. Considerable progress had been made in the situation since 1991 and he failed to see why Israel should be singled out for special treatment. Israel, a functioning democracy, had already embraced peace with Egypt and Jordan and had engaged in a political process with the Palestinians which was enabling them gradually to gain control over their lives. Israel should not be immune to the Commission's scrutiny any more than any country, but neither should it be given special treatment as the Commission was doing. After seeing the same old anti-Israeli resolutions come to the floor, he wondered whether their sponsors had heard of the peace process, of the ties Israel was building with countries throughout the region, of the drastic drop in the level of confrontation between Israelis and Palestinians and the fact that most Palestinians were currently in charge of their daily lives. Respect for human rights was certainly not perfect in the West Bank and Gaza, but neither was it perfect in the areas under the control of the Palestinian Authority. The Commission's task was to encourage progress rather than impede it through unhelpful resolutions which ran counter to the facts.
- 17. The United States was firmly committed to the Middle East peace process, which had already borne fruit. The Israelis and Palestinians were approaching the most difficult set of issues the permanent status negotiations but it was for the parties themselves to come to a decision and the international community should not interfere. At a time when a just, lasting and comprehensive peace in the Middle East might be within reach, the Commission should not be an obstacle on the road to peace.

- 18. Mr. HISHAMMUDIN (Malaysia) said that, despite numerous resolutions adopted by the Security Council, the General Assembly and the Commission, the reports of the Special Rapporteur and the findings of the Special Committee to Investigate Israeli Practices affecting the Human Rights of the Palestinian People and Other Arabs of the Occupied Territories, Israel had been showing complete disregard for the views of the international community for 30 years and had recently decided to begin building a new settlement in East Jerusalem in an attempt to modify the city's demographic composition and pre-empt the outcome of negotiations on its final status. That was not the way to advance the peace process.
- 19. Certain indications, such as the signing of the Hebron Protocol, gave rise to cautious optimism regarding the process, but the mutual trust and cooperation needed for it to be considered on the right track were lacking. The question that the Commission had to ask itself, therefore, was whether it had the will to carry out the responsibilities entrusted to it as the conscience of the international community on the human rights issue and to ensure that some countries, acting on geopolitical and economic considerations as recently seen in the Security Council, did not jeopardize its credibility.
- 20. His Government could not accept the illegal measures adopted by Israel in occupied East Jerusalem, for Jerusalem was of great spiritual importance not only to the Jews but also to the Islamic and Christian communities, and must not be monopolized by a single political or religious camp.
- 21. His delegation took the view that the pursuit of a political agenda alone would not guarantee peace and security for the people of the occupied Arab territories. As the Special Rapporteur had said, economic and social development was critical for improving the human rights situation in the region. Human rights could not be put on hold pending the outcome of the peace negotiations. It was time to end the violence inflicted on the population: brutal treatment of detainees during interrogation legitimized by the Israeli High Court, the policy of enforcing collective punishment through the destruction of houses, closure of the occupied territories and closure of educational and social organizations.
- 22. His delegation was saddened by the fact that certain influential governments had responded to the persistent and serious violations in the region by using a double standard, which had resulted in a certain cynicism regarding the international community's will to find a lasting solution. It hoped that the Commission on Human Rights and others, including the non-governmental organizations, would redress that perception.
- 23. Mr. HYNES (Canada) congratulated the Special Rapporteur on his report on the situation of human rights in the Palestinian territories occupied since 1967 (E/CN.4/1997/16), but maintained the view that, given the development of the situation, the question should no longer be treated as a separate, permanent item of the Commission's agenda.
- 24. Canada encouraged the efforts of various organizations, including the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) and UNRWA, to improve the human rights situation in the West Bank and the Gaza Strip. Its own development assistance programmes specifically targeted good governance,

democracy-building and human rights. They had, for example, made it possible to bring together Israeli and Palestinian human rights lawyers and activists. A delegation from the Palestinian Legislative Council would shortly be visiting the Canadian Parliament to learn more about the Canadian experience in democracy and human rights. His Government had supported the efforts of both Israel and the Palestinians towards achieving peace and noted with satisfaction the progress made, especially the Oslo Agreements and the Protocol concerning the Redeployment in Hebron.

- Since a lasting peace depended on enduring respect for human rights, 25. his delegation believed that the complexity of the task of both Israel and the Palestinian Authority was not a justification for flouting the rights of the population of the West Bank and Gaza. The provisions of the Fourth Geneva Convention should be applied to the occupied territories until a comprehensive peace accord was concluded on the basis of Security Council resolutions 242 (1967) and 338 (1973); the accelerated construction of Jewish settlements, especially in East Jerusalem, must end; and the many restrictions on the freedom of movement of Palestinian residents must be lifted, as they undermined the trust that was the very foundation of the peace process. The ill-treatment of Palestinian detainees in Israeli prisons must be brought to an end. Such treatment, endorsed by the High Court of Israel through its decision to lift the interim injunctions prohibiting the use of physical force during interrogation, was illegal under international law and did nothing to advance the cause of peace. He urged Israel to abide by the Convention against Torture, which it had ratified in 1991.
- 26. The Palestinians, like the Israelis, must work towards peace while respecting human rights, but the Palestinian Authority, too, had a poor human rights record: murder by torture of at least one political prisoner, deaths of detainees in Palestinian police custody, arbitrary arrests, prolonged detention of hundreds of political prisoners, secret and summary trials and attempts to intimidate the Palestinian judiciary and leading human rights activists by the Palestinian security forces. In view of that sad record, he welcomed the vote by the Palestinian Legislative Council to establish civilian oversight over the Palestinian security forces.
- 27. His delegation believed that the best guarantee for respect of human rights lay in the establishment of responsible, democratic government and normal peaceful relations. The day was long past when human rights could be regarded as internal affairs, beyond the scrutiny of the international community. It was the duty of the international community, avoiding unfounded allegations and acting in a constructive spirit, to denounce violations of human rights wherever they occurred and to hold the concerned authorities accountable.
- 28. Mr. KOEZUKA (Japan) said he welcomed the progress made in the Middle East since the Madrid Conference in October 1991 and particularly the Declaration of Principles on Interim Self-Government Arrangements adopted by Israel and the Palestine Liberation Organization and the peace treaty between Israel and Jordan.
- 29. The road to peace was not a smooth one, however. The redeployment of Israeli troops, the status of Jerusalem, the refugee situation, the

demarcation of borders and the threat of terrorism were difficult issues that could be resolved only if all the parties concerned refrained from any action that would harm the prospects for peace. The role of the international community was to give the parties all the help it could.

- 30. His Government had endeavoured to help restore peace in the region, which was vital to international peace. It had directly encouraged the leaders of the parties concerned to promote the peace process, had extended more than US\$ 250 million in economic assistance to the Palestinian Authority; and had promoted multilateral talks on the environment, tourism and water resources; when the election for the Palestinian Council was being held, it had sent a delegation of 77 observers. It had also contributed Japanese Self-Defence Force contingents to the United Nations Disengagement Observer Force (UNDOF) in the Golan Heights to participate in peacekeeping operations.
- 31. The goal of the peace process was not simply the cessation of hostilities, but rather the creation of an environment in which all the peoples of the region could live in peace and enjoy a decent life; the only way to reach that goal was to move the peace process forward and promote regional cooperation, which Japan was pledged to do.

THE RIGHT OF PEOPLES TO SELF-DETERMINATION AND ITS APPLICATION TO PEOPLES UNDER COLONIAL OR ALIEN DOMINATION OR FOREIGN OCCUPATION (agenda item 7) ($\underline{continued}$) (E/CN.4/1997/23 and 24; A/51/392)

- 32. Mr. LIU Xiansheng (China) said that the right of peoples to self-determination referred in essence to the right of oppressed nations to liberate themselves from imperialist and colonial rule. Pursuant to the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples, peoples were entitled freely to determine their political status and pursue their economic, social and cultural development. The history of international relations since the Second World War demonstrated that, when a sovereign State was subjected to aggression and foreign occupation, there was no respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms to speak of. A country which imposed its ideology, social system and development model on others, which domineered over weaker countries, violated not only the principle of self-determination but the Charter of the United Nations itself and should naturally be condemned by the international community.
- 33. The Declaration clearly delimited the scope of application of the principle as covering "colonies", "dependencies" and "trust and non-self governing territories" which had not yet attained independence. It condemned "any attempt aimed at the partial or total disruption of a sovereign State and the territorial integrity of a country", a principle that was reaffirmed in the Vienna Declaration and Programme of Action.
- 34. It was within a country's exclusive competence to deal with problems concerning ethnic groups; that was not a matter of self-determination. Accepting it as such would mean partitioning all multinational countries and ending peace and security in the world.

- 35. The Commission and General Assembly had been paying increasing attention in recent years to the correct interpretation of the right to self-determination, which was defined in the Charter of the United Nations and other relevant international human rights instruments.
- 36. The restoration of the Palestinian people's rights, including their right to self-determination, and a just and reasonable solution to the question of Palestine were the key to the realization of peace and stability in the Middle East. His delegation hoped that the parties concerned, guided by the principle of "land for peace" and based on the relevant resolutions of the United Nations, would strive for a comprehensive, just and lasting settlement to the Middle East question through political negotiations.
- 37. Mr. NAZARIAN (Observer for Armenia) said that the right of peoples to self-determination was the cornerstone of the community of States and the United Nations system. The majority of States had stemmed from the realization of that right. That right was defined as belonging to "all people", regardless of the size of their territories and their population. Under international law, every nation exercised that right on an ongoing basis. It was meaningless to assert that it could be exercised only once. Furthermore, combating the exercise of the right to self-determination by military force gave rise to situations of conflict and aggression, with their concomitants of destruction, refugee problems, starvation and death. Such acts ultimately constituted violations of human rights.
- 38. It was important to reaffirm the lasting and inalienable nature of the right to self-determination, for interpretations limiting its exercise in time and space were frequently heard. "New experts", rejecting the clear statement in the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples, that all nations had the right to self-determination, maintained that the right to self-determination could be exercised only once and became obsolete once States had gained independence, or else tried to restrict the scope of its application within their territory. Others, quoting the Charter of the United Nations, held that the principle of the territorial integrity of States should take precedence over the principle of the right to self-determination. The Charter, however, spoke of territorial sovereignty of States in relation to the non-use of force between States, independently of the right to self-determination. Finally, according to the Helsinki Final Act, all principles contained therein were interrelated and could not be interpreted separately.
- 39. He condemned that attempt to question a principle of international law which had resulted in the creation of nearly 200 independent States and which, inter-alia, guaranteed the rights of the individual, which was the key subject of the Commission's work.
- 40. Mr. TARMIDZI (Indonesia), speaking on behalf of the member States of the Organization of the Islamic Conference (OIC), appealed to the international community, particularly the sponsors of the Middle East peace process, to ensure the success of the process through the effective implementation of General Assembly and Security Council resolutions. He invited the Commission to compel Israel to implement all the agreements concluded and to enter into

serious negotiations on the final status of the Palestinian territories. He also urged all States to extend their support to the international programme on economic, social and cultural development in the occupied Palestinian territories.

- 41. It was unfortunate that the current Israeli Government had reneged on the commitments made by the previous Government, in particular to withdraw from the occupied Syrian Golan to the line of 4 June 1967. Israel must also be compelled to implement Security Council resolution 497 (1981) and resume in the near future the negotiations concerning the Golan as part of the Middle East peace process.
- 42. Mr. AMAT FORES (Cuba) said that, in the 1950s and 1960s, the right to self-determination had essentially been exercised to end the heinous colonial system. Nevertheless, the right still remained entirely valid, since although colonialism had disappeared as a system, it was still present in no less pernicious forms. The developing countries, in particular, were the target of new policies of domination, on the pretext of a so-called right of humanitarian interference, and attempts by powerful States to force foreign models on them in the name of universal archetypes.
- 43. Peace in the world required respect for nations, for their territorial integrity, their ethnic and cultural diversity and their political plurality. The exercise of the right to self-determination was a prerequisite for the realization of all human rights. It was meaningless to speak of human rights while foreign domination and occupation persisted.
- 44. His Government reaffirmed the right of the Palestinian people to self-determination and demanded that Israel restore unconditionally all the Arab territories it was occupying, including the West Bank, Gaza Strip, Syrian Golan and the occupied portion of southern Lebanon. For its part, it would continue to demand the restitution of the territory of the Guantánamo naval base, unlawfully occupied by the United States of America, and to ask that country to end its 37-year-old policy of hostility towards Cuba.
- 45. It was unfortunate that, when considering the agenda item on the right of peoples to self-determination, the Commission had not had before it the report of the Special Rapporteur on the question of mercenaries, Mr. Bernales Ballesteros, whose valuable work had raised the international community's awareness of that problem. The Government of Cuba hoped he would continue his work, in particular the work on the new forms of mercenarism and especially the unlawful activities of individuals against their own country in the service of a foreign Power.
- 46. Mr. HAUGESTAD (Observer for Norway) said that his country viewed the two agenda items under consideration in the context of the Middle East peace process. His delegation expressed sincere appreciation for the Special Rapporteur's high-quality report on the situation of human rights in the Palestinian territories occupied since 1967 and shared the Special Rapporteur's view that his mandate should be adjusted to take the peace process into account. The mandate should, in future, encompass both

self-governing and occupied areas. Close cooperation with both Israeli and Palestinian authorities was required, as well as with local non-governmental organizations.

- 47. The Oslo Agreements, which provided for the establishment of democratic institutions and respect for human rights, laid the foundations for the self-determination of the Palestinian people. The ultimate goal of the peace process would be attained when Palestinian and Israeli civil societies lived side by side in peace and security. His Government urged both sides to refrain from any unilateral measure designed to pre-empt the outcome of the final status negotiations. It was important to safeguard both the letter and the spirit of the Oslo Agreements. In that connection, his delegation deeply regretted the decision of the Israeli Government to build new settlements in East Jerusalem.
- 48. His delegation was pleased that the democratically-elected Palestinian Legislative Council was actively discussing human rights issues. The local Palestinian elections should strengthen the development of democratic institutions and practices still further. It was reassuring that that important work was being given high priority by the Palestinian Authority, which was responsible for dealing with human rights issues. The parties should promote understanding between their peoples and advance the negotiations; only then could human rights be fully protected.
- 49. Mr. AKRAM (Pakistan) said that the right of peoples to self-determination was a fundamental principle of the Charter of the United Nations and a basic human right. Pakistan thus reaffirmed its commitment to the cause of the Palestinian people. Faithful implementation of the peace accord could bring the Palestinians closer to their ultimate objective of realization of their right to self-determination. Unilateral actions could not but harm the peace process, and his delegation fully associated itself with the concerns expressed at a previous meeting by the Chairman of the Organization of the Islamic Conference regarding Israel's decision to build a new settlement in the Jabal Abou Ghaneim sector in Al Quds al Sharif (Jerusalem).
- 50. The principle of self-determination had been the fundamental basis for the partition of the Indian subcontinent and the emergence of India and Pakistan as separate sovereign States in August 1947. The people of the State of Jammu and Kashmir also wished to exercise their right to self-determination, as recognized by the United Nations in numerous resolutions adopted by the Security Council since 1948. Pakistan and India had committed themselves to respecting those resolutions, which remained valid and were the only agreed basis for a resolution of the Kashmir dispute. The Simla Agreement of 1972 provided for a final settlement of the Jammu and Kashmir question through bilateral negotiations between India and Pakistan; Simla had not extinguished the Kashmiris' right to self-determination. Furthermore, self-determination for Kashmir could not compromise the territorial integrity of either Pakistan or India, since a people that had not yet exercised its right to self-determination could not become an integral part of a State.

- 51. As the Security Council stipulated in resolutions 91 (1951) and 122 (1957), "the final disposition of the State of Jammu and Kashmir will be made in accordance with the will of the people expressed through the democratic method of a free and impartial plebiscite conducted under the auspices of the United Nations", and unilateral actions, such as the convening of a so-called constituent assembly in Kashmir, would not constitute a disposition of the state in accordance with the democratic principle in question. For that reason, the Kashmiri leadership and the people of Jammu and Kashmir had rejected the so-called elections organized in Indian-held Kashmir and their predetermined outcome.
- 52. The granting of autonomy could not serve as a substitute for the right of a people under foreign occupation or colonial domination to seek freedom and genuine self-determination. That right had been forcibly denied for decades in Kashmir, where large-scale human rights violations had been regularly committed by the Indian security forces since 1990. Despite their sufferings, the Kashmiri people were resolved to continue their struggle for self-determination, which had led to rising tensions in relations between India and Pakistan. Nevertheless, immediately after assuming office, Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif had underlined his Government's desire to promote cooperative and neighbourly relations with India and strive for a peaceful settlement of the dispute over Kashmir through negotiations. At the initiative of the Government of Pakistan, talks between the Foreign Ministers of Pakistan and India would be held from 28 March 1997 in New Delhi.
- 53. It was Pakistan's desire to seek a genuine and just political solution to the Kashmir dispute, which was the main cause of tension and conflict in the region. It was convinced that such a solution could open the door to an era of peace and prosperity in South Asia.
- 54. Mr. KIRKYACHARIAN (Movement against Racism and for Friendship Among Peoples) said that there could be no limits on the right to self-determination other than those set by those who held that right, namely, human beings. It could not be politicized, for legitimately political issues, rather than what was in the realm of mere fact, had to be defined in terms of freedom. In a large number of specific problems, for which the Governments concerned would gradually be led to accept certain solutions, much would be gained both politically and from a human point of view if those involved took as their reference point the principle of self-determination, which was the very foundation of freedom.
- 55. An illustration could be found in the situation of certain peoples or population groups which were being denied the right to self-determination but persisted in defining themselves as peoples. That was the case for the two million individuals living in Kosovo; the Sahrawi people, whose right to self-determination had been recognized by the United Nations; the Palestinian people and the Timorese people, whose tiny territory had been occupied and ruled over repressively for over 20 years by a very powerful neighbour. He expressed the hope that the awarding of the Nobel Peace Prize to two of that small country's leading figures for their work towards self-determination would enable the Timorese to live freely and establish normal, even friendly, relations with the neighbour in question. Meanwhile, at the very gates of Europe, a large republic was waging a merciless war in the provinces to the

east of its territory against a population that was overwhelmingly in the majority there while, south of the Caucasus, a small valley had been subjected to a bloody war because its population, undeniably Armenian for centuries, wished to determine its own destiny.

- 56. His organization asked the Commission to make a very simple statement to the effect that the right to self-determination was the very foundation for politics and also the fastest way to end the bloody legacy of past centuries.
- Mr. NADARAJAH (International Educational Development Inc.), speaking 57. also on behalf of the Centre Europe-Tiers Monde, the International Indian Council, the Transnational Radical Party and several national NGOs, drew the Commission's attention to the situation of the Tamil people who had been struggling for self-determination in Sri Lanka for decades, and who had had to take up arms in 1983 in response to violent repression from successive Sri Lankan Governments since 1956. The Tamil people had lived from ancient times within relatively well-defined geographical boundaries in the north and east of the island and shared a heritage, vibrant culture and living language. From their years of struggle against oppressive Sinhalese rule they had also acquired a political consciousness and a feeling of specific identity as a people with a right to self-determination. The war had forced hundreds of thousands of Tamil civilians to seek refuge in the areas of the Tamil homeland that remained in the control of the Tamil resistance. Sri Lanka's continuing economic blockade of those areas violated the rules of humanitarian law regarding food and medicine for civilian populations. In addition, Tamil villages had been bombarded and Tamil women raped by Sri Lankan troops, and arbitrary arrests, torture and custodial deaths were widely reported in the areas under Sri Lankan military control.
- 58. In the best interest of peace and realization of all human rights and fundamental freedoms, including the right to self-determination, the Commission should call upon the Government of Sri Lanka to withdraw its military forces from the Tamil territory in the north-east of the island and call upon the two parties to the conflict to secure a political solution with full recognition of the right of the Tamil people freely to determine their political status.
- 59. Mr. HALEPOTA (Liberation) said that self-determination was an inherent and inalienable right of all peoples; its denial inevitably resulted in uprisings and violence as had been seen in Palestine, Chechnya, Kashmir and Sindh. In Sindh, which was inhabited by nearly 45 million people, the heirs to one of the world's oldest surviving civilizations were under threat of extinction from terrorism, deliberate cultural dilution, settlement of illegal immigrants and continued military occupation by the Pakistani authorities in disregard of human rights. That situation had been reported by both the Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions and the Special Rapporteur on questions relevant to torture, who noted in his report (E/CN.4/1997/7/Add.2) that, in the province of Sindh, there had been 62 recent deaths in custody, probably as a result of torture. The father of the Sindhi nation, G.M. Syed, had died in custody on 25 April 1995, after being detained for more than 30 years for defending the right of the Sindhi people to self-determination. He himself had been forced into exile.

- 60. The Pakistani authorities were confiscating Sindhi land, looting mining and water resources and closing down Sindhi schools. That was why the Sindhis had lost all confidence in the Pakistani political system and had ceased to participate in elections.
- 61. Stability could not be ensured in that region of strategic importance for world peace unless the violations of the human rights of the Sindhi people were stopped. It was essential that an impartial United Nations fact-finding mission be sent to the area and that immediate measures be adopted to ensure that the Sindhis could contribute to the peace, progress and stability of the region. His organization appealed to the international community to support Sindh in its peaceful struggle against neo-colonialism and the occupying authorities.
- 62. Father JAEGER (Franciscans International) said that the Israeli-Palestinian peace process was a source of hope for both nations, notwithstanding the difficulties and repeated setbacks. At the same time, it must not be limited to questions of political, military or economic power; to bring about true peace, it must include a priority human rights dimension. Both nations should be given assistance to implement human rights at both the constitutional, legislative and administrative levels. The Commission had its role to play in helping both nations to develop high priority educational programmes. Human rights education, emphasizing freedom of religion and conscience and non-discrimination, was the key to a genuine reconciliation and a true peace in the Holy Land, as indeed anywhere else.
- 63. As a Catholic organization, Franciscans International was firmly committed to the well-known positions of the Holy See on the peace process, particularly those regarding the rights of both peoples and the need for an internationally guaranteed special statute for Jerusalem.
- 64. Mr. PARY (Indigenous World Association) said that the countless works written, resolutions passed and demagogic statements made on the right of peoples to self-determination had merely concealed its true political and historical nature. And yet the explicit recognition of that principle without restrictions or conditions was the cornerstone of all the rules of international law which laid down the treaty obligations of States and determined their capacity for establishing relations of peaceful coexistence and international cooperation. It was particularly regrettable in that connection that the legitimate right of the Palestinian people to self-determination continued to be flouted by Israel, which was pursuing its policy of establishing settlements against the wishes of the international community.
- 65. Customary law showed that self-determination had always been a matter for peoples rather than States, for it related to the freedom and dignity of human beings and did not lend itself to bargaining. States simply had to recognize that legitimate right and guarantee its free exercise in accordance with the relevant international conventions and instruments.
- 66. The draft declaration on the rights of indigenous peoples was also directly based on that principle, which was so crucial to the survival of those peoples and the recognition of their fundamental freedoms and rights.

- The major national liberation movements that had emerged almost everywhere in the world following the decolonization process had inspired the international community with the ideals of peace and human dignity. In resolution 1540 (XV) of 14 December 1960, entitled "Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples", the United Nations General Assembly had established the legal foundations for the right to self-determination, pursuant to which colonized and dependent peoples freely determined their political status, freely pursued their economic, social and cultural development and had full control over their natural resources. That right was, in fact, the basis for the effective achievement of all other fundamental rights and freedoms. Articles 1, 2 and 55 of the Charter of the United Nations, which established the need to "develop friendly relations among nations based on respect for the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples", were undeniably of universal scope. In other words, they were the prerequisite for the relations of international cooperation that were the raison d'être of the United Nations.
- 68. In a State subject to the rule of law, the principle of self-determination whether that of Palestinians, Chechens, Kurds or Indians should be regarded as a natural component of contemporary international law designed to redress an age-old injustice and enshrined in national constitutions and legislation. All policies aimed at thwarting the will of subjugated peoples to take charge of their own destiny with dignity and under conditions of equality were in reality nothing more than irrational, unjust and discriminatory acts, incompatible with the Charter of the United Nations.
- 69. Ms. BRIDEL (International Association of Democratic Lawyers (IADL)) said that the Nagorno Karabakh region, which had been inhabited by Armenians since time immemorial and wrongfully appended to the Soviet Republic of Azerbaijan, had been claiming its right to self-determination since 1988, in accordance with the principles of the Charter of the United Nations, reaffirmed in many General Assembly resolutions. It had been refused that right in 1991 by Azerbaijan, which had reacted violently by organizing pogroms against the Armenians. The ensuing war between Nagorno Karabakh and Azerbaijan had ended in 1994, but the ceasefire observed since then had not resolved the conflict. The principles for a settlement had been defined in a recent summit of the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE), but the Azerbaijani leaders did not rule out the possibility of resuming hostilities, while their economic partners were primarily interested in secure ways of extracting and transporting oil.
- 70. IADL was convinced that recognition of the right of the population of Nagorno Karabakh to self-determination under democratic conditions was a prerequisite for peace and security in the region. It was essential that the elected representatives of Nagorno Karabakh should take part in genuine negotiations. IADL asked the Commission and the High Commissioner for Human Rights to take steps to that end.
- 71. $\underline{\text{Mr. H.K. SINGH}}$ (India) said that his Government's stand on the question of the violation of human rights in the occupied Arab territories, including Palestine, was well known. It had consistently supported a Middle East peace

process designed to secure the exercise of the inalienable rights of the Palestinian people, and it was particularly dismayed at recent events and their impact on the peace process. His delegation supported the appeal made by the Special Rapporteur, Mr. Hannu Haalinen, for a comprehensive approach to the issues of security, development, democracy and respect for human rights, for it agreed with him that human rights could not be set aside pending the outcome of the peace process.

- 72. Israel's continuing occupation of the Palestinian territories, the confiscation of Palestinian lands and property, collective punishments and the treatment of Palestinian prisoners, including the use of torture, were all obstacles to establishing an atmosphere of trust and identifying solutions to problems. The continuation of the settlement policy, with the announcement of the construction of a new Jewish neighbourhood at Jabel Abou Ghneim, to the south of East Jerusalem, was particularly disturbing. His delegation once again urged all the parties concerned to intensify their efforts to find a just, comprehensive and lasting peace in the Middle East, on the basis of the Security Council resolutions and the interim agreements. It firmly believed that the implementation of those agreements would be a considerable contribution to the enjoyment of human rights.
- 73. Mr. AHMAD (World Muslim Congress) said that the right of peoples to self-determination was a principle of jus cogens from which no State could derogate for any reason whatsoever. In that regard, his organization welcomed the peace agreement recently negotiated in Chechnya and the agreement signed between the Government of the Philippines and the Chairman of the Moro National Liberation Front, Nur Misuari.
- The people of Kosovo had not been so lucky. After eight years of a non-violent campaign for self-determination, they had been refused their right to self-determination by the Belgrade authorities, who were transforming a just struggle into a violent confrontation. A large-scale catastrophe was in the making. In that respect, the Serbian authorities were following the example of India which, despite its claims to be the "biggest democracy in the world", was executing military operations in 17 different "disturbed areas". In Nagaland alone, it maintained an army of 250,000 soldiers who burned and looted villages and committed rapes and other barbarous acts to deny the Nagas' demand for self-determination. Fifty years previously, India had invaded and occupied Kashmir, and there was currently one soldier for every seven inhabitants in that region. The peaceful statement in 1953 of Prime Minister Nehru, to the effect that India would not hold any area by strength of arms, seemed, in hindsight, to have been a cynical subterfuge intended to gain time until the occupation was securely in place. The rigged elections in Jammu and Kashmir had never reflected the free will of the Kashmiri people, and the international observers had not been duped. To justify the acts of terror and human rights violations being committed by its irregular militias, India accused the Kashmiris of being secessionists and terrorists, but its real objective was to force the Kashmiri people to give up their demands out of sheer hopelessness.
- 75. Such actions must not be tolerated. International law prohibited the use of military force to deny a people its right to self-determination, and it was an international crime to violate that rule. The Security Council and the

Commission on Human Rights should combine their efforts to remind India of its duties under the Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and all the other international instruments and to ensure application of the Security Council decisions.

- 76. The CHAIRMAN invited the representative of the Netherlands to exercise his right of reply.
- 77. Mr. van WULFFTEN PALTHE (Netherlands) said that, in exercising its right of reply concerning the statement by the Minister for Foreign Affairs of the Netherlands at the previous meeting, the Chinese delegation had deliberately misrepresented the statement and interpreted a plea on behalf of human rights defenders as a harangue against developing countries. Rather than criticizing the substance of the statement, the Chinese delegation had resorted to the usual tired and well-worn references to colonialism. His delegation did not deny that human rights violations had taken place during the colonial period of its history, but the current Government, which had a strong commitment to the protection and promotion of human rights, could not be held responsible. He wondered when the Chinese Government would take responsibility for the current violations of human rights in China.

The meeting rose at 12.50 p.m.