



United Nations Environment Programme



Distr.
GENERAL



UNEP/GC.16/25
25 May 1991

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

GOVERNING COUNCIL
Sixteenth session
Nairobi, 20-31 May 1991
Agenda item 8

THE ENVIRONMENT FUND AND ADMINISTRATIVE AND OTHER FINANCIAL MATTERS

A. Introduction

1. Under the Chairmanship of Vice-President Mr. Frantisek Penazka (Czechoslovakia), the Fund Committee considered agenda item 8.
2. At its first meeting, held on 20 May 1991, Mr. Carlos Gamba (Colombia) was elected Rapporteur by acclamation. The Committee also approved the organization of its work as proposed in UNEP/GC.16/FC/L.1.
3. In considering agenda item 8 (The Environment Fund and administrative and other financial matters) at its first to its ninth meetings from 20-24 May 1991. The Committee had before it the following documents: UNEP/GC.16/2 Chap. V and annex II; UNEP/GC.16/3 Chap. V and annexes II and III; UNEP/GC.16/4/Add.5; UNEP/GC.16/22 and Corrs. 1 and 2; UNEP/GC.16/22/Add.1; UNEP/GC.16/23; UNEP/GC.16/23/Corr. 1 and Corr. 2; UNEP/GC.16/23/Add.1; UNEP/GC.16/L.1 and Corr. 1 and Add.1; UNEP/GC.16/L.3; UNEP/GC.16/24 and Corrs.1 and 2; UNEP/GC.16/24/Add.1 and UNEP/GC.16/24/Add.2.
4. In his introductory remarks, the Assistant Executive Director for Fund and Administration outlined the issues to be covered by the Fund Committee. These included the Environment Fund, Programme and Programme Support Costs, additional sources of funding and Trust Funds and personnel-related matters. Presenting the status of the Environment Fund in 1991 and estimated contributions for 1992 and 1993, he pointed out that 1990 contributions had reached a record high and that UNEP appeared to be moving towards its 1992 target of \$100 million. However, in the event that there should be a shortfall in contributions, an order of priorities for spending in 1992-1993 had been prepared for the Committee's consideration.

B. The Environment Fund: Availability and use of resources

5. Several representatives expressed concern about the decreasing number of countries contributing to the Environment Fund, leaving the financial burden to be borne by the same States each year. The Assistant Executive Director stated that he shared this concern, although he noted that the non-contributors were largely developing countries.

6. Several representatives drew the attention of the Committee to the importance of maintaining a reserve fund for emergency situations. The Assistant Executive Director agreed that there should be greater provision for emergency and unforeseen situations, adding that the Fund Committee would be requested to increase the Fund Programme Reserve from its current level of \$4.0m to \$10.0m for 1992-1993.
7. To one representative who requested information on how the Fund Programme Reserve was spent, the Assistant Executive Director replied that the Fund Programme Reserve was utilized to finance unanticipated activities and to meet unforeseen requests emanating from a variety of sources, including the Governing Council itself.
8. In view of the substantial amount of funds brought forward from 1990 to 1991, several representatives questioned the ability of UNEP to manage and utilize fully the proposed increased contributions. The Assistant Executive Director explained that the large carry-over from prior years was due in part to contributions paid late in the year, uncertainty as to the timing of payments, commitments carried forward, and delayed approval of projects due to protracted negotiations with implementing partners. Several representatives stated that their Governments' budgetary procedures created difficulties in making their pledges and payments coincide with UNEP's budgetary requirements.
9. Many representatives expressed doubts about the ability of their Governments to increase their pledges to the levels necessary to meet the proposed targets for 1992-1993 and 1994-1995, while several proposed postponing the decision on the 1995 Environment Fund target until after the 1992 United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED).
10. A number of representatives announced an increase in their contributions to the Environment Fund, while other countries indicated that they would maintain strong support to the Fund, although they were not yet able to provide firm pledges. The representative of Austria informed the Committee that his Government was considering a 20 per cent increase for 1992-1993. While supporting the proposed Fund target, the representative of Finland announced that her Government would increase its contribution for 1992 by an unspecified amount and would also endeavour to make further increases up to 1995, the levels to be determined by the performance and responsiveness of UNEP and contributions from other countries that were in a position to increase their contributions. Germany announced a pledge for 1991 and 1992 of DM 9.6 million annually, as well as a counterpart contribution for the Dresden University Training Course for 1991 and 1992 of DM 750,000 and DM 1.0 million respectively. The representative of Italy⁹⁸ announced that his Government would increase its 1991 contribution to Italian Lire 3,000 million. The representative of Sweden, too, announced his Government's pledge of KS 22.0 million annually for 1991 and 1992. It was noted that some other countries had already pledged to the Environment Fund at a substantially higher level.
11. One representative asked for information as to how the lack of available funds hampered UNEP's ability to carry out its activities. He also called for a clear view of programme activities and careful planning for the medium-term phase.

/...

12. Several representatives called for a strengthening of the consultative and monitoring role of the Committee of Permanent Representatives in the course of the implementation of UNEP activities. The delay in receiving some of the documentation gave rise to dissatisfaction on the part of a number of representatives, in particular the delay in budget documentation, which had to be subject to prior examination by financial experts.

13. During the extensive discussion of the Fund targets for the 1992-1993 biennium, a number of representatives supported the proposed sums of \$150.0 million for the core programme and \$30.0 million for the supplementary programme. Several also endorsed the proposed increase in the Fund Programme Reserve from \$4.0 million to \$10.0 million, although reservations about these latter increases were expressed by one representative.

14. Several representatives also called for a strengthening of the consultative role of the Committee of Permanent Representatives and an extension of its monitoring function to cover the implementation of core activities. One representative stated that strengthening the function of the Committee of Permanent Representatives was an essential prerequisite to his Government's agreement to the \$150.0 million core programme target.

15. One representative stated that in order to plan the 1992-1993 programme activities, \$67.0 million should be used as a 1991 base figure. A few expressed skepticism about reaching the \$150.0 million contribution level necessary to implement the core activities. They asked that the Fund Committee establish an inner core of priorities to be implemented within the overall core programme. One representative proposed targets of \$100.0 million and \$50.0 million for the core and supplementary programmes respectively. By contrast, several representatives expressed the view that it was important to include provision for a supplementary programme in the event that additional funds became available.

16. Several representatives asked the secretariat to issue a list indicating payments of contributions in 1990 pledges, both as a percentage of the total and as compared with these Governments' scales of assessment for the regular budget of the United Nations. One requested details of expenditures during 1990-1991 against the Fund Programme Reserve. These were provided by the secretariat.

17. One representative asked which procedures the Executive Director applied in committing funds when a shortfall in contributions was likely. In his response, the Assistant Executive Director for Fund and Administration quoted Article 8 of the General Procedures Governing the Operations of the Fund of the United Nations Environment Programme.

18. One representative sought clarification on the composition of item (k) (iii) on the flow and use of resources of the Environment Fund, "necessary extensions and new projects". The Assistant Executive Director explained that these figures represented the resources available within the appropriation for activities, taking into account approved commitments. It would be needed to cover institutional projects such as programme activity centres, which constituted the bulk of the "necessary extensions"; the remainder would be available for new activities, although these might be added to existing projects or prepared as new projects.

/...

19. Some representatives expressed doubt as to whether UNEP would be in a position to sustain the proposed increased level of activities, given the fact that the balance of Fund brought forward from 1990 was almost as high as the level of expenditure for that same year. The Assistant Executive Director replied that the end-year balance of 1990 contained approximately \$8.3 million of non-convertible currencies, while the payment of some contributions late in the year and the cautious policy always adopted by the Executive Director towards incurring new commitments until contributions were assured were contributory factors in the level of the balance of the Fund at the end of the year. He stated that since in the past few contributions had been paid during the first three months of the year, it was always necessary to retain resources at the end of the year to cover financial needs over the first quarter of the next.

20. Concluding the discussion of the Fund targets, the Committee agreed upon the draft letter to be sent to the Chairman of the Programme Committee, requesting, that the Committee prepare a programme for Fund Programme activities in 1992-1993 of \$150.0 million and also asking it to identify additional activities amounting to \$30.0 million. Furthermore, the Committee requested the Programme Committee, in the event of a shortfall of the expected contributions towards the \$150 million programme, to describe the appropriate approach to be adopted by the Executive Director, whether by identifying projects of lower priority or taking other action. In these cases, the Fund Committee suggested that the Executive Director take account of that proposed approach in formulating his responses to the possible shortfall of contributions, which he should present to the Committee of Permanent Representatives for review and approval.

21. In his introduction to the target for the Environment Fund for the 1994-1995 biennium, the Assistant Executive Director presented two alternative scenarios as requested by the Committee, which set out the flow and use of resources of the Environment Fund through 1995 with increases in contributions of 10 per cent and 20 per cent respectively.

22. One representative stated that the period in question, 1991-1995, would be characterized by a number of factors that rendered the formulation of a precise fiscal framework required for programme planning purposes very difficult. These factors, among others, depended on the outcome of UNCED. Hence, the entire four years would demand exceptionally sound and prudent financial management, supported by consultations with the Committee of Permanent Representatives.

23. A few representatives pointed out the need to distinguish between a target figure of \$250 million and what they maintained was a more realistic planning figure based on the expected level of contributions. One representative suggested that the decision for the 1994-1995 target be postponed until the seventeenth session of the Governing Council and that, pending the deliberations of the Council at that time, the Executive Director plan a programme based on the level of contributions received during the 1992-1993 biennium. The proposed programme should then be discussed by the Committee of Permanent Representatives before being presented to the Governing Council at its seventeenth session.

/...

24. Pointing out that not giving the Executive Director guidance on the size of the programme to be prepared would be a departure from customary practice, the Assistant Executive Director suggested that a definite figure be proposed with provision for an additional programme, as was the case for the 1992-1993 biennium.

25. Several representatives suggested a planning figure representing a 10 per cent increase over the 1992-1993 programme figure, i.e. \$165 million for the core programme and \$35 million for the supplementary programme. Several others stressed the need to strive to attain the target of \$250 million. Still others suggested a figure of \$150 million for the core programme, one proposing a \$40 million supplementary programme.

C. Programme and programme support costs

26. In his introduction of the Executive Director's performance report on the programme and programme support costs budget for the biennium 1990-1991, the Assistant Executive Director pointed out that the Executive Director was seeking no more than the appropriation already approved for the current biennium, but he was seeking the Council's approval of the reapportionment of this sum as indicated in tables 5, 6 and 7 of the report. He informed the Committee that substantial savings had been achieved as a result of the decline in the exchange value of the Kenya shilling against the dollar, as well as from a staff vacancy rate that was higher than had been anticipated, even though this sum would be offset by inflation to some extent.

27. The Executive Director was proposing that the balance of these savings would be utilized to finance additional office accommodation by way of a loan to the regular budget of the United Nations of \$2.5m in 1991 and \$3.5m in 1992. This loan, together with the interest accrued, would be repaid by the United Nations by remission in rent during 1992, 1993 and probably 1994. The Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions (ACABQ) had, in principle, accepted a proposal of the Secretary-General to construct additional office accommodation at Gigiri, but had stated that it wished to consider the matter further once firm bids for the project had been obtained. The Executive Director was now seeking the approval of the Governing Council for this arrangement, subject to the final approval of ACABQ.

28. One representative, noting the comments of ACABQ, requested clarification of the increase in travel and consultancy costs in 1990-1991. In reply, the Assistant Executive Director explained that these costs had risen significantly in 1990 and would increase further in 1991. He attributed this increase to inflation, as well as to the need for greater staff travel to such meetings as those held by UNCED and the Environmental Law and Institutions Unit. Additionally, he explained that because UNEP had not been able to recruit new staff against existing vacancies, a greater use of consultants had been necessary. In effect, some of the savings resulting from vacant posts were being spent on consultants.

29. Several representatives expressed concern about the high vacancy rate and asked for a detailed explanation from the Assistant Executive Director. Another representative asked for a complete list of vacant posts, including information on why and how long they had remained vacant, as well as what measures had been taken to solve the problem. Still another observed that the vacancy rate had increased from 10 per cent to 24 per cent despite the

/...

availability of candidates and asked why these posts had not been filled. He also remarked that given the high vacancy rate, it was contradictory to ask for more space in the proposed new accommodation. He questioned, too, why the Governing Council was being asked to approve posts that could not be filled until additional resources became available.

30. One representative remarked that the increasing number of meetings held in Nairobi called for full-time interpreters. He suggested that instead of engaging interpreters from Europe as needed, it might be more economical to recruit such staff for Nairobi on a permanent basis, at least in respect of English, French and Spanish. One representative observed that interpretation facilities should be envisaged for all six official languages of the United Nations and not restricted to three.

31. In reply, the Assistant Executive Director stated that interpretation services financed through Fund activities had cost the Environment Fund approximately \$950,000 in 1990. To have permanent interpretation facilities in three languages, as was being proposed, UNEP would require 12 full-time interpreters which would cost an estimated \$903,000. He added that the current tendency among United Nations bodies was increasingly to engage free-lance interpreters as needed. In this connection, one representative observed that as the costs of hiring interpreters on a long-term basis were almost the same as engaging free-lancers, UNEP should opt for the former, the more so as the number of meetings in Nairobi had increased in 1991 and would probably continue to rise.

32. Another representative requested the budgetary implications of hosting informal meetings of the ministers of the environment. The Assistant Executive Director replied that in 1990, UNEP had spent \$9,134 and \$16,861 in 1991, resulting in a total of \$25,995.

33. Responding to personnel questions in general, the Assistant Executive Director stated that there were three criteria for filling posts: the availability of qualified candidates; the need for maintaining balance between staff from developed and developing countries; and broad-based geographical distribution. As to the increased need for office accommodation, he pointed out that whereas UNEP had employed 198 professional staff members in 1988, this number had increased to 235 by 1990. Moreover, additional staff members were currently being recruited.

34. One representative stressed the fact that the post of Secretary-General for the CITES Secretariat had been vacant since November 1990. The Assistant Executive Director replied that the new appointee would soon take up his duties.

35. Several representatives sought clarification as to the high turnover of UNEP staff. One asked if this was unique to UNEP or if the problem was shared by other United Nations bodies; he also asked if any surveys on this subject had been carried out. The Assistant Executive Director replied that no such survey had been undertaken by UNEP. However, he stated that there were problems in retaining professional staff in Nairobi, most of which were personal.

36. One representative asked if the 52 staff recruited during 1990 included those recruited for a period of less than one year who therefore did not have to be approved by the Appointment and Promotions Board. The Assistant

/...

Executive Director confirmed that these staff included those recruited on short-term basis, as well as Junior Professional Officers.

37. Another representative asked what would happen to programme and programme support costs for 1992-1993 if contributions fell short of UNEP projections. In reply, the Assistant Executive Director stated that the programme and programme support costs budget for 1992-1993 was based essentially on the staffing level of 1990-1991; the immediate request concerned only the four additional professional posts and four additional general service posts for the Clearing-house, posts which had not been approved by Governments when the Council had established the Unit in 1982, except for one P-4.

38. Introducing his budget proposals for 1992-1993, the Assistant Executive Director explained that his original proposals had been revised to include supplementary staffing proposals, but suggested that the Committee concentrate initially on the original proposal contained in document UNEP/GC.16/22 and consider the supplementary proposals afterwards.

39. The Assistant Executive Director also pointed out that although environment had been designated a priority subject for the United Nations, the regular budget allocation to UNEP was only some 0.6 per cent of that budget. This covered the cost of only 13.5 per cent of all UNEP professional posts. Since the Programme Planning and Budgeting Board of the United Nations had recommended to the ACABQ an increase of no more than two posts for UNEP from the regular budget, the Executive Director was seeking, as a supplementary proposal, the approval of the Governing Council for an additional 23 professional and 32 local posts, for which \$2.4m would be required. The Assistant Executive Director stated that these supplementary posts would be filled only when funds became available to carry out not only the basic programme of \$150m, but also the activities of the proposed additional programme of \$30 million.

40. One representative questioned the wisdom of asking for additional posts when the vacancy rate was as high as 24 per cent, adding that as there had been vacancies since 1985, the Council should wait for all the current vacancies to be filled. Consequently, the question of additional posts should be decided upon by the Council at its next regular session.

41. One representative queried the request for additional funding for the Executive Direction and Management budget line in 1992-1993. The Assistant Executive Director provided the breakdown of that line in regular budget terms, as well as that of programme and programme support costs.

42. Another representative sought clarification as to the financial implications to the programme and programme support costs budget of making the Industry and Environment Office, GRID, and the Environmental Law and Institutions Unit programme activity centres.

43. The Assistant Executive Director replied that because the programme activity centres were funded as projects, there would be no financial increase whatever to the programme and programme support costs budget and, indeed, the proposals under discussion included the deletion of two professional posts and two local-level posts under the Office of the Environment Programme if the Council approved the establishment of the Environmental Law and Institutions Unit as a programme activity centre.

/...

D. Organizational and personnel issues

44. In discussing other organizational and personnel matters, the Committee focused on two main issues: the deployment of Junior Professional Officers and the situation at the end of 1992 when many of UNEP's senior management staff would be retiring.

45. A number of representatives pointed out that in maintaining the balance between staff from developing and developed countries, posts financed by Governments or language posts should not be taken into account.

46. The Assistant Executive Director stated that if the Executive Director were requested to do so in a decision of the Governing Council, he would agree that staff financed directly by Governments, as well as language staff, would not be counted in calculating the balance of staff between developing and developed countries.

47. On the question of the retirement of a number of UNEP senior management officers during the next 18 months, many representatives expressed concern that this would create a vacuum and jeopardize UNEP's ability to implement the programme after UNCED.

48. In reply, the Assistant Executive Director assured the Committee that UNEP management was considering this problem very seriously and that specific steps were being taken to prepare in advance for these retirements. For example, there would be some staff reorganization after this Governing Council session and some of the retirees would be asked to stay on to the extent allowed by the United Nations rules so as to help train their successors. All vacancies would be advertised well in advance of the dates of the expected retirements.

49. Many representatives commended the scheme of employing Junior Professional Officers because it exposed young men and women from various Governments to the United Nations system.

50. The Assistant Executive Director explained the "conditionality" attached to the new policy of equating one Junior Professional Officer from a developing country with two from a developed country. Further, he explained that the scheme, as it had operated in the past, had distorted the staffing structure of UNEP. He also informed the Committee that an instruction had just been received from the Office of Human Resources Management at United Nations Headquarters stipulating that only UNDP and UNICEF could accept Junior Professional Officers. If UNEP were to continue using the Junior Professional Officers scheme, Governments would have to authorize the Executive Director to do so through a Governing Council decision.

51. While supporting the proposal that developed countries should finance Junior Professional Officers from the developing countries, a few representatives expressed displeasure at the way in which they had been informed of this suggestion.

52. The Assistant Executive Director agreed that the matter might have been better handled after a number of representatives had indicated that it would not be possible for their countries to continue financing Junior Professional Officers if the new guidelines mentioned before would have to be applied.

/...

53. One representative expressed the opinion that the Junior Professional Officer scheme should disappear, not only because it distorted staff composition, but the programme as well. In his view, all UNEP posts should be subject to the principle of equitable geographic distribution, which should not be distorted simply because only a few countries could pay for the training of their nationals at UNEP. In any case, the possibility of financing junior as well as senior professional staff in an equitable manner should be accepted.

54. Another representative asked what would happen if his Government financed 10 Junior Professional Officers from developing countries to the United Nations and none was posted to UNEP. Another representative requested the legislative authority given to the Executive Director for the new policy on financing Junior Professional Officers.

55. The Assistant Executive Director first replied that he had not been able to find the legislative authority that required the Executive Director to maintain a broad balance in UNEP professional staff, but that he understood that this policy had been stated by the Executive Director at one of the early Governing Council sessions. Subsequently, he referred the Committee to a report of the Executive Director issued at the eighth session of the Council and to decision 8/18 in which the Council had taken note of that report. On the issue of Junior Professional Officers, he agreed with a comment of one representative that UNEP had experienced difficulty in identifying suitable candidates from developing countries who might be financed by developed countries. However, measures being considered to rectify the situation included advertising Junior Professional Officers vacancies in developing countries and requesting nominations from the Governments of developing countries. A number of representatives expressed the view that nominations should be requested from developing countries.

E. Financial report and audited accounts for the biennium 1988-1989

56. The Assistant Executive Director introduced the audited accounts of the Fund for the biennium 1988-1989, including the report of the Board of Auditors, which had been submitted to the General Assembly at its forty-fifth session and on which ACABQ had not made any specific observations. He added that the External Auditors had also examined the 1990 accounts of UNEP, but that as their report had not yet been received, it would have to be submitted to the Governing Council at its seventeenth session. However, UNEP's management had been assured that no serious issues had arisen from this audit.

F. Additional sources of funding and management of trust funds

57. The Assistant Executive Director introduced the subject of additional sources of funding, drawing particular attention to the proliferation of trust funds, which now stood at 42. He pointed out that the Committee of the Permanent Representatives in its draft decision had requested the Executive Director to examine the possibility of reducing the number of such funds through amalgamation and to report thereon to the Governing Council at its seventeenth session. He noted that the amalgamation of personnel trust funds would result in their being audited as a single global trust fund; this might

/...

not satisfy the financial requirements of individual donors. The Committee of the Permanent Representatives had also invited Governments to increase their financial support to specific activities, provided that these increases did not detract from increased contributions to the Environment Fund.

58. One representative requested an update on the contributions to the Technical Co-operation Trust Fund to Develop and Co-ordinate the Implementation of the Plan for the Survey, Assessment and Dealing with the Consequences of Environmental Damage caused by Conflict between Iraq and Kuwait. This information was furnished by the secretariat.

59. One representative requested clarification of the difference between the Technical Co-operation Trust Fund for UNEP's Implementation of the Activities Funded by the Global Environment Facility and the Trust Fund for the Interim Multilateral Fund under the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer. This clarification was provided by the Assistant Executive Director.

60. One representative voiced the concern that the establishment of trust funds for specific UNEP activities might lead to a distortion of the priorities established by the Governing Council through its apportionment of funds within the appropriation for Fund programme activities.

61. Many representatives expressed support for paragraph 13 of the proposed draft decision on trust funds, which requested the Executive Director to examine the possibility of amalgamating a number of the funds. Several representatives supported paragraph 11 of this draft decision on trust funds, by which Governments were invited to increase their financial support to specific activities within the programme without detracting from increased contributions to the Environment Fund. One representative reaffirmed his Government's support to the Technical Co-operation Trust Fund to Develop and Co-ordinate the Implementation of the Plan for the Survey, Assessment and Dealing with the Consequences of Environmental Damage Caused by Conflict between Iraq and Kuwait and announced his Government's contribution of \$1.11 million to this trust fund, appealing to other Governments to contribute as well.

62. One representative requested information on the administrative costs involved in managing these trust funds. Another representative requested information on which trust funds were in deficit.

63. The Assistant Executive Director replied that although it was difficult to establish precise costs, UNEP charged a programme support cost of 13 per cent on all trust funds, with the exception of those trust funds devoted solely to personnel on which the charge was 12 per cent, in accordance with the Financial Rules and Regulations of the United Nations. He added that the income in the special account for programme support costs amply covered UNEP's costs of managing the trust funds. He explained further that the Financial Rules and Regulations of the United Nations did not permit deficits to occur in the trust funds.

G. Action by the Fund Committee

64. Upon completing its consideration of agenda item 8, the Committee adopted its report on its deliberations under the item (UNEP/GC.16/FC/L.3, Add.1, Add.2 and Add.3) and approved as amended a number of decisions for adoption by the Council (UNEP/GC.16/FC/L.4, UNEP/GC.16/FC/L.5, UNEP/GC.16/FC/L.6 and UNEP/GC.16/FC/L.7). While adopting the draft decision on the Global Environmental Facility, however, a number of representatives requested that their views be reflected in the Committee's report.

65. One representative stated that his Government noted with concern that over fifty per cent of the members of the Scientific and Technical Advisory Panel of the Global Environmental Facility came from developed countries.

66. One representative, referring to paragraph 8 of the draft decision on the Facility, requested that the Executive Director's reports to the Committee of Permanent Representatives on this subject should cover not only financial, but substantive matters as well.

67. One representative, while accepting the text of the draft decision on the Facility, expressed his reservations on its establishment; in his view, it risked distorting the priorities of environmental activities at the international level by concentrating only on areas of particular interest to a small group of countries. He stated, too, that the decision-making process concerning the Trust Fund for the Interim Multilateral Fund under the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer had been more democratic.
