

UNITED NATIONS

General Assembly

FIFTIETH SESSION

Official Records

FIFTH COMMITTEE
20th meeting
held on
Friday, 3 November 1995
at 3 p.m.
New York

SUMMARY RECORD OF THE 20th MEETING

Chairman: Mr. ABELIAN (Armenia)
(Vice-Chairman)

Chairman of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and
Budgetary Questions: Mr. MSELLE

CONTENTS

AGENDA ITEM 116: PROPOSED PROGRAMME BUDGET FOR THE BIENNIUM 1996-1997
(continued)

- (a) Administrative expenses of the United Nations Joint Staff Pension Fund
- (b) First reading (continued)

Section 21. Human rights

Section 22. Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for
Refugees

Section 23. United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestine
Refugees in the Near East

This record is subject to correction. Corrections should be sent under the signature of a member of the delegation concerned *within one week of the date of the publication* to the Chief of the Official Records Editing Section, room DC2-794, 2 United Nations Plaza, and incorporated in a copy of the record.

Corrections will be issued after the end of the session, in a separate corrigendum for each Committee.

Distr. GENERAL
A/C.5/50/SR.20
27 December 1995
ENGLISH
ORIGINAL: SPANISH

In the absence of Mr. Vilchez Asher (Nicaragua), Mr. Abelian, (Armenia), Vice-Chairman, took the Chair.

The meeting was called to order at 3.15 p.m.

AGENDA ITEM 116: PROPOSED PROGRAMME BUDGET FOR THE BIENNIUM 1996-1997
(continued) (A/50/6 (vols. I and II), A/50/7 and A/50/16)

(a) Administrative expenses of the United Nations Joint Staff Pension Fund
(A/50/7/Add.1 and A/50/312)

1. Mr. MSELLE (Chairman of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions) said that the Advisory Committee had considered the report of the Standing Committee of the United Nations Joint Staff Pension Board (A/50/312) and had submitted its opinions and recommendations in document A/50/7/Add.1. The Standing Committee's report contained that body's opinions and recommendations with regard to the revised budget estimates for the biennium 1994-1995, the budget estimates for the biennium 1996-1997 and the authorization for contributions to the Emergency Fund.

2. With regard to the revised estimates for 1994-1995, the Standing Committee had approved the amount of \$40,517,600 (para. 10), which represented an increase of \$835,500 over the revised estimates approved in 1994. The Advisory Committee was recommending approval of those revised estimates for the 1994-1995 biennium.

3. The budget estimates proposed by the Standing Committee for the biennium 1996-1997 totalled \$40,601,700, broken down into \$13,740,000 for administrative costs (paras. 32-67) and \$26,861,700 for investment costs (paras. 68-81). Those estimates included a provision to cover administrative costs for 106 established posts. Three new established posts were envisaged - one P-4 and two General Service posts - together with the reclassification of four posts - one P-3 post to the P-4 level and three General Service posts to the G-7 level. As stated in paragraph 8 of its report, the Advisory Committee was recommending approval of those requests.

4. It was apparent from paragraph 24 of the Standing Committee's report that no agreement had yet been reached on long-term arrangements for internal auditing of the accounts of the United Nations Joint Staff Pension Fund. The Standing Committee had therefore adopted a temporary solution whereby the Office of Internal Oversight Services would extend internal auditing services to the Fund until the Joint Staff Pension Board had considered the matter at its next session, in the course of which it would be drawing up budget estimates for the biennium 1998-1999. The Advisory Committee concurred in the proposal of the Secretary of the Pension Board that an amount of \$512,000 should be allocated to cover the costs of internal audits of the Fund's administrative activities and investment operations, as was explained in paragraph 52 of the Standing Committee's report.

5. The Office of Internal Oversight Services, however, had put the estimated cost of the internal audit function at \$964,200, as related in paragraphs 44 to 54 of the same report. The Advisory Committee was recommending that all

/...

internal audit costs should be reflected in the administrative budget under a separate provision for internal audit. It also considered that the outside firm responsible for the investment audit should be selected under international competitive bidding, in accordance with the Financial Regulations of the United Nations.

6. With regard to the submission of audit reports, the Advisory Committee was recommending in paragraphs 14 and 15 of its report that the Pension Board should provide clarification and guidance to its Secretary concerning the arrangements to be made with the Office of Internal Oversight Services in that respect. The Board should also clarify whether the arrangements with that Office should be on the basis of a fee for service rather than the financing of a dedicated unit in the Office.

7. In paragraphs 16 to 24 of its report, the Advisory Committee dealt with investment costs. The budget proposals in that regard included staffing requirements of 30 established posts for the Fund's Investment Management Service. Those requirements consisted of the establishment of six new posts, through the establishment of two additional posts, one D-1 and one P-5, and the conversion to established posts of one P-4 level post and three General Service posts. The reclassification of one D-1 post to the D-2 level and one P-3 post to the P-4 level was also requested. In paragraph 24 of its report, the Advisory Committee was recommending that the Pension Board, at its next session, should address the staffing proposals for the Investment Management Service.

8. It was implied that, until the Pension Board determined the question of conversion, the four temporary posts would be maintained. With regard to the request for reclassification of one P-3 post to the P-4 level, the Advisory Committee, consistent with its opinion that the Secretary-General could reclassify posts between the P-2 and the P-5 levels, was recommending approval of that request.

9. It had not been easy for ACABQ to arrive at that conclusion; the representatives of the Secretary-General had put up a strong defence of the proposals, particularly for the new posts and for the reclassification of the D-1 post to the D-2 level. However, in view of the intense discussion of the matter in the Standing Committee, and taking into account the fact that under the regulations of the Fund, the Board was vested with the authority to submit to the General Assembly recommendations on the administrative and investment expenses of the Fund, the Advisory Committee had felt that the difficulties encountered in the Standing Committee on the question should be resolved by the full Board and that the Board should give its definite recommendation on the staffing arrangements proposed by the Secretary-General.

10. Mr. CONNOR (Under-Secretary-General for Administration and Management) said that, for the Secretary-General to continue to fulfil his fiduciary responsibilities, the staff of the Investment Management Service must be strengthened. The current number and level of posts were severely inadequate, considering the expected growth of the portfolio, its extensive diversification, the complexity of the investments and the primary need to monitor investments closely. The Service was currently staffed by 24 persons: one D-1, three P-5, two P-4, three P-3 and 15 General Service staff. Only four of the nine

/...

Professional staff were full-time portfolio managers. The portfolio had investments in 46 countries in 30 different currencies and 1,300 securities in 650 industrial sectors. Sixty-three per cent was invested in equities, in 850 specific stock positions, which required a much higher level of monitoring than the more passive needs of bonds of earlier years.

11. The human resources requested in the proposed budget for the biennium 1996-1997 for investment costs were very modest. After a review of the staffing table and the classification of posts in the Investment Management Service, the Office of Human Resources Management had recommended that the level of some posts should be upgraded and that additional posts should be created. A total of six posts was requested in the budget: four temporary posts which had been approved in 1995 and two new posts. The resources requested for the biennium 1996-1997 were less than those requested in the revised budget for the biennium 1994-1995. It was estimated that the investment costs for managing the portfolio would be merely nine basis points, or 0.09 per cent, of the market value of the Fund as at 30 September 1995. Those costs were considerably less than those of the World Bank, which amounted to 50 basis points, or the average global balanced fund with a management fee of 80 basis points, or global mutual funds, which charged an average of 120 basis points, or 15 times the cost of the management of the Fund.

12. According to its report, the Advisory Committee accepted the budgetary proposals, but believed that the Pension Board, should address the staffing requirements at its meeting in 1996. Unfortunately, the resources were needed immediately, not in 1996. The responsibilities of managing a fund of that size were enormous, and appropriate staff were needed. He believed, therefore, that it was not realistic to expect good investment managers to join the Investment Management Service with no assurance of established posts. He reiterated that the Service did not have sufficient resources to enable the Secretary-General to continue to meet his fiduciary responsibilities.

13. Mr. DJACTA (Algeria) and Mr. OWADE (Kenya) supported the Secretariat's request.

14. Mr. GODA (Japan) said that his delegation supported the recommendations of the Advisory Committee.

15. The CHAIRMAN took it that the Committee had concluded its general discussion of the matter and had decided to refer it to informal consultations for further review and appropriate action.

(b) First reading (continued)

Section 21. Human rights

Section 22. Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees

Section 23. United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near East

16. The CHAIRMAN invited the Chairman of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions to present sections 21, 22 and 23 of the proposed programme budget.

17. Mr. MSELLE (Chairman of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions) said that both the Board of Auditors and the Office of Internal Oversight Services had drawn attention to serious shortcomings in the administrative and personnel practices of the Centre for Human Rights. As the Advisory Committee had noted in paragraph VI.4 of its report (A/50/7), the programme of work for 1994-1995 remained much the same as that for 1992-1993, despite the fact that the resource level had been increased by 20 per cent. As for the following biennium, the Centre was reappraising its programme of work with the assistance of a consultant firm. The results of that reappraisal would be used for restructuring the Centre and improving its effectiveness, along the lines suggested by the Office of Internal Oversight Services, as well as for determining the level of resources that would be required in 1996-1997. The restructuring exercise was expected to be finalized by October 1995.

18. The Advisory Committee addressed the issue of clarifying the respective responsibilities of the High Commissioner and those of the Assistant Secretary-General for Human Rights in paragraph VI.8 of its report, and would welcome additional information on that matter from the Secretariat.

19. Advisory services and technical cooperation were an increasingly important component of the Centre's programme of work. The Advisory Services and Technical Assistance Branch was currently being reorganized and a training programme for staff initiated with a view to enhancing the Centre's effectiveness in that area.

20. The extensive publications programme of the Centre should be re-examined for the purpose of ensuring that all publications fulfilled an identifiable need and were produced in a cost-effective manner.

21. In reviewing the Secretary-General's proposals, the Advisory Committee had taken into account the conclusions and recommendations of the Office of Internal Oversight Services. The Secretary-General proposed the conversion of 21 existing temporary posts into established posts, and the creation of one P-5 post to strengthen the administrative services of the Centre and one P-3 post in the Office of the High Commissioner. For the reasons stated in paragraph VI.11 of its report, the Advisory Committee recommended that the decision to convert the 17 temporary posts should be deferred and that only the requested P-5 post should be established.

/...

22. With regard to section 22, in accordance with article 20 of the statute of the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), administrative expenditure relating to the functioning of the Office was borne by the regular budget of the United Nations. Over the years, a policy of moderation had been followed with respect to the level of resources provided in the budget for that purpose. Under current arrangements, the regular budget financed a staffing table of 244 established posts, while at 1 July 1995, 5,022 posts were being funded from extrabudgetary resources. The Secretary-General and the High Commissioner would carry out in 1996 a review of the existing arrangements for funding, as requested by the General Assembly in its resolution 48/228 A, and communicate the results to the General Assembly.

23. The operations of the United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near East (UNRWA) (section 23) were financed from extrabudgetary resources. In its resolution 3331 B (XXIX), of 17 December 1974, the General Assembly had decided that the expenses for salaries of international staff in the service of UNRWA which would otherwise be a charge on voluntary contributions, should be financed by the regular budget of the United Nations for the duration of the Agency's mandate. As a consequence of that resolution, 92 posts of international staff were currently funded from the regular budget. However, UNRWA also employed 82 internationally recruited staff who were paid from project funds. In its report on the budget of UNRWA which it had submitted to the Advisory Commission on UNRWA, the Advisory Committee had requested information on the source of funding for the termination benefits payable to those staff, given that UNRWA had begun to accumulate reserves for the payment of termination benefits to local staff, in light of the possibility that political events in the region might bring an end to the mandate of the Agency.

24. The Advisory Committee noted that no report had been submitted on the financial impact of the move of UNRWA headquarters from Vienna to Gaza. The budget for the move was estimated at \$13.5 million, but the Advisory Committee was of the view that, unless alternative plans were made to deal with any problems caused by the lack of sufficient funding for the move, the Agency's operations could be seriously affected.

Section 21. Human rights

25. Mr. MUÑOZ (Spain), speaking on behalf of the European Union, said that it welcomed the proposal by the Secretary-General contained in section 21 of the proposed programme budget, as well as the increase - albeit modest - in proposed resources. The resources dedicated to human rights represented only 1.8 per cent of the total programme budget; that proportion was inadequate and did not reflect the priority which should be attached to the area. Additionally, the proposed increase in resources reflected for the most part the "delayed impact" of the posts established during the biennium 1994-1995; if those posts were not considered, the proposed budget for the biennium 1996-1997 would reflect a decrease compared with that for the biennium 1994-1995. The European Union agreed that there was much to be improved in the management of the Centre for Human Rights if it was to work efficiently, and that its restructuring should be carried out as soon as possible. It welcomed the selection of a qualified administrative executive officer to assist in improving the management of the Centre.

/...

26. The full implementation of the mandates of the Vienna Conference and of the legislative organs of the United Nations and the efficient operation of the Centre after its restructuring, would however require a greater proportion of regular budget resources than was proposed. It should also be recalled that the promotion and protection of human rights, taking into account their preventive impact, contributed to limiting United Nations costs in respect of humanitarian catastrophes and peace-keeping.

27. With respect to the recommendation of the Advisory Committee that the conversion of some temporary posts to permanent posts should be deferred, he said it was difficult to demand a high level of efficiency from the Centre when significant new mandates had been assigned to it without commensurate human and financial resources. The conversion of temporary posts into permanent posts did not imply an increase in the human resources available to the Centre, but it could have a very important positive effect on staff morale. For those reasons, the European Union hoped that, in the informal consultations, the Secretariat would be able to contribute new data that would lead to the approval of the conversion of those posts.

28. Mr. HAMID (Sudan) said that the resources allocated to section 21 of the proposed programme budget were adequate, particularly in view of the recommendations of the Advisory Committee. The right to development was a fundamental human right and should be given appropriate priority in the programme of work of the Centre for Human Rights. The implementation of the spirit and the letter of the Vienna Declaration should be based on the principles of objectivity, neutrality and non-selectivity. It was essential to avoid the use of human rights as a weapon against certain States, to respect their historical and cultural circumstances, and to observe the principle of non-interference in their internal affairs.

29. The Board of Auditors had noted various deficiencies in the management of the Centre, and the Advisory Committee had stressed the need for better administrative and financial oversight. In view of that, and taking into account the ongoing restructuring of the Centre's programme of work, he wondered whether the request for the conversion of 21 temporary posts into permanent posts was timely.

30. The question of the effective use of resources in the area of human rights raised the issue of the appointment of special rapporteurs to prepare reports on the human rights situation in certain countries. Experience in that area suggested that great care must be taken in their selection. Some special rapporteurs had exceeded their mandates and had presented reports which had reflected a clear political bias or had not respected the beliefs and traditions of the countries concerned.

31. Ms. GOICOCHEA ESTENOZ (Cuba) said that her delegation believed that it was important to take into account the controversial elements involved in the programme aspects and the level of resources for section 21.

32. With regard to programme aspects, the section constituted a reinterpretation of the Vienna Programme of Action. The Committee for Programme and Coordination (CPC) had not been able to make recommendations during its 1994

/...

session with regard to the narrative for the section, and the same situation had occurred in the Fifth Committee. It had been thought that, when the proposed programme budget was being prepared, the situation would be taken into account in order to facilitate the work of delegations and assist in reaching an agreement on the budget as a whole. Unfortunately, that had not been the case, and it was to be hoped that the serious problems regarding the programme for the section could be resolved in the negotiations on the proposed programme budget.

33. Moreover, the view of some delegations that the increase in resources was very modest was valid only if section 21 of the budget alone was considered. It would be helpful to analyse how the level of resources had risen over the past bienniums; her delegation therefore requested the Secretariat to provide information on the increases in each and every section of the budget beginning with the programme budget for the biennium 1988-1989.

34. Her delegation had studied section 21 in the light of document A/49/892, submitted by the Office of Internal Oversight Services, and shared the view of the Advisory Committee that the recommendations contained therein should have been taken into account in the preparation of the budget. It was clear that the Centre for Human Rights had not taken the measures proposed for the greater rationalization of its work. At the Vienna Conference, reference had been made to the need to rationalize the structures, procedures and programme of work of the Centre, but apparently those recommendations had not been followed, as mentioned in paragraph 9 of document A/49/892. Her delegation would like to receive updated information from the Centre on the way in which it was implementing the recommendations of the Office of Internal Oversight Services, in order to be able to take a decision on the level of resources proposed.

35. In the view of her delegation, there was a total imbalance in the distribution of resources proposed for the various subprogrammes. In paragraph 34 of General Assembly resolution 48/228 the Secretary-General had been requested to review the allocation of resources among the approved programmes. Unfortunately, that request had not been taken into account, either in the preparation of the programme budget for the biennium 1994-1995 or in the budget now under consideration. For her delegation, that would be one of the major problems when decisions had to be taken on section 21 of the budget.

36. She also noted that the extrabudgetary resources segment of table 21.1 included a Trust Fund for Support of the Activities of the Centre for Human Rights. The Secretariat should provide additional information on the objectives of the Fund and on how its resources had been used; such information was particularly important in view of the fact that the report of the Office of Internal Oversight Services made comments on irregularities in the use of extrabudgetary resources allocated to the Centre. The Secretariat should also provide information on the management of those resources, on how transparent that management was, and on the corrective measures it had taken to address the problems identified in the report of the Office.

37. The substantial increase in the item of expenditure for travel was completely justified in the case of the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees; however, additional information should be provided on that increase with respect to the rest of the activities, since the previous

/...

recommendations of the Advisory Committee relating to travel had been endorsed by the General Assembly. She also took note of the proposals with respect to the conversion and creation of posts; in view of their importance, some posts, such as those for the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, were justifiable as such. However, with respect to other posts, including the proposed established posts, the Secretariat should consider the proposal further in the light of the recommendations of the Office and the fact that sufficient explanations had not been provided about the workload which would be assigned to such posts.

38. Paragraph 21.27 (c) of the proposed programme budget with respect to subprogramme 1 noted that fact-finding or investigatory mandates were usually entrusted to qualified independent experts and paragraph 12 of document A/49/892 indicated that the Centre should perform some of those functions. The Secretariat should explain why the proposed programme budget had neither provided for the Centre's staff to take on more of those activities nor requested more resources for those functions.

39. The secretariat should also indicate how the activities of the Centre were coordinated with those of United Nations bodies dealing with Rwanda and the former Yugoslavia in order to avoid duplication of human rights monitoring activities in the two territories. More information should also be provided on the basis used to calculate the large number of reports that appeared under the heading parliamentary services of subprogramme 1, and on the coordination of the Centre's activities with those of the Department of Public Information.

40. She would also appreciate information on the relationship between the Centre for Human Rights and the Electoral Assistance Unit, especially with regard to the preparation of reports to the General Assembly on legitimate elections. Wondering why the proposed programme budget had not clearly indicated actual activities related to the right to development, she noted that the importance which both the General Assembly and the Vienna World Conference on Human Rights had given to such activities was not reflected at all.

41. She would like information on the composition of the Centre and on staff recruitment procedures and additional information on the issue of outside expertise since, as the report of the Office had noted, outside experts implemented some substantive activities which they should not undertake. Finally, additional information should be provided on prospects for the signing of the International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families, and on the elimination of resources in the relevant part of the proposed programme budget.

42. Mr. HANSON (Canada), speaking also on behalf of Australia and New Zealand, said that there could be no questioning the urgency and importance of the needs to which the human rights and humanitarian assistance programmes of the United Nations responded. As the workload of the Centre for Human Rights continued to increase, so must the resources devoted to it.

43. He was glad to note that the Centre had taken steps to strengthen its management; however, there was a need for further improvements, an effort to which his delegation was prepared to contribute.

/...

44. He was glad to note in particular that the Centre had reported in detail on the steps which it was taking in response to the report of the Office of Internal Oversight Services (A/49/892) and the Board of Auditors. He therefore fully supported the resource levels set out in section 21 of the proposed budget and, in particular, supported the conversion of 21 temporary posts into established posts as well as the creation of two new established posts. Those resources were required to put the administration and management of the Centre on a secure and stable basis, and to complete implementation of the recommendations of the Office of Internal Oversight Services. They would also enable the Centre to respond to any additional recommendations from the external consultancy study which had been commissioned.

45. The report of the Advisory Committee had highlighted the need for the respective functions of the High Commissioner and the Assistant Secretary-General for Human Rights to be clarified. He understood that that had been done and that the information requested would be made available to the Advisory Committee and the Fifth Committee. He also supported the Advisory Committee's recommendation that the Centre should review its publications programme.

46. Mr. KUZNETSOV (Russian Federation) said that maximum use should be made of the resources allocated for the implementation of United Nations programmes, in particular priority programmes such as those relating to peace-keeping operations, assistance to countries with economies in transition or the protection of human rights. In that regard, he noted with concern the difficulties that the Centre for Human Rights was facing, as analysed by the Advisory Committee on the basis of documentation provided by the Office of Internal Oversight Services and the Board of Auditors.

47. It was unacceptable, especially during the current financial crisis of the United Nations, that the programme of work for 1994-1995 remained much the same as for the biennium 1992-1993, despite the fact that the resource level had been increased by 20 per cent. It was vitally important for the Centre to implement the recommendations of the Advisory Committee and the Office of Internal Oversight Services on the urgency of restructuring the programme of work, since that would permit the calculation of the level of additional resources that the Centre would require for the biennium 1996-1997. He also endorsed the Advisory Committee's recommendations with respect to the reorganization of the Centre's secretariat structure, the solution to the serious weaknesses in personnel management and the review of the publications programme.

48. Mr. GODA (Japan) said that the management of the Centre for Human Rights should be improved in order for the Centre to implement its activities in the most cost-effective manner.

49. During the debate of the Committee for Programme and Coordination, his delegation had requested clarification of some activities included in the proposed programme budget which had not been mandated and some activities which had already been finalized. A representative of the Centre had explained that there were errors in the proposed programme budget. His delegation would be grateful if the representative of the Secretariat could indicate the impact of the proposed adjustments of resources provided for in the budget.

/...

50. Mr. ODAGA-JALOMAYO (Uganda) said he was pleased to note the remarks contained in paragraph 35 of the report of the Office of Internal Oversight Services (A/49/892) regarding the restructuring of the programme of work of the Centre for Human Rights, and the process initiated by the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights in that connection. His delegation trusted that the restructuring process would allow the General Assembly to decide on the type of funds required for the programme and how the funds should be used, and asked to be kept abreast of developments in the process. In the event that the process had already been concluded, he asked what additional funds the Centre required for the biennium 1996-1997; if it had not, he agreed with the opinion expressed by the Advisory Committee in paragraph VI.11 of its report (A/50/7) regarding the vacant posts of chiefs of branches and sections at the Centre and the conversion of 17 temporary posts into established posts. He also trusted that the restructuring process would permit a clear definition of the functions of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights and those of the Assistant Secretary-General for Human Rights, which currently appeared to overlap.

51. The Centre should adopt measures to correct the shortcomings in administration and financial management identified by the Internal Oversight Services in paragraph 25 of its report (A/49/892); that would be most beneficial for the financial stability of the Centre and the United Nations as a whole.

52. The Centre for Human Rights had provided advisory and technical cooperation services to Uganda, and he hoped that it would continue to do so; that called for the continued strengthening of its technical capacity, particularly in the areas of greatest importance for many developing countries. He hoped that the Centre would devote increasing attention to research and technical analysis activities, using the means at its disposal to achieve maximum cost-effectiveness. He emphasized that the human rights question continued to be of great importance to Uganda; his delegation would therefore offer its full support for the proposals put forward under section 21.

53. Mrs. SHEAROUSE (United States of America) said that the United States was fully committed to and strongly supported the human rights programme of the United Nations. The promotion and protection of human rights was a priority activity of the Organization; the adoption of the Vienna Declaration and Programme of Action and the establishment of the post of High Commissioner for Human Rights provided evidence of that support. Certain priority areas, including human rights, must be given increased resources through redeployment. Her delegation envisioned a steady growth in the United Nations resources devoted to the Centre for Human Rights and its activities, so as to enable it to implement fully all its mandated programmes.

54. The Secretary-General had requested the conversion of 21 temporary posts to established posts. In view of the concerns raised by the Office of Internal Oversight Services and the Advisory Committee, she concurred with the latter's recommendations on the proposed conversion of the posts. She also concurred with the recommendations of the Advisory Committee regarding the proposal for two new posts, and said that every effort should be made to accommodate the P-5 post through redeployment.

/...

55. At a time when available resources were shrinking, it was imperative that programmes should be administered in the most efficient and effective manner. She was pleased to note that the Centre had engaged a consultant to assist in the restructuring of its programme of work, as recommended by the Office of Internal Oversight Services. The results of that exercise would allow Member States to assess the true needs of the Centre more accurately.

56. Mr. MIRMOHAMMED (Islamic Republic of Iran) said that, as indicated in paragraph 21.2 of the proposed programme budget, the programme of work for section 21 was denied from programme 35 of the revised, medium-term plan. It was clear that the revision had not been approved by the Committee for Programme and Coordination because the existing mandates had not been respected in either the draft plan or the revision. The Movement of Non-Aligned Countries, at its forty-ninth session, had rejected the revision for that reason, and its views had been stated in a letter dated 9 November 1994 from the Chairman of the Third Committee, addressed to the Chairman of the Fifth Committee. Although the General Assembly had been unable to adopt a decision regarding the revision, the revision had none the less served as a basis for the section of the budget under discussion. He wondered what purpose the General Assembly had served in that case.

57. Paragraph 21.2 also stated that the programme of work was based on the preparation of international standards, but it was not clear which standards or whether they had been approved by Member States. Mention was also made of the implementation of the standards, but it remained to be seen how they could be implemented if they had not yet been approved. There was also no mandate for monitoring mechanisms, and his delegation believed that not even the High Commissioner was empowered to undertake such activities.

58. Reference was also made to the strengthening of the infrastructures of human rights through technical cooperation activities. He questioned the relevance of such assistance in that context. The level of technical assistance provided for human rights was far in excess of assistance for development, despite the immense need to promote national economic development.

59. In paragraph 21.6, reference was made to the concept of intervention. In that particular context, intervention could only occur at the request of Member States. In addition, it was not acceptable to integrate human rights issues into economic and social development activities. There was no authorization to justify the attachment of conditions to development assistance.

60. In the table appearing in paragraph 21.8, under the entry for policy-making organs resources were allocated for the Centre for Human Rights. It was clear that the responsibility for making policy lay with the High Commissioner, while the Centre was an executive body; the proposed allocation of resources was therefore not acceptable.

61. The only element missing from the proposed programme budget was a special subprogramme relating to the right to development, a request for which had been frequently voiced by the developing countries but with which the Secretariat had not complied. In addition, his delegation was concerned by the high level of resources proposed for section 21, in comparison with others. It believed that

/...

all sections of the budget should be dealt with equitably; section 21 was therefore not acceptable in its current form.

62. Mr. GOKHALE (India) said that his delegation supported subprogramme 3, but considered that the level of resources allocated to it were not sufficient for the volume of work involved. He would like to be assured by representatives of the Centre for Human Rights and of the Secretariat, during informal consultations, that the resources allocated would be sufficient to carry out the tasks envisaged in subprogramme 3.

63. The economic, social, cultural and civil aspects of human rights, in particular the right to development, had not received sufficient funding, in accordance with the decisions taken by the General Assembly and the Vienna Declaration and Programme of Action. He agreed with a number of other delegations that there should be a separate subprogramme dealing with the right to development in the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1996-1997. It would be helpful if, during informal consultations, the representatives of the Centre for Human Rights and the Secretariat would provide details of the exact amount of the resources allocated to that task in the proposed programme budget and would indicate whether the Centre intended to draw up a programme regarding the right to development.

64. Mr. BRISEID (Norway) said that his delegation wished to associate itself with the statements made by the Presidency of the European Union and the delegation of Canada. It attached great importance to the matter under consideration and welcomed the proposed increase in resources. Given the priority of the question and the many challenges in that field, a further increase might even be considered.

65. It was important to approve section 21 of the proposed programme budget before the end of 1995 for various obvious reasons. The promotion of human rights was a priority activity of the United Nations which should enjoy the support of all Member States. The adoption of the Vienna Declaration and Programme of Action and the establishment of the post of High Commissioner for Human Rights were evidence of that support. The promotion of human rights was cost-effective because expenditure in that field resulted in the longer term in savings in other areas, for example, peace-keeping operations. The portion of the total proposed programme budget allocated to the human rights programme was less than 2 per cent and was thus relatively modest. Acceptance of the Secretary-General's proposal regarding the human rights budget for the next biennium would underscore the commitment of the international community to that priority area.

66. The conversion of temporary posts into established posts, as proposed by the Secretary-General, would improve the effectiveness of the Centre for Human Rights by enabling it to attract the best qualified professional staff. The posts should be made permanent and the proposed new posts should be established.

67. The report of the Office of Internal Oversight Services described serious shortcomings at the Centre for Human Rights. It was to be hoped that those shortcomings would be rectified so that the United Nations could fulfil the overall goal of providing conscientious human-rights monitoring.

/...

68. Mr. FAGUNDES (Brazil) said that his Government attached great importance to human rights activities in all their aspects. As a State party to most of the multilateral treaties and protocols dealing with human rights, Brazil had a keen interest in the matter under consideration. It therefore strongly favoured greater recognition of the importance of human rights, and the reflection of that recognition in the budgetary allocation of the resources necessary for United Nations activities in that field, in particular the programme of work of the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights and of the Centre for Human Rights.

69. It was important to emphasize the importance of the results of the World Conference on Human Rights and of all aspects of the Vienna Declaration and Programme of Action. That historic Conference, in which Brazil had participated actively and constructively, represented a landmark approach to human rights issues, as it had provided an opportunity for a comprehensive analysis of the international human rights system and its machinery.

70. With regard to the level of resources to be allocated to the Centre for Human Rights, his delegation was in favour of strengthening the Centre's activities. Particular emphasis should be placed on international cooperation for the promotion of all human rights. With regard to the Centre's programme of work, his delegation attached the greatest importance to the establishment of a comprehensive programme aimed at strengthening the institutions of the rule of law, which had an unquestionable impact on human rights in general. The programme had been mentioned in two General Assembly resolutions, resolutions 48/132 and 49/194, and represented not only an initiative designed to help Member States to build and strengthen their national capacities, but also an aspect of international action in the field of human rights which deserved recognition as being equal in importance to the monitoring mechanisms.

71. His delegation agreed with the delegations of Cuba and India that very little emphasis had been placed on the enjoyment of economic and social rights, in particular the right to development. That question merited a separate subprogramme and should not be included among other activities without a clear statement of objectives and the allocation of adequate human and financial resources.

72. Mr. JU Kuilin (China) said that his delegation was surprised that, at the very time when the overall level of resources was decreasing and there were cuts in the resources for many programmes, a substantial increase in resources was being requested for section 21. His delegation had always stressed that it was economic development which ensured the survival of humanity. Moreover, while important international conferences had been held and strategies, documents and programmes of action on the subject had been drawn up, resources for that sector were not being increased, but, on the contrary, had been drastically cut. In explaining those reductions, the Secretariat had emphasized rationalization and modernization. He wondered whether the same did not apply to the human rights programme. In allocating resources, one sector should not be favoured excessively to the detriment of others.

73. Some delegations had referred to duplication and overlapping of activities in other areas; the same could be said of the human rights programme, which had

/...

wasted a substantial amount of resources. Already in 1992, the Board of Auditors had indicated that resources were being wasted in connection with the publications programme of the Centre for Human Rights. The Office of Internal Oversight Services had drawn attention to the same problem. The Centre for Human Rights had, however, so far failed to provide any explanation in that regard and had not remedied the situation. In addition, as the representative of the Islamic Republic of Iran had pointed out, programme 35 had not been approved by the General Assembly; his delegation therefore also questioned its legitimacy.

74. In conclusion, his delegation had many questions with regard to the allocation of resources and, until they had been resolved, it could not approve section 21 of the budget.

75. Ms. GOICOCHEA ESTENOZ (Cuba) said that she would appreciate more information on the reasons for the substantial increase in contractual services, which amounted to 7.3 per cent. Moreover, she pointed out that in appendix 2 of the report of the Office of Internal Oversight Services (A/49/892) a distinction was made between the functions of the High Commissioner and those of the Assistant Secretary-General for Human Rights. Her delegation would like to know how that division of labour operated in practice.

76. With regard to the statement by the representative of India, it would be helpful if the Secretariat could provide information on the total volume of resources earmarked for human rights activities in the proposed programme budget. Similar activities of one kind or another were included in other sections of the budget.

77. It was important for the Secretariat to prepare a conference room paper which would provide up-to-date information on the restructuring proposed by the Office of Internal Oversight Services and accepted by the Centre. It would also be useful if the Secretariat could provide detailed information on the Centre's staff. In that connection, the Secretariat should refer to recruitment methods, the geographical composition of the staff of the Centre, the status of interns and the total level of human resources. The data contained in section 21 did not correspond to the total level of resources; for instance, there was no reference to the number of interns, who carried out substantive activities.

78. Her delegation would appreciate information from the Secretariat concerning the activities carried out by the Centre pursuant to the decisions of the Commission on Human Rights, endorsed by the Economic and Social Council and approved by the General Assembly, relating to coercive measures.

79. Lastly, her delegation would appreciate clarification from the Secretariat with regard to the basis on which it proposed to consult with regional intergovernmental organizations such as the Council of Europe, the Organization of American States and the Organization of African Unity concerning individual complaints. It was important to know under what mandate such consultations were authorized and how the matter was being dealt with by the Third Committee.

80. Mr. ATIYANTO (Indonesia) said that since the Committee for Programme and Coordination had not reached an agreement with regard to section 21 on human

/...

rights, the Secretariat should explain what steps had been taken concerning the observations made at the most recent session of that body, given their importance for the Fifth Committee's deliberations on the section.

81. Mr. MONGELLA (United Republic of Tanzania) said that he supported the initiatives concerning section 21 but was concerned at the lack of clarity and emphasis on the question of the right to development. Resolution of the matter would have to await the informal consultations.

82. His delegation hoped that an explanation would be given of the status of the restructuring currently under way, with a view to adopting a decision on the requests; at the moment, it supported the recommendations by the Advisory Committee on the matter.

83. Mr. TAKASU (Controller) said that since the Committee for Programme and Coordination had not approved the revised estimates for the biennium 1994-1995, the Secretary-General had prepared the current proposed budget on the basis of the first revision of the medium-term plan for the period 1992-1997 adopted by the General Assembly in 1992; accordingly, the powers of the General Assembly in the matter at hand had not been usurped.

84. As to the level of resources allocated to section 21 in the proposed budget for the period 1996-1997, although human rights was a priority area, appropriations had been issued only to cover basic requirements, amounting to a real increase of \$3 million compared to the current biennium. However, additional resources were needed owing to the so-called "delayed impact" resulting from the General Assembly's decision to convert 21 posts from the general temporary assistance category to temporary posts for the forthcoming biennium. Implementing that decision would require \$4.4 million, whereas the proposed budget requested only \$3 million; the difference would be offset through savings from greater efficiency with respect to general operating expenses, supplies and other items. Consequently, the net amount of \$3 million requested was a reasonable increase.

85. With regard to the recommendation by the Office of Internal Oversight Services that the functions of the High Commissioner and the Assistant Secretary-General for Human Rights (A/49/892, appendix II) should be clearly delimited, the Secretary-General believed that, given the strategic importance of the medium-term plan, the High Commissioner should play a greater role in its preparation, as contrasted with the opinion of the Office that the medium-term plan was the responsibility of the Assistant Secretary-General. Accordingly, the Secretariat proposed that the two officials should work as a team: the High Commissioner would be responsible for the planning and formulation of strategic policies designed to coordinate and facilitate the activities of the United Nations in the field of human rights and the Assistant Secretary-General for his part, would be responsible for the day-to-day management of the operational activities of the Centre for Human Rights.

86. With regard to the structure of the Centre, the Secretary-General proposed that there should be five uniform subdivisions, each of which would be headed by an officer at the D-1 level, which would mean that one post would be downgraded from D-2 to D-1.

/...

87. With regard to the programme of work and methods of work of the Centre, the High Commissioner had received advice from independent consultants; his report was nearly completed and it was to be hoped that his recommendations would be taken into account.

88. As to the total resources allocated in the regular budget to the Centre for Human Rights, apart from the resources in section 21, resources also were provided in section 20. Out of nearly \$50 million allocated for the latter section in the proposed budget for the forthcoming biennium, the Centre for Human Rights would receive approximately \$4.4 million, which was very close to the amount allocated to it in section 21.

89. Turning to table 21.2, he explained that the increase of nearly \$1 million for travel costs was explained by the fact that, in accordance with the mandate entrusted to him by the Economic and Social Council, the Special Rapporteur would have to travel more. As to the figures for contractual services, the increase of \$41,000 proposed for the forthcoming biennium arose from the need to expand data-processing services, an increase which would be compensated for by a reduction in other contractual services.

90. As to the priority given to the right to development, the work of the Centre had been built around the four specific subprogrammes under the current mandate, namely, programme 35 of the medium-term plan, as approved by the General Assembly in 1992. However, an effort had been made to incorporate that important question in the activities of the Working Group on the Right to Development and in subprogrammes 2 and 4.

91. With regard to the publications programme, very close attention was being paid to the observations of the Board of Auditors and the Office of Internal Oversight Services and considerable emphasis would be placed on coordination with the Department of Public Information (DPI). The majority of the publications represented documentation for meetings. The information materials and services referred to in subprogramme 1 related to visits by Special Rapporteurs and conferences and seminars organized by the Centre and did not duplicate the work of DPI.

92. The conversion of 21 temporary posts to permanent posts would substantially enhance the Centre's situation with respect to human resources. It was not possible to offer contracts lasting more than six months, which made it difficult to attract qualified staff and to give due consideration to equitable geographical distribution.

93. With regard to staff available outside the framework of the programme budget, the Centre had traditionally used the services of many trainees at no cost whatsoever to the Organization. The Centre currently had 60 trainees.

94. Mr. HALBWACHS (Director, Programme, Planning and Budget Division) confirmed that the proposed budget had not included resources for the Committee on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families because it had not been expected that the relevant international convention would enter into force during the 1996-1997 biennium.

/...

95. Staff travel costs accounted for approximately \$1.8 million out of a total of \$8.4 million for the entry. The remaining \$6.6 million covered travel by representatives attending meetings of policy-making bodies and travel by Special Rapporteurs and experts designated by the Commission on Human Rights and the Economic and Social Council.

96. Ms. GOICOCHEA ESTENOZ (Cuba) said that most of her questions remained unanswered and that she did not share the views of the Controller concerning the legislative bases for the programme of work under section 21. The new mandates should be incorporated exactly as they had been decided upon - which had not been done - particularly in view of the reinterpretation of many aspects of the Vienna Declaration. With regard to the allocation of resources, the Secretariat's explanations had added nothing to what had already been set out in the proposed budget, and she therefore requested an appropriate response to her delegation's questions at the first informal meeting.

97. The CHAIRMAN said that it was his understanding that the Committee had completed the first reading of section 21 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1996-1997 and that it would consider it further and take appropriate action in informal consultations.

The meeting rose at 5.55 p.m.