



General Assembly

Distr.
GENERAL

A/AC.172/157
8 August 1994

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES
Substantive session
22-31 August 1994
Agenda item 2 (b) (i)*

CALENDAR OF CONFERENCES AND MEETINGS: IMPROVED UTILIZATION
OF CONFERENCE-SERVICING RESOURCES; MEETING STATISTICS OF
UNITED NATIONS ORGANS

Report of the Secretary-General

1. Annex I to the present document contains statistical data on the planned and actual utilization of conference resources allocated to a core sample of bodies that met in 1993, compiled in accordance with the experimental methodology adopted as final by the Committee on Conferences at its 348th meeting, on 18 May 1993. The data includes compliance and availability indices for assessing compliance with the deadlines for the submission of pre-session documentation and availability of such documentation for New York- and Vienna-based bodies, which were proposed by the Committee on Conferences at its substantive session of 1992. As the Documentation Records, Information and Tracking System (DRITS) has only recently been set up in Geneva, the data needed to compute the two indices for Geneva-based bodies will only be available for sessions beginning in 1994.
2. Annex II contains an analysis of the statistics, including the overall and average utilization factors, and a breakdown in terms of percentages of the meetings ratio and planning accuracy factor of the bodies in the sample. In view of the recent adoption of the experimental methodology, a comparative analysis of all the factors covered can be presented only in subsequent reports, as such a study would require data from two years at a minimum.
3. It should be noted that, in response to a request made by the Committee at the session referred to, the representational sample of bodies that met in New York, Geneva and Vienna in 1993 has been expanded with respect to previous

* A/AC.172/154/Add.1.

years. The bodies selected meet on a regular basis, and it may be assumed that their performance is a reflection of United Nations organs as a whole. Clearly, however, such measures as the utilization factor can depend on particulars that do not apply to all United Nations bodies.

4. In accordance with decisions of the Committee on Conferences, the time utilized for informal meetings or consultations held before the start or after the adjournment of officially scheduled meetings is not included as time lost due to late starting or early ending of meetings.

5. The following is an explanation of column headings that appear in the annexes:

- A The number of meetings planned by a given organ in a scheduled session, not including any additional meetings;
- B The number of additional meetings held during the session;
- C The number of meetings cancelled during the session;
- D The number of meetings actually held;
- E The number of unrelated reassignments;
- F The amount of time lost owing to late starting/early ending (hours and minutes);
- MR The meeting ratio, calculated as meetings held as a percentage of meetings available to a given body (D as a percentage of A);
- PAF The planning accuracy factor, calculated as meetings planned, minus additional meetings, minus cancellations, all as a percentage of meetings planned (A minus B minus C as a percentage of A);
- UF The utilization factor, the percentage of available conference resources actually used by the organ in question. The utilization factor is based on the following calculation:

$$\frac{(A+B) - (C-E) - F/3}{(A+B)} = UF$$

In the foregoing calculation, the total number of hours lost as a result of late starting and early ending is converted into the equivalent of meetings lost by dividing the total by three, as the standard meeting time is three hours. The number of unrelated assignments is subtracted from the number of cancelled meetings. The difference between the two and the number of meetings lost are then subtracted from the sum of meetings planned and additional meetings held. The result is expressed as a percentage of the sum of meetings planned and additional meetings held;

CI The compliance index, calculated as the percentage of pre-session documentation issued in accordance with the six-week rule;

AI The availability index, calculated as the actual rate of delivery presented on a week-by-week basis.

Annex I
 BODIES MEETING IN NEW YORK, GENEVA AND VIENNA IN 1993

A. New York

	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
Ad Hoc Committee on the Indian Ocean, 21 June-2 July	20	-	4	16	2	13.05/ 4.35	80	80	65
	<u>CI</u>			<u>AI</u>					
	100%			Issued by end of week:					
		<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
			
Advisory Board on Disarmament Matters, 28 June-2 July	10	-	2	8	-	2.45/ 1.10	80	80	70
	<u>CI</u>			<u>AI</u>					
	100%			Issued by end of week:					
		<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
			
Committee for Programme and Coordination, 10-14 May	10	-	-	10	-	3.55/3	100	100	80
	<u>CI</u>			<u>AI</u>					
	11%			Issued by end of week:					
		<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
		..	11%	22%	44%		

Commission on Sustainable Development, 14-25 June	<u>A</u>		<u>B</u>		<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
	23	-	2	21	-	7.40/ 4.20	91	91	74		
	<u>CI</u>	<u>AI</u>									
	Issued by end of week:										
15%	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>					
	-	-	-	-	-	35%					
Committee on Contributions, 14 June-2 July	<u>A</u>		<u>B</u>		<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
	23	-	-	23	-	7/.50	100	100	91		
	<u>CI</u>	<u>AI</u>									
	Issued by end of week:										
-	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>					
	-	-	6%	-	11%	28%					
Committee on Information, 10-28 May	<u>A</u>		<u>B</u>		<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
	20	-	9	11	4	4.20/ 11.25	55	55	50		
	<u>CI</u>	<u>AI</u>									
	Issued by end of week:										
10%	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>					
	-	-	-	-	10%	50%					
Committee on Natural Resources, 29 March-8 April	<u>A</u>		<u>B</u>		<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
	18	-	6	12	4	3.55/ 7.50	67	67	67	67	67
	<u>CI</u>	<u>AI</u>									
	Issued by end of week:										
-	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>					
	-	-	7%	-	14%	35%					

<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
20	-	-	20	-	10.40/ 2.40	100	100	80
<u>CI</u> <u>AI</u>								
Issued by end of week:								
13%	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
	-	-	7%	9%	31%	26%		
<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
16	-	2	14	1	7.20/ 1.50	88	88	75
<u>CI</u> <u>AI</u>								
Issued by end of week:								
10%	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
		
<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
16	-	-	16	-	6.55/ 4.35	100	100	81
<u>CI</u> <u>AI</u>								
Issued by end of week:								
4%	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
	..	11%	..	15%	7%	19%		
<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
19	-	3	16	1	10.05/ 12.20	84	84	53
<u>CI</u> <u>AI</u>								
Issued by end of week:								
78%	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
	-	-	9%	-	-	9%		

<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
22	-	5	17	1	8.05/ 18	77	77	45
Committee on the Peaceful Uses of Outer Space - Legal Subcommittee, 22 March-2 April								
<u>CI</u>	<u>AI</u>							
Issued by end of week:								
16%	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
	-	-	-	-	16%	-		
<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
18	-	3	15	2	5.55/ 12.15	83	83	61
Committee on the Peaceful Uses of Outer Space - Scientific and Technical Subcommittee, 16-26 February								
<u>CI</u>	<u>AI</u>							
Issued by end of week:								
-	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
	-	-	-	-	-	25%		
<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
20	-	-	20	-	6/.05	100	100	90
Human Rights Committee, 22 March- 2 April								
<u>CI</u>	<u>AI</u>							
Issued by end of week:								
..	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
..

	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>	
International Civil Service Commission, 8-26 March	26	-	4	22	1	8.20/ .25	85	85	81	
	<u>CI</u>			<u>AI</u>						
				Issued by end of week:						
	-	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>			
		-	6%	-	-	7%	11%			
	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>	
Special Committee on the Charter of the United Nations and on the Strengthening of the Role of the Organization, 1-19 March	30	-	4	26	3	10.15/ 2.55	87	87	83	
	<u>CI</u>			<u>AI</u>						
				Issued by end of week:						
	100%	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>			
				
	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>	
Statistical Commission, 22 February-3 March	16	-	1	15	-	3/1.50	94	94	88	
	<u>CI</u>			<u>AI</u>						
				Issued by end of week:						
	16%	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>			
		10%	20%	3%	3%	16%	26%			

<u>A</u>		<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
16		-	-	16	-	1.45/ 1.30	100	100	94
United Nations Commission on International Trade Law - Working Group on International Contract Practices, 24 May-4 June									
<u>CI</u>				<u>AI</u>	Issued by end of week:				
100%		<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
		-	-	-	-	-	-		
<u>A</u>		<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
18		-	1	17	1	5.45/ .35	94	94	89
United Nations Commission on International Trade Law - Working Group on Electronic Data Interchange, 4-15 January									
<u>CI</u>				<u>AI</u>	Issued by end of week:				
50%		<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
		-	-	-	20%	20%	10%		
<u>A</u>		<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
11		1	-	12	-	3.35/ .55	109	91	92
United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) - Governing Council - organizational meeting and special session, 16-19 February									
<u>CI</u>				<u>AI</u>	Issued by end of week:				
..		<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
		20%	60%	20%		

	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
UNDP - Governing Council, 1-18 June	35	-	3	32	1	10.05/ 3.50	91	91	83
	<u>CI</u>			<u>AI</u>					
	17%			Issued by end of week:					
		<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
		12%	12%	6%	17%		
United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) - Executive Board, organizational session, 9-10 February 1993	4	-	1	3	-	.40/ .55	75	75	75
	<u>CI</u>			<u>AI</u>					
	-			Issued by end of week:					
		<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
		-	20%	20%	-	-	60%		
UNICEF - Executive Board, Programme Committee and Committee on Administration and Finance, 28 April-7 May	28	1	-	29	-	7.55/ 2.40	104	96	90
	<u>CI</u>			<u>AI</u>					
	4%			Issued by end of week:					
		<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
		..	1%	..	5%	5%	59%		

<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
29	-	-	29	-	-/2.25	100	100	100
<u>CI</u> <u>AI</u>								
Issued by end of week:								
6	5	4	3	2	1			
..

<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
30	-	2	28	-	.40/ .40	93	93	93
<u>CI</u> <u>AI</u>								
Issued by end of week:								
6	5	4	3	2	1			
..

<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
30	-	1	29	-	-/1.55	97	97	97
<u>CI</u> <u>AI</u>								
Issued by end of week:								
6	5	4	3	2	1			
..

<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
Committee against Torture, tenth session, 19-30 April	20	-	3	17	-	-/4.25	85	80
<u>CI</u>			<u>AI</u>					
			Issued by end of week:					
	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
		

<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
Committee against Torture, eleventh session, 18 October-5 November	20	-	1	19	-	-/4	95	90
<u>CI</u>			<u>AI</u>					
			Issued by end of week:					
	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
		

<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, extraordinary session, 10-28 May	28	-	7	21	-	-/2.10	75	75
<u>CI</u>			<u>AI</u>					
			Issued by end of week:					
	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
		

<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
28	-	-	28	-	-/3.30	100	100	96
Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, ninth session, 22 November-10 December								
<u>CI</u>	<u>AI</u>							
Issued by end of week:								
<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>			
..
<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
16	-	1	15	-	-/4.35	94	94	88
Economic and Social Council - Subcommittee of Experts on the Transport of Dangerous Goods, 12-21 July								
<u>CI</u>	<u>AI</u>							
Issued by end of week:								
<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>			
..
<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
14	-	1	13	-	-/.20	93	93	93
Economic and Social Council - Committee of Experts on the Transport of Dangerous Goods, 22-30 November								
<u>CI</u>	<u>AI</u>							
Issued by end of week:								
<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>			
..

	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
Human Rights Committee, forty-eighth session, 12-30 July	30	-	1	29	-	-.25	97	97	97
	<u>CI</u>			<u>AI</u>					
				Issued by end of week:					
		<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
			
	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
Human Rights Committee, forty-ninth session, 18 October-5 November	27	-	-	27	-	-	100	100	100
	<u>CI</u>			<u>AI</u>					
				Issued by end of week:					
		<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
			
	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
International Law Commission, forty-fifth session, 3 May-23 July	96	-	3	93	-	-/8.45	97	97	95
	<u>CI</u>			<u>AI</u>					
				Issued by end of week:					
		<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
			

<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
6	-	1	5	-	.30/ 2.25	83	83	67
Special Committee to Investigate Israeli Practices affecting the Human Rights of the Palestinian People and Other Arabs of the Occupied Territories, 6-8 January								
<u>CI</u> <u>AI</u>								
Issued by end of week:								
	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
..
<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
2	-	1	1	-	.50/ 1.30	50	50	50
Special Committee to Investigate Israeli Practices affecting the Human Rights of the Palestinian People and Other Arabs of the Occupied Territories, 26 April								
<u>CI</u> <u>AI</u>								
Issued by end of week:								
	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
..
<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
10	-	2	8	-	-.50	80	80	80
Special Committee to Investigate Israeli Practices affecting the Human Rights of the Palestinian People and Other Arabs of the Occupied Territories, 23-27 August								
<u>CI</u> <u>AI</u>								
Issued by end of week:								
	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
..

<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
8	-	1	7	-	-	88	88	88
Statistical Commission - Working Group on International Statistical Programmes and Coordination, 13-16 September								
<u>CI</u>	<u>AI</u>							
	Issued by end of week:							
	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
..
<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
25	-	-	25	-	-/5.10	100	100	96
Subcommission on Prevention of Discrimination and Protection of Minorities - Working Group on Indigenous Populations, 19-30 July								
<u>CI</u>	<u>AI</u>							
	Issued by end of week:							
	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
...
<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
40	-	-	40	-	1.20/ 18.30	100	100	85
United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) - Trade and Development Board, thirty-ninth session, second part, 15-26 March								
<u>CI</u>	<u>AI</u>							
	Issued by end of week:							
	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
..

	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
UNCTAD - Trade and Development Board, fortieth session, first part, 20 September-1 October	29	-	-	29	-	-/10.10	100	100	90
	<u>CI</u>			<u>AI</u>					
	Issued by end of week:								
	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>			

Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees - Executive Committee of the Programme, forty-fourth session, 29 September-8 October	17	-	-	17	-	-/7.05	100	100	88
	<u>CI</u>			<u>AI</u>					
	Issued by end of week:								
	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>			

C. <u>Vienna</u>									
Commission on Narcotic Drugs, 29 March-7 April	16	-	-	16	-	2.08/ 5.29	100	100	88
	<u>CI</u>			<u>AI</u>					
	Issued by end of week:								
	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>			
	10%	3%	7%	9%	6%	7%	59%		

<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
3	-	-	3	-	.45/ 3.43	100	100	67
Commission on Narcotic Drugs, reconvened thirty-sixth session, 16 and 17 December								
<u>CI</u>	<u>AI</u>							
Issued by end of week:								
10%	<u>6</u> 10%	<u>5</u> 10%	<u>4</u> 13%	<u>3</u> 26%	<u>2</u> 3%	<u>1</u> 29%		
<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
24	-	-	24	-	4.23/ 9.59	100	100	83
Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice, 13-23 April								
<u>CI</u>	<u>AI</u>							
Issued by end of week:								
-	<u>6</u> 20%	<u>5</u> 5%	<u>4</u> 18%	<u>3</u> 7%	<u>2</u> 5%	<u>1</u> 45%		
<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>
18	-	-	18	-	.48/ 5.17	100	100	89
International Narcotics Control Board, 4-14 May								
<u>CI</u>	<u>AI</u>							
Issued by end of week:								
-	<u>6</u> -	<u>5</u> -	<u>4</u> -	<u>3</u> 10%	<u>2</u> 30%	<u>1</u> 60%		

United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near East (UNRWA) - Advisory Commission, 6 October										
<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>		
2	-	-	2	-	-	100	100	100		
<u>AI</u>										
Issued by end of week:										
<u>CI</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>				
..				
United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation, 17-28 May										
<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>MR</u>	<u>PAF</u>	<u>UF</u>		
30	-	2	28	-	.45/ 12.45	93	93	80		
<u>AI</u>										
Issued by end of week:										
21%	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>				
	3%	10%	10%	8%	13%	36%				

Annex II

ANALYSIS OF THE STATISTICS

1. The overall utilization factor for 1993 was 82 per cent. It is computed by totalling the data in the six columns for all bodies in the three locations and treating it as if it reflected a single body, as follows:

Duty station	A	B	C	D	E	F	UF
New York	441	2	51	392	22	246	75
Geneva	629	-	27	602	-	113	90
Vienna	93	-	2	91	-	44	82
	1 163	2	80	1 085	22	403	84

2. The overall utilization factor for 1993 shows a gain of 7 per cent against the same factor for 1992 (77 per cent), as reported in paragraph 6 of document A/AC.172/88/Add.11.

3. The average utilization factor for 1993 was 83 per cent. It is computed by totalling the utilization factors of each body under review at each session held during a given year and dividing that figure by the number of sessions held (i.e., in 1993, 4,291/52). The average utilization factors for the period 1984-1993 were as follows:

<u>1984</u>	<u>1985</u>	<u>1986</u>	<u>1987</u>	<u>1988</u>	<u>1989</u>	<u>1990</u>	<u>1991</u>	<u>1992</u>	<u>1993</u>
71	74	74	77	75	75	70	77	78	83

4. The results of the study show, with some exceptions, a continued upward trend in utilization over the past 10 years. Indeed, as a whole, the bodies under review are generally exceeding the benchmark figure of 75 per cent utilization adopted by the Committee on Conferences in 1983. This positive trend may be attributed to the educational role played by the Committee and, in particular, its chairmen, vis-à-vis the chairmen and secretaries of bodies with low utilization factors, and to increased awareness on the part of subsidiary bodies concerning the Organization's financial constraints. In paragraph 23 of its report to the General Assembly at its forty-eighth session, a/ the Committee on Conferences decided to raise the benchmark figure to 80 per cent beginning in 1994. In paragraph 6 of its resolution 48/222 A of 23 December 1993, the General Assembly took note of that decision.

5. The utilization factors for the bodies in the sample for the period 1991-1993 are presented below in tabular form for comparison purposes:

/...

<u>Utilization factor</u> (percentage)	<u>Number of sessions</u>		
	<u>1991</u>	<u>1992</u>	<u>1993</u>
0-14	-	-	-
15-24	-	-	-
25-34	-	1	-
35-44	-	-	-
45-54	6	4	4
55-64	6	2	1
65-74	7	9	7
75-84	14	9	12
95-100	<u>20</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>28</u>
Total	<u>53</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>52</u>

6. In the past three years, 10 per cent of the bodies in the sample fell in the lowest range of 0-54 per cent utilization. In 1993, 15 per cent of the bodies had a utilization factor of 55 to 74 per cent, against 26 per cent in 1992 and 25 per cent in 1991. As to compliance with the benchmark, in 1993, 77 per cent of the bodies in the sample had a utilization factor of 75 per cent or higher, against 62 per cent in 1992 and 64 per cent in 1991.

7. The figures are summarized as follows:

<u>Percentage of conference resources utilized</u>	<u>Percentage of sessions held</u>		
	<u>1991</u>	<u>1992</u>	<u>1993</u>
75-100	64	62	40
55-74	24	29	8
0-54	12	12	4

8. The following is a breakdown of the meeting ratios of the bodies in the sample for 1993:

<u>Percentage</u>	<u>Number of sessions</u>
50-64	3
65-74	2
75-84	10
85-94	11
95-109	<u>26</u>
Total	<u>52</u>

9. Thus, in 1993 10 per cent of the bodies in the sample had meeting ratios below 75 per cent; 90 per cent had meeting ratios above 75 per cent. Seventy-one per cent of the bodies had meeting ratios of 85 to 109 per cent.

10. The following is a breakdown of the planning accuracy factors of the bodies in the sample for 1993:

<u>Percentage</u>	<u>Number of sessions</u>
50-64	3
65-74	1
75-84	9
85-94	14
95-100	<u>25</u>
Total	<u>52</u>

11. Thus, in 1993 8 per cent of the bodies had planning accuracy factors below 75 per cent; 92 per cent had factors above 75 per cent. Seventy-five per cent of the bodies had planning accuracy factors of 85 to 100 per cent.

12. The Committee on Conferences may wish to decide on benchmark figures for the meeting ratio and planning accuracy factor at its substantive session of 1994.
