

TRUSTEESHIP  
COUNCIL

MEETING

OFFICIAL RECORDS



Thursday, 15 March 1951, at 11 a.m.

LAKE SUCCESS, NEW YORK

## CONTENTS

	Page
Reports of the United Nations Visiting Mission to Trust Territories in the Pacific (T/789, T/790, T/791, T/792 and T/825) ( <i>continued</i> ) . . . . .	229
Examination of the annual report on the administration of the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands for the year ending 30 June 1950 and of the report of the United Nations Visiting Mission to Trust Territories in the Pacific on the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands (T/808, T/820 and T/789) ( <i>continued</i> )	
Report of the Drafting Committee (T/L.139, T/L.142, T/L.150 and T/L.156) ( <i>continued</i> ) . . . . .	229
Examination of the annual report on the administration of the Trust Territory of Nauru for the year ending 30 June 1950 and of the report of the United Nations Visiting Mission to Trust Territories in the Pacific on Nauru (T/827 and T/790) ( <i>continued</i> )	
Report of the Drafting Committee (T/L.144 and T/L.145) ( <i>continued</i> )	229
Examination of petitions ( <i>continued</i> )	
Third report of the <i>Ad Hoc</i> Committee on Petitions (T/L.151): petitions concerning the Trust Territory of Nauru. . . . .	231
Fifth and sixth reports of the <i>Ad Hoc</i> Committee on Petitions (T/L.153 and T/L.157): petitions concerning African Trust Territories . . . . .	233

*President: Mr. HENRÍQUEZ UREÑA (Dominican Republic).*

*Present:* The representatives of the following countries: Argentina, Australia, Belgium, China, Dominican Republic, France, Iraq, New Zealand, Thailand, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America.

**Reports of the United Nations Visiting Mission to Trust Territories in the Pacific (T/789, T/790, T/791, T/792 and T/825) (*continued*)**

1. The PRESIDENT put to the vote the draft resolution submitted by the French delegation (T/L.149) on the reports of the United Nations Visiting Mission to Trust Territories in the Pacific (T/789, T/790, T/791, T/792 and T/825).

*The resolution was adopted by 10 votes to none, with 1 abstention.*

**Examination of the annual report on the administration of the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands for the year ending 30 June 1950 and of the report of the United Nations Visiting Mission to Trust Territories in the Pacific on the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands (T/808, T/820 and T/789) (*continued*)**

REPORT OF THE DRAFTING COMMITTEE (T/L.139, T/L.142, T/L.150 AND T/L.156) (*continued*)

2. The PRESIDENT drew attention to two additional working papers (T/L.150, T/L.156) prepared

by the Secretariat in connexion with the report of the Trusteeship Council to the Security Council on its activities with respect to the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands (T/L.139 and T/L.142). He put to the vote the report as a whole, as amended at the 340th and 341st meetings and with the additions suggested in the two working papers.

*The report was adopted by 10 votes to none, with 1 abstention.*

**Examination of the annual report on the administration of the Trust Territory of Nauru for the year ending 30 June 1950 and of the report of the United Nations Visiting Mission to Trust Territories in the Pacific on Nauru (T/827 and T/790) (*continued*)**

REPORT OF THE DRAFTING COMMITTEE (T/L.144 AND T/L.145) (*continued*)

3. The PRESIDENT drew attention to the working paper (T/L.145) embodying the observations of individual members of the Council on conditions in the Trust Territory of Nauru and requested the members concerned to state whether they wished their observations included in the Council's report on that Territory.

SECTION I: GENERAL

*General considerations*

4. Mr. MATHIESON (United Kingdom), Mr. SAYRE (United States of America), Prince WAN

WAITHAYAKON (Thailand) and Mr. Shih-shun LIU (China) requested the deletion of their delegations' observations.

5. Mr. DE ANTUENO (Argentina) requested the inclusion of his delegation's observation, with the word "should" substituted for the word "did".

6. Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) asked for his delegation's observation to be included with the words "the Administering Authority" substituted for the word "it" in the phrase "it was not undertaking", and the words "indigenous population of Nauru" substituted for the word "Nauruans".

7. Mr. HAY (Australia) requested that the Administering Authority's observation should be included in the report.

## SECTION II: POLITICAL ADVANCEMENT

### *General situation*

8. Mr. KHALIDY (Iraq) requested the inclusion of his delegation's observations, but with the sentence beginning "He thought..." and ending "...the people in general", in the second paragraph, deleted.

9. Mr. SAYRE (United States of America) requested the deletion of both paragraphs of his delegation's observations.

10. Prince WAN WAITHAYAKON (Thailand) and Mr. Shih-shun LIU (China) asked that their delegations' observations should be included in the report.

11. Mr. DE ANTUENO (Argentina) desired that his delegation's observations, with the final sentence deleted, should be included.

12. Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) wished his delegation's observations to be included, but with certain amendments.

13. The first sentence should be replaced by the following text:

"The representative of the USSR noted that the Trust Territory had no legislative, executive or judicial organs in which the indigenous inhabitants participated. From appendix II of the report, it could be seen that all basic administrative posts within the Administration were occupied by Europeans, whereas indigenous inhabitants were fulfilling only secondary or purely technical tasks. The present Council of Chiefs was merely an advisory body. The new Council of Chiefs would not differ much from the present Council."

14. The last sentence should be deleted and replaced by the following:

"The Trusteeship Council should therefore recommend to the Administering Authority that it take legislative and other measures to ensure the participation of the indigenous population in legislative, executive and judicial organs of the Trust Territory. In view of the fact that the tribal system which existed in the Trust Territory, and which was encouraged by the Administering Authority, was incompatible with the progressive political development of the population of the Trust Territory towards self-government and independence, the Trusteeship Council should recommend to the Administering Authority

that it take measures to ensure the transfer from the tribal system to a system of self-government based on democratic principles."

15. Mr. HAY (Australia) wished his delegation's observations to be included, with the deletion of the words "and presented no danger", in the first sentence, and the word "quite", in the second sentence.

## SECTION III: ECONOMIC ADVANCEMENT

### *General situation*

16. Mr. KHALIDY (Iraq), Mr. SAYRE (United States of America), Mr. DE ANTUENO (Argentina) and Mr. HAY (Australia) wished their delegations' observations to be included in the report.

### *The phosphate industry*

17. Mr. Shih-shun LIU (China) requested the deletion of his delegation's observation.

18. Mr. DE ANTUENO (Argentina) and Mr. HAY (Australia) requested the inclusion of their delegations' observations.

19. Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) wished his delegation's observations to be included, with the words "pointed out" substituted for the word "considered" in the first sentence.

### *Phosphate royalties*

20. Mr. HOUARD (Belgium) and Mr. KHALIDY (Iraq) requested the inclusion of their delegations' observations.

21. Mr. DE ANTUENO (Argentina) requested the deletion of his delegation's observation.

### *Public finance*

22. Mr. MATHIESON (United Kingdom) and Mr. KHALIDY (Iraq) wished their delegations' observations to be included in the report.

23. Mr. SAYRE (United States of America) and Mr. DE ANTUENO (Argentina) requested the deletion of their delegations' observations.

24. Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) requested the substitution of the following text for his delegation's observations:

"The representative of the USSR declared that the British Phosphate Commissioners did not pay any taxes on their profits. The Administering Authority had not yet fulfilled the recommendation of the fifth session of the Trusteeship Council with regard to replacing the head tax by income tax. The Trusteeship Council should recommend to the Administering Authority to take steps to replace the head tax by a progressive income tax system, or at least by a system of income tax taking due account of the property and taxable capacity of the population. Certainly all the profits of the British Phosphate Commissioners should be subject to a graduated profits tax."

### *Land*

25. Mr. KHALIDY (Iraq), Mr. Shih-shun LIU (China) and Mr. DE ANTUENO (Argentina) requested the inclusion of their delegations' observations.

26. Mr. SAYRE (United States of America) requested the deletion of his delegation's observation.
27. Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) wished his delegation's observations included in the report, with the substitution in the first sentence of the word "stated" for the word "thought" and the substitution of the following sentence for the last sentence: "The Trusteeship Council should recommend to the Administering Authority that it return to the indigenous population the lands alienated from it in any way, and that it should not in future allow the alienation of land belonging to the indigenous population."
28. Mr. HAY (Australia) wished his delegation's observations to be included, but with the word "stated" substituted for the word "considered".

## SECTION IV: SOCIAL ADVANCEMENT

*General situation*

29. Mr. KHALIDY (Iraq) and Mr. Shih-shun LIU (China) requested the inclusion of their delegations' observations.
30. Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) requested the inclusion of his delegation's observations, but with the word "noted" substituted for the word "considered", in the first sentence, and the following text substituted for the last sentence:

"The indigenous inhabitants and the Chinese were denied all freedom of movement. Racial discrimination was reflected in the fact that the indigenous inhabitants and the Chinese workers for the same work received much smaller wages than European employees. A difference also existed in the working hours as between the indigenous inhabitants and the Europeans. The Trusteeship Council should recommend that the Administering Authority stop the racial discrimination in the Trust Territory."

*Standard of living, wages and labour conditions*

31. Mr. SAYRE (United States of America) requested the deletion of his delegation's observations.
32. Mr. KHALIDY (Iraq), Mr. DE ANTUENO (Argentina) and Mr. HAY (Australia) requested the inclusion of their delegations' observations.
33. Mr. Shih-shun LIU (China) requested that his delegation's observations should be included, but that the final sentence should be replaced by the following text:

"In paragraphs 98 and 99 of its report, the Visiting Mission referred to the incident of 7 June 1948, which resulted in the death of four Chinese workmen, and stated that the Mission found it difficult to inquire into the matter without the relevant documents, not all of which were kept in Nauru.

"The representative of China stated that his delegation took note of the observations of the Visiting Mission, and recalled with appreciation the expression of regret made by the representative of Australia at the seventh session of the Council. He trusted that, in view of the important role played by the Chinese community in the development of the Trust Territory, which was also recognized by the Visiting Mission, the Administering Authority

would take every precaution to prevent the recurrence of any similar incident."

*Housing*

34. Mr. MATHIESON (United Kingdom), Mr. SAYRE (United States of America), Mr. Shih-shun LIU (China) and Mr. HAY (Australia) requested the deletion of their delegations' observations.

*Health*

35. Mr. MATHIESON (United Kingdom) wished the first paragraph of his delegation's observations to be included in the report, but not the second.
36. Mr. KHALIDY (Iraq) and Mr. Shih-shun LIU (China) wished their delegations' observations to be included.
37. Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) wished the observations of his delegation to be included, but with the final sentence replaced by the following text: "The Trusteeship Council should recommend to the Administering Authority that it increase the budgetary appropriations for health needs".

## SECTION V: EDUCATIONAL ADVANCEMENT

38. Mr. MATHIESON (United Kingdom), Mr. SAYRE (United States of America) and Prince WAN WAITHAYAKON (Thailand) requested the deletion of their delegations' observations.
39. Mr. KHALIDY (Iraq) wished his delegation's observations to be included.
40. Mr. Shih-shun LIU (China) requested the inclusion of his delegation's observations, with the final sentence deleted.
41. Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) requested that his delegation's observations should be included, but with the first sentence replaced by the following text: "The representative of the USSR stated that there had been no progress in the field of education. On the contrary, judging by the Mission's report and by the petition of the Council of Chiefs, there had been a certain retrogression".
42. Mr. HAY (Australia) wished his delegation's observations to be included, but the word "however" should be deleted from the last sentence.

**Examination of petitions (continued)**THIRD REPORT OF THE *Ad Hoc* COMMITTEE ON PETITIONS (T/L.151): PETITIONS CONCERNING THE TRUST TERRITORY OF NAURU

43. Mr. WENDELEN (Belgium), speaking as the Chairman of the *Ad Hoc* Committee on Petitions, drew attention to the fact that the Council's recommendation quoted in paragraph 3, sub-paragraph (b), of the operative part of draft resolution V had been taken from the draft report on Nauru (T/L.144). As that text had been amended by the Council at its 341st meeting, the last sentence of the quotation should be changed to read: "The Council also recommends that the Administering Authority increase specialized training for teachers and requests it to give, in its next annual report, a fuller account of the development of secondary education".

44. The PRESIDENT drew the Council's attention to draft resolution I, in the Committee's report (T/L.151).

45. Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) formally proposed in substitution for the entire text of draft resolution I the text put forward by his delegation in the *Ad Hoc* Committee on Petitions and contained in paragraph 15 of the Committee's report.

46. Mr. DE ANTUENO (Argentina) proposed that the following phrase should be added to paragraph 4 of the draft resolution: "the additional work being paid for at the same overtime rate". The resolution as it stood did not cover all aspects of the relevant petition (T/Pet.9/2) and failed to express the view of the majority of the members of the Trusteeship Council that no discrimination should be made with regard to the hours of work on Nauru. Furthermore, the Council had failed to adopt any specific recommendation concerning wages in general.

47. Mr. WENDELEN (Belgium), speaking as the Chairman of the *Ad Hoc* Committee on Petitions, explained that, although a reference to that aspect of the subject appeared in sub-paragraph (b) of the third paragraph of the preamble, some members of the Committee had thought that there might be difficulties in making a specific recommendation against such discrimination since the Chinese workers were not performing exactly the same work as the Nauruans and were therefore receiving different wages.

48. Mr. DE ANTUENO (Argentina) observed that a somewhat similar expression, "the additional work being paid for at overtime rate", had been used in an earlier draft of the resolution. While the Council was of course concerned that overtime should be paid for, its chief concern was that the rates of pay should be the same. He must therefore press his amendment.

49. The PRESIDENT put to the vote the USSR amendment, and then the Argentine amendment.

*The USSR amendment was rejected by 5 votes to 1, with 6 abstentions.*

*The Argentine amendment was adopted by 8 votes to 1, with 3 abstentions.*

50. The PRESIDENT put draft resolution I (T/L.151), as amended, to the vote.

*The resolution, as amended, was adopted by 10 votes to none, with 2 abstentions.*

51. Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) explained that he had abstained from voting on the resolution as a whole because he had felt that it was inadequate and less mandatory upon the Administering Authority than the text which he himself had proposed.

52. The PRESIDENT called for a vote on draft resolution II (T/L.151).

*The resolution was adopted by 11 votes to none, with 1 abstention.*

53. Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics), speaking of draft resolution III, formally moved the insertion of a paragraph concerning alienation of land, worded in accordance with the USSR

proposal mentioned in paragraph 40 of the Committee's report (T/L.151).

*The amendment was rejected by 6 votes to 1, with 5 abstentions.*

54. The PRESIDENT put draft resolution III (T/L.151) to the vote.

*The resolution was adopted by 10 votes to none, with 2 abstentions.*

55. Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics), explaining his abstention, said that the additional paragraph he had proposed would have satisfied the complaint of the petitioner and contributed to respect of the fundamental rights of the indigenous population. Without it, the resolution was inadequate.

56. Mr. Soldatov, speaking of draft resolution IV, moved the insertion of the paragraph he had previously proposed in the *Ad Hoc* Committee, which was explained in paragraph 46 of the Committee's report.

57. Mr. DE ANTUENO (Argentina) suggested the insertion of the phrase "and through it" before "the British Phosphate Commissioners" in paragraph 1, sub-paragraph (c), as well as in paragraph 11, sub-paragraph (b). Thus the Council would not be addressing its recommendation directly to the British Phosphate Commissioners.

58. The PRESIDENT called for a vote first on the USSR amendment, and then on the Argentine amendment.

*The USSR amendment was rejected by 5 votes to 1, with 6 abstentions.*

*The Argentine amendment was adopted by 11 votes to none, with 1 abstention.*

59. The PRESIDENT put draft resolution IV (T/L.151), as amended, to the vote.

*The resolution, as amended, was adopted by 10 votes to none, with 2 abstentions.*

60. Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) stated that he had abstained because the Council had failed to adopt his proposal to end racial discrimination against the Chinese workers in the Trust Territory.

61. The PRESIDENT drew the Council's attention to draft resolution V.

62. Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) proposed the addition of two paragraphs, one dealing with an increase in budgetary appropriations for educational and cultural needs and the other advocating the cessation of discriminatory practices detrimental to the interests of the indigenous population. He had made the same proposal in the *Ad Hoc* Committee (T/L.151, paragraph 52).

63. The PRESIDENT put the two paragraphs proposed by the Soviet Union to the vote separately.

*The first paragraph was rejected by 6 votes to 2, with 4 abstentions.*

*The second paragraph was rejected by 6 votes to 1, with 5 abstentions.*

64. The PRESIDENT put draft resolution V (T/L.151) to the vote.

*The resolution was adopted by 10 votes to none, with 2 abstentions.*

65. Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) said he had abstained because, without the USSR amendment, the resolution failed adequately to safeguard the interests of the indigenous population.

66. Mr. DE ANTUENO (Argentina) had voted in favour of the resolution as a whole, but reserved his position on the provision in paragraph 4, sub-paragraph (b), to the effect that the isolation of Nauru from world markets together with its limited natural resources was a serious obstacle to the establishment of industries other than phosphate mining. Argentina had previously voted in favour of a recommendation adopted by the Council at its seventh session, drawing the Administering Authority's attention to the necessity of studying the possibility of establishing fisheries and a number of other industries.<sup>1</sup> To date, no such studies had been made and no information was available regarding the possibilities of setting up new industries.

67. The PRESIDENT asked the Council to consider draft resolution VI.

68. Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) again proposed, as he had done in the *Ad Hoc* Committee on Petitions (T/L.151, para. 65), the addition of a paragraph to the effect that the Administering Authority should return to the indigenous population lands alienated in any manner and should not allow any such alienation of land from the indigenous population in the future. The petition from the chiefs of Yarren and Boe (T/Pet.9/7) clearly showed that the Administering Authority was pursuing a deliberate policy of land alienation which should be discontinued, if only to protect the interests of the indigenous population.

69. The PRESIDENT put the USSR amendment to the vote.

*The amendment was rejected by 7 votes to 1, with 4 abstentions.*

70. The PRESIDENT put draft resolution VI (T/L.151) to the vote.

*The resolution was adopted by 10 votes to none, with 2 abstentions.*

71. Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) had abstained in the vote because he felt that, without the paragraph proposed by the USSR, the resolution failed to take full account of the interests of the Nauruans.

72. Mr. DE ANTUENO (Argentina) had voted in favour of the resolution as a whole, but reserved his position on sub-paragraph (c) in the third paragraph of the preamble. While the Visiting Mission had stated (T/790, para. 55) that it would not be practicable to construct another airstrip on the worked-out phosphate land, it had not given reasons.

#### FIFTH AND SIXTH REPORTS OF THE *Ad Hoc* COMMITTEE ON PETITIONS (T/L.153 AND T/L.157): PETITIONS CONCERNING AFRICAN TRUST TERRITORIES

73. Mr. MATHIESON (United Kingdom) stated that the special representative for Togoland under

British administration was due to leave New York that afternoon to return to Togoland. Since the Council might desire explanations in connexion with the petitions concerning that Trust Territory, he requested that priority should be given in the discussion to petitions concerning Togoland under British administration.

*It was so decided.*

74. The PRESIDENT put draft resolution VII (T/L.153) to the vote.

*The resolution was adopted by 10 votes to none, with 2 abstentions.*

75. The PRESIDENT put draft resolution VIII (T/L.153) to the vote.

*The resolution was adopted by 10 votes to none, with 2 abstentions.*

76. The PRESIDENT drew attention to draft resolution I, in document T/L.157.

77. Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) noted that in substance the petition (T/Pet.6/200) on which draft resolution I was based contained a request from the indigenous inhabitants of Wora-Wora for a secondary school in their community. The USSR delegation felt that the request of the petitioners should be granted since education had been faring badly in Togoland under British administration and since there were no grounds for denying the request.

78. The Soviet Union had proposed to the *Ad Hoc* Committee on Petitions that an additional paragraph should be inserted in the draft resolution to the effect that the Council recommend that the Administering Authority should meet the request of the petitioners and establish a secondary school at Wora-Wora. That proposal appeared in paragraph 18 of document T/L.157. Since it had been rejected by the Committee, the USSR delegation wished to present it for the consideration of the Council.

79. The PRESIDENT put the USSR proposal to the vote.

*The proposal was rejected by 5 votes to 1, with 6 abstentions.*

80. The PRESIDENT put draft resolution I (T/L.157) to the vote.

*The resolution was adopted by 9 votes to 1, with 2 abstentions.*

81. Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) stated that, since the Trusteeship Council had rejected the USSR proposal acceding to the request of the petitioners, the USSR delegation had been unable to support resolution I, which disregarded and made a mockery of the issue raised in the petition.

82. The PRESIDENT put draft resolution II (T/L.157) to the vote.

*The resolution was adopted by 10 votes to none, with 2 abstentions.*

83. Mr. HOO (Assistant Secretary-General in charge of the Department of Trusteeship) stated that the petition from the Togoland Union (T/Pet.6/206, T/Pet.6/206/Add.1, T/Pet.6/206/Add.2 and T/Pet.6/

<sup>1</sup> See *Official Records of the General Assembly, Fifth Session, Supplement No. 4*, p. 138.

206/Add.3) referred to in document T/L.157 was in fact a petition from the Togoland Congress. A representative of the Togoland Congress, Mr. Antor, had just arrived in New York and had requested to be heard by the Trusteeship Council on one aspect of the Ewe problem. The *Ad Hoc* Committee on Petitions had, however, recommended that the petition in question should be postponed until the ninth session of the Council. A decision on the question of hearing Mr. Antor must therefore be made.

84. Mr. KHALIDY (Iraq) expressed the view that the Council should hear the statement of the petitioner who, at the invitation of the Council, had travelled such a long distance to present his views.

85. It would be desirable if Mr. Antor's statement could be made in the presence of the special representative for Togoland under British administration, who had an expert knowledge of the question.

86. Mr. MATHIESON (United Kingdom) explained that following the announcement early in the Trusteeship Council's session (326th meeting) that a petitioner wished to be heard in connexion with matters affecting Togoland under British administration, the United Kingdom delegation had made arrangements for a special representative to come to New York. After a period of three weeks, during which the petitioner had failed to appear or indicate his imminent arrival, arrangements had been made for the special representative to leave for Togoland that afternoon. Unless the petitioner could appear before the Council that morning, the special representative from Togoland under British administration would be unable to be present during his statement.

87. The representative of the United Kingdom made it clear that he would raise no objections to the Council's hearing the petitioner whom it had invited, even if the special representative for Togoland under British administration could not be present. He requested however that, before beginning his statement, the petitioner should be asked to define the subject of his complaint and to confine himself to that matter alone.

88. In the absence of the special representative for Togoland under British administration, the representative of the United Kingdom might find it difficult to reply to Mr. Antor's observations.

89. Mr. HOUARD (Belgium) understood that the Council did not intend to reopen the debate on the Ewe problem but would merely hear Mr. Antor's statement and consider the information contained therein when it again took up the question at its following session.

90. The PRESIDENT suggested that the Council should first reach a decision on the recommendation of the *Ad Hoc* Committee on Petitions (T/L.157, para.28) that consideration of the petition, which raised questions of a general character, should be postponed until the ninth session. Once that had been done, Mr. Antor could be heard for purposes of information.

91. Mr. HOUARD (Belgium) supported the President's suggestion.

92. In reply to Mr. KHALIDY (Iraq), the PRESIDENT said that questions asking for an explanation of points raised by Mr. Antor would be in order pro-

vided that the entire debate on the question was not reopened.

93. Mr. SOLDATOV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) pointed out that in the *Ad Hoc* Committee on Petitions the USSR delegation had opposed postponement of the consideration of the petition in question and had submitted a draft resolution to the effect that the Council should recommend to the Administering Authority that it establish legislative and administrative organs not subordinate to any organs formed on the basis of union between the Trust Territory and the Gold Coast Colony and, for that purpose, take legislative and other measures to secure the participation of the indigenous population in the legislative, executive and judicial organizations of the Trust Territories (T/L.157, para.27). The Soviet Union proposal had not been voted upon in view of the Committee's decision to postpone its discussion of the petition. In the USSR delegation's opinion, the petition had more bearing on the political situation of the indigenous population of Togoland under British administration than on the Ewe problem.

94. The Soviet Union delegation, in accordance with its attitude in the *Ad Hoc* Committee on Petitions, would vote against the proposal for postponement of the consideration of the petition until the ninth session.

95. The PRESIDENT put to the vote the recommendation of the *Ad Hoc* Committee that discussion on the petition from the Togoland Union should be postponed to the ninth session of the Council.

*The recommendation was adopted by 9 to 1, with 2 abstentions.*

96. Mr. KHALIDY (Iraq) stated that he had voted in favour of postponement of the question not because it was not important or because he wished it to be postponed — he thought in fact that the petition should be discussed as soon as possible — but because he had felt impelled to do so in order to be consistent with the Council's earlier decision (339th meeting) to discuss the matter at the ninth session together with the reports on Togoland under British and Togoland under French administration.

97. The PRESIDENT indicated that Mr. Antor could appear before the Council at its afternoon meeting, and called for a vote as to whether an invitation should be extended to him.

*It was decided by 10 votes to none, with 2 abstentions, to invite Mr. Antor to speak before the Council.*

98. The PRESIDENT called upon the Council to complete its consideration of document T/L.157 by taking a decision on the petition from Mr. N. Skouloukos concerning the Cameroons under French administration (T/Pet.5/69). He called attention to the *Ad Hoc* Committee's recommendation, in paragraph 10 of its report, that consideration of that petition should be postponed until the ninth session.

99. He called for a vote on the recommendation for postponement.

*The recommendation was adopted by 11 votes to none, with no abstentions.*

The meeting rose at 1.5 p.m.