

UNITED NATIONS



SECURITY COUNCIL
OFFICIAL RECORDS

UN LIBRARY

THIRTY-NINTH YEAR

JAN 21 1993

UN/SA COLLECTION

2523rd MEETING: 28 MARCH 1984

NEW YORK

CONTENTS

| | <i>Page</i> |
|--|-------------|
| Provisional agenda (S/Agenda/2523) | 1 |
| Adoption of the agenda | 1 |
| Letter dated 22 March 1984 from the Chargé d'affaires a.i. of the Permanent Mission of the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya to the United Nations addressed to the President of the Security Council (S/16431) | 1 |

NOTE

Symbols of United Nations documents are composed of capital letters combined with figures. Mention of such a symbol indicates a reference to a United Nations document.

Documents of the Security Council (symbol S/...) are normally published in quarterly *Supplements of the Official Records of the Security Council*. The date of the document indicates the supplement in which it appears or in which information about it is given.

The resolutions of the Security Council, numbered in accordance with a system adopted in 1964, are published in yearly volumes of *Resolutions and Decisions of the Security Council*. The new system, which has been applied retroactively to resolutions adopted before 1 January 1965, became fully operative on that date.

2523rd MEETING

Held in New York on Wednesday, 28 March 1984, at 3.30 p.m.

President: Mr. Javier ARIAS STELLA (Peru).

Present: The representatives of the following States: China, Egypt, France, India, Malta, Netherlands, Nicaragua, Pakistan, Peru, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America, Upper Volta, Zimbabwe.

Provisional agenda (S/Agenda/2523)

1. Adoption of the agenda
2. Letter dated 22 March 1984 from the Chargé d'affaires a.i. of the Permanent Mission of the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya to the United Nations addressed to the President of the Security Council (S/16431)

The meeting was called to order at 4.35 p.m.

Adoption of the agenda

The agenda was adopted.

Letter dated 22 March 1984 from the Chargé d'affaires a.i. of the Permanent Mission of the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya to the United Nations addressed to the President of the Security Council (S/16431)

1. The PRESIDENT [*interpretation from Spanish*]: In accordance with the decision taken at the 2522nd meeting, I invite the Secretary of the People's Committee of the People's Bureau for Foreign Liaison of the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya to take a place at the Council table; I invite the representatives of Democratic Yemen, Poland, the Syrian Arab Republic and Viet Nam to take the places reserved for them at the side of the Council chamber.

At the invitation of the President, Mr. Treiki (Libyan Arab Jamahiriya) took a place at the Council table; Mr. Al-Ashtal (Democratic Yemen), Mr. Natorf (Poland), Mr. El-Fattal (Syrian Arab Republic) and Mr. Le Kim Chung (Viet Nam) took the places reserved for them at the side of the Council chamber.

2. The PRESIDENT [*interpretation from Spanish*]: I wish to inform members of the Council that I have received letters from the representatives of Afghanistan, Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, Ethiopia, the German Democratic Republic, the Islamic Republic of Iran, the

Lao People's Democratic Republic and Mongolia in which they request to be invited to participate in the discussion of the item on the Council's agenda. In accordance with the usual practice I propose, with the consent of the Council, to invite those representatives to participate in the discussion, without the right to vote, in conformity with the relevant provisions of the Charter and rule 37 of the provisional rules of procedure.

At the invitation of the President, Mr. Zarif (Afghanistan), Mr. Garvalov (Bulgaria) Mr. Kovačič (Czechoslovakia), Mr. Ibrahim (Ethiopia), Mr. Ott (German Democratic Republic), Mr. Rajaie-Khorasani (Islamic Republic of Iran), Mr. Kittikhoun (Lao People's Democratic Republic), and Mr. Erdenechuluun (Mongolia) took the places reserved for them at the side of the Council chamber.

3. Mr. TROYANOVSKY (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) [*interpretation from Russian*]: The Soviet delegation supported Libya's request for an urgent meeting of the Council to consider the grave situation which has come about as a result of unprovoked hostile actions against that sovereign, non-aligned Member State. We believe that the request was timely, and entirely warranted.

4. This is not the first time that the Council has had to consider the question of infringements of the sovereignty and independence of Libya, and the situation in that country has worsened once again. It seems to us that in his statement before the Council the Minister of Libya, Mr. Ali Treiki, with the facts to support him, indicated where we can find the roots of the danger still threatening his country and the surrounding region.

5. For a number of years now, notwithstanding generally recognized international norms and the Charter of the United Nations, Washington's policy towards independent Libya has consisted of overt military preparations, economic blockade, gross provocations and military brinkmanship, although, obviously, these two countries belong to quite different leagues. These actions have been accompanied by constant anti-Libyan campaigns and by a flood of assorted fabrications and fictions concerning Libya's internal and external policies. These have sometimes reached absurdity.

6. Members of the Council will vividly remember events of last year, when the United States dispatched a

large-scale naval force to Libya's coast and, in the immediate vicinity of Libya's borders, staged very clear demonstrations of strength with the participation of United States troops. American reconnaissance aircraft have been sent to nearby countries with the intention of carrying out surveillance of Libya.

7. The recent events in Libya demonstrate the obstinacy—which could well be put to better use—with which Washington has been searching for any pretext to heat up the situation in that part of the world and for the opportunity to create a new source of tension. In a sort of military reflex which has become the norm for the United States, that country has once again sent AWACS aircraft and considerable quantities of weapons to the countries bordering on Libya, along with emissaries whose roles reveal no experience in the diplomatic settlement of regional problems.

8. The actions of the United States in that region cannot be seen in isolation from the general policies of imperialist forces seeking hegemony in international affairs or from their world-wide intention to employ terrorism and military methods. Speaking on 2 March, the General Secretary of the Central Committee of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union, Mr. Chernenko, described that policy pursued by the most aggressive circles of American imperialism as one of overt militarism and of attempts to gain world domination, to resist progress and to violate the rights and freedoms of peoples. Examples of the application of that policy are the invasion of Lebanon, the aggression against Grenada, the threats made against Syria and Cuba, and the terrorist war waged against Nicaragua. It is well known who supplied the Israeli military and armed them with the weapons they used and continue to use in an attempt to annihilate the Arabs. It is obviously too early to put a full stop at the end of that list; the list, it could be said, is still open-ended.

9. Without the least concern about the consent of independent sovereign States, they have arbitrarily declared spheres of United States "vital interests" in extensive regions in North Africa and the Middle and Near East, from the Indian Ocean to the Mediterranean. One thing alone remains unclear: Does Lebanon continue to be such a sphere, or has it just been deleted, for reasons beyond Washington's control? The entire region is now ensnared in a network of numerous military bases; preparations are under way to deploy cruise missiles in the immediate vicinity.

10. It is not only the natural resources of independent States that they consider to be "strategic" interests, but also international waterways: the seas and oceans in the area are host to aircraft-carriers with contingents of marines on board. As a recent TASS statement said, United States actions in this region pose a direct threat to international peace and security.

11. All these attempts to achieve their hegemonistic purposes are cloaked in a hypocritical propaganda cam-

paign about their "fight against international terrorism". But if we are to talk about terrorism, as it has become part of their State policy to do, the principal culprit is to be found not among the developing countries—which are vitally concerned with tackling the serious social and economic problems facing them—but in quite different quarters.

12. Another example is the statement made by United States representatives regarding their alleged devotion to the principle of the non-use of force in international relations. The use of this device, which was resorted to again only yesterday in the statement made here by the United States delegation, represents an obvious attempt to make us forget about the speeches made in this very chamber in October of last year, when the question of the "glorious victory" against Grenada was under discussion. At that time, the representatives of the United States attempted to prove the opposite: that the United States had every right to use force against sovereign States whose domestic or foreign policies were, for some reason, not to the liking of the White House.

13. The United States tries to pursue its policy towards developing countries under the cover of continuous propaganda vociferations about a Soviet military threat. Clearly, the world is now aware of what is hidden behind that smoke-screen: an attempt by the United States to justify its own arbitrariness and violence in the international arena by references to the East-West conflict.

14. For its part, the Soviet Union has ceaselessly favoured an end to diktat and to intervention in the internal affairs of young independent States, to spare them the imperialist military presence so that their peoples can develop in freedom and independence and along a path in accordance with their own aspirations.

15. The Soviet Union firmly supports the people of Libya and other independent, non-aligned countries, which are defending their sovereignty against pressure and threats.

16. The PRESIDENT [*interpretation from Spanish*]: The next speaker is the representative of Viet Nam. I invite him to take a place at the Council table and to make his statement.

17. Mr. LE KIM CHUNG (Viet Nam) [*interpretation from French*]: May I first, on behalf of the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam, convey our deepest, heartfelt condolences to the delegation of the People's Revolutionary Republic of Guinea on the death of President Ahmed Sékou Touré, a distinguished Guinean statesman, a great African militant and a long-standing friend of Viet Nam.

18. I hope, Sir, that you will accept our warm congratulations, as well as our best wishes, on your accession to the presidency of the Council for this month.

I should also like warmly to thank all the members of the Council for having afforded me the opportunity to participate in the discussion of the very important item before the Council.

19. Since the beginning of this month we have seen a grave deterioration of the situation in north-eastern Africa, because of the aggressive plans and hostile acts of the United States towards the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya. A rapid review of the following facts is enough to persuade us of that. According to a reliable source, which gave the news on 5 March, the United States decided to fly weapons to the Sudan. On the same day, the State Department made known that Secretary of State George Shultz had sent an envoy to Khartoum to discuss the question of American military aid to the Sudan.

20. On 12 March, the United States invoked "growing threats to security" to justify its search for ways in which to increase the Sudan's military strength. It was in this context that there suddenly burst the news of an air raid carried out by a so-called Libyan bomber on the Sudanese town of Omdurman on 16 March at 11.30 a.m. That was enough for the President of the United States to decide on 18 March to send two AWACS radar aircraft to Egypt in order to violate Libyan airspace, spy on Libyan territory and prepare for military aggression against the Jamahiriya. On 19 March, the State Department spokesman, Mr. Alan Romberg, confirmed that the aircraft had indeed been sent, while letting it be known that other AWACS aircraft could be sent to the region and that the United States was also considering the possibility of giving longer-term assistance to the Sudan for anti-aircraft defence.

21. These facts, taken in their chronological order, tell us a great deal about the underlying reasons for the air raid on Omdurman as well as the motives of those who devised the incident. The story, which slanderously attributed the crime to the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, was soundly denounced and refuted before the Council yesterday by the Secretary of the People's Committee of the People's Bureau for Foreign Liaison of the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya. Would it not be more plausible to see in the raid a carefully contrived scenario to make it easier for the United States to put into effect its own belligerent and aggressive decisions to which I have already referred? The American press itself revealed these sinister designs. *The Christian Science Monitor* of 21 March expressed this very opinion.

22. The truth about the raid therefore comes down to this. A cold-blooded attack was carried out on a densely populated part of Omdurman, while the Jamahiriya was falsely accused of being responsible. The object was simply to justify the sending of American AWACS planes to countries bordering the Jamahiriya and to make it possible for the United States to send there even more military forces, weapons and aircraft, thus creating a serious threat to Libya's security and to the peace and security of the whole of north-eastern Africa.

23. The danger resulting from the hostile and provocative acts of the United States towards the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya is therefore real. This is not the first time the Jamahiriya has faced the serious threat of the use of force by the United States. On a number of occasions already AWACS planes have been sent to countries adjacent to the Jamahiriya and American warships have been sent to its territorial waters. On each occasion there has been no failure to provide circumstances to serve as a pretext.

24. Indeed, this is a very familiar trick in the repertoire of imperialism and international reaction. Viet Nam has already experienced such provocations, and therefore was able immediately fully to grasp the truth and to empathize completely with Libya in the face of the serious danger with which it is now threatened. In our case, it was the Gulf of Tonkin incident that in 1964 served as a pretext for the United States to launch its dirty war in Viet Nam—the longest and bloodiest in contemporary history.

25. What is the international community to do about the serious threat to the Jamahiriya?

26. First, we must strongly denounce and condemn the hostile, provocative acts of the United States towards the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya as well as the manoeuvres designed to exploit the internal problems of the Sudan and to cause divisions between various African and Arab countries in order to strengthen the American military presence and interference in north-eastern Africa. In this connection, my delegation is convinced that the countries concerned can withstand these treacherous manoeuvres and can be equal to the task of amicably settling their family quarrel within the Organization of African Unity and the League of Arab States.

27. Nevertheless, it should be noted that the present United States Administration is attempting to distract the attention of the American electors from the recent setbacks to United States gunboat diplomacy in Lebanon by provoking tensions and crises in various other parts of the world. That is why we are also seeing an increase of military manoeuvres and demonstrations of United States force in Central America, the Persian Gulf, north-eastern Asia and elsewhere.

28. Next, we believe, we should demand an immediate halt to the dispatch of aircraft and weapons to the States adjacent to the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya as well as to all provocative acts and threats to the sovereignty of the Jamahiriya and to the peace and security of the whole region.

29. Finally, we believe that we must express our firm support for the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, which has every reason to bring its complaint here before the Council in the light of the serious danger it now faces and to claim its right to defend itself against any aggression coming from imperialist and reactionary forces.

30. Sir John THOMSON (United Kingdom): My delegation has listened with attention to the speeches intended to substantiate the charge made against the United States. We have smelt several red herrings being pulled across the trail. Having listened, we do not think there is any charge to answer. Accordingly, I shall say no more.
31. The PRESIDENT [*interpretation from Spanish*]: The next speaker is the representative of Poland. I invite him to take a place at the Council table and to make his statement.
32. Mr. NATORF (Poland): At the outset of my statement, I wish first of all to convey to the people and the Government of Guinea, as well as to the bereaved family, the condolences and words of profound grief of my delegation on the untimely passing away of President Sékou Touré, a great statesman and African leader.
33. May I now extend to you, Sir, the felicitations of my delegation on your assumption of the presidency of the Council for the current month. We are gratified to see the representative of a country with which my own country has traditionally enjoyed friendly and cordial relations presiding over these meetings. Your high diplomatic skill and experience are well known, and we are confident that under your leadership the Council will be able to discharge its responsibilities successfully.
34. I should also like to congratulate your predecessor, Mr. Shah Nawaz of Pakistan for the exemplary and efficient manner in which he guided the proceedings of the Council in the month of February.
35. The item on the agenda today is the letter dated 22 March 1984 from the representative of the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya addressed to the President of the Security Council. In this letter, as well as in a previous letter circulated on 21 March [S/16425], the attention of the Council and all the Member States is drawn to the dangers stemming from the grave situation currently prevailing on Libya's eastern frontiers as a result of political and military actions by the United States, including such actions as the continuous violations of Libyan airspace and territorial waters.
36. It is not the first time that the Council is considering a deteriorating situation near Libyan shores and frontiers.
37. Not very long ago—last year—the Council was seized of a similar complaint by Libya. As we all recall, in February 1983 the world watched with great attention and concern the dispatch of AWACS aircraft to a country neighbouring on Libya. It witnessed the deployment of the United States aircraft-carrier *Nimitz* accompanied by some other naval vessels. It saw other unprovoked acts that were coupled with unfriendly or openly hostile statements and a press campaign replete with false suggestions and alleged accusations disseminated without convincing evidence. In August 1983, the world again witnessed the deployment of a naval task force of the Sixth Fleet, with the nuclear aircraft-carrier *Eisenhower* included in the group. It saw the Bright Star manoeuvres, with the participation of United States land, sea and air forces close to Libya's borders.
38. Today AWACS aircraft are again flying reconnaissance missions. They are backed up by a group of fighter planes. Once again unfriendly statements are being intensified. Some of them were heard only recently in this chamber. And, as before, a campaign is being developed with the objective of dividing the Arab and African countries and to paint Libya black in the eyes of public opinion and accuse it of wrongdoing without proof.
39. Today, as before, we should like to point out that the United States is arrogating to itself the right to pass judgement on what is right and what is wrong in the conduct of other peoples and Governments. Since its record of gross violations of the norms of international law, including interference in the internal affairs of other States and acts of open aggression, such as in Central America, is well known, one might say that the moral qualifications of the judge are, to say the least, in doubt.
40. Beyond the shadow of a doubt, all these unfounded allegations constitute an integral part of a broadly designed propaganda offensive and discriminatory action that includes an economic boycott directed and concerted by some Western countries against Libya because of its determined and anti-imperialist policy. These actions and practices serve the United States objective of further strengthening its military presence, which has already been beefed up in the region, in order to make up for the failure of its policies in the Middle East. These acts illustrate the United States policy of dealing from a position of strength, a policy which is also being pursued in other regions of the world, such as in Central America, to name but one.
41. The policy of military threats, intimidation, flexing of military muscle, economic boycott and coercion that is being carried out by the United States cannot but cause us profound concern, for it poses a serious threat to peace and security not only in the region but also throughout the world.
42. It is the Council's duty to consider fully the dangers stemming from these activities, which are inconsistent with the provisions of the Charter of the United Nations and designed to serve strategic interests at the expense of others.
43. In conclusion, Mr. President, I wish to thank you and the other members of the Council for having granted my delegation's request to participate in this important debate and present our Government's point of view on the situation created by the continuing escalation of

military and political pressure aimed at the independent and anti-imperialist policy of a non-aligned State.

44. The PRESIDENT [*interpretation from Spanish*]: I should like to inform the members of the Council that I have received a letter dated 28 March [S/16443] from the representative of the Upper Volta. It reads as follows:

“I have the honour to request, in accordance with rule 39 of the Security Council’s provisional rules of procedure, that the Council extend an invitation to the representative of the Pan Africanist Congress of Azania in connection with the Council’s consideration of the item before it.”

If there are no objections, I shall take it that the Council agrees to extend an invitation to Mr. Gora Ebrahim under rule 39 of the provisional rules of procedure.

It was so decided.

45. The PRESIDENT [*interpretation from Spanish*]: I invite Mr. Ebrahim to take a place at the Council table and to make his statement.

46. Mr. EBRAHIM: Mr. President, allow me first and foremost most sincerely to thank you for giving me the opportunity to represent the oppressed, exploited and dispossessed people of Azania—a territory known by its colonial name, South Africa—to be present here this afternoon to participate in this important discussion in the Council.

47. I should also like to take this opportunity to congratulate you, Sir, on your assumption of the presidency of this Council for the current month. We, the oppressed people of South Africa, have been grateful to your country, Peru, for your stand against *apartheid* and racism.

48. The Pan Africanist Congress of Azania, the custodian of the genuine aspirations of the oppressed, exploited and dispossessed people of Azania, asked to address the Council during the current debate precisely because American involvement in Africa has been detrimental to the cause of liberation. Ever since the tide of independence began to surge on the African continent with the independence of Ghana—then known by its colonial name, the Gold Coast—Africans have pledged to rid the continent once and for all of all forms of colonialism, neo-colonialism, imperialism and racism. The tide of the African liberation struggle has been decisively and positively expanding in territory to free Africa. Today only Namibia and Azania remain under colonial domination.

49. Although Africa scored great gains in the anti-colonial struggle, the struggle was not an easy one, nor is it over. Moreover the burden of the struggle was borne by the people and their liberation movements in their respective countries. But those countries would

not have been able effectively to carry out the liberation struggle alone, without the active support of the member States of the Organization of African Unity (OAU), and especially its Co-ordinating Committee for the Liberation of Africa. With the assistance given to these respective liberation movements by the Co-ordinating Committee in the past two decades, Africa has registered significant victories in the anti-colonial struggle.

50. The just struggle waged by the national liberation movements recognized by OAU was entirely within the scope and ideals of the Charter of the United Nations. The Charter upholds the right of all peoples to independence and self-determination.

51. Throughout the two decades of the just struggle for independence and self-determination of the African continent, a struggle supported by the United Nations and all peace- and justice-loving peoples the world over, what was the position assumed by the respective American Administrations?

52. None of the recognized liberation movements received any moral or material support from successive American Administrations. On the contrary, those genuine liberation movements, fighting for the same ideals that the founding fathers of the United States fought for, were branded, and continue to be branded, as terrorists.

53. In *apartheid* South Africa, every conceivable human right is being violated by law, and the connection between the present racist rulers and nazism is not only well known but documented. The United States, instead of totally isolating that international criminal, has been conniving with that Nazi-oriented régime. It claims that it follows a policy of “constructive engagement” with that régime. Under this so-called constructive engagement, the racist régime is pursuing with arrogance, and with this support, its aggressive destabilizing policy and compelling front-line and independent and neighbouring States to sign so-called non-aggression pacts. Moreover, the so-called constitutional proposals which the racists have come up with are not a step in the right direction, as is claimed by members of the Reagan Administration, but a move from institutionalized *apartheid* to constitutionalized *apartheid*.

54. The policy of the Reagan Administration in Africa has been either to protect colonialists or racists or to replace them politically and economically. United States policies never take into account the legitimate rights and aspirations of the wronged peoples of the continent. If they did, that country would long ago have invaded occupied Namibia and liberated the colonized people of Namibia from racist tyranny. Instead, the Reagan Administration chose to invade Grenada under the guise that the population of the island was oppressed or posed a threat to the United States, a super-Power. We fail to see how the people of Grenada, who could be easily accommodated in two apartment buildings on Manhattan island, could seriously threaten a super-Power unless it was very vulnerable.

55. On the other hand, the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, especially after the September Revolution led by brother Muammar Qaddafi, was made a member of the Co-ordinating Committee for the Liberation of Africa. One of the criteria for membership of that Committee is experience and commitment to the cause of African liberation. Every recognized liberation movement on the African continent has received assistance from the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, both through the Liberation Committee and bilaterally. Such assistance has greatly contributed to the cause of African liberation and will continue to do so to remove the last bastions of Fascist colonialism.

56. On the southern tip of the African continent, we are faced with the most vicious and discredited enemy the world has known since nazism. The Reagan Administration is engaged in a policy which is designed to assist that Nazi-like régime in breaking out of its total isolation, instead of championing the just rights of the oppressed, exploited and dispossessed people of Azania and Namibia. Instead of putting military, political and economic pressure on the racists, as called for by the international community, the Reagan Administration is busy undermining staunch supporters of the liberation struggles in Africa such as the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya. If the Reagan Administration is concerned about peace and stability in Africa, it should direct its attacks against the enemies of peace in Africa, the racist colonial régime of South Africa, and not against independent African States.

57. The PRESIDENT [*interpretation from Spanish*]: The next speaker is the representative of the German Democratic Republic. I invite him to take a place at the Council table and to make his statement.

58. Mr. OTT (German Democratic Republic): Deeply moved and full of grief, I desire at the very outset to express my sorrow at the decease of the President of Guinea, Ahmed Sékou Touré. The unforgettable contribution made by that outstanding statesman in the cause of peace, the unity of African States and progress deserves our highest appreciation. His resolute actions against all forms of colonialism and neo-colonialism and for the elimination of the crime of *apartheid* are a striking example of an African policy that is firm in its principles. In President Ahmed Sékou Touré we have lost a good friend of the German Democratic Republic. His memory will always be held in honour by the people and Government of my country.

59. Permit me to congratulate you, Sir, on your assumption of the presidency of the Council for the month of March and to wish you success in the discharge of this responsible office. We have the expectation that under your able guidance this important body will achieve a positive result in the deliberation of the issue on its agenda.

60. The appreciation of the delegation of the German Democratic Republic goes also to your predecessor,

the representative of Pakistan, Mr. Shah Nawaz, who so excellently accomplished his task as President of the Council in the month of February.

61. And, finally, I should like through you, Mr. President, to extend my thanks to the members of the Council for giving me this opportunity to explain the point of view of the German Democratic Republic on the issue which is before us.

62. The German Democratic Republic follows with great concern the events and developments in the world which constitute a danger to international peace and security. At the same time, it directs all its efforts towards contributing to the improvement of international relations, the settlement of conflicts and the prevention of new ones. Today this task is much more urgent than ever before, in the light of the dangerously aggravated situation in the world. We therefore resolutely reject all attempts to exacerbate further this situation and stir up new tensions. Such peace-endangering attempts are nothing less than the expression of a policy pursued by the most aggressive circles of imperialism, in particular the United States, which is aimed at implementing hegemonic ambitions in whole regions of the world and obtaining arbitrarily fixed spheres of interest.

63. The sovereign rights of peoples in those regions are thus entirely denied to them, even trampled upon. The goal is the impediment and, if possible, the liquidation of social progress in developing countries, the guarantee of maintaining influence in the form of economic, military and political diktat. In this connection, quite often an attempt is made to aggravate problems existing in the relationship between individual States and to exploit them in the interest of imperialist policy.

64. The escalation of demonstrations of military might by the United States not only threatens sovereign developing countries and national liberation movements but is also directed against the socialist States. Its purpose is to divert simultaneously attention from the dangers arising from the stationing of medium-range missiles in Western Europe.

65. Mankind is thereby entering a new, perhaps the most dangerous, phase of its development. The deployment of nuclear first-strike weapons, whose range includes also the region of the Middle and Near East, is the expression of Washington's openly proclaimed goal of achieving strategic military superiority. Let me point to the fact that in Sicily, only a few hundred miles away from the Libyan coast, United States cruise missiles will become operational at the end of this month.

66. In the view of the German Democratic Republic, the most recent campaign launched against the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya also goes along those lines. It fits completely into the global concept of Washington and of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization. Those attacks against an Arab State again testify to the fact

that this region plays a particular role in the United States Administration's foreign-policy calculations and that the Arab State in question is persistently being exposed to a policy of interference and aggression. The range of that course goes from separate deals, designed to divide the Arab countries, and constant provocations to direct military intervention.

67. Since Washington has not been able to achieve its envisaged objectives in Lebanon, it now attempts to stir up fresh conflicts in other Arab areas. Obviously, the sending of AWACS spy planes and combat aircraft to the north-eastern African region is designed to suit that purpose. The concern of the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya with that threat is therefore shared by many States.

68. The adventurous actions undertaken by the United States in that region fit into the global policy of threat, and stir up tensions as well as efforts to stifle liberation movements. Repeated provocations against the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya are characteristic of such actions, as are the expansion of the military presence in the Middle East—that is, the naval presence off the coast of Lebanon—the military manoeuvres in Central America and the Caribbean, as well as the machinations against sovereign States in Africa and Asia.

69. How else could such action by the United States be described than as unconcealed implementation of the "big stick" or "gunboat" policy towards developing countries? Such a policy, as proved by historical experiences and the development of international relations at present, is doomed to failure. This is guaranteed by the anti-imperialist struggle of the peoples in the Caribbean and Central America, of Namibia and South Africa, of the Arab States and other regions of the world. The socialist States firmly stand at the side of all those who struggle against imperialist hegemony and all forms of colonialism and neo-colonialism and for social progress, freedom from any exploitation, for independence and a life in peace.

70. The German Democratic Republic continues persistently to follow a policy of peaceful co-operation and the peaceful settlement of disputes. This corresponds to a position that has been repeatedly expressed in proposals of the socialist States for the solution of conflicts. I may be permitted to recall that the States Parties to the Warsaw Treaty in their Prague Political Declaration have advocated the transformation of "the Mediterranean Sea into a zone of peace and co-operation" [see S/15556, annex, III].

71. In concluding my statement, I should like to assure the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya of the firm solidarity of the German Democratic Republic in the resolute rejection of all imperialist provocations and in the struggle for the preservation of its independence and national sovereignty.

72. The PRESIDENT [*interpretation from Spanish*]: The next speaker is the representative of Ethiopia.

I invite him to take a place at the Council table and to make his statement.

73. Mr. IBRAHIM (Ethiopia): Yesterday the world learned of the sad news of the untimely death of one of the elder statesmen of Africa, President Ahmed Sékou Touré of the People's Revolutionary Republic of Guinea. Though the condolences of the people and Government of Ethiopia have already been offered to the people and Government of Guinea, as well as to the bereaved family, I feel it my duty to reiterate at this juncture and from this forum Africa's sense of loss.

74. On a happier note, I should like to congratulate you, Sir, on your assumption of the high office of President of the Council. Your performance and that of your predecessor, Mr. Shah Nawaz, the representative of Pakistan, who had provided the Council with wise and effective leadership, have earned both of you our admiration and respect.

75. Once again, the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya has come to the Council to complain of threats to its security and stability. This, as we all know, is not the first time that the Council has been seized of similar threats from the same sources against Libya. Again, this is not the first time many of us have participated in such debates in order to extend our solidarity to the people and Government of the Jamahiriya.

76. In view of the fact that the principle of the non-use or threat of use of force in the conduct of international relations as well as that of the peaceful settlement of disputes are firmly entrenched in the Charter of the United Nations and constitute the bedrock of the present system of inter-State relations, one would have hoped—indeed, expected—that those principles would be respected and followed in the situation under consideration. To our dismay, however, the preferred course of action appears to be to resort to a modern version of gunboat diplomacy, which some have characterized as AWACS diplomacy, the motivation of which is nothing but the intensely anti-Libyan stance of the present United States Administration.

77. As you, Sir, and the Council very well know and as the debates here yesterday and in the past have demonstrated, the state of relations among the countries of the north-eastern region of Africa is far from cordial. The imperatives of international peace and security dictate that under such circumstances each and all should exercise maximum restraint and reject foreign intervention. Nothing should therefore be done to aggravate the already tense and difficult situation. To our regret, however, what has so far taken place is directly contrary to what is dictated by the situation.

78. Instead of an easing of tension, we observe the exacerbation of tension through intensive propaganda campaigns and diplomatic confrontations. In place of the search for a peaceful solution, we see attempts at military solutions through the introduction of massive

and sophisticated military hardware, such as the AWACS, into the region. Indeed, the military weapons and the preparations that are currently under way are not only beyond the defence needs of the States in the region but seem to have very little to do with the defence of their sovereignty and territorial integrity.

79. Those preparations, coupled with the active military intervention of the United States in the region, is a source of great concern to Ethiopia and we believe it is aimed not only against Libya but against other States as well. As what happens there affects my country directly, we in Ethiopia therefore cannot remain indifferent to recent events in the region. Indeed, it is these same concerns that have prompted us to take part in the present debate and call upon one and all once again to exercise maximum restraint and seek the solution of their differences without the intervention of extra-regional Powers.

80. We have been told that the need for foreign intervention and the further aggravation of the tense situation in the region arose as a result of the bombing incident in Omdurman. As we all know, the Sudanese Government has charged the Libyan Government with responsibility. Libya, for its part, has categorically denied these charges. Fortunately or unfortunately, the contours of this debate have been blurred by reports in the international press. Even though this may not be the proper context to comment on the merits of the complaint of the Sudan against Libya, we are of the view that the Sudanese and Libyan complaints have an organic link. What the Council does on the Sudanese complaint, we believe, is bound to have an effect on the complaint by Libya.

81. We therefore believe it our duty to emphasize the need for caution and for any action by the Council to be based on adequate and verifiable data. We are also duty-bound to call upon our brothers in both the Sudan and Libya to settle their differences either bilaterally or through the good offices of the regional organizations in which they enjoy common membership. The militarization of those differences and the unwelcome intervention of an extra-regional Power is in the best interest of neither the Sudan nor Libya and indeed poses a threat to regional peace and security.

82. Mr. KRAVETS (Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic) [*interpretation from Russian*]: The delegation of the Ukrainian SSR would like to express its deeply felt condolences at the death of the President of the Republic of Guinea, Mr. Sékou Touré, an eminent son of Africa, who devoted his life to the liberation of his and other peoples of Africa from colonial domination, and was one of the founding members of OAU and the Movement of Non-Aligned Countries.

83. Mr. President, your country has been the first of the newly elected members of the Council to have had the honour to preside it, and for us your experience is particularly valuable. Observing carefully how skilfully

and adeptly you are performing your difficult duties has taught us a great deal. I should like to thank you for this and also extend our heartfelt congratulations to you. I should like also to express our gratitude and congratulations to the representative of Pakistan, Mr. Shah Nawaz, for his efficient conduct of the Council's work last month.

84. This is not the first time that the Government of the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya has had to request the convening of the Security Council. Because of the constant threats, the policy of blackmail and the hostile actions by the United States toward the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, that State has been forced to make such requests many times in the past. We need only remember the events of 1981, when United States fighter aircraft shot down two Libyan aircraft over the Gulf of Sidra, or the intimidating show of force by the United States Sixth Fleet off the coast of Libya last year.

85. Today, taking its out and out anti-Libyan campaign one step further, the United States has used a dubious pretext—if, indeed, this is not a pre-planned and pre-executed act of provocation—to bomb Omdurman, in the Sudan, a neighbour of Libya. It is absolutely typical that on the very day after this shocking act, which cost innocent lives, the skies of the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya and the airspace of neighbouring countries were darkened by sophisticated, modern electronic reconnaissance AWACS aircraft. Conjuring up the spectre of a so-called Libyan danger, the United States has created an air corridor into a country next to the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, through which it has funnelled a great deal of modern weapons, military *matériel* and detachments of troops—all of which represent a serious threat to peace and security in that region and throughout the world. Brazen acts of espionage are taking place over the entire territory of the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya.

86. It was really quite astonishing to hear the representative of the United States revealing yesterday [2521st meeting] how well informed she was about when, how many and what kind of Libyan aircraft were flying over Libya's sovereign airspace.

87. Unfortunately, actions like these on the part of the United States are by no means isolated instances: they are simply links in a vast chain of aggressive military acts that form the policy of the present United States Administration. In the Persian Gulf, the United States ensures so-called freedom of navigation by threatening to shoot down aircraft which approach within five miles of its warships. Approaches to the ports of Nicaragua have been thickly strewn with magnetic mines. Yesterday, the representative of Nicaragua [*ibid.*] referred to the tens of millions of dollars that have been allocated by the United States Government for military assistance to those bandits whose sworn purpose is to topple the legitimate Government of Nicaragua. All this is being done under the guise of so-called humanitarian assistance to other countries. Humanitarianism American-style, like democracy American-style, apparently

still cannot even exist without some sort of direct military presence by the United States or other forms of intervention by that country. For some reason, the United States found it necessary to carry out three days of military manoeuvres in the territory of Honduras at the precise time when elections were being held in El Salvador.

88. One could go on listing such actions by the United States in all parts of the world—be it the Near East or the Far East, northern or southern Africa, Central America or the Caribbean.

89. The United States Administration has coolly arrogated to itself the right to determine whether the Government of any particular non-aligned country does or does not square with its concept of democracy. If it does not, then that Government is immediately ostracized. Governments that are bold enough to have their own positions and policies, differing from those of Washington, are more and more frequently now becoming subjects of pressure, malicious propaganda campaigns, provocation and even direct military intervention.

90. In practice, this imperialist policy of the United States is based on the already tried and tested organizational, material and technical foundations which facilitate intervention in the internal affairs of other countries. As an example, we need only refer to such organs and structures as the United States Rapid Deployment Forces and the recently formed united central military command. The sphere of duties of this central command comprises a considerable part of the Indian Ocean and the Persian Gulf and, in addition, 19 States of the Near East and North Africa. This is a threat which also directly affects the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya.

91. The delegation of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic fully shares the alarm voiced by the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya at this further deterioration of the situation in that area as a result of the hostile and provocative actions of the United States there. We support the Libyan people in their efforts to offset foreign intervention in the internal affairs of their country. An end must be put to these constant threats and blatant military actions against that country.

92. The PRESIDENT [*interpretation from Spanish*]: The next speaker is the representative of the Islamic Republic of Iran. I invite him to take a place at the Council table and to make his statement.

93. Mr. RAJAIE-KHORASSANI (Islamic Republic of Iran): As this is the first time my delegation has participated in Council deliberations under your presidency, Sir, I should like to congratulate you on the way in which you have been presiding over the Council. In spite of all the complicated problems usually created by the very nature of the Council, I am confident that the difficult task of presiding over the Council and handling its affairs will become very easy in your skilful hands,

the hands of a distinguished, greatly experienced personality with a rich diplomatic background and a long political career.

94. I should like also to thank and congratulate your predecessor, Mr. Shah Nawaz, representative of Pakistan, on the masterly manner in which he conducted the affairs of the Council during the month of February.

95. The Council is meeting today in order to consider another stage in United States aggression and intervention against the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya. The Libyan Arab Jamahiriya is just one of the many countries in the world which are the targets of imperialist aggression. No one is better informed than you, Mr. President, about the role of the United States in Central America and in Latin America in general.

96. International crime is not confined simply to the resort to prohibited weapons. Intervention in the affairs of other nations; the imposition of puppet régimes upon other peoples, thereby trespassing upon and violating all their rights and prerogatives of sovereignty and independence; provocative military and intelligence operations which disturb the peace and security of innocent people—in which the United States is definitely a record-breaker; conspiratorial attempts against revolutionary and popular régimes such as that of Nicaragua; addressing other people by pointing guns at them; sending helicopter gunships to various parts of the world, as in the case of Tabas; dispatching naval terrorist groups; and resort to sophisticated modern piracy, as in the cases of Grenada and Lebanon: all of these acts are criminal acts, which, due to their magnitude, are often far beyond due consideration within international norms.

97. In order to get a general picture of the criminal record of the United States, I should like members to consider the records of the Security Council over the past two years. In 1982, the Council dealt with 29 items, 18 of which were related to direct or indirect involvement of the United States. In other words, more than 62 per cent of the year's work of the Council in 1982 consisted of nothing but consideration of the involvement of the foreign policy of the United States. The records of the Council show that in the year 1983, out of 32 items, 23 were related to the same American involvement. In other words, 71.85 per cent of the cases referred to the Council in 1983 concerned American foreign policy. This increase of about 10 per cent in United States involvement in international crimes is slightly higher than the annual rate of inflation in the United States. In other words, in spite of the billions of dollars of deficit, and in spite of unemployment and inflation, the record of the foreign policy makers is even more miserable than that of those who have been defining the economic policy of the United States. Despite this remarkable criminal record, United States officials still shamelessly accuse Libya of being behind every problem in the Middle East.

98. I should like all the distinguished, honest people in this chamber—Council members and audience alike—to consider the following two sentences and choose which is the more acceptable, the more realistic and the more honest statement. Sentence one: Libya is behind every international problem in the Middle East. Sentence two: The United States is behind every international problem in the Middle East. I leave it to your consciences to decide.

99. Take another couple of sentences. Sentence one: Libya is behind every international problem in Central America and the rest of Latin America. Sentence two: The United States is behind every international problem in Central America and the rest of Latin America.

100. We might, if we wish, consider another couple of sentences. Sentence one: Libya is alone responsible for major international problems in the Far East. Sentence two: The United States is alone responsible for major international problems in the Far East.

101. I am sure that every individual in this Chamber could produce many more pairs of sentences which would be as illuminating and as informative as the three pairs I have just put forward. Therefore, we really do not need to go into the details of political events and military occurrences in every part of the world; we only need to be very simple, ordinary people who can use their common sense. Very little knowledge is required to appreciate the criminal record of imperialism.

102. One asks oneself: What is the role of the United States AWACS in the Middle East? What are United States naval forces doing near Libyan waters? What are United States terrorist forces doing around the Persian Gulf and near the Arabian Sea? Please remember that the foregoing examples are merely a few cases of direct American military presence and involvement. We have not yet touched on any of the numerous examples of United States-orchestrated plots, conspiracies and provocations, which are usually carried out by surrogate régimes and American lackeys, at work against popular régimes, revolutionary Governments and liberation movements.

103. Can any member of the Council name one popular revolution towards which the United States has not been antagonistic and for the eradication of which the United States has not mobilized its sinister military, paramilitary and intelligence forces? Why is it that in the vicinity of every revolutionary country there is a neighbour which, in conformity with the foreign policy of the United States, does not miss any chance to act against that revolutionary, popular régime? In this context, Libya is not the only country which has such "friendly" neighbours: I am sure that the cases of Nicaragua and the Islamic Republic of Iran will not be forgotten.

104. With the present composition of the Security Council, it is far from practical to anticipate any con-

structive action by the Council to prevent American intervention and provocations in our area. I therefore do not propose anything to the Council, particularly when the United States is one of the arbiters, but I should like to warn the international forum against the arrogant policies of the United States. I wish also to assure the Council that the United States is going to suffer further defeats. It seems that the case of the Shah has not yet taught the United States the lesson that puppet régimes will be overthrown by their own people and that any edifice planned on such surrogate, servile systems will collapse and leave the United States Administration more frustrated. For this there is ample evidence: in Iran, in Lebanon, in Viet Nam, in Latin America and in every other site of American involvement.

105. We have no doubt that American plots and intrigues against the Islamic countries of North Africa, the Middle East and elsewhere will lead only to further American defeats and frustrations. We condemn all conspiracies, involvements, interventionist policies, provocations and the ill-fated, sinister military presence of the United States in our part of the world. We want all foreign forces, whether they be Russian or American, out of our area, and if the Council cannot meet this very important requirement, our people will do it.

106. We believe that the United States leaders must return to honesty and wisdom. Wisdom requires them to stop their interventions in other countries, to end their hegemony and to reconsider their foreign policies, instead of simply reviewing their plans and projects for supporting and preserving their puppets. Wisdom requires them to stop distorting facts and to stop misinforming and misleading their own people. The American public is suffering from misinformation.

107. I submitted a questionnaire to a group of American intellectuals who have a very important role in that society. I do not need to name them, and probably I cannot do so, because the names are not on the questionnaire. I asked them to name five countries in the Middle East and North Africa which are known to be pro-American, which maintain very good relations with the American Government and whose régimes enjoy popular support. It was a very difficult question, was it not? The answers that some of those intellectuals gave me were very interesting. They named the following countries: Egypt, the Sudan, Jordan, Morocco and—interestingly enough—Syria. They simply don't know that Syria is well known for its anti-American position and is a member of the front-line countries, and therefore cannot be regarded as a pro-American country. From the viewpoint of these American intellectuals, Syria is a very pro-American country which has very good relations with the United States and therefore has popular support. That is the level of the political knowledge of some highly important personalities in the United States who, I can assure the Council, have a determining role in that country's policies. It

may be understood how dangerous the situation is. The danger results simply from the fact that misinformation is the function of the American Administration. This must come to an end. Honest information must be given to the American people so that they can participate honestly.

108. Finally, the Islamic Republic of Iran fully supports the people and the Government of Libya in their just struggle against American imperialism. We expect at least some members of the Council to side with the revolutionary people of Libya against the United States and its lackeys. We expect at least some members of the Council honestly to adhere to the principles of the Charter of the United Nations and to condemn the American interventionist policies as a major threat to international peace and security. Therefore, I expect all those good members not to curtail their full and unre-served support for the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya.

109. The PRESIDENT [*interpretation from Spanish*]: The next speaker is the representative of Bulgaria, whom I invite to take a place at the Council table and to make his statement.

110. Mr. GARVALOV (Bulgaria): At the very beginning, I should like to express the sincere and profound sympathy and condolences of the Bulgarian delegation on the untimely passing away of President Ahmed Sékou Touré of Guinea. I should like to tell you, Mr. President, and through you the people and the Government of Guinea, that President Sékou Touré, who was one of the founders of the Movement of Non-Aligned Countries, will remain in our hearts and will always be remembered as a steadfast and indefatigable fighter against the remnants of colonialism in Africa and as a true son of its heroic people.

111. Although this is the end of the current month, I should like to extend to you, Sir, my most heartfelt congratulations and express my satisfaction at seeing you preside over the work of the Council. Your extensive knowledge in the realm of international affairs and your well-proven professional qualifications are making a valuable contribution to solving the problems facing the Council. You represent a country with which my country maintains relations of great cordiality and co-operation.

112. I should like also to express my gratitude to the President of the Security Council for the month of February, the representative of Pakistan, Mr. Shah Nawaz, for the exemplary way in which he conducted the Council's proceedings.

113. The Bulgarian delegation is grateful to you, Sir, and the other members of the Council for having allowed it to participate in the current debate. We are acquainted with the letter of the representative of the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya drawing the attention of the Council

“to the serious ongoing events resulting from the dispatch of weapons and aircraft of the United States to States adjacent to Libya with the intention of spying on Libyan territory and preparing to launch aggression against it” [S/16431].

114. We are also aware of Libya's concern that there exists a dangerous situation along its eastern frontiers as a result of the aggressive practices of the United States against the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya.

115. Of course, the Council is also aware of the fact that Libya brought a legitimate complaint before it last year, when United States actions threatened its sovereignty and independence.

116. The Council is now convened as a result of yet another dangerous development that obviously transcends its local geographical character and has, in my delegation's view, a direct bearing on the extremely tense situation prevailing in the Middle East. What we are witnessing at present is yet another attempt of the imperialist forces to destabilize the Arab world, to exert pressure on those who consistently, and as a matter of principle, oppose new colonialist machinations and Zionist acts of aggression. It is no accident that once again the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya has been selected as the target because it steadfastly defends the just cause of the Arab people.

117. Libya has for some time now, and rightly so, been complaining to the Council about the provocative and aggressive actions of the United States against it, including continuous violations of Libyan airspace and territorial waters, economic boycott and misleading information campaigns. Those hostile acts against the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya are a clear violation of the principles and norms of international law.

118. The history of the Middle East question—and particularly its most recent history—unequivocally shows that the imperialist forces have been making all efforts to split the unity of the Arab nations and to impose on them their economic, military and political diktat. Their behind-the-scenes diplomacy goes hand in hand with the policies of open aggression and military blackmail, as practised by the United States and Israel.

119. The latest imperialist action against Libya is a logical sequence of this approach. Its aim is to foster the military psychosis in a sensitive region which is in close proximity to other regions with hotbeds of tension. And, of course, a basic objective of the imperialist forces is to divert the attention of the international community from their recent fiasco in the Middle East and to counteract that failure by increasing their military presence and exerting pressure upon States and nations in that part of the world that are not to their liking. The almost automatic decision to send sophisticated new American weapons and other military equipment, including two AWACS aircraft, to be used against Libya cannot but be construed as yet another

muscle-flexing move by the United States in an attempt to change the balance of forces in the Middle East.

120. This military, political and propaganda operation clearly stands out against the background of the defeat of the military intervention in Lebanon. Naturally, this is not an incidental action prompted by various circumstances. Rather, it is an official policy predicated on the use of brutal military force. The shelling of Lebanese villages by a United States naval force, massive air raids, the Marines' landings in Lebanon and Grenada, the aggressive actions against Nicaragua and Cuba and in the Horn of Africa—all are most eloquent examples of that official policy. The current campaign against the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya is a link in the same chain. It is inspired by the same vested interests and is part of the well-known mechanism of aggression.

121. The Bulgarian delegation believes that this serious situation—which the Libyan Minister for Foreign Affairs, Mr. Ali Treiki, brought to the attention of the Council in all seriousness and in a most responsible manner—is a threat to peace and security in the region and in the world as well.

122. As far as the position of the People's Republic of Bulgaria on this question of principle is concerned, it was only recently reiterated by the Secretary-General of the Central Committee of the Bulgarian Communist Party and President of the Council of State of the People's Republic of Bulgaria, Todor Zhivkov, on 8 March last during his official visit to the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya at the invitation of the leader of the Revolution of 1 September, Colonel Muammar Qaddafi. In his speech Todor Zhivkov said, among other things:

“The critical situation in the Middle East and the vital interests of the Arab nations require strengthening the unity and united action of all the Arab countries and forces fighting against imperialism and zionism.”

123. Guided by the desire to see that this military threat hanging over the Libyan people and other peoples in the region is removed, the Bulgarian delegation supports the request of the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya that the Security Council, which bears primary responsibility for the maintenance of international peace and security in the world, “must draw the attention of the United States Administration to the dangerousness of its aggressive and provocative policy towards the Jamahiriya and in the region” [see S/16425].

124. The Bulgarian delegation also shares the view that what is needed at present is common sense, goodwill and concerted efforts by all States concerned, as well as by the international community, in order to prevent a new military confrontation in the region the consequences of which might indeed be unpredictable.

125. The PRESIDENT [*interpretation from Spanish*]: The next speaker is the representative of the Lao

People's Democratic Republic. I invite him to take a place at the Council table and to make his statement.

126. Mr. KITTIKHOUN (Lao People's Democratic Republic) [*interpretation from French*]: It was with great regret and sadness that we learned of the sudden death of President Ahmed Sékou Touré, the eminent head of State of the People's Revolutionary Republic of Guinea. We should like, through you, Sir, to convey our sincere condolences to the Government and the people of Guinea, as well as to the family of the late President.

127. Since this is the first time I have spoken in the Council, we congratulate you, Sir, on your assumption of the presidency for this month. Aware as we are of your broad experience and your great qualities as a diplomat, we are sure that the Council's work under your guidance will provide successful results.

128. We also take this opportunity to congratulate your predecessor, the representative of Pakistan, on the competent manner in which he guided the Council's deliberations last month.

129. We cannot begin setting forth our delegation's point of view without first sincerely thanking all the members of the Council who were kind enough to afford us this opportunity to participate in this very important debate.

130. The dangerous situation that has arisen along the eastern border of the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya following the sending there of two AWACS radar aircraft and fighter planes by the Government of the United States to carry out espionage activities in Libyan territory requires the attention of the Council. The speedy dispatching of such sophisticated aircraft to carry out activities of this kind over the territory of a Member State is a violation of the Charter of the United Nations and will only further exacerbate the tension prevailing in that sensitive part of the world.

131. As the Secretary of the People's Committee of the People's Bureau for Foreign Liaison of the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya reminded us this morning [2522nd meeting] this is not the first time the Libyan Government has come to the Council to reveal to the world the policies of aggression of a great imperialist Power. Those policies have been pursued against his Government and people since 1969, since the great September revolution.

132. In responding to that policy of aggression, the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya is not alone, as some circles might believe or wish it to be. At a special meeting on 28 August 1981, at which it considered the serious situation arising from provocative naval manoeuvres conducted by the United States Navy off the Libyan coast, the Co-ordinating Bureau of the Movement of Non-Aligned Countries expressed its full solidarity with the Jamahiriya and made an appeal to all non-

aligned countries to resist the provocations and challenges, which were a most dangerous precedent in international relations.

133. Not so very long ago we too were victims of American aggression, and for that reason we thoroughly sympathize with the Libyan complaint. Under the leadership of the Lao People's Revolutionary Party, our people inflicted a humiliating defeat on the occupying forces and their lackeys in 1975 and succeeded in liberating our country completely and establishing a new régime. We had hoped that a new era of peace, freedom and justice would result. But since then our people, like the Libyan people, have become a target for the reprehensible plans for subversion and sabotage being perpetrated by the imperialists in collusion with the hegemonists.

134. The imperialist strategy to dominate the world—and there can be no doubt about this—has been and indeed always will be perfectly clear. To carry out this treacherous strategy, imperialism strikes—or waits for a right opportunity to strike—all peoples that are unwilling to accept its policies and to serve its interests. No campaign of disinformation or calumny can hide that insatiable appetite. But the winds of change continue to blow, and peoples remain unswerving in defence of their freedom and in their struggle for emancipation.

135. We are supposed to believe that the sending of AWACS radar aircraft and American fighter planes was linked to an alleged Libyan attack in the southern part of a neighbouring country. This old argument, which has been trotted out once again, is all too familiar to the members of the Council. It will be recalled that those in charge of American defence declared last year that the movements of the American Sixth Fleet and AWACS aircraft were linked to the alleged mobilization of Libyan forces along its border with the Sudan and a planned intervention in that country and that the movements of AWACS aircraft were part of joint manoeuvres with Egyptian troops. Curiously, following that declaration, the Egyptian Government denied the existence of any such manoeuvres. On 18 February 1983, *The Christian Science Monitor* even declared that Egyptian leaders had said that they knew nothing about any recent Libyan mobilization against the Sudan. That is the kind of fabrication that has been concocted against Libya. Imperialism has been trying to deceive the world with such fabrications to prepare it for its attacks on the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya.

136. Yesterday, when the Council was considering the Sudan's complaint, which enjoys the vigorous support of the United States, my delegation did not intend to participate in the debate. As a small country with a population of nearly 4 million, it is our strong intention vigorously to defend the sacrosanct principles of the Charter, in particular the non-use of force and non-interference in the internal affairs of other countries. But in that particular case, notwithstanding the long but

shaky complaint of the plaintiff, there remain serious doubts about the facts. In support of the outlandish allegation of Libyan aggression, presumptions have been the basis of argument, not facts. If in fact damage has been caused, there has been no proof that such damage was caused by Libyan aircraft.

137. Arguments are valid only when based on concrete facts, and, frankly, my delegation has considerable difficulty understanding or following the logic that has been used in speedily providing every support, including military support, to the plaintiff, whose accusations against the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya are based on unlikely hypotheses. The Libyan Arab Jamahiriya is a small country that cherishes peace and is proud of its independence and its steadfast support for the movements of peoples struggling to be free.

138. The United States is often placed in an awkward position because of its warlike behaviour, and there are good reasons to believe that the United States, in its dispute with the small but courageous Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, is engaged in exploiting to the maximum in its favour the complaint of the Sudan in order to score political and diplomatic points. The startling announcement of the dispatch of the AWACS radar aircraft and support interceptors near the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, which is very revealing of the attitude of the United States that it should be policeman to the world, has therefore very little to do with the attack in the southern Sudan that has been referred to. This rapid dispatch of AWACS radar aircraft is actually an integral part of America's policy against the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya and is not an isolated act or one of happenstance.

139. In support of his thesis—which we consider to be quite likely—in his statement in the Council in February 1983, when presenting his complaint, the representative of Libya quoted the following passage from *The New York Times*: "The plan, according to American officials, was to lure Libya into striking and then to destroy as much of its air force as possible" [2415th meeting, para. 26].

140. In the final analysis, the Government of the United States has long been persistently preparing American and world public opinion for its acts of aggression against the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya. But the world will not be taken in by this. Neither eloquence nor demagoguery can possibly conceal the truth. In view of its military power and harassed by its deep-seated hatred of the peoples of the world which refuse to bow to its will, the United States Government, to the surprise of all peace-loving States, has already launched a serious invasion against the small island of Grenada, after long years of patient and active preparation. Hence, there is every reason to believe that, encouraged by this legendary victory over Grenada, the United States Government, in its machiavellian plans, to put down with the use of force any hint of resistance anywhere, is now eyeing another target—the Libyan people—as it tries to realize its ambition for world hegemony.

141. All the anti-Libyan propaganda in the Council should deceive no one. It is part of the litany in which some imperialist circles have customarily engaged in order to conceal the facts and divert attention. The alleged Libyan aggression against its neighbours exists only in the minds of those who disregard both the facts and the likelihood of hypotheses. In our humble opinion, this myth is truly a figment of one's imagination, for Libya has only about 3 million inhabitants and it is unlikely that it would invade its two neighbours to the east whose populations number 70 million. Resorting to sophistry and rhetorical flourishes to divert public opinion from the true problem or the real situation prevailing in that part of the world is like trying to put out a fire but ignoring its source.

142. In support of the struggle of the Libyan people, we would ask the Council, which is responsible for the maintenance of international peace and security, to take appropriate action to put an end to these imperialist adventurous machinations. That would pave the way for the Libyan people to pursue its development and national reconstruction in peace, freedom and honour.

143. The PRESIDENT [*interpretation from Spanish*]: I should like to inform the members of the Council that I have just received a letter from the representative of the Sudan in which he requests to be invited to participate in the discussion of the item on the agenda. In accordance with the usual practice, I propose, with the consent of the Council, to invite that representative to participate in the discussion without the right to vote, in conformity with the relevant provisions of the Charter and rule 37 of the provisional rules of procedure.

At the invitation of the President, Mr. Birido (Sudan) took a place at the Council table.

144. The PRESIDENT [*interpretation from Spanish*]: I call on the representative of the Sudan, who wishes to exercise the right of reply.

145. Mr. BIRIDO (Sudan) [*interpretation from Arabic*]: We have asked to be allowed to speak in exercise of the right of reply because of the references made to the Sudan by Mr. Ali Treiki, the Secretary of the People's Committee of the People's Bureau for Foreign Liaison, and others speakers, and should like to make the following observations.

146. First, having listened yesterday to the statement by the Minister for Foreign Affairs of my country in the Council [2520th meeting] concerning the aggression launched by the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya—an air raid against our national capital—I need not dwell at length on the subject. The Sudan, with all objectivity and tranquillity, has presented the full facts to the representatives of the international community in this forum. The statements by some members of the Council and other friendly and fraternal countries have shed more light on the seriousness of the air raid as an act of

aggression and a flagrant violation of the Charter of the United Nations and the charters of the regional organizations and norms of international law, as well as a threat to the security, sovereignty and territorial integrity of the Sudan. Out of respect for the Council and so as not to waste its time, we shall not reiterate the facts of the case.

147. Secondly, the complaint by Libya was lodged immediately after the complaint by the Sudan to the Council in which it, having conclusive evidence, charged Libya with responsibility for the air raid against Omdurman. Therefore Libya requested the convening of the Council in order to divert attention from its aggression against the Sudan and to create a smoke-screen to hide the facts in a desperate attempt to let its aggression pass unheeded and to deceive world public opinion.

148. Thirdly, this is not the first time that Libya has resorted to such a practice. The records of the Council prove beyond any doubt how, for the same reasons we have just mentioned, Libya attempted, after Chad's complaint against it last year, to call for the convening of the Council to consider its complaint against a permanent member of the Council. Today is just like yesterday and we are fully confident that the representatives of the international community will not be deceived by such methods and practices.

149. Fourthly, Sudan's resort to friendly sister countries for the provision of the means to defend its territory and citizens is a legitimate right ensured by the charters of regional organizations, the Charter of the United Nations and the principles of international law, particularly as it has become evident that the flagrant intervention in its internal affairs is part of the ongoing efforts to undermine its security, national unity and stability, as well as the development projects on its territory.

150. In conclusion, the Sudan, which has reiterated its belief in the priorities of reconstruction and development, in the necessity to mobilize energy for comprehensive development objectives and in the rejection of aggression and interference in the internal affairs of others, emphasizes that the observance by others of those priorities will contribute to achieving the necessary potential and ensuring the benefit of all people. If Libya had adhered to these principles and objectives, there would have been no need to have convened a meeting of the Council yesterday and there would never have been any justification for convening a meeting today, because the whole question is one of flagrant and continued aggression and a desperate attempt to hide it.

151. The PRESIDENT [*interpretation from Spanish*]: The Secretary of the People's Committee of the People's Bureau for Foreign Liaison of the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya has asked to speak. I invite him to make his statement.

152. Mr. TREIKI (Libyan Arab Jamahiriya) [*interpretation from Arabic*]: I regret to have to speak at this late hour. I promise to be brief. I only wanted to clarify some matters in the light of what was said by the representative of the United States this morning.

153. What he said this morning in such a cavalier way—that the AWACS aircraft are unarmed planes, spy planes that are being sent to other countries to spy on them and to prepare the ground for aggression, and that the Security Council should condone such behaviour—reminded me of the time when, after the General Assembly passed a resolution condemning the United States invasion of Grenada, President Reagan said that he suffered no loss of appetite as a result. That reminded me to what extent the United States or its Administration cares about the United Nations or the Security Council.

154. Many friends here have referred to the long list of condemnations of the United States Administration by the Council and by the United Nations in general, but pride of its military force and the desire to dominate have prevented that Administration from seeing things as they are and from respecting the United Nations. The United States seems to have forgotten that even though the United Nations, because of circumstances and in spite of the scores of resolutions condemning that country, is incapable of deterring its aggression, the people themselves can bring this about. I should like to remind the representative of the United States of what happened in Viet Nam, in Iran, in Cuba and in Lebanon.

155. He may also recall that when the United States tried to invade Libya in 1805 and sent in its destroyer *Philadelphia*, under the command of Captain Bainbridge, that ship was destroyed off the Libyan coast, thus putting an end to United States aggression. To this very day, however, the anthem of the United States Marines contains the words "From the halls of Montezuma to the shores of Tripoli". I should like to remind him—perhaps he was in the United States Marines—that the anthem still exists. May I remind him also that when, in the same year, the United States tried to invade Libya from the east in an expedition led by General Eaton, the expedition was destroyed at Derna. History can repeat itself.

156. We are a small people. We have resort to the United Nations, whose Charter and resolutions we respect, but we are determined to live in freedom and to die with our boots on. We shall not bow to threats or aggression. Let our enemies realize that we are fully determined to thwart any American aggression against any Arab territory. The defeat inflicted upon the United States by the small heroic people of Lebanon will be suffered by it again, this time at the hands of the people of the Sudan and of Libya and by all the Arab peoples.

157. As the representative of the Islamic Republic of Iran said, we know that although the Council is well

aware of the danger represented by the policy of invasion, aggression and interference practised by the United States, it cannot now take the proper decisions; but the day will come when the Council will be able to shoulder its responsibilities *vis-à-vis* the maintenance of international peace and security.

158. This recklessness and nonchalance, these constant acts of aggression against small countries and peoples are part of an invariable, systematic policy pursued by the United States Administration. What would the world be like if that Administration were the only one having any strength? That would mean the total destruction of our world. The people of Japan are still suffering from the destructive consequences of the nuclear attack which occurred when the United States was the only country possessing the nuclear weapon. What would happen today in the world if the United States Administration were still the only one possessing the nuclear weapon?

159. I should now like to comment on something said by my brother, the representative of the Sudan—I say "my brother" because, while one chooses one's friends, one cannot choose one's brother. He said that the complaint of the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya had been presented as a reaction to the complaint made by the Sudan. That is quite true. We did present our complaint after the dispatching of American forces and the AWACS aircraft to the Sudan. But we did not do that to hide the truth in any way. In conformity with the mutual defence pact of the League of Arab States, both the Sudan and the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya are duty-bound to struggle against the United States aggression.

160. The representative of the Sudan referred to the assistance of friendly, fraternal countries. He did not, however, say that the United States was a friendly country. He could not say that, because the United States is in fact an enemy of the Sudan, just as it is an enemy of Palestine, the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Nicaragua and other countries. What friendly countries did he have in mind? Was he referring to those who helped the Zionists to occupy Palestine? Was he referring to those who bombed the Egyptian people and tried to destroy them? Was he referring to those who helped the Zionist entity to occupy southern Lebanon? Have these enemies suddenly become the friends of the Arab nation? They will become friends only if they change their policy and choose a policy serving justice.

161. The representative of the Sudan says they are friends because they gave assistance to the Sudan. But assistance against whom? I consider that a régime is doomed that cannot defend itself from its own people but seeks help from a foreign country which is an enemy of its country and people; it certainly does not deserve to represent the people that it claims to represent.

162. Is it compatible with the policy of non-alignment to ask for assistance from the United States, a country friendly to South Africa and Israel and which is stirring up antagonism against a sister Arab country? I put that

question to the representative of the Sudan: I dare him to state publicly that the United States is friendly to the Sudan. For, in his heart of hearts, he knows that he cannot say it.

163. The hostile United States policy of aggression against the Arabs, Muslims, Africans, and other people

of the third world is doomed to failure. Arrogance has its limits. We witnessed the fate reserved for Hitler, and we shall witness the fate reserved for American aggression.

The meeting rose at 7 p.m.

كيفية الحصول على منشورات الأمم المتحدة

يمكن الحصول على منشورات الأمم المتحدة من المكتبات ودور التوزيع في جميع أنحاء العالم. استعلم عنها من المكتبة التي تتعامل معها أو اكتب إلى : الأمم المتحدة، قسم البيع في نيويورك أو في جنيف.

如何获取联合国出版物

联合国出版物在全世界各地的书店和经售处均有发售。请向书店询问或写信到纽约或日内瓦的联合国销售组。

HOW TO OBTAIN UNITED NATIONS PUBLICATIONS

United Nations publications may be obtained from bookstores and distributors throughout the world. Consult your bookstore or write to: United Nations, Sales Section, New York or Geneva.

COMMENT SE PROCURER LES PUBLICATIONS DES NATIONS UNIES

Les publications des Nations Unies sont en vente dans les librairies et les agences dépositaires du monde entier. Informez-vous auprès de votre libraire ou adressez-vous à : Nations Unies, Section des ventes, New York ou Genève.

КАК ПОЛУЧИТЬ ИЗДАНИЯ ОРГАНИЗАЦИИ ОБЪЕДИНЕННЫХ НАЦИЙ

Издания Организации Объединенных Наций можно купить в книжных магазинах и агентствах во всех районах мира. Наводите справки об изданиях в вашем книжном магазине или пишите по адресу : Организация Объединенных Наций, Секция по продаже изданий, Нью-Йорк или Женева.

COMO CONSEGUIR PUBLICACIONES DE LAS NACIONES UNIDAS

Las publicaciones de las Naciones Unidas están en venta en librerías y casas distribuidoras en todas partes del mundo. Consulte a su librero o diríjase a : Naciones Unidas, Sección de Ventas, Nueva York o Ginebra.
