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1. The WP. 15 Working Party at its sixty-fourth session agreed that in principle the minimum thicknesses for shells in road transport were the consequence of the inadequacy of the present cubic formula of marginal 211127 (3) and (4) of ADR in determining these thicknesses.

## Proposal

Add the following new paragraph after marginal 211127 (5) (b) 4 :
"FOR SHELLS WITH PROTECTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH PARAGRAPHS (5) (a) AND (b), THE THICKNESSES SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN THOSE INDICATED IN THE TABLE BELOW:

| STAINLESS <br> AUSTENITIC STEELS | ALUMINIUM ALLOYS | PURE ALUMINIUM OF <br> $99.80 \%$ | OTHER STEELS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\varnothing \leq 1.80$ | $\varnothing \leq 1.80$ | $\varnothing \leq 1.80$ <br> 6 | 4 |

Note: With the exception indicated in marginal 211127 (6)."

The data for pure aluminium of $99.80 \%$ can be found in this document. In order to calculate this pure aluminium, we have taken into consideration the weldability factor which is not as good as that of aluminium alloys. According to ADR, the references concerning the use of pure aluminium, like that of marginal 211822 , do not concern the general minimum thickness but the minimum thickness to be applied for shells intended for the carriage of nitric acid ( 15 mm ), although the resulting thickness at 10 bar would be higher than the 15 mm in question according to the ADR formula in marginal 211127 (2).

There are also steel alloys of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{Mn}$ with molybdenum and other metals. For example, as regards the THYSSEN range, as from FG-32 (Rm 440-560. A\% = 23\% Rm x $A=10,120$ ) the values obtained are slightly more favourable to mild steel FG-29 (Rm 390-510 and $A=24 \%$ ), but their repercussions are not sufficient to obtain a minimum thickness value significantly different to the values which are normal for mild steel $R m \times A \%=9360$, since the difference: 10,120 - $9360=760$, is negligible in terms of its influence on the result of the application of the equivalent thickness cubic formula.
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