

Distr.: General
21 June 2017
Arabic
Original: English

الجمعية العامة
مجلس الأمن



الجمعية العامة
الدورة الحادية والسبعون
البند ٣٢ من جدول الأعمال
النزاعات التي طال أمدتها في منطقة مجموعة بلدان جورجيا
وأوكرانيا وأذربيجان ومولدوفا وآثارها على السلام والأمن
والتنمية على الصعيد الدولي

رسالتان متطابقتان مؤرختان ١٤ حزيران/يونيه ٢٠١٧ موجهتان إلى الأمين العام ورئيس
مجلس الأمن من الممثل الدائم لجورجيا لدى الأمم المتحدة

أتشرف بأن أحيل إليكم طيه البيان والتقارير الفصلي الأول (للفترة من كانون الثاني/يناير إلى
آذار/مارس ٢٠١٧) اللذين أعدتهما وزارة خارجية جورجيا بشأن حالة حقوق الإنسان في المناطق المحتلة
من جورجيا (انظر المرفق)^(١).

وأرجو ممتنا تعميم هذه الرسالة ومرفقها باعتبارها وثيقة من وثائق الجمعية العامة، في إطار
البند ٣٢ من جدول الأعمال، ومن وثائق مجلس الأمن.

وتفضلوا بقبول خالص عبارات التقدير

كاها إيمانادزه

الممثل الدائم

(١) يعمّم المرفق باللغة التي قُدّم بها فقط.



مرفق الرسالتين المتطابقتين المؤرختين ١٤ حزيران/يونيه ٢٠١٧ الموجهتين إلى الأمين العام ورئيس مجلس الأمن من الممثل الدائم لجورجيا لدى الأمم المتحدة

نشرت وزارة الخارجية التقرير الفصلي الأول لعام ٢٠١٧ عن حالة حقوق الإنسان في المناطق المحتلة من جورجيا

بالنسبة لعام ٢٠١٧، أعدت وزارة الخارجية التقرير الفصلي الأول عن حالة حقوق الإنسان في المناطق المحتلة من جورجيا. ويغطي التقرير الفترة من كانون الثاني/يناير إلى آذار/مارس ٢٠١٧ ويكشف عن انتهاكات حقوق الإنسان التي ارتكبت في الأراضي المحتلة في جورجيا خلال هذه الفترة.

وأعدت التقرير وزارة خارجية جورجيا بالتنسيق مع وكالات حكومية مختلفة. ويستند التقرير إلى مصادر معلومات مفتوحة ويهدف إلى تزويد المجتمع الدولي بمعلومات دورية عن حالة حقوق الإنسان في الأراضي الجورجية المحتلة لضمان صدور رد فعل مناسب عنه.

ووردت تقارير من المناطق المحتلة في الفترة المشار إليها تفيد بوقوع انتهاكات جسيمة لحقوق الإنسان، بما في ذلك المعاملة اللاإنسانية، والاحتجاز التعسفي، والقيود المفروضة على حرية التنقل والتعدي الواسع النطاق على حقوق الملكية الجماعية فضلا عن القيود المفروضة على الحق في تلقي التعليم باللغة الأصلية. وتزيد عملية تركيب سياجات الأسلاك الشائكة وغيرها من العوائق الاصطناعية ووضع اللافئات عبر خط الاحتلال من خطورة الحالة المتوترة أصلا.

وينبغي التشديد على أنه خلال الفترة المشمولة بالتقرير، أغلق معبران إضافيان على طول خط الاحتلال المحادي لمنطقة أبخازيا. وأعرب المجتمع الدولي عن قلقه إزاء إغلاق المعبرين الإضافيين وأشار إلى الآثار الضارة للقرار أعلاه على حرية التنقل وبالتالي الحصول على الخدمات الأساسية مثل الرعاية الصحية والتعليم، ولا سيما بالنسبة للأشخاص الذين يعيشون في مقاطعة غالي بمنطقة أبخازيا المحتلة. ووفقا لما يراه المجتمع الدولي، من المرجح أن يزيد القرار المذكور أعلاه من خطر وقوع الحوادث، لا سيما عمليات الاحتجاز.

ويساور وزارة الخارجية القلق إزاء حالة حقوق الإنسان في الأراضي المحتلة في جورجيا، وتناشد الوزارة المجتمع الدولي اتخاذ تدابير فورية ومناسبة للقضاء على الانتهاكات الجسيمة لحقوق الإنسان، وجميع أشكال التمييز العرقي في منطقة أبخازيا وتسخيفيالي.

**First Quarterly Report (January-March 2017) of the Ministry of
Foreign Affairs of Georgia on the Human Rights Situation in the
Occupied Regions of Georgia**

Contents

	<i>Page</i>
I. Introduction	4
II. Freedom from Torture and Ill-treatment	10
III. Arbitrary Detention	11
IV. Freedom of Movement	14
V. Right to Property	17
VI. Right to Education in the Native Language	19
VII. Conclusions	20
VIII. Appeal to the International Community	20

I. Introduction

Aims of the Report and Methodology Applied

1. In January 2015 the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Georgia started preparation of quarterly reports aiming at assessing the human rights situation in the occupied regions of Georgia. The Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Georgia has already prepared eight such reports: four reports in 2015 and four reports in 2016 (all available at <<http://www.mfa.gov.ge/Occupied-Territories.aspx>>). This is the first quarterly report of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Georgia for the year 2017 covering the period of January-March 2017. The Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Georgia will further continue the preparation of such reports on a quarterly basis. This reporting exercise aims to contribute to the provision of regular and updated information to the international community, to states as well as to international intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations, on the human rights situation in the occupied regions of Georgia.

2. As for the applied methodology, this reporting exercise consolidates and assesses existing information from various open sources, national and international, on violations of human rights in the occupied regions of Georgia. Due to limitations on its length, the report does not aim to collect/contain information on all cases of violations of human rights in the occupied regions of Georgia; it aims to consolidate and assess only some of the most known examples of violations. The methodology applied does not provide for the conduct of fact-finding visits to the occupied regions of Georgia, nor to the conduct of interviews. Therefore the methodology applied does not enable this reporting exercise to collect and produce new data on the human rights situation in the occupied regions of Georgia.

Responsibility of the Occupying Power for Human Rights Violations

3. The Russian Federation as the occupying power of two regions of Georgia – Abkhazia and the Tskhinvali region exercises effective control over these territories. Human rights situation in these territories remains alarming and these two regions of Georgia constitute above all dangerous “black holes” in terms of holding the human rights violators accountable. The Russian Federation as the occupying power has been preventing numerous international organizations from entering Abkhazia, Georgia and the Tskhinvali Region, Georgia.

4. It should be emphasized that in the reporting period, namely on 24th March 2017, the UN Human Rights Council adopted the resolution on “Cooperation with Georgia”.¹ According to the resolution, serious concern is expressed “over the repeated denial of access to international and regional monitors, including United Nations human rights mechanisms, to both Georgian regions [of Abkhazia, Georgia and the Tskhinvali region/South Ossetia, Georgia], by those in control of those regions.” In the resolution serious concern was expressed “at the human rights and humanitarian situation in these regions [of Abkhazia, Georgia and the Tskhinvali

¹ “Cooperation with Georgia”, Resolution adopted by the UN Human Rights Council, 24 March 2017, 34th session (27 February-24 March 2017), A/HRC/RES/34/37.

region/South Ossetia, Georgia] of Georgia”. The resolution expressed concern “about reported kidnappings, arbitrary detention, interference with property rights, restrictions on access to education in one’s native language, free movement and residence, as well as continued discrimination on the ground of ethnic origin in both regions”. The resolution further expressed concern “that internally displaced persons and refugees continue to be deprived of the right to return to their homes in a safe and dignified manner”. The resolution recognized “in this context the importance of and need for periodic reports of the Office of the High Commissioner for an objective and impartial assessment of the situation of human rights in both Georgian regions, . . . [and called] for immediate access for the Office of the High Commissioner and international and regional human rights mechanisms to Abkhazia, Georgia and the Tskhinvali region/South Ossetia, Georgia”.² On 24th March 2017, Ms Baroness Anelay, Minister of State of the Foreign and Commonwealth Office of the UK, commented the adoption of the Human Rights Council resolution on “Cooperation with Georgia” and stated the following “I also welcome the adoption of the resolution on the situation in South Ossetia and Abkhazia. The human rights situation there is deeply concerning. For too long, international organisations have been denied access by the de facto authorities to these increasingly isolated territories.”³

5. During the reporting period, namely on 26th January 2017 the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe (PACE) adopted Resolution 2149 (2017) on “the Progress of the Assembly’s monitoring procedure (September-December 2016) and the periodic review of the honouring of obligations by Austria, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France and Germany”. In paragraph 10 of the Resolution, the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe (PACE) expressed its concern about developments and remaining shortcomings in a number of countries and with regard to the Russian Federation it noted the following “the occupation and illegal recognition of independence of Abkhazia, Georgia and South Ossetia, Georgia, in violation of, *inter alia*, international law, the Statute of the Council of Europe (ETS No. 1) and accession commitments.” In paragraph 11 of the Resolution, the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe (PACE) called on “the authorities of the Russian Federation . . . to reverse the ethnic cleansing and the occupation of the Georgian regions of Abkhazia and South Ossetia and to allow international monitors on the ground”.⁴

6. Recently the Secretary General of the Council of Europe published its 15th Consolidated Report on the Conflict in Georgia describing, *inter alia*, human rights situation in Abkhazia, Georgia and Tskhinvali region, Georgia and covering the period between October 2016 and March 2017. Thus part of the Council of Europe’s report fully covers the reporting

² “Cooperation with Georgia”, Resolution adopted by the UN Human Rights Council, 24 March 2017, 34th session (27 February-24 March 2017), A/HRC/RES/34/37.

³ *Baroness Anelay welcomes conclusions of UN Human Rights Council*, Minister of State of the Foreign and Commonwealth Office of the UK, 24 March 2017, available at <<https://www.gov.uk/government/news/baroness-anelay-welcomes-conclusions-of-un-human-rights-council--4>>

⁴ “Progress of the Assembly’s monitoring procedure (September-December 2016) and the periodic review of the honouring of obligations by Austria, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France and Germany.” Resolution 2149 (2017), 26 January 2017, the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe (PACE), paragraphs 10.7 and 11.7.

period⁵ of the present reporting exercise. It should be noted that the delegation of the secretariat of the Council of Europe carried out a fact-finding visit to Georgia on 20-21 February 2017, however was not allowed to visit Abkhazia, Georgia and the Tskhinvali region/South Ossetia, Georgia.⁶ According to the Consolidated Report, “regrettably, since the previous [consolidated] report, no progress has been made in terms of access of international human rights monitoring mechanisms, including those of the Council of Europe, to Sokhumi and Tskhinvali.”⁷ The Consolidated report emphasized that “[d]uring the period under review, no progress could be reported as regards the voluntary, safe, dignified and unhindered return of internally displaced persons (IDPs) and refugees on the basis of internationally recognised principles.”⁸ According to the Consolidated Report, “new restrictions on freedom of movement enacted by the *de facto* authorities in Abkhazia and recurrent detentions across both Administrative Boundary Lines (ABLs) continued to trigger serious concerns during the reporting period, also in terms of their negative impact on human rights and safety. . . . It would appear that the new rules will have a particularly worrying effect on schoolchildren and humanitarian crossings. Crossing itinerary in some cases is extended to over 50 km. Moreover, the purported closure of yet another crossing point has been announced in the future, leaving only the Inguri road bridge.”⁹

7. During the reporting period, namely on 9th March 2017, the UN Committee on the Rights of the Child issued “Concluding observations on the fourth periodic report of Georgia”. In the Concluding observations, the Committee on the Rights of the Child noted “that Abkhazia, Georgia and the Tskhinvali region/South Ossetia, Georgia, remain outside the effective control of the State party, which is a serious obstacle to the implementation of the Convention in those regions. The Committee welcomes the efforts undertaken by the State party to support health and education services in those regions. However, it is concerned about restrictions in those regions to freedom of movement, the access to quality health-care services, the right to education in one’s native language, the rights of internally displaced children and continued discrimination on the basis of ethnicity in those regions.”¹⁰

8. During the reporting period, namely on 3rd March 2017, the U.S. Department of State published Country Reports on Human Rights Practices for 2016.¹¹ According to the U.S.

⁵ January-March 2017.

⁶ Consolidated Report on the Conflict in Georgia, Secretary General of the Council of Europe (October 2016 – March 2017), 2016, SG/Inf (2017)18, paragraphs 4 and 5.

⁷ Consolidated Report on the Conflict in Georgia, Secretary General of the Council of Europe (October 2016 – March 2017), 2016, SG/Inf (2017)18, paragraph 35.

⁸ Consolidated Report on the Conflict in Georgia, Secretary General of the Council of Europe (October 2016 – March 2017), 2016, SG/Inf (2017)18, paragraph 60.

⁹ Consolidated Report on the Conflict in Georgia, Secretary General of the Council of Europe (October 2016 – March 2017), 2016, SG/Inf (2017)18, paragraphs 14 and 43.

¹⁰ *Concluding observations on the fourth periodic report of Georgia*, the UN Committee on the Rights of the Child, 9 March 2017. Paragraph 4, CRC/C/GEO/CO/4.

¹¹ *Georgia 2016 Human Rights Report*, U.S. Department of State, published on 3rd March 2017, available at

Department of State's Country Reports on Human Rights Practices for 2016, "Russian and Abkhaz de facto authorities, on the other hand, limited international organizations' ability to operate in Abkhazia, and Russian and South Ossetian de facto authorities limited international organizations, including humanitarian organizations, regular access to South Ossetia; . . . the most significant human rights problems reported during the year included: arbitrary detentions and deprivation of life by Russian and de facto authorities of Georgian citizens along the administrative boundary line with the country's occupied territories; . . . there were frequent reports of abductions along the administrative boundary lines of both occupied regions of Abkhazia and South Ossetia; . . . Russian border guards restricted the movement of the local populations."¹²

9. During the reporting period, namely on 22nd February 2017, Amnesty International published its Report 2016/2017: The State of the World's Human Rights.¹³ According to Amnesty International, "De facto authorities and Russian forces in the breakaway regions of Abkhazia and South Ossetia continued to restrict movement across the administrative boundary line, detaining dozens of people."¹⁴

10. During the reporting period, namely on 10th February 2017, the Public Defender of Georgia issued Special Report on the Rights of Women and Children in Conflict-Affected Regions (Review of 2014-2016). According to the report, human rights situation in the occupied territories of Georgia remains alarming in terms of women's rights and rights of children, more specifically human rights violations are at hand due to domestic violence; early marriage; honor killings; child poverty; documentation gap and related violations of rights; issues related to children's safety, illegal detentions and inappropriate and degrading treatment; issues related to education in one's native language and issues related to quality of education; and problems related to rights of children with disabilities. In his Special Report on the Rights of Women and Children in Conflict-Affected Regions (Review of 2014-2016) the Public Defender of Georgia called "the Russian Federation and the de-facto authorities to ensure the unrestricted operation of human rights organizations and international missions in Abkhazia and Tskhinvali region."¹⁵

11. It should be emphasized that during the reporting period, namely on 17th March 2017,

<<https://www.state.gov/documents/organization/265634.pdf>>

¹² *Georgia 2016 Human Rights Report*, U.S. Department of State, published on 3rd March 2017, available at <<https://www.state.gov/documents/organization/265634.pdf>>, pages 23-24, 1, 2 and 3.

¹³ *Amnesty International Report 2016/2017: The State of the World's Human Rights (Georgia 2016/2017)*, published on 22nd February 2017, available at <<https://www.amnesty.org/en/countries/europe-and-central-asia/georgia/report-georgia/>>

¹⁴ *Amnesty International Report 2016/2017: The State of the World's Human Rights (Georgia 2016/2017)*, published on 22nd February 2017, available at <<https://www.amnesty.org/en/countries/europe-and-central-asia/georgia/report-georgia/>>

¹⁵ *Special Report of Public Defender of Georgia on the Rights of Women and Children in Conflict-Affected Regions (Review of 2014-2016)*, 10 February 2017, pages 35-60, available at <<http://www.ombudsman.ge/uploads/other/4/4459.pdf>>

INTERPOL issued a red notice warrant on Mr. Rashid Kanji-Ogli.¹⁶ During the reporting period, namely on 10th March 2017, the Kutaisi Court of Appeals increased the sentence to fugitive Mr. Rashid Kanji-Ogli to 14 years.¹⁷ It should be recalled here that in December 2016, Zugdidi District Court found, *in absentia*, fugitive Mr. Rashid Kanji-Ogli guilty of the charges filed against him and sentenced him to deprivation of liberty for a term of 12 years.¹⁸ The case concerns violation of the right to life, namely on 19 May 2016 Mr. Giga Otkhзорia, was murdered by so called “border guards” deployed along the occupation line with Abkhazia. The murder was committed in the village of Khurcha, Zugdidi district, located in the territory controlled by the government of Georgia. After committing the murder Mr. Rashid Kanji-Ogli and his accomplices immediately fled to the occupied territory of Abkhazia. Under the existing circumstances, Mr. Rashid Kanji-Ogli and his accomplices still remain unpunished. The above situation with regard to the violation of the right to life of Mr. Giga Otkhзорia once again demonstrates that the occupied territories of Georgia remain “black holes” in terms of holding the violators of human rights accountable. According to 15th Consolidated Report of the Secretary General of the Council of Europe, “it was also reported to the delegation that a general insecurity feeling persists among the local population in Khurcha in the aftermath of the incident”.¹⁹

Occupation Line and Installation of Barbed Wire Fences and other Artificial Obstacles

12. The occupation line along the administrative boundary line (ABL) with Abkhazia and the Tskhinvali Region separates Georgia from its two occupied territories.

13. According to 15th Consolidated Report of the Secretary General of the Council of Europe, the international community also remains firm in its “opposition to the so-called “borderisation” activities and their impact on the local population, particularly as regards adverse effects on their freedom of movement, livelihood and security perceptions.”²⁰ According to the Consolidated Report, “freedom of movement continues also to be very negatively affected by the ongoing so-called “borderisation” process, which according to information provided by the Georgian central government, has resulted in a 48 km stretch of fencing along the ABL [with the Abkhazian region]. . . Following the so-called “borderisation” phase that resulted in installation of fences and barbed wire stretching over 52 km along the ABL [with the Tskhinvali region], ploughing of furrows and deep ditches along

¹⁶ *INTERPOL issued warrant on Mr. Rashid Kanji-Ogli, sentenced for murder of Mr. Giga Otkhзорia*, “Fortuna”, 17 March 2017, available at <<http://fortuna.ge/giga-otkhozorias-mkvlelobistvis-msjavrdebul-rashid-kanjioghlice-interpolis-mier-dzebna-gamockhadda/>>

¹⁷ *Kutaisi Court of Appeals Aggravates Punishment of Rashid Kanji-Ogli, Convicted of the murder of Giga Otkhзорia*, Prosecutor’s Office of Georgia, 10 March 2017, available at <http://pog.gov.ge/eng/news?info_id=1157>

¹⁸ *Court Finds Rashid Kanji-Ogli, Accused of Giga Otkhзорia’s Murder, Guilty*, Prosecutor’s Office of Georgia, 28 December 2016, available at <http://pog.gov.ge/eng/news?info_id=1095>

¹⁹ Consolidated Report on the Conflict in Georgia, Secretary General of the Council of Europe (October 2016 – March 2017), 2016, SG/Inf (2017)18, paragraph 38.

²⁰ Consolidated Report on the Conflict in Georgia, Secretary General of the Council of Europe (October 2016 – March 2017), 2016, SG/Inf (2017)18, paragraph 53.

the ABL were pursued during the period under review.”²¹

14. According to the U.S. Department of State’s Country Reports on Human Rights Practices for 2016, published during the reporting period, “Russian “borderization” of the administrative boundary lines of the occupied territories continued during the year [of 2016], separating residents from their communities and undermining their livelihoods. . . The restrictions tightened the Russian “borderization” of both administrative boundary lines and further restricted movement, creating physical barriers and obstructing access to agricultural land, water supplies, and cemeteries.”²²

15. According to Amnesty International Report 2016/2017: The State of the World’s Human Rights, published during the reporting period, “continuing border fencing along the administrative boundary lines of the breakaway regions of Abkhazia and South Ossetia had further negative impact on economic and social rights of local residents.”²³

16. On 10th January 2017 an article was published by *CNN* which describes problems created by the occupation line.²⁴ According to the article, “An EU monitoring mission patrols what is officially known as the Administrative Boundary Line between Georgia and the breakaway regions of South Ossetia and Abkhazia. In recent years, monitors say, the line has crept forward, swallowing farmland. “Many people don’t know in many places where the line is,” Kestutis Jankauskas, head of the EU monitoring mission, tells *CNN*. “It [the border] is being established by practice but it is nothing official. It is [a] very fluid notion of a line.” Sometimes the Russian troops use razor wire fencing to mark what they see as the border; at others they post green signs saying “passage forbidden” in Georgian and English. These signs often stand alone, creating confusion for locals, who complain they’ve been detained and fined for unwittingly crossing the line. This year, the Russians dug two trenches through prime orchard land, claiming the move was for fire protection purposes, but Georgians who traversed the ditches say they were detained. “We don’t know where and how far that line can move because it was never recognized; it was never negotiated,” explains Jankauskas, adding that it is most likely based on old Soviet General Staff maps from the 1980s”.”²⁵

New so called “Laws” and New Wave of so called “Passportisation”

²¹ Consolidated Report on the Conflict in Georgia, Secretary General of the Council of Europe (October 2016 – March 2017), 2016, SG/Inf (2017)18, paragraphs 42 and 51.

²² *Georgia 2016 Human Rights Report*, U.S. Department of State, published on 3rd March 2017, available at <<https://www.state.gov/documents/organization/265634.pdf>>, pages 2 and 24.

²³ *Amnesty International Report 2016/2017: The State of the World’s Human Rights (Georgia 2016/2017)*, published on 22nd February 2017, available at <<https://www.amnesty.org/en/countries/europe-and-central-asia/georgia/report-georgia/>>

²⁴ *Returning home to find your house in a ‘different country’*, Erin McLaughlin and Sebastian Shukla, *CNN*, 10 January 2017, available at <<http://edition.cnn.com/2017/01/09/europe/georgia-south-ossetia-russia-border/>>

²⁵ *Returning home to find your house in a ‘different country’*, Erin McLaughlin and Sebastian Shukla, *CNN*, 10 January 2017, available at <<http://edition.cnn.com/2017/01/09/europe/georgia-south-ossetia-russia-border/>>

17. It should be emphasized that the UN Human Rights Council resolution on “Cooperation with Georgia”, adopted during the reporting period, expressed concern about “continued discrimination on the ground of ethnic origin in both regions”.²⁶

18. It should be recalled that on 1st April 2016, so called “law on legal status of foreign citizens in Abkhazia” and “law on entry and exit of Abkhazia” became operational. A similar document was adopted by the Tskhinvali occupation regime, namely so called “law on legal status of foreigners in the Republic of South Ossetia”. Adoption of so called “laws” in many ways target the ethnic Georgian population living in the occupied territories. According to the Special Report of the Public Defender of Georgia on the Rights of Women and Children in Conflict-Affected Regions (Review of 2014-2016), published during the reporting period, “the majority of the population of Gali as well as some communities in Ochamchire and Tkvarcheli have no access to documents with which to realize their rights, including the rights to movement, private property, and access to education and health, among others.”²⁷

19. As part of the new wave of so called “passportisation” in the Abkhazian region, the issuance of so called “passports” started in May 2016 and it was continuing during the reporting period.²⁸ By the end of the reporting period, 12 000 so called “passports” were issued.²⁹ It is planned to issue altogether 100 000 so called “passports” by the end of 2017.³⁰ As for so called “passportisation” in the Tskhinvali region, 3114 so called “passports” were issued during 2016 by the Tskhinvali occupation regime.³¹

20. As a result of a reaction of the international community on the issue of adoption of so called new “laws” and so called “passportisation”, decision was made in December 2016 to amend so called “law on legal status of foreign citizens in Abkhazia” and to enable residents of Gali district, holding Georgian citizenship, to obtain “residence permits”.³² More specifically, “in December 2016, the *de facto* Parliament of Abkhazia adopted changes to the *de facto* law on the status of foreign citizens that regulates, among other things, the status and rights of the ethnic Georgian population in the Gali district. . . . The new changes reportedly

²⁶ “Cooperation with Georgia”, Resolution adopted by the UN Human Rights Council, 24 March 2017, 34th session (27 February-24 March 2017), A/HRC/RES/34/37.

²⁷ *Special Report of Public Defender of Georgia on the Rights of Women and Children in Conflict-Affected Regions (Review of 2014-2016)*, 10 February 2017, page 51, available at <<http://www.ombudsman.ge/uploads/other/4/4459.pdf>>

²⁸ *Issuance of so called passports in occupied Abkhazia will be renewed from 27 March*, “Pirweli Information Agency”, 24 March 2017, available at <<http://www.pia.ge/post/110489-okupirebul-afxazetsi-e-w-pasportebis-gacema-27-martidan-ganaxldeba>>

²⁹ *Passport administration renewed issuance of new Abkhaz passports*, “Apsny”, 28 March 2017, available at <http://apsny.ru/news/?ID=28310&PAGEN_1=2>

³⁰ *Aslan Kobakhia: issuance of new passports will be renewed from 27 March*, “Apsnypress” 12 March 2017, available at <<http://www.apsnypress.info/news/aslan-kobakhiya-vydacha-novykh-pasportov-vozobnovitsya-s-27-marta/>>

³¹ *State security committee declared the number of issued passports*, “Sputnik-Ossetia”, 12 March 2017, available at <http://sputnik-ossetia.ru/South_Ossetia/20170312/3829571.html>

³² *Resident Permits will be given foreign citizens in Gali*, “Apsny”, 29 December 2016, available at <<http://apsny.ru/news/?ID=26462>>

foresee that the majority of Gali district residents who have Georgian citizenship will be granted “permanent residency” rights, however, it is understood that these changes do not address all outstanding issues. While it is anticipated that one improvement might purportedly concern crossings along the ABL, lack of clarity persists with respect to other rights attached to the permanent resident status, such as property rights.”³³ It should be noted that the process of issuance of so called “resident permits” to Gali residents holding Georgian citizenship did not start during the reporting period.³⁴

II. Freedom from Torture and Ill-treatment

21. As described in previous quarterly reports of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Georgia on the human rights situation in the occupied regions of Georgia, the Russian FSB officers and representatives of the Sokhumi and Tskhinvali occupation regimes regularly take actions which amount to torture and ill-treatment.

22. The practice of torture and ill-treatment in the occupied regions of Georgia remained an issue of concern during the reporting period that was attested by various reports either published in the reporting period or covering the reporting period.

23. According to the U.S. Department of State’s Country Reports on Human Rights Practices for 2016, published during the reporting period, “[i]ndividuals detained in Russian-occupied South Ossetia and Abkhazia who later returned to Georgian government-controlled territory reported incidents of mistreatment and abuse, including cigarette burns and beatings, while in custody, according to the EUMM. Human rights observers estimated half of the individuals detained by South Ossetian de facto authorities experienced some form of abuse. . . . Prison conditions in Abkhazia and South Ossetia were reported to be chronically substandard”.³⁵

24. According to 15th Consolidated Report of the Secretary General of the Council of Europe, covering the reporting period, in the Abkhazian region “[w]hile as a rule those apprehended are released after being shortly detained and paying a “fine”, the delegation continued to receive reports about instances of ill-treatment.”³⁶

25. According to Amnesty International Report 2016/2017: The State of the World’s Human Rights, published during the reporting period, “De facto authorities and Russian forces in the breakaway regions of Abkhazia and South Ossetia continued . . . detaining dozens of people: several detainees complained of torture and other ill-treatment, including beatings, during the

³³ Consolidated Report on the Conflict in Georgia, Secretary General of the Council of Europe (October 2016 – March 2017), 2016, SG/Inf (2017)18, paragraphs 45-46.

³⁴ *Georgians living in Abkhazia are given new status*, “Pirweli Information Agency”, 30 March 2017, available at <<http://pia.ge/post/111850-afxazetsi-mcxovrebx-qartvelebs-axali-statusi-mianiwes>>

³⁵ *Georgia 2016 Human Rights Report*, U.S. Department of State, published on 3rd March 2017, available at <<https://www.state.gov/documents/organization/265634.pdf>>, page 6 and 7.

³⁶ Consolidated Report on the Conflict in Georgia, Secretary General of the Council of Europe (October 2016 – March 2017), 2016, SG/Inf (2017)18, paragraph 44.

prolonged arbitrary detentions.”³⁷

26. On 23rd February 2017, a 70-year-old local resident was detained in the vicinity of the village of Gugutiantkari, Gori district for so called “illegal border crossing” along the occupation line with the Tskhinvali region. When detained, the local resident was collecting timber in his yard nearby the occupation line. The detainee was transported to the direction of Tskhinvali.³⁸ The detainee was physically abused when in detention.³⁹ After his release, due to his severe health conditions, he was immediately placed in Gori hospital.⁴⁰ The medical examination suggested that victim suffered a concussion as a result of physical abuse.⁴¹

27. According to the information disseminated on 3rd March 2017, a resident of the occupied Tskhinvali region was abducted and tortured.⁴²

III. Arbitrary Detention

28. It should be emphasized that the UN Human Rights Council resolution on “Cooperation with Georgia”, adopted during the reporting period, expressed concern “about reported kidnappings [and] arbitrary detention . . . in both regions”.⁴³

29. According to the U.S. Department of State’s Country Reports on Human Rights Practices for 2016, published during the reporting period, “Russian border guards along the administrative boundary line with Abkhazia typically enforced the boundary-crossing rules imposed by de facto authorities by fining and releasing detained individuals. Along the South Ossetia administrative boundary line, Russian border guards frequently transferred individuals

³⁷ *Amnesty International Report 2016/2017: The State of the World’s Human Rights (Georgia 2016/2017)*, published on 22nd February 2017, available at <<https://www.amnesty.org/en/countries/europe-and-central-asia/georgia/report-georgia/>>

³⁸ *Nearby the village of Gugutiantkari 70-year-old Amiran Gugutishvili was detained by so called border guards*, “IPN”, 25 February 2017, available at <<http://www.interpressnews.ge/ge/konfliqtebi/420542-sofel-gugutianthkarthan-ets-mesazghvreebma-70-tslis-amiran-gugutishvili-daakaves.html?ar=A>>

³⁹ *According to physician, 70-year-old Amiran Gugutishvili, who was released from Tskhinvali detention center, suffers a concussion*, “IPN”, 28 February 2017, available at <<http://www.interpressnews.ge/ge/regioni/420865-eqimis-informaciith-ckhinvalis-izolatoridan-gathavisuflebul-70-tslis-amiran-gugutishvils-tvinis-sheryeva-aqvs.html?ar=A>>

⁴⁰ *Amiran Gugutishvili was released from Tskhinvali detention center*, “Imedi”, 28 February 2017, available at <http://imedi.ge/index.php?rec_start=70&rec_start_nav=0&pg=shs&id_pr=10857&id=20>

⁴¹ *According to physician, 70-year-old Amiran Gugutishvili, who was released from Tskhinvali detention center, suffers a concussion*, “IPN”, 28 February 2017, available at <<http://www.interpressnews.ge/ge/regioni/420865-eqimis-informaciith-ckhinvalis-izolatoridan-gathavisuflebul-70-tslis-amiran-gugutishvils-tvinis-sheryeva-aqvs.html?ar=A>>

⁴² *Ossetian Week: difficult happiness of constructor and again Eduard Kokoiti*, “ekhokavkaza”, 3 March 2017, available at <<https://www.ekhokavkaza.com/a/28349475.html>>

⁴³ “Cooperation with Georgia”, Resolution adopted by the UN Human Rights Council, 24 March 2017, 34th session (27 February-24 March 2017), A/HRC/RES/34/37.

to de facto authorities. . . . There were also reports of arbitrary arrests of ethnic Georgians by the de facto authorities, particularly in the Tskhinvali and Gali regions of South Ossetia and Abkhazia, respectively. Detainees reported they were not informed of the reason for their arrest or why they were brought before a de facto prosecutor The EUMM also reported that, on at least one occasion, local South Ossetian border guards crossed into government-controlled territory to detain an individual.”⁴⁴

30. According to 15th Consolidated Report of the Secretary General of the Council of Europe, “representatives of the Georgian central government expressed fears that the practice of longer-term detentions could be reinstated. In this respect they once again drew the delegation’s attention to the case of a Georgian IDP [Mr. Giorgi Giunashvil] from the Gori district that was detained in June 2016 for “illegal border crossing”, and sentenced to over 20 years of imprisonment on 3 February 2017 allegedly on crimes relating to “illegal possession of weapons” during the August 2008 conflict.”⁴⁵ It is particularly alarming that on 3rd February 2017 Mr. Giorgi Giunashvil was sentenced for 20 years of imprisonment in the occupied Tskhinvali region.⁴⁶ It should be recalled that on 8 June 2016, Mr. Giorgi Giunashvil, citizen of Georgia was detained by the Russian FSB officers for so called “illegal border crossing” along the occupation line with Tskhinvali region. Initially, the detainee was sentenced two months of imprisonment for so called “illegal border crossing”. However, later so called “prosecutor’s office” of the Tskhinvali occupation regime initiated “criminal case” against Mr. Giorgi Giunashvil.⁴⁷ The government of Georgia considers accusations against Mr. Giorgi Giunashvil to be groundless and fully fabricated therefore calls for his unconditional release.⁴⁸

⁴⁴ *Georgia 2016 Human Rights Report*, U.S. Department of State, published on 3rd March 2017, available at <<https://www.state.gov/documents/organization/265634.pdf>>, pages 3-4.

⁴⁵ Consolidated Report on the Conflict in Georgia, Secretary General of the Council of Europe (October 2016 – March 2017), 2016, SG/Inf (2017)18, paragraph 56.

⁴⁶ *State Security Service of Georgia - State Security Service of Georgia will spare no efforts in order to free Mr Giorgi Giunashvili from illegal detention*, “IPN”, 5 February 2017, available at <<http://www.interpressnews.ge/ge/konfliqtebi/417528-susi-sakhelmtsifo-usafirthkhoebis-samsakhuri-giorgi-giunashvilis-ukanono-patimrobidan-dasakhsnelad-yvelafers-gaakethebs.html?ar=A>>

⁴⁷ *IPRM Meeting held in Ergneti*, State Security Service of Georgia, 19 July 2016, available at <<http://ssg.gov.ge/en/news/152/IPRM-Meeting-Held-in-Ergneti>>; *10 issues discussed in the framework of Incident Prevention and Reaction Mechanism (IPRM)*, “Kvira.ge”, 19 July 2016, available at <<http://kvira.ge/%E1%83%98%E1%83%9C%E1%83%AA%E1%83%98%E1%83%93%E1%83%94%E1%83%9C%E1%83%A2%E1%83%94%E1%83%91%E1%83%98%E1%83%A1-%E1%83%9E%E1%83%A0%E1%83%94%E1%83%95%E1%83%94%E1%83%9C%E1%83%AA%E1%83%98%E1%83%98-4/>>

⁴⁸ *State Security Service of Georgia - State Security Service of Georgia will spare no efforts in order to free Mr Giorgi Giunashvili from illegal detention*, “IPN”, 5 February 2017, available at <<http://www.interpressnews.ge/ge/konfliqtebi/417528-susi-sakhelmtsifo-usafirthkhoebis-samsakhuri-giorgi-giunashvilis-ukanono-patimrobidan-dasakhsnelad-yvelafers-gaakethebs.html?ar=A>>; *Giorgi Giunashvili was sentenced 20 years of imprisonment by Tskhinvali court*, “IPN”, 5 February 2017, available at <<http://www.interpressnews.ge/ge/konfliqtebi/417514-giorgi-giunashvils-ckhinvalis-sasamarthlom-20-tsliani-patimrobashaefarda.html?ar=A>>

State Security Service of Georgia - State Security Service of Georgia will take all available measures in order to free Mr Giorgi Giunashvili from illegal detention, “IPN”, 5 February 2017, available at <<http://www.interpressnews.ge/ge/konfliqtebi/417528-susi-sakhelmtsifo-usafirthkhoebis-samsakhuri-giorgi-giunashvilis-ukanono-patimrobidan-dasakhsnelad-yvelafers-gaakethebs.html?ar=A>>

31. It is also alarming that during the reporting period a citizen of Georgia - Mr Giorgi Lukava remained in illegal detention in so called Sokhumi prison. Mr Giorgi Lukava was detained in 2012 in the occupied Abkhazian region and was sentenced for life imprisonment.⁴⁹ The government of Georgia demands immediate release of Mr Giorgi Lukava from illegal detention.⁵⁰

32. During the reporting period numerous cases were reported on arbitrary detention in the occupied regions of Georgia. Below are only few examples.

33. On 7th January 2017, along the occupation line with Tskhinvali region, the Russian FSB officers abducted a local resident from the adjacent village. The local resident was abducted when approaching local church in order to celebrate Orthodox Christmas Day.⁵¹

34. On 27th January 2017, two women, both of them residents of Tbilisi, were detained by the Russian FSB officers for so called “illegal border crossing” along the occupation line with the Tskhinvali region.⁵²

35. On 16th February 2017, a resident of the town of Anaklia, Zugdidi district, was detained by the Russian FSB officers for so called “illegal border crossing” nearby the occupation line with the Abkhazian region and “criminal charges” were filed against him for the above reason. Later the detainee was freed but was banned from leaving the occupied territory of Abkhazia until “criminal investigation” is pending.⁵³

36. On 9th March 2017, three women were detained for so called “illegal border crossing” along the occupation line with Abkhazia. All of them were transported to so called “Sokhumi detention centre” on the territory of the occupied Abkhazia. They were travelling to the occupied territory of Abkhazia in order to attend funeral service of their relative when they were detained.⁵⁴

⁴⁹ *Abkhazian de facto authorities do not confirm the fact of moving Giorgi Lukava from Drand prison*, “Pirweli Information Agency”, 15 November 2016, available at <<http://pia.ge/post/99592-afxazetis-de-faqto-xelisufleba-giorgi-lukavas-drandis-cixidan-gayvanis-faqt-ar-adasturebs>>

⁵⁰ *Georgia Raises Three Key Issues at IPRM Meeting in Gali*, “Georgia Today”, 23 February 2017, available at <<http://georgiatoday.ge/news/5909/Georgia-Raises-Three-Key-Issues-at-IPRM-Meeting-in-Gali>>

⁵¹ *25-year-old man was abducted from the village of Jariasheni*, “Info 9”, 7 January 2017, available at <<http://www.info9.ge/konfliqtobi/163438-sofel-jariashenidan-25-tslis-mamakaci-gaitaces.html?lang=ka-GE>>

⁵² *Two residents of Tbilisi were detained by Russian Occupants – detention at the occupation line*, “Newposts”, 1 February 2017, available at <<http://www.newposts.ge/?l=G&id=131238-%E1%83%9D%E1%83%99%E1%83%A3%E1%83%9E%E1%83%90%E1%83%9C%E1%83%A2%E1%83%94%E1%83%91%E1%83%98,%20%E1%83%A2%E1%83%A7%E1%83%95%E1%83%94%E1%83%9D%E1%83%91%E1%83%90%E1%83%A8%E1%83%98>>

⁵³ *Russian Occupants detained one person in Samegrelo for so called illegal border crossing*, “IPN”, 17 February October 2017, available at <<http://www.interpressnews.ge/ge/konfliqtobi/419265-rusma-okupantebma-samegreloshi-ets-sazghvris-ukanonod-gadakvethis-braldebith-erthi-piri-daakaves.html?ar=A>>; *A citizen of Georgia was detained in Gali district for illegal state border crossing of republic of Abkhazia*, “Apsnypress”, 18 February 2017, available at <<http://www.apsnypress.info/news/v-galskom-rayone-zaderzhan-grazhdanin-gruzii-za-nezakonnoe-peresechenie-gosgranitsy-respubliki-abkha/>>; *A resident of Anaklia abducted by Russian militaries is freed*, “Livepress”, 17 February 2017, available at <<http://www.livepress.ge/ka/akhali-ambebi/article/18211-gamgebelirusethissamkhedroebismiermotacebulianakliismkvidrigathavisuflebulia.html>>

⁵⁴ *Three women were detained along administrative border with Abkhazia*, “Newposts”, 14 March 2017, available at

IV. Freedom of Movement

37. It should be emphasized that the UN Human Rights Council resolution on “Cooperation with Georgia”, adopted during the reporting period, expressed concern about restrictions on “free movement and residence . . . in both regions”.⁵⁵ According to the U.S. Department of State’s Country Reports on Human Rights Practices for 2016, published during the reporting period, “de facto authorities and Russian occupying forces limited this freedom [of movement] in Abkhazia and South Ossetia. . . . De facto authorities and Russian forces in the occupied regions of Abkhazia and South Ossetia also restricted the movement of the local population across the administrative boundaries for medical care, pension services, religious services, and education.”⁵⁶ In addition, it has been argued that “separation of family members appears to remain a pressing concern for the local population in Akhgori [in the Tskhinvali region].”⁵⁷

38. According to 15th Consolidated Report of the Secretary General of the Council of Europe, “the main critical development over the reporting period [in the Abkhazian region] concerns the closure of two additional crossing points on the ABL, namely Khurcha/Nabakevi and Meore- Otobaia/Orsantia, pursuant to a decision of the *de facto* authorities adopted on 28 December 201[6], which became effective on 5 March 2017.”⁵⁸ It should be emphasized that the closure of two additional crossing points along the occupation line with Abkhazia was followed by the reaction on behalf of the international community. The international community has expressed concern on the issue of closure of two additional crossing points and indicated negative implications of the above decision for living conditions of local residents and their human rights, including the freedom of movement. Below are listed some examples of that reaction.

39. Spokesman for the UN Secretary-General stated that “we regret the recently announced plans to close two additional crossing points along the Inguri River, Georgia, which will be detrimental to the freedom of movement and overall well-being of the population, including school children, on both sides of the administrative boundary line, especially those living in the Gali District.”⁵⁹ The UN Resident Coordinator in Georgia stated that “the United Nations are concerned that the announced restrictions will have negative consequences for the humanitarian and development

<<http://www.newposts.ge/?l=G&id=134910-%E1%83%90%E1%83%A4%E1%83%AE%E1%83%90%E1%83%96%E1%83%94%E1%83%97%E1%83%98,%20%E1%83%93%E1%83%90%E1%83%99%E1%83%90%E1%83%95%E1%83%94%E1%83%91%E1%83%90>>

⁵⁵ “Cooperation with Georgia”, Resolution adopted by the UN Human Rights Council, 24 March 2017, 34th session (27 February-24 March 2017), A/HRC/RES/34/37.

⁵⁶ *Georgia 2016 Human Rights Report*, U.S. Department of State, a published on 3rd March 2017, available at <<https://www.state.gov/documents/organization/265634.pdf>>, pages 23-24.

⁵⁷ Consolidated Report on the Conflict in Georgia, Secretary General of the Council of Europe (October 2016 – March 2017), 2016, SG/Inf (2017)18, paragraph 57.

⁵⁸ Consolidated Report on the Conflict in Georgia, Secretary General of the Council of Europe (October 2016 – March 2017), 2016, SG/Inf (2017)18, paragraph 40.

⁵⁹ *In response to questions on the announced closure of crossing points along the Ingurui River, the Spokesman has the following to say*, Stephane Dujarric, Spokesman for the UN Secretary-General, 27 February 2017, available at <<https://www.un.org/sg/en/content/sg/note-correspondents/2017-02-27/note-correspondents-response-questions>>

needs of those living in Abkhazia, Georgia. As movement is further restricted, the people of Abkhazia will find it more difficult to access basic services such as healthcare and education and participate in economic activities and social events such as weddings, funerals and public holiday commemorations, as well as family gatherings across the dividing line. Notably, access to education for children who have been crossing to attend schools in their mother tongue will be impeded”.⁶⁰ Spokesperson for the EU stated that “the announced closure of two crossing points along the Administrative Boundary Line of Georgia’s breakaway region of Abkhazia - Nabakevi-Khurcha and Meore Otobaia-Orsantia - which are used daily by hundreds of commuters, will be detrimental to the freedom of movement of the population, including school children, on both sides of the Administrative Boundary Line. It will likely increase the risk of incidents, especially detentions.”⁶¹ According to the European Union Monitoring Mission in Georgia (EUMM) “EUMM expressed serious concern about the announced closure of crossing points, emphasizing the negative impacts such a step would have on the daily lives of local residents who cross the ABL. Special emphasis was put on the challenges to freedom of movement for schoolchildren and for patients crossing for medical care.”⁶² Spokesperson of the OSCE Chairmanship stated that “we have closely followed the latest developments on the ground. We believe this decision has a number of negative consequences, in particular concerning the freedom of movement of the population on both sides of the Administrative Boundary Line. We therefore call for meaningful dialogue as well as swift and pragmatic solutions, in order to avoid further repercussions for the local population’s everyday life.”⁶³ Spokesperson by the NATO stated that “I am concerned by the announced closure of two crossing points along the administrative boundary line of the Abkhazia region of Georgia. Closing these crossing points, which are used daily by hundreds of citizens, will have a negative impact on the situation on the ground and stability in the region. It will restrict freedom of movement, affecting the livelihoods of local residents.”⁶⁴ The co-rapporteurs of the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe for the monitoring of Georgia, visited Georgia from 28 to 30 March 2017 and at the end of their visit “expressed their serious concerns about . . . the closure of crossings of the ABL between Abkhazia and the rest of Georgia.”⁶⁵ According to 15th Consolidated Report of the Secretary General of the Council of Europe, “[i]t is anticipated that the reduction in the number of crossing points will inevitably lead to an increased number of detentions, which continue to occur

⁶⁰ *UN Speaks Out against Inguri River Crossing Closures*, “Georgia Today”, 26 January 2017, available at <<http://georgiatoday.ge/news/5681/UN-Speaks-Out-against-Inguri-River-Crossing-Closures>>

⁶¹ *Statement on the latest developments along the Administrative Boundary Line of Georgia’s breakaway region of Abkhazia*, Spokesperson for the EU, 25 January 2017, available at <https://eeas.europa.eu/headquarters/headquarters-homepage/21406/statement-latest-developments-along-administrative-boundary-line-georgias-breakaway-region_en>

⁶² *EUMM participates in 42nd meeting of Gali IPRM*, EUMM, 25 January 2017, available at <https://www.eumm.eu/en/press_and_public_information/press_releases/5726/?print=yes>

⁶³ *OSCE Chairmanship concerned about closure of crossing points, stresses negative implications for local population*, Spokesperson of the OSCE Chairmanship, 7 March 2017, available at <<http://www.osce.org/cio/303171>>

⁶⁴ *Statement by the NATO Spokesperson Oana Lungescu on developments along administrative boundary lines in Georgia*, Spokesperson of the NATO, 28 February 2017, available at <http://www.nato.int/cps/en/natohq/news_141873.htm?utm_source=twitter&utm_medium=press&utm_campaign=170228-georgia>

⁶⁵ *Georgia: rapporteurs welcome progress made and hope the authorities will soon address outstanding issues*, 3 April 2017, available at <<http://assembly.coe.int/nw/xml/News/News-View-EN.asp?newsid=6579&lang=2>>

regularly in case of crossings in “unauthorised” points and/or due to lack of valid documents.”⁶⁶ Respective statements were made by the United States⁶⁷, Lithuania⁶⁸ and Japan⁶⁹.

40. According to the information disseminated on 23rd January 2017, some ethnic Abkhazians were against the decision on closing down two additional crossing points.⁷⁰ It should be emphasized that on 25th January 2017 around 200 ethnic Georgians, all residents of Gali district of the occupied Abkhazian region, held a peaceful rally protesting against the decision on closing down two additional crossing points.⁷¹ Another protest rally of Gali residents against the decision on closing down two additional crossing points was held on 23rd February 2017.⁷²

41. According to 15th Consolidated Report of the Secretary General of the Council of Europe, “It was reported to the delegation that the so-called “border zone” with a specific regime adjacent to the ABL inside the territory of Abkhazia had been expanded since November 2016. . . . At the same time, the delegation was informed that the *de facto* authorities [in the Tskhinvali region] had eased some of the restrictions in terms of access to the so-called “border zone” adjacent to the ABL, following several protests and criticism by South Ossetia residents who were unable to visit villages situated within the designated area. In particular, on 27 January 2017, the *de facto* government removed special permissions required to enter the so-called “border zone”. Other restrictions including the requirement of a permission to carry out livelihood activities within 100 m distance from the ABL reportedly remains in force.”⁷³

42. It should be emphasized that according to the information disseminated on 18th January

⁶⁶ Consolidated Report on the Conflict in Georgia, Secretary General of the Council of Europe (October 2016 – March 2017), 2016, SG/Inf (2017)18, paragraph 44.

⁶⁷ *United States Deeply Concerned by Closure of Crossing Points in Georgia’s Abkhazia Region (March 6)*, Acting Spokesperson, Washington DC, 6 March 2017, available at <<https://ge.usembassy.gov/united-states-deeply-concerned-closure-crossing-points-georgias-abkhazia-region/>>

⁶⁸ *Lithuanian Foreign Ministry’s statement on closure of two crossing points in Georgia’s breakaway region of Abkhazia*, Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Lithuania, 1 March 2017, available at <<http://urm.lt/default/en/news/lithuanian-foreign-ministrys-statement-on-closure-of-two-crossing-points-in-georgias-breakaway-region-of-abkhazia>>

⁶⁹ *Statement of the Embassy of Japan in Georgia on the so-called “referendum” in the Georgia’s Tskhinvali region/South Ossetia and the closure of so-called crossing points along the occupation line of Abkhazia*, 1 March 2017, available at <http://www.ge.emb-japan.go.jp/files/statement_01.03.2017/statement_01.03.2017_eng.pdf>

⁷⁰ *Abkhazians are protesting against closing down crossing points along the administrative boundary line with Abkhazia*, “Pirweli Information Agency”, 23 January 2017, available at <<http://pia.ge/post/98361-okupirebul-afxazetsi-sesazloa-2-e-w-gamsvebi-punqtebi-arar-daixuros>>

⁷¹ *Residents of Gali district came out for protest, “ekhokavkaza”*, 25 January 2017, available at <<https://www.ekhokavkaza.com/a/28257996.html>>; *Abkhazian senior official advises Georgian residents of Gali not to cross redlines*, “Pirweli Information Agency”, 27 January 2017, available at <<http://pia.ge/post/99437-afxazi-maralcin-osnebi-galel-qartvelebs-zrvis-gadakvetas-ar-urceven>>

⁷² *Georgian residents of Gali held another protest rally*, “Pirweli Information Agency”, 24 February 2017, available at <<http://pia.ge/post/104260-galelma-qartvelebma-morigi-aqcia-gamartes>>

⁷³ Consolidated Report on the Conflict in Georgia, Secretary General of the Council of Europe (October 2016 – March 2017), 2016, SG/Inf (2017)18, paragraphs 43 and 52.

2017, the Sokhumi occupation regime is planning to adopt so called “law” aiming at tightening of sanctions for so called “illegal border crossing”. According to the so called “draft law”, fines for so called “illegal border crossing” will increase to the amount of 80 to 100 fold of minimum wage of a detainee and detention period can last 15 days in cases of recurrence of “illegal border crossing”.⁷⁴ According to 15th Consolidated Report of the Secretary General of the Council of Europe, “[w]hile as a rule those apprehended are released after being shortly detained and paying a “fine”, . . . [a] drastic increase in “fines” – up to ten times higher – for recurrent “violations” is reported to be recently enforced [in the Abkhazian region].”⁷⁵

43. In his Special Report on the Rights of Women and Children in Conflict-Affected Regions (Review of 2014-2016) the Public Defender of Georgia called for ensuring “that minors no longer be detained and patients be permitted to cross [the occupation line] at any point of the day. Issues related to treatment during detentions and the practice of nighttime release of detainees should be discussed during the negotiations.”⁷⁶

V. Right to Property

44. According to the U.S. Department of State’s Country Reports on Human Rights Practices for 2016, published during the reporting period, “In Abkhazia the de facto legal system prohibits property claims by ethnic Georgians who left Abkhazia before, during, or after the 1992-93 war, thereby depriving IDPs of their property rights in Abkhazia. In a 2010 decree, South Ossetian de facto authorities invalidated all real estate documents issued by the Georgian government between 1991 and 2008 relating to property in the Akhagori Region. The decree also declared all property in Akhagori belongs to the de facto authorities until a “citizen’s” right to that property is established in accordance with the de facto “law,” effectively stripping ethnic Georgians displaced in 2008 of their property rights in the region. . . . De facto authorities in the separatist regions of Abkhazia and South Ossetia restricted the rights, primarily of ethnic Georgians, to . . . own property.”⁷⁷

45. It should be emphasized that during the reporting period, namely on 1st January 2017 so called “law on privatization of republican and municipal property” became operation in occupied Abkhazia.⁷⁸ The so called “law” regulates privatization of property of “Republic of Abkhazia” (republican property) and “Administrative-Territorial entities” (municipal

⁷⁴ *Occupied Abkhazia is planning to tighten sanctions for so called illegal border crossing*, “Pirweli Information Agency”, 18 January 2017, available at <<http://pia.ge/post/97770-okupirebuli-afxazeti-e-w-sazvrvis-ukanono-gadakvetaze-sanqciebis-gamkacreas-apirebs>>

⁷⁵ Consolidated Report on the Conflict in Georgia, Secretary General of the Council of Europe (October 2016 – March 2017), 2016, SG/Inf (2017)18, paragraph 44.

⁷⁶ *Special Report of Public Defender of Georgia on the Rights of Women and Children in Conflict-Affected Regions (Review of 2014-2016)*, 10 February 2017, page 59, available at <<http://www.ombudsman.ge/uploads/other/4/4459.pdf>>

⁷⁷ *Georgia 2016 Human Rights Report*, U.S. Department of State, published on 3rd March 2017, available at <<https://www.state.gov/documents/organization/265634.pdf>>, page 18 and 2.

⁷⁸ “*Law on privatization of republican and municipal property*”, paragraph 1, Article 38, available at <<https://abh-n.ru/zakon-o-privatizacii-respublikanskoj-i-municipalnoj-sobstvennosti/>>

property) to natural and legal persons. It is particularly alarming that the above so called “law”, *inter alia*, opens illegal prospects for selling the abandoned property of internally displaced persons and refugees from Abkhazian region which were in the possession of “Republic of Abkhazia” (republican property) and “Administrative-Territorial entities” (municipal property) before adoption of this so called “law”.⁷⁹

46. It should be emphasized that the UN Human Rights Council resolution on “Cooperation with Georgia”, adopted during the reporting period, expressed concern about “interference with property rights in both regions”.⁸⁰

47. According to Amnesty International Report 2016/2017: The State of the World’s Human Rights, “increased fencing along the administrative boundary lines negatively impacted the rights to work, food and adequate standard of living of local residents, after they lost access, partly or completely, to their orchards, pasture and arable land.”⁸¹

48. According to 15th Consolidated Report of the Secretary General of the Council of Europe, during the reporting period, due to the so-called “borderisation”, “local residents continued to be unable to access their agricultural and grazing lands in particular during the harvesting period.”⁸²

49. On 13 March 2017, an article was published in *npr* which describes problems created by the occupation line along the Tskhinvali region.⁸³ More specifically, according to article “Farmers along the boundary have gone to bed one night thinking their fields and orchards were in Georgia, only to wake up and discover fencing and trenches indicating otherwise. New green signs announcing the presence of a South Ossetian “state border” occasionally pop up in places previously understood to be well within Georgian territory. For now, the boundary is, effectively, wherever Russia says it is. Russia claims it is following old Soviet military maps defining the border of South Ossetia, which was considered a province of sorts in Soviet times. But maps defining that territory are unpublished. “If you have a so-called border in the middle of a village, in the middle of the orchards, it creates huge problems for the local community, for the society,” says Tomasz Szablowski, a monitor for the European Union. . . . The village, explains music teacher Zizi Kurmashvili, used to be prosperous — people had huge apple, peach and plum orchards and there was a thriving market. Now, she says, Russia

⁷⁹ Program “Abkhazia”, 26 October 2017, available at <<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sqJmTewxBw>>; Program “Abkhazia”, 24 January 2016, available at <<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pSCCxyo7m6w>>

⁸⁰ “Cooperation with Georgia”, Resolution adopted by the UN Human Rights Council, 24 March 2017, 34th session (27 February-24 March 2017), A/HRC/RES/34/37.

⁸¹ *Amnesty International Report 2016/2017: The State of the World’s Human Rights (Georgia 2016/2017)*, published on 22nd February 2017, available at <<https://www.amnesty.org/en/countries/europe-and-central-asia/georgia/report-georgia/>>

⁸² Consolidated Report on the Conflict in Georgia, Secretary General of the Council of Europe (October 2016 – March 2017), 2016, SG/Inf (2017)18, paragraph 51.

⁸³ *Along A Shifting Border, Georgia And Russia Maintain An Uneasy Peace*, Stephanie Joyce, npr, 13 March 2017, available at <<http://www.npr.org/sections/parallels/2017/03/13/519471110/along-a-shifting-border-georgia-and-russia-maintain-an-uneasy-peace>>

has stolen their land and their water, and people can't make any money.”⁸⁴

50. It should be noted that according to the information disseminated on 18th January 2017, the Sokhumi occupation regime is planning to adopt so called “law” providing for the possibility of confiscation of personal belongings in cases of so called “illegal border crossing”.⁸⁵

51. According to the information disseminated on 22nd January 2017, the Russian FSB officers searched several citizens of Georgian at so called crossing point along the occupation line with Abkhazia and confiscated their personal mobile phones for the reason that these mobile phones were switched to the Georgian cellular network operators.⁸⁶ According to the same source, people wishing to cross the occupation line with Abkhazia are forced to pay additional toll for personal belongings exceeding the weight of 10 kilos.⁸⁷

52. On 2nd March 2017, representative of the Tskhinvali occupation regime declared that “it is necessary to explain to residents of adjacent villages not to cultivate plots of land located at the other side of border line . . . During this year there will be no compromise in terms of allowing illegal harvesting of crops”.⁸⁸

VI. Right to Education in the Native Language

53. During the reporting period, the situation with regard to the right to education in the native language in the Gali district of the occupied Abkhazian region remained the same. It should be emphasized that the UN Human Rights Council resolution on “Cooperation with Georgia”, adopted during the reporting period, expressed concern about “restrictions on access to education in one’s native language”.⁸⁹

54. According to 15th Consolidated Report of the Secretary General of the Council of Europe, covering the reporting period, “it is recalled that Russian has replaced Georgian as the language of instruction in all 11 schools in Lower Gali [in the Abkhazian region] in the grades from one to five, following the practice enforced in the Upper Gali where all schools shifted to Russian language curricula since 2008. In these grades, access to the native Georgian language

⁸⁴ *Along A Shifting Border, Georgia And Russia Maintain An Uneasy Peace*, Stephanie Joyce, npr, 13 March 2017, available at <<http://www.npr.org/sections/parallels/2017/03/13/519471110/along-a-shifting-border-georgia-and-russia-maintain-an-uneasy-peace>>

⁸⁵ *Occupied Abkhazia is planning to tighten sanctions for so called illegal border crossing*, “Pirweli Information Agency”, 18 January 2017, available at <<http://pia.ge/post/97770-okupirebuli-afxazeti-e-w-sazrvris-ukanono-gadakvetaze-sanqciebis-gamkacrebas-apirebs>>

⁸⁶ *Citizens using so called pedestrian crossing points are fined in occupied Abkhazia*, “Newposts”, 22 January 2017, available at <<http://www.newposts.ge/?id=130280>>

⁸⁷ *Citizens using so called pedestrian crossing points are fined in occupied Abkhazia*, “Newposts”, 22 January 2017, available at <<http://www.newposts.ge/?id=130280>>

⁸⁸ *Georgian violators of border were expelled from South Ossetia*, “Sputnik-Ossetia”, 2 March 2017, available at <http://sputnik-ossetia.ru/South_Ossetia/20170302/3791660.html>

⁸⁹ “Cooperation with Georgia”, Resolution adopted by the UN Human Rights Council, 24 March 2017, 34th session (27 February-24 March 2017), A/HRC/RES/34/37.

has been reduced to two classes per week of Georgian as a foreign language, which are taught in addition to one class of Georgian literature per week. In discussions with the delegation, representatives of the Georgian central government also expressed concerns that training of Gali teachers has become more difficult in view of stricter controls and that Georgian textbooks provided by the Ministry were confiscated on several occasions. . . . Both Georgian and international interlocutors also pointed to a growing dropout rate believed to be due to the combined effect of reduced access to native language and the growing restrictions on freedom of movement of schoolchildren in spite of the assurances provided in this latter respect by the Abkhaz side in the beginning of the 2016 school year.”⁹⁰

55. According to the U.S. Department of State’s Country Reports on Human Rights Practices for 2016, published during the reporting period, “Ethnic Georgians living in the Gali District of Abkhazia faced problems receiving an education in the Georgian language. According to the EUMM in Georgia, some Gali students seeking to attend school in government-administered territory faced difficulties at the start of the school year crossing the administrative boundary to attend school.”⁹¹

56. According to the Special Report of the Public Defender of Georgia on the Rights of Women and Children in Conflict-Affected Regions (Review of 2014-2016), published during the reporting period, “restrictions imposed on movement across the Administrative Boundary Line have also affected access to education for those students who cross the Line on a daily basis due to the geographic proximity of schools. Because of these restrictions, the number of such students decreases from year to year. In addition, only those students who are on a special list kept by the Russian border guards are able to cross the Administrative Boundary Line to attend school.”⁹²

57. It has been argued that the closure of two additional crossing points along the occupation line with Abkhazia “will have a particularly worrying effect on schoolchildren and humanitarian crossings. Crossing itinerary in some cases is extended to over 50 km.”⁹³ And “EUMM expressed serious concern about the announced closure of crossing points, emphasizing the negative impacts such a step would have on the daily lives of local residents who cross the ABL. Special emphasis was put on the challenges to freedom of movement for schoolchildren . . .”⁹⁴

⁹⁰ Consolidated Report on the Conflict in Georgia, Secretary General of the Council of Europe (October 2016 – March 2017), 2016, SG/Inf (2017)18, paragraphs 47-48.

⁹¹ *Georgia 2016 Human Rights Report*, U.S. Department of State, published on 3rd March 2017, available at <<https://www.state.gov/documents/organization/265634.pdf>>, page 42.

⁹² *Special Report of Public Defender of Georgia on the Rights of Women and Children in Conflict-Affected Regions (Review of 2014-2016)*, 10 February 2017, page 56, available at <<http://www.ombudsman.ge/uploads/other/4/4459.pdf>>

⁹³ Consolidated Report on the Conflict in Georgia, Secretary General of the Council of Europe (October 2016 – March 2017), 2016, SG/Inf (2017)18, paragraph 42.

⁹⁴ *EUMM participates in 42nd meeting of Gali IPRM*, EUMM, 25 January 2017, available at <https://www.eumm.eu/en/press_and_public_information/press_releases/5726/?print=yes>

VII. Conclusions

58. It can be concluded that the situation described in the occupied regions of Georgia and examples provided for the reporting period constitute violations of various human rights and freedoms included but not limited to violations of freedom from torture and ill-treatment⁹⁵; arbitrary detention⁹⁶; the right to freedom of movement⁹⁷; the right to property⁹⁸; the right to education in one's native language⁹⁹; and prohibition of discrimination¹⁰⁰.

VIII. Appeal to the International Community

59. The Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Georgia appeals to the international community, states as well as international intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations:

to call on the Russian Federation to reverse its recognition of independence of the Georgian regions Abkhazia and Tskhinvali and to end the occupation of the Georgian territories;

to call on the Russian Federation to stop violations of human rights on the occupied territories while exercising effective control;

to continue calling on the Russian Federation to ensure free movement of people and removal of barbed and razor wires and other artificial obstacles and banners along the occupation line; to take additional measures in order to monitor and report on the human rights situation in the occupied regions of Georgia, more specifically, the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Georgia appeals to:

i) Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR) to continue efforts with the aim to access the Abkhazia and Tskhinvali regions of Georgia, pursuant to the HRC Resolution A/HRC/RES/34/37.

⁹⁵ Article 7 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR); Article 5 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR); Article 3 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR); and the OSCE commitments.

⁹⁶ Article 9 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR); Article 3 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR); Article 5 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR); and relevant provisions of the OSCE commitments.

⁹⁷ Article 12 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR); Article 13 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR); Article 2, Protocol No. 4 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR); and the OSCE freedom of movement commitments.

⁹⁸ Article 17 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR); Article 1, Protocol No. 1 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR); and the OSCE commitments.

⁹⁹ Article 26 (read in conjunction with Article 2) of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR); Article 2, Protocol No. 1 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR); Article 28 (read in conjunction with paragraph 1 of Article 2) of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child; and relevant provisions of the OSCE commitments.

¹⁰⁰ Article 14 and Protocol No. 12 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR); Article 26 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR); and the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination.

ii) the UN Human Rights Council's Special Procedure Mandate holders to take additional measures in order to address and report on the human rights situation in Abkhazia and Tskhinvali region of Georgia;

iii) the Commissioner for Human Rights of the Council of Europe to take additional measures in order to address and report on the human rights situation in Abkhazia and Tskhinvali region of Georgia;

iv) the OSCE and its autonomous institutions, namely the Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights (ODIHR) and the Office of the High Commissioner on National Minorities (HCNM) to find ways for monitoring the human rights situation in Georgia's occupied regions. Such steps could, inter alia, include undertaking a follow-up mission to the occupied regions of Georgia and preparing a report on the status of the implementation of the recommendations contained in the ODIHR/HCNM 2008 Report on "Human Rights in the War Affected Areas following the Conflict in Georgia".

to continue calling on the Russian Federation to allow a) access of the international human rights monitoring mechanisms to the occupied regions of Georgia; b) access of the relevant missions of international organizations (e.g. EUMM), including humanitarian organizations, to the occupied regions of Georgia through legal routes;

to continue and intensify condemning violations of human rights such as the right to life; freedom of movement; freedom from torture and ill-treatment; the right to liberty; the right to property and the right to receive education in the native language in the occupied regions of Georgia.