FINAL RECORD OF THE SEVENTIETH MEETING

held at the Palais des Nations, Geneva on Tuesday, 18 March 1980, at 12.15 p.m.

Chairman: Mr. YU Pei-Wen (China)

PRESENT AT THE TABLE

Algeria: Mr. A. SALAH-BEY

Mr. A. BENYAMINA

Argentina: Mr. A. DUMONT

Mr. R.C. FERNANDEZ

Australia: Mr. A. BEHM

Belgium: Mr. 1. ONKELINX

Mr. J-M. NOIRFALISSE

Brazil: Mr. C.A. DE SOUZA E SILVA

Mr. P. BORIO

Bulgaria: Mr. I. SOTIROV

Mr. P. POPTCHEV

Burma: U NGWE WIN

Canada: Mr. J.T. SIMARD

China: Mr. YU Pei-Wen

Mr. YANG Hu-Shan Mr. LUO Ren-Shi Mr. PAN Zhen-Qiang

Mrs. GE Yu-Yun

Cuba: Mr. L. SOLA VILA

Czechoslovakia: Mr. P. LUKES

Mr. V. ROHAL-ILKIV

Egypt: Mr. O. EL-SHAFEI

Mr. M. EL-BARADEI

Mr. N. FAHMY

Ethiopia:

France: Mr. F. DE LA GORCE Mr. J. DE BEAUSSE Mr. M. COUTHURES German Democratic Republic: Mr. G. HERDER Mr. M. GRACZYNSKI Mr. KAULFUSS Mr. G. PFEIFFER Germany, Federal Republic of: Mr. H. MULLER Mr. B. MÜTZELBURG Mr. F. BOMSDORF Mr. I. KOMIVES Hungary: Mr. C. GYÖRFFY India: Mr. C.R. GHAREKHAN Indonesia: Mr. M. SIDIK Mr. D.B. SULEMAN Mr. HARYOMATARAM Mr. H.M.U. SILABAN Iran: Mr. D. AMERI Italy: Mr. V. CORDERO DI MONTEZEMOLO Mr. M. MORENO Mr. C. FRATESCHI Mr. F. DE LUCA Japan: Mr. Y. OKAWA Mr. T. NONOYAMA Mr. R. ISHII Μr. Κ. ΜΙΥΛΤΛ

Mr. S. SHITEMI

Kenya:

Mexico: Mr. A. GARCIA ROBLES

Miss L.M. GARCIA

Mongolia: Mr. D. ERDEMBILEC

Morocco: Mr. M. CHRAIBI

Netherlands: Mr. R.H. FEIN

Mr. H. WAGENNIAKERS

Nigeria: Mr. T.O. OLUMOKO

Pakistan: Mr. M. AKRAM

Peru: Mr. J. AURICH MONTERO

<u>Poland</u>: Mr. B. SUJKA

Mr. H. PAĆ

Mr. J. CIAZOWICZ

Romania: Mr. C. ENE

Mr. T. MELESCANU

Sri Lanka: Mr. I.B. FONSEKA

Sweden: Mr. C. LIDCARD

Mr. L. NORBERG
Mr. J. LUNDIN

Union of Soviet Socialist Mr. Y.K. NAZARKIN

Republics: Mr. B.P. PROKOFIEV

Mr. V.M. GANJA

Mr. V.I. USTINOV

Mr. A.I. TIOURENKOV

Mr. Y.P. KLIUKIN

United Kingdom: Mr. N.H. MARSHALL

United States of America: Mr. C. FLOWERREE

Mr. A. AKALOVSKY

Mr. J. CALVERT

Mr. M. DALEY

Mr. M. SANCHES

Mr. T. BARTHELEMY

Mr. S. FITZGERALD

Venezuela: Mr. A.R. TAYLHARDAT

Yugoslavia: Mr. D. DJOKIĆ

Zaire: Mr. KAIONJI TSHIKALA KAKWAKA

Secretary of the Committee on

Disarmament and Personal

Representative of the

Secretary-General: Mr. R. JAIPAL

The CHAIRMAN: The Committee starts today the consideration of item 6 on its agenda, entitled "Comprehensive programme of disarmament".

Mr. GARCÍA ROBLES (Mexico) (translated from Spanish): The item which in accordance with our programme of work we are to deal with today, and which is entitled "Comprehensive programme of disarmament" is, in the opinion of my delegation, one of the most important, by virtue of its possible long-term effects, and at the same time one of those on the agenda of the Committee on Disarmament which offers the most favourable prospects for the negotiating body to bring to a successful conclusion the task entrusted to it by the General Assembly in the matter.

As regards the potential importance of the item, suffice it to recall that, according to what was stated by the first special session of the General Assembly devoted to disarmament, the programme must encompass

"... all neasures thought to be advisable in order to ensure that the goal of general and complete disarmament under effective international control becomes a reality in a world in which international peace and security prevail and in which the new international economic order is strengthened and consolidated".

If, as recommended by the Cormittee on Disarrament, and the General Assembly, it proves possible to complete the programme in time for it to be transmitted to the second special session of the General Assembly devoted to disarrament, it is highly likely that, precisely in view of its comprehensive character, it may constitute the central document that is used as a basis for the Assembly's deliberations.

As for what I described as favourable prospects, it seems to me that these will be obvious to anyone who is acquainted, however superficially, with the antecedents of the subject. This is a question which, during the past 10 years, since the General Assembly in its resolution 2602 E (XXIV) of 16 December 1969 declared the 1970s as a Disarmament Decade, has been the subject of conscientious exploration and study, not only in the Conference of the Committee on Disarmament but also in the First Committee of the General Assembly, and in respect of which abundant documentation exists, the greater part having been compiled and arranged by the Secretariat in 1978 in connexion with the establishment by the CCD of an Ad Hoc Working Group which could not succeed in discharging the functions for which it had been set up.

In addition, the new Ad Hoc Group which has just been established by the Committee on Disarmament will have at its disposal -- and this will undoubtedly be

Mr. García Robles (Mexico)

the main positive factor in its task -- two basic documents, both of which were adopted by consensus at a very recent date: the Final Document of the General Assembly's 1978 special session and the elements of a comprehensive programme on disarmament prepared by the Disarmament Commission, which the General Assembly endorsed and transmitted to the Committee in the form of recommendations.

These are indeed documents extremely rich in the raw material which the Working Group will of necessity have to use in preparing the comprehensive programme, not only as regards principles, objectives and priorities, concrete disarmament measures and related measures of all kinds, for short-term as well as long-term implementation, but also procedures and machinery for the application and verification of the measures agreed on and, finally, the definition of the various stages which the programme must comprise.

It is not my intention here to embark on an analysis of the two documents to which I have alluded — the Final Document of the General Assembly and the elements prepared by the Disarmament Commission — since if I were to do so I would be trespassing on what is the legitimate area of activity of the Ad Hoc Working Group. I should however, like to emphasize a few aspects which, in addition to their all-embracing character that I have already mentioned, it seems essential to include in the programme in the light of the provisions of the Final Document.

The ultimate goal of all the efforts which are being made in the sphere of disarmament must continue to be general and complete disarmament under effective international control.

Negotiations on general and complete disarmament must take place concurrently with negotiations on partial disarmament measures.

Nuclear weapons present the greatest danger to the survival of mankind. Priority must therefore be given to curbing and reversing the arms race in nuclear weapons of all kinds until their total climination has been achieved.

It is of prime importance that the adoption of disarmament measures should take place "in an equitable and balanced manner", in a way which will guarantee the security of all States at progressively lower levels -- both qualitative and quantitative -- of armaments and which ensures that no State or group of States is allowed to gain an advantage over others at any stage in the disarmament process.

All the peoples of the world have a vital interest in the outcome of the negotiations on disarmament. It is therefore essential for the General Assembly to be kept fully informed of all measures, whether unilateral, bilateral, regional or multilateral, taken in this area without prejudice to the progress of negotiations. The programme will therefore have to include appropriate procedures

Mr. Garcia Robles (Mexico)

for the attainment of this objective and for promoting the dissemination of information with a view to mobilizing world public opinion in favour of disarmament.

Lastly, in accordance with the idea which the delegation of Mexico has been propounding since 1977, when it submitted to the CCD as a working paper a "Preliminary draft comprehensive programme of disarmament" (CCD/545), I consider it appropriate to stress the need for the two nuclear-weapon Superpowers to submit to the Ad Hoc Group, as soon as it begins its work, working documents defining their position on the measures which each one proposes and the stages in which it is prepared to apply them in order to advance gradually towards the goal of general and complete disarmament under effective international control. Such documents should avoid abstract declarations and be drafted on the basis of the method of concrete and detailed statements which was used in the two proposals submitted in 1962 by the United States and the Soviet Union respectively to the Eighteen-Nation Committee on Disarmament.

It would also be highly desirable for the other three nuclear-weapon States to submit to the Working Group proposals of the same nature, that is to say, proposals which omit rhetoric and concentrate on concrete facts. France and the United Kingdom, for example, might consider updating the programme which they submitted on 11 June 1954 to the Sub-Committee of the Disarmament Commission, known as the "Five-Power Sub-Committee". This programme, it will be recalled, was largely responsible for making the 1955 negotiations of the Sub-Committee among the most promising in the history of disarmament, although the promise, unfortunately, very quickly gave way to disappointment.

Yesterday, immediately after the decision to establish four Ad Hoc Working Groups was adopted, I took the liberty of observing that these new subsidiary bodies implied not only a potential increase in the efficiency of the Committee on Disarmament, but also an inevitable increase in our responsibilities. It is to be hoped that, in discharging its responsibilities, the Working Group on the comprehensive programme of disarmament — which for reasons I presented at the beginning of my present statement is one of those which is in the most favourable position to obtain positive results — may serve as an encouraging example to those already established and to those which will be established in the future.

Mr. FLOWERREE (United States of America): My statement today deals with the question of chemical weapons. At the outset, I wish to reaffirm my Government's commitment to the objective of achieving a multilateral treaty prohibiting chemical weapons. Our commitment is manifested in both the ongoing bilateral negotiations between the United States and the USSR, and in a change in United States policy to permit my delegation to agree to the establishment of a Working Group on chemical weapons in the Committee on Disarmament with the mandate that was formally adopted yesterday. Although that mandate does not envisage the drafting of treaty language, it does enable the Committee to undertake a useful and necessary task which will lay essential groundwork for a multilateral treaty. My Government trusts that other members of the Committee will encourage and support the efforts of the Working Group under its agreed mandate and, in so doing, underscore the serious nature of the Committee itself and hasten the achievement of our ultimate objective — a treaty banning chemical weapons.

The quest for an effective prohibition of chemical weapons is one in which the international community has been engaged for many years, one reaching back to the last century. There have been a number of advances, most notably the Geneva Protocol of 1925, but also a number of reverses. Despite these setbacks, the effort to rid the world of the threat of chemical warfare has continued and intensified. The driving force has been the conviction of people throughout the world that chemical warfare would be repugnant to the conscience of mankind, and that no effort should be spared to minimize the risk that chemical weapons will be used.

Recent developments emphasize that the task of effective prohibition of chemical weapons may not, unfortunately, be an abstract or hypothetical one. As members of the Committee are aware, there have been reports of the use of chemical weapons in Afghanistan, Laos and Kampuchea. The United States delegation to the United Nations Commission on Human Rights recently expressed the deep concern of my Government over these reports, and described some of the information that has been accumulated on this subject. Any use of lethal chemical weapons cannot but threaten the viability of the Geneva Protocol and the search for a sound basis for the complete prohibition of such weapons.

(Mr. Flowerree, United States)

The United States would like to take this opportunity to reaffirm its commitment to the Geneva Protocol of 1925, and calls upon all States to observe it fully, not only in words but also in deeds. It would contribute to world peace and progress toward the elimination of the threat of chemical warfare if all countries in a position to do so were to use their influence to ensure that there be no actions such as those that gave rise to the reports I referred to carlier.

Mr. GARCIA ROBLES (Mexico)(translated from Spanish): Since I have the honour to be the co-ordinator of the Group of 21, I would merely like to announce, with your permission, that there will be a meeting of the Group tomorrow, Wednesday, at 11 a.m.

The CHARMAN: If there are no other speakers I will adjourn this meeting.

The next plenary meeting of the Committee will be held on Thursday,

20 March, at 10.30 a.m.

The meeting rose at 12.30 p.m.