



SUMMARY RECORD OF THE 70th MEETING

Chairman: Mr. TOMMO MONTHE (Cameroon)

Chairman of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and
Budgetary Questions: Mr. MSELLE

CONTENTS

AGENDA ITEM 119: ADMINISTRATIVE AND BUDGETARY CO-ORDINATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS
WITH THE SPECIALIZED AGENCIES AND THE INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY (continued)

(b) IMPACT OF INFLATION AND MONETARY INSTABILITY ON THE REGULAR BUDGET OF THE
UNITED NATIONS: REPORT OF THE SECRETARY-GENERAL (continued)

AGENDA ITEM 120: JOINT INSPECTION UNIT (continued)

(a) REPORTS OF THE JOINT INSPECTION UNIT (continued)

AGENDA ITEM 12: REPORT OF THE ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL COUNCIL (continued)

AGENDA ITEM 116: PROPOSED PROGRAMME BUDGET FOR THE BIENNIUM 1986-1987 (continued)

Draft report of the Fifth Committee

COMPLETION OF THE COMMITTEE'S WORK FOR 1985

*This record is subject to correction. Corrections should be sent under the signature of a member of the delegation concerned within one week of the date of publication to the Chief of the Official Records Editing Section, room IX.2.750, 2 United Nations Plaza, and incorporated in a copy of the record.

Corrections will be issued after the end of the session, in a separate fascicle for each Committee.

Distr. GENERAL
A/C.5/40/SR.70
30 December 1985

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

/...

The meeting was called to order at 7.50 p.m.

AGENDA ITEM 119: ADMINISTRATIVE AND BUDGETARY CO-ORDINATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS WITH THE SPECIALIZED AGENCIES AND THE INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY (continued)

(b) IMPACT OF INFLATION AND MONETARY INSTABILITY ON THE REGULAR BUDGET OF THE UNITED NATIONS: REPORT OF THE SECRETARY-GENERAL (continued)

Draft resolution A/C.5/40/L.11

1. Mr. KASTOFT (Denmark) suggested that the word "reaffirming" in the first preambular paragraph should be replaced by the word "recalling".
2. Mr. FONTAINE ORTIZ (Cuba) accepted the amendment.
3. Mr. DITZ (Austria) said that although his delegation had always voted against similar resolutions sponsored in the past by the Cuban delegation, it was prepared to accept the draft resolution before the Committee, as amended by Denmark, in acknowledgement of the fact that the representative of Cuba had made great efforts at the current session to tone down the text.
4. Mr. ORTEGA (Mexico) said that since the representative of Cuba had shown flexibility in accepting the amendment the Committee should adopt the draft resolution by consensus.
5. The CHAIRMAN said that, if he heard no objection, he would take it that the Committee wished to adopt draft resolution A/C.5/40/L.11, as orally amended, without a vote.
6. It was so decided.

Draft resolutions A/C.5/40/L.12 and L.24

7. Draft resolutions A/C.5/40/L.12 and L.24 were adopted without a vote.
8. Mrs. ARCHINI (Italy), speaking in explanation of vote, said that her delegation welcomed the very timely submission of draft resolution A/C.5/40/L.12 because it dealt with an extremely important range of subjects.
9. The CHAIRMAN said that if he heard no objection he would take it that the Fifth Committee agreed to recommend that the General Assembly defer until the forty-first session consideration of agenda item 119 (c) because there had not been enough time to give it proper consideration.
10. It was so decided.

AGENDA ITEM 120: JOINT INSPECTION UNIT (continued)

(a) REPORTS OF THE JOINT INSPECTION UNIT (continued)

Draft resolutions A/C.5/40/L.21, L.22 and L.23

11. Mr. KRAMER (United States of America) recalled that, earlier in the session, his delegation had given the Secretariat some paragraphs for inclusion in the Chairman's draft resolution on the Joint Inspection Unit. The previous day his delegation had been given to understand that the Chairman would not be submitting a draft resolution on that item and it had been asked whether it would like to put the paragraphs it had submitted into resolution form. Draft resolution A/C.5/40/L.21 was the result. Since then, the representative of Egypt had submitted his own draft resolution (A/C.5/40/L.22). There had been informal consultations and the Committee should shortly have before it draft resolution A/C.5/40/L.23 which, he felt, the Committee should be able to accept.

12. Mr. LOZA (Egypt) said that since draft resolution A/C.5/40/L.23 used the same language as in the JIU statute, his delegation was withdrawing its own draft resolution.

13. Mr. KRAMER (United States of America), introducing draft resolution A/C.5/40/L.23, said that there were several revisions to be made. The sponsor of the draft resolution was the United States; Egypt was not a sponsor. Paragraph 1 should read:

"Emphasizes that, in carrying out its function, the Joint Inspection Unit shall fully respect the mandates, resolutions and decisions of the General Assembly and other participating organizations."

In operative paragraph 6, the word "forty-first" should be changed to "forty-second".

14. Mr. SEFIANI (Morocco) wondered whether the Joint Inspection Unit was being asked in paragraph 1 to respect its own mandate or those of the participating organizations.

15. Mr. KRAMER (United States of America) said that paragraph 1 called upon the Joint Inspection Unit to respect the mandates of the organizations whose activities it inspected.

16. Mr. MSELLE (Chairman of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions) suggested that, for greater clarity, the last part of paragraph 1 should be reworded to read "and of the legislative organs of other participating organizations".

17. Mr. KRAMER (United States of America) accepted the amendment suggested by the Chairman of the Advisory Committee.

18. Draft resolution A/C.5/40/L.23, as orally amended, was adopted without a vote.

AGENDA ITEM 12: REPORT OF THE ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL COUNCIL (A/40/3;
E/1985/INF/6/Add.1) (continued)

19. The CHAIRMAN recalled the chapters I, IV (sects. D, G and J), V (sect. A), VI (sects. C, D and F), VII, VIII and IX (sects. J and L) of the report of the Economic and Social Council (A/40/3) had been allocated to the Fifth Committee. In addition, on 12 December the Council had adopted resolution 1985/81, in which it recommended to the General Assembly that it approve the text of the draft agreement between the United Nations and UNIDO.

20. Mr. MSELLE (Chairman of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions) said that the Advisory Committee had considered the draft agreement between the United Nations and UNIDO (document E/1985/INF/6/Add.1, annex) and had noted that the provisions dealing with administrative and budgetary matters were similar in most respects to those in the majority of the existing agreements between the United Nations and the specialized agencies. At the same time, there were a number of special provisions as a consequence of the fact that UNIDO had formerly been an integral part of the United Nations and also of the fact that UNIDO and the United Nations shared facilities at the Vienna International Centre.

21. The Advisory Committee viewed favourably the emphasis placed in the draft agreement on co-ordination and co-operation between the United Nations and UNIDO in administrative matters (art. 14) and personnel arrangements (art. 16). In that connection, the Advisory Committee welcomed, in particular, article 16 (c), whereby the United Nations and UNIDO agreed to co-operate fully in ensuring that to the extent possible, all members of the staff of the United Nations who had been assigned to UNIDO when it was a United Nations organ should be offered appointments by UNIDO that preserved their acquired rights and contractual status. The Committee endorsed the provisions of article 17 concerning budgetary and financial matters which encouraged uniformity of budgetary presentation between UNIDO and the United Nations and gave the United Nations an opportunity to comment on the UNIDO budget. The Advisory Committee recommended approval of the administrative and budgetary provisions of the draft agreement.

22. The CHAIRMAN proposed that, on the basis of the recommendations of the Advisory Committee, the Fifth Committee should recommend to the General Assembly that it approve the administrative and financial aspects of the draft agreement between the United Nations and UNIDO.

23. It was so decided.

24. The CHAIRMAN proposed that the Committee should recommend to the General Assembly that it take note of the chapters of the report of the Economic and Social Council which had been allocated to the Fifth Committee.

25. It was so decided.

The meeting was suspended at 8.25 p.m. and resumed at 9.50 p.m.

AGENDA ITEM 116: PROPOSED PROGRAMME BUDGET FOR THE BIENNIUM 1986-1987 (continued)

Draft report of the Fifth Committee (A/C.5/40/L.20 (parts I, II, II/Add.1, III, IV, IV/Add.1, V, VI and VI/Add.1))

26. Mr. FORAN (Controller) said that there had been a misunderstanding regarding the action taken by the Committee on the News Service in the Department of Political and Security Council Affairs. The relevant parts of the draft report contained the full costs for that service for both 1986 and 1987; however, it had now been established that the Committee had intended to appropriate funds for the core aspect of the news service for 1986 only. Accordingly, reductions of \$309,400 and \$69,500 would have to be made in sections 2A and section 31 respectively, the latter amount to be offset by the same amount under income section 1.

27. Taking account of the loan of \$24 million to UNIDO, gross expenditure amounted to \$1,687,341,500. Net expenditure, once again taking account of the loan to UNIDO, amounted to \$1,369,875,900, which represented a rate of real growth of 0.1 per cent.

28. A number of delegations wished to know how many new posts had been authorized. Unfortunately, it was difficult to produce a final figure at the current stage, because there had been changes in the staffing table as a result of the departure of UNIDO. There had been 11,933 established posts in the programme budget for the biennium 1984-1985, and in the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987 there were 11,159 established posts, which meant that in the proposed programme budget before the Committee there were 774 fewer established posts than in the previous one.

29. Mr. MURRAY (Trinidad and Tobago) said that he understood that no decision had been taken on a possible reduction in or termination of the activities of the News Service in the Department of Political and Security Council Affairs. He would appreciate further clarification of the matter.

30. Mr. FORAN (Controller) said that he wished to confirm that no such decision had been adopted. However, in view of the fact that the outcome of the evaluation of the activities of the News Service that was under way would not be known until the following session, the resources in question had been authorized only for the first year of the biennium. There had been a difference of opinion as to whether the resources should be left in the budget as approved by the Committee in first reading, or whether they should be deleted from the budget. It had been decided that they should be deleted, and the figures for 1987 should therefore be revised accordingly.

31. Mr. DUQUE (Secretary of the Committee) said that at the end of paragraph 113 of document A/C.5/40/L.20 (Part III) a sentence should be inserted to read: "This decision entailed a reduction of \$309,400 in the appropriation under section 2A of the programme budget for 1986-1987, as well as a reduction of \$69,500 in the appropriation under section 31 and in the estimates of income under income section 1".

32. The CHAIRMAN invited the Committee to approve appropriations in second reading under individual sections of the proposed programme budget.

33. Mr. DUQUE said that the United States delegation had requested that certain sections should be put to a recorded vote.

Expenditure sections

Section 1. Overall policy-making, direction and co-ordination

34. A recorded vote was taken on section 1 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belgium, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, France, Gabon, German Democratic Republic, Germany, Federal Republic of, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Hungary, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Italy, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Mongolia, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, Netherlands, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Qatar, Romania, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Israel, United States of America.

Abstaining: None.

35. An appropriation of \$45,090,200 under section 1 was approved in second reading by 103 votes to 2.

Section 2A. Political and Security Council affairs; peace-keeping activities

36. A recorded vote was taken on section 2A of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belgium, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Byelorussian Soviet

Socialist Republic, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, France, Gabon, German Democratic Republic, Germany, Federal Republic of, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Hungary, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Italy, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Mongolia, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, Netherlands, New Zealand, Niger, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Qatar, Romania, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Arab Emirates, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Israel, United States of America.

Abstaining: Turkey, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

37. An appropriation of \$83,786,600 under section 2A was approved in second reading by 101 votes to 2, with 2 abstentions.

Section 2B. Disarmament affairs activities

38. A recorded vote was taken on section 2B of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Cameroon, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, France, Gabon, German Democratic Republic, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Hungary, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Mongolia, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Qatar, Romania, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Arab Emirates, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Germany, Federal Republic of, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America.

Abstaining: Belgium, Canada, Japan, Netherlands.

39. An appropriation of \$9,853,500 under section 2B was approved in second reading by 99 votes to 3, with 4 abstentions.

Section 3. Political affairs, trusteeship and decolonization

40. A recorded vote was taken on section 3 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, France, Gabon, German Democratic Republic, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Hungary, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Italy, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Mongolia, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, New Zealand, Niger, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Qatar, Romania, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Arab Emirates, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America.

Abstaining: Australia, Belgium, Germany, Federal Republic of, Netherlands, Portugal.

41. An appropriation of \$25,606,800 under section 3 was approved in second reading by 97 votes to 2, with 5 abstentions.

Section 4. Policy-making organs (economic and social activities)

42. A recorded vote was taken on section 4 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belgium, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist

Republic, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, France, Gabon, German Democratic Republic, Germany, Federal Republic of, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Hungary, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Mongolia, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, Netherlands, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Qatar, Romania, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: United States of America.

Abstaining: Australia.

43. An appropriation of \$2,526,100 under section 4 was approved in second reading by 104 votes to 1, with 1 abstention.

Section 5A. Office of the Director-General for Development and International Economic Co-operation

44. A recorded vote was taken on section 5A of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belgium, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, Costa Rica, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, France, Gabon, German Democratic Republic, Germany, Federal Republic of, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Hungary, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Italy, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Mongolia, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, Netherlands, New Zealand, Niger, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Qatar, Romania, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Israel, United States of America.

Abstaining: None.

45. An appropriation of \$3,814,000 under section 5A was approved in second reading by 101 votes to 2.

46. Mr. MA Longde (China) said that his delegation had voted in favour of the appropriation under section 5A but its vote had not been recorded.

Section 5B. Centre for Science and Technology for Development

47. An appropriation of \$4,230,300 under section 5B was approved in second reading without a vote.

Section 5C. Regional Commissions Liaison Office

48. A recorded vote was taken on section 5C of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belgium, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, France, Gabon, German Democratic Republic, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Hungary, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Mongolia, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, Netherlands, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Qatar, Romania, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Arab Emirates, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: United States of America.

Abstaining: Germany, Federal Republic of, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

49. An appropriation of \$665,100 under section 5C was approved in second reading by 104 votes to 1, with 2 abstentions.

Section 6. Department of International Economic and Social Affairs

50. An appropriation of \$54,160,700 under section 6 was approved in second reading without a vote.

Section 7. Department of Technical Co-operation for Development

51. A recorded vote was taken on section 7 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Cameroon, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, Gabon, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, Netherlands, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Qatar, Romania, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, Venezuela, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Australia, Bulgaria, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Canada, Czechoslovakia, German Democratic Republic, Germany, Federal Republic of, Hungary, Japan, Poland, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America.

Abstaining: Belgium, France, Israel, Italy, Mongolia, New Zealand, Portugal, Spain.

52. An appropriation of \$20,218,300 under section 7 was approved in second reading by 84 votes to 14, with 8 abstentions.

Section 8. Office of Secretariat Services for Economic and Social Matters

53. A recorded vote was taken on section 8 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belgium, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, France, Gabon, Germany, Federal Republic of, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire),

Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, Netherlands, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Portugal, Qatar, Romania, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: United States of America.

Abstaining: Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, German Democratic Republic, Hungary, Mongolia, Poland, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

54. An appropriation of \$4,387,700 under section 8 was approved in second reading by 98 votes to 1, with 8 abstentions.

Section 9. Transnational corporations

55. A recorded vote was taken on section 9 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belgium, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, France, Gabon, German Democratic Republic, Germany, Federal Republic of, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Hungary, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Italy, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Mongolia, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, Netherlands, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Qatar, Romania, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: United States of America.

Abstaining: None.

56. An appropriation of \$10,078,000 under section 9 was approved in second reading by 105 votes to 1.

Section 10. Economic Commission for Europe

57. An appropriation of \$26,767,900 under section 10 was approved in second reading without a vote.

Section 11. Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific

58. A recorded vote was taken on section 11 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Cameroon, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, Gabon, German Democratic Republic, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Hungary, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Mongolia, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Qatar, Romania, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Arab Emirates, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: United States of America.

Abstaining: Australia, Belgium, Canada, France, Germany, Federal Republic of, Israel, Italy, Japan, Netherlands, Portugal, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

59. An appropriation of \$34,818,400 under section 11 was approved in second reading by 95 votes to 1, with 11 abstentions.

Section 12. Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean

60. A recorded vote was taken on section 12 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Cameroon, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, Gabon, German Democratic Republic, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Hungary, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland,

Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Mongolia, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Qatar, Romania, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Arab Emirates, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: United States of America.

Abstaining: Australia, Belgium, Canada, France, Germany, Federal Republic of, Israel, Italy, Japan, Netherlands, Portugal, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

61. An appropriation of \$45,293,700 under section 12 was approved in second reading by 95 votes to 1, with 11 abstentions.

Section 13. Economic Commission for Africa

62. A recorded vote was taken on section 13 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Cameroon, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, Gabon, German Democratic Republic, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Hungary, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Italy, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Mongolia, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Qatar, Romania, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Arab Emirates, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: United States of America.

Abstaining: Australia, Belgium, Canada, France, Germany, Federal Republic of, Israel, Japan, Netherlands, Portugal, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

63. An appropriation of \$48,166,300 under section 13 was approved in second reading by 96 votes to 1, with 10 abstentions.

64. Mrs. ARCHINI (Italy) said that had her delegation been present during the voting on section 13, it would have abstained.

Section 14. Economic and Social Commission for Western Asia

65. A recorded vote was taken on section 14 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Cameroon, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Cyprus, Czechoslovakia, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, Gabon, German Democratic Republic, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Hungary, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Mongolia, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Qatar, Romania, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Arab Emirates, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Israel, United States of America.

Abstaining: Australia, Belgium, Canada, France, Germany, Federal Republic of, Italy, Japan, Netherlands, Portugal, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

66. An appropriation of \$33,707,500 under section 14 was approved in second reading by 96 votes to 2, with 10 abstentions.

Section 15. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development

67. A recorded vote was taken on section 15 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belgium, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, France, Gabon, German Democratic Republic, Germany, Federal Republic of, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Hungary, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Italy, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kenya,

Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Mongolia, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, Netherlands, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Qatar, Romania, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Israel, United States of America.

Abstaining: None.

68. An appropriation of \$60,135,300 under section 15 was approved in second reading by 105 votes to 2.

Section 16. International Trade Centre

69. A recorded vote was taken on section 16 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belgium, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, France, Gabon, Germany, Federal Republic of, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, Netherlands, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Portugal, Qatar, Romania, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, German Democratic Republic, Mongolia, Poland, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United States of America.

Abstaining: Hungary.

70. An appropriation of \$8,041,300 under section 16 was approved in second reading by 98 votes to 8, with 1 abstention.

Section 18. United Nations Environment Programme

71. A recorded vote was taken on section 18 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, Gabon, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Israel, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Qatar, Romania, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, Venezuela, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Australia, Belgium, Bulgaria, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, France, German Democratic Republic, Germany, Federal Republic of, Hungary, Italy, Japan, Mongolia, Netherlands, New Zealand, Poland, Portugal, Spain, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America.

Abstaining: None.

72. An appropriation of \$10,142,400 under section 18 was approved in second reading by 85 votes to 21.

Section 19. United Nations Centre for Human Settlements (Habitat)

73. A recorded vote was taken on section 19 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belgium, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, France, Gabon, Germany, Federal Republic of, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Italy, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, Netherlands, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Portugal, Qatar, Romania, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia,

Spain, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Israel, United States of America.

Abstaining: Bulgaria, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, German Democratic Republic, Hungary, Mongolia, Poland, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

74. An appropriation of \$8,610,400 under section 19 was approved in second reading by 96 votes to 2, with 9 abstentions.

Section 20. International drug control

75. A recorded vote was taken on section 20 on the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belgium, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, France, Gabon, Germany, Federal Republic of, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, Netherlands, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Portugal, Qatar, Romania, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America, Venezuela, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire.

Against: Bulgaria, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, German Democratic Republic, Mongolia, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

Abstaining: Hungary, Poland.

76. An appropriation of \$6,291,200 under section 20 was approved in second reading by 96 votes to 7, with 2 abstentions.

Section 21. Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees

77. A recorded vote was taken on section 21 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belgium, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, France, Gabon, Germany, Federal Republic of, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, Netherlands, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Portugal, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Venezuela, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Bulgaria, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, German Democratic Republic, Hungary, Mongolia, Poland, Romania, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United States of America.

Abstaining: None.

78. An appropriation of \$34,485,200 under section 21 was approved in second reading by 95 votes to 11.

Section 22. Office of the United Nations Disaster Relief Co-ordinator

79. An appropriation of \$5,708,300 under section 22 was approved in second reading without a vote.

Section 23. Human rights

80. A recorded vote was taken on section 23 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belgium, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, France, Gabon, German Democratic Republic, Germany, Federal Republic of, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Hungary, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Italy, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar,

Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Mongolia, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, Netherlands, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Qatar, Romania, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Israel, United States of America.

Abstaining: None.

81. An appropriation of \$11,675,400 under section 23 was approved in second reading by 104 votes to 2.

Section 24. Regular programme of technical co-operation

82. A recorded vote was taken on section 24 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Cameroon, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, Gabon, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Israel, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Qatar, Romania, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, Venezuela, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Belgium, Bulgaria, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, France, German Democratic Republic, Germany, Federal Republic of, Hungary, Netherlands, Portugal, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America.

Abstaining: Australia, Canada, Italy, Japan, Mongolia, Spain.

83. An appropriation of \$29,277,200 under section 24 was approved in second reading by 86 votes to 14, with 6 abstentions.

Section 25. International Court of Justice

84. A recorded vote was taken on section 25 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Finland, Gabon, German Democratic Republic, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Hungary, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Mongolia, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, Netherlands, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Qatar, Romania, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Arab Emirates, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Fiji, United States of America.

Abstaining: Australia, Belgium, France, Germany, Federal Republic of, Japan, Portugal, Spain, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

85. An appropriation of \$10,500,800 under section 25 was approved in second reading by 97 votes to 2, with 8 abstentions.

Section 26. Legal activities

86. An appropriation of \$15,896,500 under section 26 was approved in second reading without a vote.

Section 27. Public information

87. A recorded vote was taken on section 27 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Cameroon, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Finland, Gabon, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Iceland,

India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Fiji, Israel, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America.

Abstaining: Australia, Belgium, Bulgaria, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Canada, Czechoslovakia, France, German Democratic Republic, Germany, Federal Republic of, Hungary, Italy, Japan, Mongolia, Netherlands, New Zealand, Portugal, Romania, Spain, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

88. An appropriation of \$75,668,900 under section 27 was approved in second reading by 83 votes to 4, with 20 abstentions.

Section 28. Administration and management

89. A recorded vote was taken on section 28 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Cameroon, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Finland, Gabon, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, Venezuela, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Bulgaria, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, German Democratic Republic, Hungary, Romania, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United States of America.

Abstaining: Australia, Belgium, Canada, Fiji, France, Germany, Federal Republic of, Israel, Italy, Japan, Mongolia, Netherlands, New Zealand, Portugal, Spain, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

90. An appropriation of \$321,993,400 under section 28 was approved in second reading by 81 votes to 9, with 15 abstentions.

Section 29. Conference and library services

91. A recorded vote was taken on section 29 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Cameroon, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Finland, Gabon, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Fiji, Germany, Federal Republic of, Israel, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America.

Abstaining: Australia, Belgium, Bulgaria, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Canada, Czechoslovakia, France, German Democratic Republic, Hungary, Italy, Japan, Mongolia, Netherlands, New Zealand, Portugal, Romania, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

92. An appropriation of \$288,823,600 under section 29 was approved in second reading by 83 votes to 5, with 18 abstentions.

Section 30. United Nations Bond Issue

93. A recorded vote was taken on section 30 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1988.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belgium, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, Costa Rica, Cuba, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia,

Fiji, Finland, France, Gabon, Germany, Federal Republic of, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iraq, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mexico, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, Netherlands, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Portugal, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America, Venezuela, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Bulgaria, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, China, Czechoslovakia, German Democratic Republic, Hungary, Mongolia, Poland, Romania, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

Abstaining: None.

94. An appropriation of \$16,758,600 under section 30 was approved in second reading by 92 votes to 11.

Section 31. Staff assessment

95. A recorded vote was taken on section 31 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belgium, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, France, Gabon, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Italy, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, Netherlands, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Portugal, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, Venezuela, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Bulgaria, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, German Democratic Republic, Germany, Federal Republic of, Hungary, Israel, Mongolia, Poland, Romania, Ukrainian Soviet

Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America.

Abstaining: None.

96. An appropriation of \$275,416,800 under section 31 was approved in second reading by 92 votes to 14.

Section 32. Construction, alteration, improvement and major maintenance of premises

97. A recorded vote was taken on section 32 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Cameroon, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, Gabon, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Israel, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire.

Against: United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America.

Abstaining: Belgium, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Canada, Czechoslovakia, France, German Democratic Republic, Germany, Federal Republic of, Hungary, Italy, Mongolia, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

98. An appropriation of \$30,145,100 under section 32 was approved in second reading by 88 votes to 2, with 16 abstentions.

Section 33. Grant to the United Nations Institute for Training and Research

99. A recorded vote was taken on section 33 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba,

Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Finland, Gabon, Ghana, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Australia, Belgium, Bulgaria, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, Fiji, German Democratic Republic, Hungary, Japan, Poland, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America.

Abstaining: France, Germany, Federal Republic of, Greece, Israel, Italy, Mongolia, Netherlands, Portugal, Romania, Spain.

100. An appropriation of \$600,000 under section 33 was approved in second reading by 83 votes to 14, with 10 abstentions.

101. Ms. HILLYER (New Zealand) said that she wished it to be recorded that her delegation had intended to vote against the appropriation under section 33.

Income sections

Section 1. Income from staff assessment

102. A recorded vote was taken on income section 1 of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belgium, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, France, Gabon, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Italy, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lesotho, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, Netherlands, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Portugal, Qatar, Romania, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, Venezuela, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Bulgaria, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, German Democratic Republic, Germany, Federal Republic of, Hungary, Israel, Mongolia, Poland, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America.

Abstaining: None.

103. The estimate of \$279,485,500 under income section 1 was approved in second reading by 92 votes to 13.

Income section 2. General income

104. The estimate of \$28,569,900 under income section 2 was approved in second reading without a vote.

Income section 3. Revenue-producing activities

105. The estimate of \$9,410,100 under income section 3 was approved in second reading without a vote.

106. The CHAIRMAN invited the Committee to vote on the draft resolutions contained in document A/C.5/40/L.20 (Part IV) and Add.1.

Draft resolution II A

107. Mr. FIGUEIRA (Brazil) and Mr. ORTEGA (Mexico) said that paragraph 2 of draft resolution II A contradicted previous General Assembly resolutions which provided that only the General Assembly could authorize the transfer of credits between sections of the budget.

108. Mr. RUEDAS (Under-Secretary-General for Administration and Management) said that it was long-established practice that once the budget was approved, the Secretary-General had latitude to transfer credits within sections of the budget but required the approval of the Advisory Committee to transfer credits between sections of the budget.

109. The CHAIRMAN said that paragraph 2 had always been included and that the explanation given by the Under-Secretary-General for Administration and Management was correct.

110. Mr. FIGUEIRA (Brazil) requested a separate vote on, or deletion of, paragraph 2.

111. Mr. MSELLE (Chairman of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions) said that that paragraph had always been part of the draft resolution on the budget and could not be deleted. The Financial Regulations provided that there could be no transfer of credits between sections of the budget without authorization from the General Assembly, but the General Assembly had delegated that authority to the Advisory Committee.

112. Mr. TAKASU (Japan) said that he agreed with the explanation given by the Chairman of the Advisory Committee. Paragraph 2 was therefore essential in order to renew the delegation of authority to the Advisory Committee.

113. The CHAIRMAN said that paragraph 2 was essential for practical reasons in order to allow for flexibility since the General Assembly was not in session throughout the year and therefore could not be consulted whenever the need arose.

114. Mr. FIGUEIRA (Brazil) withdrew his proposal.

115. A recorded vote was taken on draft resolution II A.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, Gabon, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, Venezuela, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Bulgaria, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, German Democratic Republic, Hungary, Israel, Mongolia, Poland, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United States of America.

Abstaining: Belgium, France, Germany, Federal Republic of, Italy, Japan, Netherlands, Portugal, Romania, Spain, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

116. Draft resolution II A was adopted by 83 votes to 11, with 10 abstentions.

117. Mr. KHALEVINSKIY (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics), speaking in explanation of vote, said that the total appropriations just approved were excessive and that the amounts authorized for individual sections had been criticized by many delegations, including his own, on various technical grounds. His delegation had expected that a policy of maximum budgetary restraint would be reflected in the draft resolution. Instead, the contributions of Member States were still being spent in a wasteful and ineffective manner and on tasks and activities which were not the proper work of the United Nations and frequently contravened the provisions of the Charter.

(Mr. Khalevinskiy, USSR)

118. The unjustifiably high rate of growth in the budget confirmed the evident trend in earlier budget documents. The Secretary-General had taken no steps to compensate for anticipated inflation from existing resources and had provided no information on resources released as a result of the termination of obsolete programmes and their possible redeployment as an alternative to requesting additional ones. The Secretary-General had ignored criticism regarding methods used to calculate non-recurrent expenditures. It was to be hoped that improvements would be made in future budget proposals.

119. His delegation objected to the unjustified upgrading of posts without any corresponding increase in the responsibilities of the incumbents, and his country would have to withhold from its contribution an amount corresponding to unnecessary posts and activities which contravened the provisions of the Charter. Member States were not obliged to make any payments in connection with bonds issued to meet expenditures relating to activities which contravened the provisions of the Charter. Nor did the Charter authorize the financing of technical assistance from the regular budget; such financing must be on a purely voluntary basis.

120. In sum, the budget appropriations for the biennium 1986-1987 included expenditures which were unwarranted, excessively high and often for activities which were contrary to the Charter. His delegation had therefore voted against draft resolution II A.

121. Mr. MURRAY (United Kingdom), observing that the rate of real growth of the programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987 was 0.1 per cent, said that his delegation supported the concept of zero growth. It therefore welcomed signs of budgetary restraint, but the figures submitted by the Secretary-General did not represent the final bill, which included non-recurrent items, in other words, non-optional extras. One must therefore consider not only nominal growth but also the trend in non-recurrent expenditures. The goal was value for money, and without budgetary restraint there would be no pressure on programme managers to set priorities and abide by them.

122. He welcomed the Secretary-General's reference to reform and hoped that the new Group of High-Level Intergovernmental Experts would increase productivity and reduce overhead. A hard-headed approach was needed where financial decisions were concerned. In the light of the foregoing, his delegation had abstained in the vote on draft resolution II A.

Draft resolution II B

123. A recorded vote was taken on draft resolution II B.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belgium, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, France, Gabon, Germany, Federal Republic

of, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Ireland, Italy, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, Netherlands, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Portugal, Qatar, Romania, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Venezuela, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Bulgaria, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, German Democratic Republic, Hungary, Israel, Mongolia, Poland, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United States of America.

Abstaining: None.

124. Draft resolution II B was adopted by 93 votes to 11.

Draft resolution II C

125. A recorded vote was taken on draft resolution II C.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, Gabon, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Ireland, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, Venezuela, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Bulgaria, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, German Democratic Republic, Hungary, Israel, Mongolia, Poland, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United States of America.

Abstaining: Belgium, France, Germany, Federal Republic of, Italy, Japan, Netherlands, Portugal, Romania, Spain, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

126. Draft resolution II C was adopted by 83 votes to 11, with 10 abstentions.

Draft resolution III

127. Mr. AMNEUS (Sweden) said that the figure in paragraph 1 (a) of the draft resolution should be \$2 million rather than \$10 million.

128. Mr. DUQUE (Secretary of the Committee) said that the figure cited by the representative of Sweden was correct. For any amounts between \$2 million and \$10 million the Advisory Committee's concurrence was required. Where amounts of more than \$10 million were involved a special session of the General Assembly had to be convened.

129. A recorded vote was taken on draft resolution III.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belgium, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, France, Gabon, Germany, Federal Republic of, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, Netherlands, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Portugal, Qatar, Romania, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America, Venezuela, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Bulgaria, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, German Democratic Republic, Hungary, Mongolia, Poland, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

Abstaining: None.

130. Draft resolution III was adopted by 96 votes to 9.

131. A recorded vote was taken on draft resolution IV.

In favour: Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, Gabon, Ghana, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Honduras, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi,

Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, Sri Lanka, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, United Arab Emirates, Venezuela, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Bulgaria, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Czechoslovakia, German Democratic Republic, Hungary, Mongolia, Poland, Spain, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United States of America.

Abstaining: Belgium, France, Germany, Federal Republic of, Greece, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Netherlands, Portugal, Romania, Turkey, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

132. Draft resolution IV was adopted by 81 votes to 11, with 12 abstentions.

133. Mr. NYGARD (United States of America), speaking in explanation of vote, said that resource growth in the 1986-1987 budget, adjusted for the exclusion of the UNIDO loan, was approximately \$100 million, or almost 13 per cent over the budget for the previous biennium. Slightly more than one half of that growth was attributable to inflation and much of the remainder related to fluctuations in exchange rates. Hence actual programme growth was relatively small. His delegation remained concerned over the methodology used to calculate real growth and did not agree that the increase had been 0.1 per cent; but it did agree that the Committee had been presented with a relatively restrained budget, one which showed more modest growth than previous budgets and reflected a growing awareness on the part of the Secretary-General that the Organization's resources were finite. Some credit was also due to the Fifth Committee because of the steps it had taken to promote budgetary restraint in the areas of compensation and pensions. Professional salaries in the United Nations would not be increased in the forthcoming biennium, and that meant considerable savings for Member States and was an important step towards equitable pay for United Nations system employees. It also meant that rates of programme growth were proportionately higher in other objects of expenditure. The growth in programmes financed from the regular budget, although relatively modest, was still unacceptable to his Government at a time when many national budgets were frozen or declining in nominal or real terms. No real attempt had been made to absorb the effect of exchange rate fluctuations and inflation despite the clear desire of Member States that such non-discretionary increases should be absorbed as far as possible. The budget continued to finance many inappropriate programmes, support of which his country's legislature had prohibited. His delegation objected to the continued add-ons to the budget approved at the current session. The combination of revised estimates, rejections of Advisory Committee recommendations and new resolutions with financial implications had added more than \$60 million to the original proposal submitted by the Secretary-General. That was unacceptable and his delegation hoped that in the future the Fifth Committee would work with the Secretariat in order better to regulate proposed additions to the budget. Although the budget had much to recommend it, the total resources requested were excessive and his delegation had therefore voted against it.

134. Mr. ELIASHIN (Israel) recalled that his delegation had already explained its position on politically biased activities and projects which ignored the sovereign rights of a Member State. It would be absurd to expect his country to support the funding of vicious anti-Israel activities, which constituted a misuse of resources that ought to be used to promote the economic and social well-being of Member States, particularly the developing countries. The United Nations should not be manipulated on behalf of self-serving interests which did not represent the principles for which the United Nations stood. His delegation had therefore voted against the draft resolution on the proposed programme budget for 1986-1987.

135. Mr. DOLJINTSEREN (Mongolia) said that his delegation had abstained in the votes on sections 7, 24 and 28 of the proposed programme budget, but that that did not reflect any lessened opposition to unjustified increases in the regular budget of the United Nations. It had also abstained in the vote on section 33. His country supported some aspects of the activities of UNITAR and co-operated with it, but felt as a matter of principle that it was not proper for the United Nations to finance UNITAR from its regular budget. Such financing should come from voluntary contributions.

136. Mr. GREGG (Australia) said that his delegation had voted in favour of the budget for the biennium 1986-1987 in recognition of the Secretary-General's efforts to contain budget growth. It nevertheless hoped for a zero-growth budget in 1988-1989. Despite its recognition of the fact that the total figures fell within acceptable limits, his delegation had a number of reservations regarding elements of the budget and the way in which it had been presented. Despite repeated requests, little had been done to improve the transparency of budget documentation. Staff-related costs remained unacceptably high. The continuing process of reclassifying posts was destroying the credibility of the existing grading structure of the Secretariat. The proportion of administrative costs continued to rise at the expense of substantive programmes. United Nations officials and members of special committees continued to indulge in non-essential travel to unnecessary meetings. Too little effort had been made to redeploy staff with a view to offsetting savings.

137. His delegation therefore called upon the Secretariat to devote increased attention to solving those problems during the biennium so that future budgets could be adopted by consensus.

138. Lastly, he wished it to be noted that his delegation's vote on section 4 of the budget had been recorded incorrectly as an abstention. His delegation had intended to vote in favour of that section.

139. Mr. VAHER (Canada) said that his delegation had voted in favour of the programme budget. The Secretary-General's initial proposal, as modified by the Advisory Committee, showing a decline of 0.3 per cent in the rate of real growth in recurrent expenditures, was a reasonable initial request. Since his delegation regarded the budgetary process as a dynamic exercise, it accepted the initial estimate as a base from which to work, one which would be modified in the course of the General Assembly's deliberations, but noted that such modification should apply

(Mr. Vaheer, Canada)

to existing programmes as well as to new proposals. His delegation was therefore prepared to eliminate those programmes which had become obsolete or of marginal usefulness and to redeploy resources from them to those programmes which had higher priority. It was therefore greatly dismayed that such redeployment of resources had occurred only to a limited extent. Despite slightly greater restraint than in previous years, the current budget incorporated a number of add-ons, many of which his delegation could not accept as legitimate responses to changing situations. Although the Secretariat's budgetary methodology treated most of those add-ons as non-recurrent costs, with the result that they did not affect the calculation of budgetary growth, his delegation remained concerned over the net increase in the overall resource base. Consideration should be given to the concept of a budget "envelope" with a view to achieving greater control over expenditures and increasing budgetary transparency.

140. The proposed budgetary growth rate of 0.1 per cent, after all adjustments, compared with 0.9 per cent at the corresponding stage in the consideration of the 1984-1985 budget. Such a significant decline in the real rate of budgetary growth was a notable achievement. However, his delegation fully recognized that some of the non-recurrent costs not entering into the calculation of growth rate were expenditures that appeared year after year. Budget clarity would benefit from a redefinition of the concept of non-recurrent costs in more conventional terms. The proposed programme budget just approved came as close as possible to the elusive goal of zero growth rate and reflected a level of financial responsibility that his and other delegations had long requested. His delegation had therefore voted in favour of the proposed budget.

141. Mr. ORSATELLI (France) said that his delegation had abstained in the vote on the 1986-1987 budget because although it welcomed such positive developments as a more moderate rate of budgetary growth and the Secretary-General's efforts to maximize efficiency and define priorities, it was dissatisfied with a number of shortcomings in the budget. For example, the Secretariat's method of calculating the rate of real growth was that based on an inaccurate distinction between recurrent and non-recurrent expenditures and did not take exchange rate factors duly into account. The need was to control budget growth. More refined instruments were required in order to exercise more intelligent control over expenditures. Programmes should be scrutinized more closely and the growth of bureaucracy should not be construed as a sign of efficiency in a given sector. It was unfortunate that Member States themselves had been obliged to exercise the control functions in respect of operating costs, especially staff costs, that should be exercised by senior officials of the Secretariat. Priorities must be better defined. Budgetary procedures must be rationalized in order to ensure better co-ordination between the work of substantive committees and the work of the Fifth Committee in order to avoid programme duplication. Better use must be made of instruments for programme evaluation, and budgetary terminology and format should be improved to remove the now obsolete distinction between recurrent and non-recurrent expenditures.

142. Mrs. ARCHINI (Italy) said that Italy had abstained in the vote on the budget proposals because it had reservations, in particular, regarding the continual requests for additional budget appropriations for administrative costs and the failure in several instances to heed ACABQ recommendations that would have reduced expenses or maintained the principle of the financing of certain activities from voluntary contributions. It was, moreover, dissatisfied with Italy's inadequate representation in the Secretariat, the discriminatory scale of assessments which had been adopted, and the funding of new activities through additional appropriations rather than through redeployment of existing resources or the curtailment of programmes of lesser priority.

143. Mr. HOLBORN (Federal Republic of Germany) said that his delegation had abstained in the vote on the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987 after careful consideration of all the relevant factors. Even though the growth rate in real terms had been moderate, improvements were still needed in priority-setting procedures if zero growth was to be achieved. His delegation was concerned over rising conference-servicing costs, staff costs and travel costs and the increase in activities for which there was no proper mandate and which had far-reaching financial implications. His delegation was also concerned over the poor co-ordination of information activities throughout the Secretariat. Nevertheless, his delegation did appreciate the fact that the proposed programme budget was moderate and did balance the divergent interests of Member States in a generally satisfactory way.

144. Mr. THORSTEINSSON (Iceland), speaking on behalf of the five Nordic countries, said that he was not concerned about the overall level of the budget or the appropriations under individual sections of it, and had no difficulty in accepting the calculated rate of real growth of approximately 0.1 per cent, even though there was room for increased efficiency in the administration and management of the United Nations. His reservations related rather to the financial foundation of the Organization. His concern was that the budget simply would not be implemented because of the practice of withholding parts of assessed contributions and because of the poor pattern of payments of those assessments. The Secretary-General had said that the financing of United Nations activities required consensus on the level and content of the budget, the scale of assessments and the payment of assessed contributions. That consensus had still not been achieved, however, and he hoped that renewed efforts to achieve it would be made in the following year; otherwise the Organization's credibility and financial viability would be jeopardized.

145. Mr. TAKASU (Japan) said that his delegation had abstained in the vote on the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987. It appreciated the efforts which had been made to improve the budget presentation and to practise budgetary restraint, but was concerned that the Secretary-General's figures did not reflect real growth because of the way non-recurrent costs had been treated. Such costs should refer only to activities which were not continued after the biennium. In order to increase the transparency of the budget presentation and to facilitate comparison of budgets for successive periods, a more rigorous approach to non-recurrent costs would be necessary.

(Mr. Takasu, Japan)

146. His delegation did not judge the budget strictly in terms of the problem of real growth, however. It was even more interested in the programmatic content of the budget, effective programme delivery, transparency of the budget and a rational review of procedures. It was therefore disappointed that the new form of statements of programme budget implications had not been used as an effective means of rationalizing priorities and programmatic content. His delegation had reservations with respect to the many instances of the transfer of extrabudgetary activities to the regular budget.

147. In personnel matters, his delegation was concerned over the continuing trend towards "grade-creep" and excessive upgradings of posts. It was also concerned over the many instances in which the recommendations of the Advisory Committee had been set aside. If the Fifth Committee disagreed with an ACABQ recommendation, it should refer the matter back to the Advisory Committee for reconsideration instead of rejecting it by vote. His delegation was concerned over the frequent instances of late submission of revised estimates because adequate time was required to consider them and his delegation supported the ACABQ position that if they were not submitted by 15 October they should not be considered at that session. It was a joint responsibility of Member States and the Secretary-General to establish more rational budgetary procedures and greater efforts were required on the part of both.

148. Mr. ZSOHAR (Hungary) said he wished to place on record the fact that his delegation had intended to vote in favour of section 16 of the budget relating to the International Trade Centre rather than abstain.

149. Ms. LAMELA (Spain) said that her delegation had abstained in the vote on the budget because although it appreciated the efforts which had been made to achieve budget austerity, greater efforts should have been made, especially with respect to unjustified increases in the assessments of certain countries, such as her own.

COMPLETION OF THE COMMITTEE'S WORK FOR 1985

150. The CHAIRMAN observed that although 1985 was a budget year, in which the procedures to improve the management of various United Nations Committees had focused more on the areas of finance and management, it had taken important decisions by consensus on various administrative, organizational and programming planning issues which would enhance the efficiency of the Organization and improve co-ordination between the United Nations and its agencies. Throughout the session, the spirit of fraternity which had come to characterize the Fifth Committee had prevailed. He paid a tribute to the members of the Committee and to the Secretariat for their part in ensuring the smooth conduct of the deliberations of the fortieth session.

151. Mrs. KNEŽEVIĆ (Yugoslavia), speaking on behalf of the Group of 77, said that the deliberations of the Fifth Committee had produced general agreement about the need to strengthen the role and effectiveness of the Organization in promoting international co-operation and to achieve the purposes and principles of the Charter. In the coming years, the United Nations should focus on substantive

(Mrs. Knežević, Yugoslavia)

activities in the political, economic, social and humanitarian fields, while at the same time enhancing its efficiency. It was regrettable, however, that there had been a lack of flexibility towards the concerns of the majority of Member States on the part of a few delegations, a fact reflected in the negative outcome of the negotiations on the new scale of assessments. The Group of 77 believed that the main issue with regard to budgetary matters was effective implementation of the programmes and activities of the United Nations rather than any pre-agreed financial framework. The methodology for determining the scale of assessments, moreover, should take into account real capacity to pay and the principles set forth in General Assembly resolution 39/247 B. In conclusion, she wished to pay a tribute to the diplomatic skill and wisdom of the Chairman in ensuring the success of the Committee's work.

152. Mr. NTAKIBIRORA (Burundi), speaking on behalf of the group of African States, also wished to pay a tribute to the leadership and diplomatic skill shown by the Chairman in overcoming the difficulties which the Committee had faced at the present session. The African countries welcomed the consensus that had been reached in a number of areas with regard to broadening international co-operation and strengthening the role and effectiveness of the Organization. They regretted, however, the negative votes or abstentions on the part of some delegations and the systematic recourse to recorded votes on most sections of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 1986-1987. At a time when multilateralism was showing signs of faltering, there was a greater need than ever for dialogue rather than confrontation in order to resolve the problems caused by poverty, human misery and natural disasters throughout the world and particularly on the African continent. Lastly, the African States had accepted the recommendations of the Committee on Contributions but nevertheless felt that the new scale of assessments failed to take fully into account the real capacity of Member States to pay. They hoped that greater sensitivity towards the concerns of the developing countries would be shown when determining future scales of assessment.

153. After an exchange of courtesies, in which Mrs. ARCHINI (Italy), Mrs. INCERA (Costa Rica), Mr. GITSOV (Bulgaria), Mr. ALPER (Turkey) and Mr. MAKTARI (Yemen) took part, the CHAIRMAN declared that the Committee had completed its work for 1985.

The meeting rose at 2.25 a.m.