



General Assembly

PROVISIONAL

A/40/PV.60

4 November 1985

ENGLISH

Fortieth session

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

PROVISIONAL VERBATIM RECORD OF THE SIXTIETH MEETING

Held at Headquarters, New York,
on Monday, 4 November 1985, at 10.30 a.m.

President:

Mr. DE PINIÉS

(Spain)

- The situation in Kampuchea [22]

(a) Report of the Secretary-General

(b) Draft resolution

This record contains the original text of speeches delivered in English and interpretations of speeches in the other languages. The final text will be printed in the Official Records of the General Assembly.

Corrections should be submitted to original speeches only. They should be sent under the signature of a member of the delegation concerned, within one week, to the Chief, Official Records Editing Section, Department of Conference Services, Room DC2-750, 2 United Nations Plaza, and incorporated in a copy of the record.

The meeting was called to order at 10.50 a.m.

AGENDA ITEM 22

THE SITUATION IN KAMPUCHEA

- (a) REPORT OF THE SECRETARY-GENERAL (A/40/759)
- (b) DRAFT RESOLUTION (A/40/L.4 and Corr.1)

The PRESIDENT (interpretation from Spanish): I should like to propose that the list of speakers in the debate on this item be closed this afternoon at 5 p.m. May I take it there is no objection to my proposal?

It was so decided.

The PRESIDENT (interpretation from Spanish): The first speaker in the debate on this item is the representative of the Philippines, who also wishes to introduce the draft resolution.

Mr. MORENO-SALCEDO (Philippines): During the past two months we have been privileged to listen to Heads of State and Government, as well as to other leading statesmen, from all over the world who have reiterated the firm commitment of their countries and peoples to the principles enshrined in the Charter of the United Nations meant to save succeeding generations from the scourge of war and to establish conditions under which justice and respect for the obligations arising from treaties and other sources of international law can be maintained. The first and perhaps most important purpose of the United Nations is:

"to maintain international peace and security, and to that end: to take effective collective measures for the prevention and removal of threats to the peace, and for the suppression of acts of aggression or other breaches of the peace, and to bring about by peaceful means, and in conformity with the principles of justice and international law, adjustment or settlement of

(Mr. Moreno-Salcedo, Philippines)

international disputes or situations which might lead to a breach of the peace."

To attain that purpose, the founders of our Organization wisely enjoined that:

"All Members shall settle their international disputes by peaceful means in such a manner that international peace and security, and justice, are not endangered."

The experience of the past 40 years shows that the success or failure of the United Nations depends upon the fidelity with which we, its Member States, honour and obey its purposes and principles. It is against that background that the General Assembly today takes up item 22 of its agenda entitled "The situation in Kampuchea."

What is the situation in Kampuchea which once again urgently demands our attention today, as it has over the past six years? It is succinctly and clearly described in draft resolution A/40/L.4 and Corr.1, which is now before us, and which states, first, that foreign armed intervention and occupation of Kampuchea continue and that foreign forces have not been withdrawn, thus causing continuing hostilities in that country and seriously threatening international peace and security; second, that a continued and effective struggle is being waged against the foreign occupation by the Coalition Government of Democratic Kampuchea with Samdech Norodom Sihanouk as President; third, that the Economic and Social Council, in its decision 1985/155 of 30 May of this year, reaffirmed the right of peoples to self-determination, including peoples under colonial or alien domination or foreign occupation; fourth, that there are reported demographic changes being imposed in Kampuchea by foreign occupation forces; fifth, that the foreign armed intervention and occupation in Kampuchea has been the subject of General Assembly resolutions in 1979, 1980, 1981, 1982, 1983 and 1984; sixth, that the Declaration on Kampuchea and resolution 1 (I) adopted by the International Conference on Kampuchea offer the

(Mr. Moreno-Salcedo, Philippines)

negotiating framework for a comprehensive political settlement of the Kampuchean problem; seventh, that to bring about durable peace in South-East Asia and to reduce the threat to international peace and security, there is an urgent need for the international community to find a comprehensive political solution to the Kampuchean problem that will provide for the withdrawal of all foreign forces and ensure respect for the sovereignty, independence, territorial integrity and neutral and non-aligned status of Kampuchea, as well as the right of the Kampuchean people to self-determination free from outside interference; eighth, that after a comprehensive political settlement of the Kampuchea question through peaceful means, the countries of the South-East Asian region can pursue efforts to establish a zone of peace, freedom and neutrality in South-East Asia so as to lessen international tensions and to achieve lasting peace in the region and, finally, that it is imperative for all States to adhere strictly to the principles of the Charter of the United Nations, which call for respect for the national independence, sovereignty and territorial integrity of all States, non-intervention and non-interference in the internal affairs of States, non-recourse to the threat or use of force and peaceful settlement of disputes.

(Mr. Moreno-Salcedo, Philippines)

Repeated mention is made of foreign armed intervention and occupation in Kampuchea. It is an undeniable fact that the State responsible for this armed intervention and occupation of Kampuchea is the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam. Viet Nam has consistently and defiantly refused to comply with its obligations under the Charter of the United Nations, of which it is a Member, by ignoring the resolutions of the General Assembly which enjoin it to withdraw its forces from Kampuchea, another State Member of the United Nations.

The world community is aware of the repeated and continuing efforts of the States members of the Association of the South East Asian Nations (ASEAN), namely Brunei Darussalam, Indonesia, Malaysia, the Philippines, Singapore and Thailand, to help bring about a resolution of the dispute between Viet Nam and Kampuchea. These efforts have failed up to now. It is therefore misleading to claim that there have been positive developments in South East Asia, or that substantive talks between ASEAN and Viet Nam have taken place, "marking a new step in the process of peaceful coexistence between the two groups of countries in South East Asia".

While recent discussions may have clarified some aspects of the problem, fundamental differences remain. Viet Nam still regards the situation in Kampuchea as irreversible. It also persists in its efforts to seek a military solution in that country.

Viet Nam has announced its intention to withdraw its occupation troops from Kampuchea five years from now. In the same announcement, however, Viet Nam has reserved the right to take "appropriate measures" if in its view the peace and security of Kampuchea is undermined. In other words, Viet Nam would feel free to unilaterally reoccupy Kampuchea under its own terms and conditions. Such an announcement could hardly inspire confidence.

Let me emphasize that the situation in Kampuchea has not improved. It has, instead, deteriorated.

(Mr. Moreno-Salcedo, Philippines)

The beginning of 1985 was greeted with an unprecedented assault by the Vietnamese in an attempt to wipe out key border bases of the resistance forces of the Coalition Government of Democratic Kampuchea. The last Vietnamese dry-season offensive was the most massive military onslaught since its occupation of Kampuchea. In its wake it left an enormous toll of human lives and the displacement of thousands of Kampuchean refugees.

Displaced refugees constitute yet another dimension of the Kampuchean problem. In the past the international community has been willing to accept or resettle a sizeable number of those refugees. Recently, however, there has been a noticeable slowing-down in resettlement, adding to the burden of the ASEAN member States which have been serving as countries of first refuge or asylum.

The problems posed by these refugees and their saga of human deprivation and suffering will continue for as long as the Kampuchean problem remains unsolved.

We in ASEAN persistently seek a comprehensive political settlement of the Kampuchean issue. We remain convinced that the problem cannot be resolved by military means, and that it can only be effectively addressed through a meaningful dialogue.

As part of our continuing search for a peaceful solution to the Kampuchean problem, the eighteen ASEAN Ministerial Meeting, held in Kuala Lumpur last July, on 8 July 1985 issued a joint statement which was circulated as United Nations document A/40/491 dated 17 July 1985. The Joint Statement embodies a proposal for exploratory and continuing talks between the main parties to the conflict, namely the Coalition Government of Democratic Kampuchea, on the one hand, and Viet Nam, with the representatives of Mr. Heng Samrin participating if they so wished, on the other.

The talks, which we call "proximity talks", would focus on the following basic elements for a comprehensive political settlement: first, the withdrawal of foreign forces from Kampuchea; secondly, the setting up of a United Nations

(Mr. Moreno-Salcedo, Philippines)

control or supervisory commission; thirdly, national reconciliation; fourthly, the holding of United-Nations supervised elections which would allow all Kampucheans to exercise their right to self-determination and elect a government of their own choice. So far, Viet Nam has not responded positively to this ASEAN initiative.

We in ASEAN take this opportunity to renew our call on Viet Nam to abandon its policy of seeking a military solution to the Kampuchean problem and to co-operate instead in finding a peaceful end to the painful ordeal of the Kampuchean people.

It is my privilege to introduce, on behalf of its 58 sponsors, draft resolution A/40/L.4, entitled "The situation in Kampuchea". The substantive elements of the draft resolution are essentially a restatement of United Nations General Assembly resolutions of previous years, because the situation in Kampuchea remains the same.

In this connection I should like to invite the attention of the representatives to this Assembly to the French translation of document A/40/L.4. I would call their attention to the fourth preambular paragraph, which says:

(spoke in French)

"Notant que la coalition formée avec Samdech Norodom Sihanouk, en qualité de Président du Kampuchea démocratique, demeure efficace",

(continued in English)

I should like to state that there is no reason for this preambular paragraph to be in this document. It does not exist in the original English text, and we do not know why this preambular paragraph is present in the French translation. We therefore request all Members to disregard it. We are requesting the issuance of a revised French text of our draft resolution to make it correspond with the English text.

(Mr. Moreno-Salcedo, Philippines)

With regard to the sixth preambular paragraph of the French version of the draft resolution, and which reads,

(spoke in French)

"Prenant acte de la lutte continue effectivement menée contre l'occupation étrangères par la coalition, avec Samdech Norodom Sihanouk comme président du Kampuchea démocratique",

(continued in English)

I should like to point out that the translation is incorrect. The translation from the English text should be:

(spoke in French)

"Prenant acte de la lutte continue et efficace",
and so on.

To recapitulate, I should like to state once again that there is a mistake in the French version of draft resolution A/40/L.4. The fourth preambular paragraph should not be there, and it should be disregarded. In the sixth preambular paragraph, the words "continue et efficace" should replace the words "continue effectivement". We are going to request that the French text be revised or corrected accordingly.

(Mr. Moreno-Salcedo, Philippines)

It is heartening to note that the sponsors of draft resolution A/40/L.4 and Corr.1 number 58 in all, namely, Antigua and Barbuda, Bangladesh, Belgium, Brunei Darussalam, Cameroon, Canada, the Central African Republic, Chad, Chile, Colombia, Comoros, Costa Rica, Denmark, Dominica, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Equatorial Guinea, Fiji, Gambia, the Federal Republic of Germany, Haiti, Honduras, Iceland, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, Liberia, Luxembourg, Malaysia, Maldives, Mauritania, Mauritius, Nepal, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Papua New Guinea, Paraguay, the Philippines, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Samoa, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Solomon Islands, Somalia, Swaziland, Thailand, Togo, Turkey, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Uruguay and Zaire.

The increase in the number of sponsors this year is proof of the heightened concern of the members of the international community over the issue of Kampuchea. It is also indicative of their unstinting support for the principles of the United Nations Charter.

It is our sincere hope that all the Member States of our Organization will join us in this endeavour by voting in favour of draft resolution A/40/L.4 and Corr.1.

The PRESIDENT (interpretation from Spanish): I have been informed that the Secretariat has already made changes in the French text in the manner indicated by the representative of the Philippines.

Prince NORODOM Sihanouk (Democratic Kampuchea) (interpretation from French): This is the seventh time that this Assembly has taken up the tragic problem of Kampuchea. I wish to express to this Assembly, on behalf of the Coalition Government of Democratic Kampuchea and on my own behalf, my sincere and heartfelt thanks for the spirit of justice it has always shown concerning the cause of the Khmer people ever since 1979, when my small country was invaded by the army of our powerful neighbour, the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam.

In my speech on 2 October I presented to the Assembly a detailed description of the current situation in Cambodia, quoting the independent testimony of informed and reliable foreign observers. As I said then, this situation is characterized by the following three main facts.

First, despite the violent dry-season offensive against some centres in the free zone housing only civilians, the Vietnamese forces have failed to crush our armed resistance.

Secondly, escaping the staggering blows of the enemy, our armed resistance have increased the number of its commando units, which are carrying out resolute and almost always successful operations in several provinces in the heartland, inter alia in the immediate vicinity of Phnom Penh. The primary objectives of those well-armed and well-led commando units are to cut off the enemy's supply lines by attacking their convoys, to capture their observation posts and to harass their garrisons. Consequently those commando units have won the admiration and support of the population. The position of the Vietnamese is now insecure almost everywhere in the country, and their cadres and those of their Russian allies move around only by helicopter. In the capital itself greater protection is being given to governmental residential districts, public buildings and radio and television stations.

(Prince Norodom Sihanouk,
Democratic Kampuchea)

Thirdly, stricken by very well-grounded fear, the Heng Samrin and Hun Sen team, which sees enemies everywhere, has been imposing unbearable oppression on the population with the active help of the Vietnamese occupiers, thus shamelessly violating human rights. This team is imposing its violence not only on the real or alleged resistance but also on thousands of townspeople and peasants whose only crime is to refuse to comply with the orders of the Heng Samrin puppets and their masters in Hanoi.

Representatives are by now well aware of the situation in Cambodia, in particular the plight of its people living in extremely poor conditions, to say the least, and deprived of their former independence and freedom, as well as of their traditional high spirits. Therefore I need not take up the precious time of this Assembly by repeating myself and what has already been stated by previous speakers - leaders and other representatives of countries that cherish justice, freedom and peace - who, since the opening of the present session, have dealt eloquently with my country's problem and the most appropriate solution to it.

It is important at this time to emphasize that the prospect of a peaceful, equitable solution of the Khmer problem is opposed in this Assembly only by the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam, backed by the Soviet Union and a small number of friends and allies of Hanoi and Moscow. The Socialist Republic of Viet Nam stubbornly refuses to comply with the relevant resolutions, which are very clearly formulated and adopted by an overwhelming majority of Member States of the United Nations. For instance, there were 110 votes in favour and only 22 against the 1984 resolution.

(Prince Norodom Sihanouk,
Democratic Kampuchea)

It is self-evident that such behaviour on the part of the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam is tantamount to showing scorn for the profound feelings of four-fifths of the Member States of our Organization. This is shameful behaviour on the part of a Power, a full-fledged Member of the United Nations, which tramples under foot the letter and spirit of the Charter, which it had pledged to respect. This is a blatant violation of human rights and a deliberate sabotaging of the commemoration - with such highly moral significance - of the fortieth anniversary of our Organization, the ultimate hope for humanity for safeguarding its most noble and indispensable ideals for a future of peace, justice and progress.

Allow me to add that, in its vain attempts to extricate itself from the growing difficulties on the ground, the Vietnamese occupying army is actively making preparations to launch new and larger-scale military operations on the battlefields, both in the heartland and along the Western border of Kampuchea - as it did last year, at the beginning of the dry season. This is a new attempt to stamp out our patriotic resistance so as to impose by force of arms the Vietnamese fait accompli in Cambodia on the United Nations and the international community. But, as in the past, our people and armed forces, under the leadership of our Coalition Government, are resolved to counter-attack and inflict increasingly severe setbacks on the aggressors. Never will Viet Nam be able to subdue our national resistance and our people's will for independence.

My statement will be brief; I shall limit myself to a few points that I deem essential.

Having visited the "People's Republic of Kampuchea" - and having for the most part not gone beyond Phnom Penh - some politicians, journalists and television-team members have hinted or even stated that there is no Vietnamese colonization in Cambodia and that the Khmer people are "free and happy". I admire the "certainty"

(Prince Norodom Sihanouk,
Democratic Kampuchea)

of those visitors who have been able to get a glimpse of my country only from what the official services of the "People's Republic of Kampuchea" have been willing to show them. I would only ask them a very simple question, which is a matter of common sense.

If the situation in Cambodia were as described by them, why would the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam be so strongly against the referendum, under international supervision, recommended by the United Nations resolutions on Kampuchea, when it would be in its interests to have those resolutions fully implemented? If Cambodia were not a "colonized" country and if its people were "free and happy", the puppet régime of Mr. Heng Samrin and Mr. Hun Sen would easily win those general elections. Then the Coalition Government of Democratic Kampuchea, repudiated, would collapse and disappear immediately. Thanks to a landslide victory, the Phnom Penh régime would definitively take root, with the approval and congratulations of the international community. The war would come to an end and the Vietnamese "big brothers", whose "philanthropy" would at last be recognized, would be welcomed with open arms, permanently, by the Khmer population.

Alas for the temporary masters of Cambodia, the reality is quite different, however clever they may be in matters of propaganda and however naive - or complaisant - some foreign "investigators" may be.

Viet Nam likes to speak - deceitfully - of "partial withdrawals" of its troops from Kampuchea and even goes so far as to announce a "total withdrawal" of its troops in 1990 - provided however, it says, that others do not seek "to take advantage of this withdrawal to undermine the security and peace in Kampuchea." But is it not the Vietnamese invasion and occupation which have undermined the security and peace of Kampuchea for almost seven years now? In linking the "total withdrawal" of its forces to such a condition, Viet Nam clearly shows that it is

(Prince Norodom Sihanouk,
Democratic Kampuchea)

determined to set up a "pax vietnamica" in Kampuchea and to annex the country for ever. As the people, the Coalition Government and the armed forces of Democratic Kampuchea will never accept Vietnamese domination, it is clear that Viet Nam has no intention whatsoever of withdrawing its aggression forces unless it is compelled to do so as a result of the irresistible development of our resistance and the political, diplomatic, financial and economic pressure of the international community.

I can state - without any fear of being mistaken - that as soon as the 180,000 Vietnamese soldiers now occupying my country, or even only half of them, go back to their country, the régime of their "collaborators" in Phnom Penh will collapse. Indeed, the latter will precede their foreign protectors on the road of exile - leading to Hanoi.

As far as the "army" of Mr. Heng Samrin and Mr. Hun Sen is concerned, its Khmer members generally avoid fighting the resistance forces. Hundreds of them have already joined our ranks - and as soon as the Vietnamese grip has loosened, there will be a massive defection of the Khmer soldiers to our side, where they will be welcomed as brothers.

I have often drawn the Assembly's attention to the fact that our compatriots living in the interior of the country express their genuine feelings almost every day. Our friend the Kingdom of Thailand, on the one hand, and my Government in Cambodia's free zone, on the other, receive almost daily many refugees - Cambodians, men and women, from all social strata, including peasants who are traditionally attached to their villages and rice-fields, as well as members of the Heng Samrin Administration. This tragic and massive exodus of our population will further increase in the months to come as a result, on the one hand, of large-scale military operations the authorities in Hanoi are about to launch in the current dry

(Prince Norodom Sihanouk,
Democratic Kampuchea)

season, and, on the other, of the criminal decision forcibly to enlist our population - men and women - in the Phnom Penh puppet army. That is a new measure taken by the Vietnamese within the framework of their plan for the total vietnamization of Kampuchea, with the aim of exterminating our people and emptying our country of its population. All those people find the colonization of their motherland by the Vietnamese unacceptable. Moreover, these feelings are shared by countless numbers of my fellow-countrymen, refugees scattered all over the world. Despite all the pressures, entreaties and promises, they refuse to return to their homeland so long as it remains under foreign domination.

If, as is believed by some politicians and reporters allowed to visit Phnom Penh, our people were "satisfied" with the Vietnamese protectorate, and the Khmer régime at its service, how could there be almost a million of our nationals living abroad, including more than 90 per cent of our intellectuals - physicians, engineers, and so forth - our technicians and our specialized workers, all of whom refuse to return to Cambodia?

On behalf of the Coalition Government of Democratic Kampuchea, headed by me, I put forward the following proposals to the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam, provided the latter agrees to respect and implement with us the United Nations resolutions on Kampuchea.

(Prince Norodom Sihanouk,
Democratic Kampuchea)

First, the Coalition Government of Democratic Kampuchea solemnly pledges to take no reprisals against local collaborators with the Vietnamese. They will enjoy without hindrance all the rights accorded to members of our national community.

Secondly, in a spirit of reconciliation and unity, we shall grant honourable positions in our national administration to professionally qualified members of the Heng Samrin and Hun Sen group.

Thirdly, we are ready to sign with the Government of the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam a treaty of peace, non-aggression, friendship and technical, cultural and economic co-operation. After signing that treaty we shall grant every year to the people of Viet Nam modest fraternal aid in the form of agricultural produce such as rice, corn, fruit and dried fish.

These liberal proposals express our desire to find a just and equitable solution to the "problem of Kampuchea". If the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam gives them careful consideration, it will note that they represent an example unprecedented in history of a victim people proposing to their aggressors peace conditions marked by generosity and a desire for mutual understanding between two nations which are by destiny bound to remain neighbours for ever, neither having any interest whatsoever in indefinitely sacrificing its young people on the "fields of death".

On 24 September last, Mr. Edvard Shevardnadze, Minister for Foreign Affairs of the USSR, mentioned the problem of Kampuchea to this Assembly in the following terms:

"The proposals of Viet Nam, Laos and Kampuchea provide a good political framework for building good-neighbourly relations between all the States of South-East Asia, particularly between the countries of the Association of South-East Asian Nations and the States of Indo-China. The basis for such relations is gradually taking shape and the countries concerned are perfectly

(Prince Norodom Sihanouk,
Democratic Kampuchea)

capable of establishing a negotiating mechanism. What is important is that no one should stand in the way of their wish to reach agreement with each other." (A/40/PV.6, p. 73)

Permit me to draw the attention of the international community, validly represented by this Assembly, to the fact that the Foreign Minister of the Soviet Union who is indeed in full agreement with his ally, the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam, has adopted in his speech a tactic aimed purely and simply at avoiding what has come to be called the "problem of Kampuchea".

First, he ignores the fact that has for seven years now rightly been of great concern to the United Nations General Assembly, namely, the question of the military occupation, colonization and vietnamization of Cambodia - called Kampuchea in Khmer - by the Hanoi Government. He also ignores the imposition on an unwilling Cambodia of an unpopular Vietnamese oppressive communist régime. He further deliberately overlooks the question of the right to self-determination of the Cambodian people, which the United Nations has claimed for them every year in its just resolutions since 1979. In brief, the Foreign Minister of the USSR speaks as if there were no problem of Kampuchea. This attitude is in complete contradiction with the wish clearly expressed by the overwhelming majority of this Assembly.

Secondly, in urging the members of the Association of South-East Asian Nations (ASEAN) to negotiate directly a normalization of its relations with the so-called Indo-China States, the sole objective of the USSR and its Vietnamese ally is to put pressure on this Association of free, independent and justice-loving States to give up their noble mission of rescuing the Khmer people and to recognize the Vietnamese fait accompli in Cambodia. I am convinced that ASEAN, which has always been aware of this trap, will not be taken in. It knows quite well that the so-called Indo-China States with which the USSR has asked it to normalize its relations are

(Prince Norodom Sihanouk,
Democratic Kampuchea)

none other than the former "Indo-China Federation" of the late President Ho Chi Minh, comprising an autocratic and tyrannical master - Viet Nam - and two slave countries - Cambodia and Laos - having only the right to obey Hanoi's orders which are based on "ukases" from Moscow and its global strategy.

Thirdly, even supposing that one day ASEAN, thinking it could protect the security of its members, agreed to negotiate with the "Indo-China States", it would not in any way alleviate the burden of a threat to the peace and stability of the nations of the Far East, South-East Asia and the Pacific. That threat is posed by the presence in this immense region of the military hegemony of the Soviet Union which occupies strategic bases of great importance in Viet Nam, Laos and Cambodia, and of its accomplices, the Vietnamese expansionists.

I am not afraid to say that for the moment it is the fierce resistance of the Khmer patriots in Kampuchea which is checking the advance of Vietnamese expansionism, behind which stands Soviet hegemony. It is in the light of this reality that we can better grasp the meaning of the advice given by Mr. Eduard Shevardnadze when he said:

"What is important is that no one should stand in the way of their wish to reach agreement with each other." (A/40/PV.6, p. 73)

My people are heroically fighting for the restoration of their national independence and dignity against a more powerful adversary with a population 10 times as great and a modern army, which it describes as the third largest in the world, equipped and financed by the Soviet Union.

In this apparently uneven struggle, the powerful and active aid and support ceaselessly granted by the United Nations and all peace and justice-loving countries which make up the overwhelming majority of this Organization represents a decisive, invaluable and historic contribution. Our people and their Coalition

(Prince Norodom Sihanouk,
Democratic Kampuchea)

Government will never forget it. We are confident that, despite obstacles and difficulties, our just cause will triumph, thanks to the resolve of our people to persevere in their efforts, and that of our Coalition Government to strengthen and develop its sacred union for national survival, in the face of our adversary who seeks by all means to sow division. This can also be achieved thanks to the will of the international community, represented by this Assembly, not to yield to Viet Nam's manoeuvres to impose a fait accompli in Kampuchea.

Permit me to take this opportunity of paying heartfelt tribute to our Organization and its competent committees for the decisive and irreplaceable part they have played in the defence of the legitimate rights of Democratic Kampuchea and its people to independence and survival as a country and a nation.

(Prince Norodom Sihanouk,
Democratic Kampuchea)

I am particularly gratified to reaffirm our deepest gratitude to the six members of ASEAN for their noble and exemplary action on behalf of an independent, peaceful, neutral and non-aligned Kampuchea and for their consistent policy designed to restore peace and security in South-East Asia, troubled today by the Vietnamese war of aggression against our country, which threatens to engulf the whole region.

We reaffirm our great and heartfelt gratitude to our front-line neighbour, the Kingdom of Thailand, which has sheltered with generosity and compassion hundreds of thousands of our unfortunate compatriots - men, women, children and the elderly - seeking temporary refuge on Thai territory so as to escape the massacres and brutality of the occupying forces. In this connection we should like to express our total solidarity and fraternal sympathy with the Thai population living in the border areas who are the victims of repeated and unprovoked incursions and bombardments by Vietnamese forces stationed in Kampuchea. This policy of pressure and intimidation conducted by Viet Nam against Thailand in order to make it change its just position of support for and solidarity with our people constitutes a serious threat not only to the independence and sovereignty of this country but also to the peace and security of the whole region. This arrogant behaviour of the Hanoi authorities clearly demonstrates that they are acting as sovereign and master of the so-called Indo-China States and that the Vietnamese western border has been moved to the west of Cambodia to become a common border with Thailand.

On behalf of our people and our Coalition Government I should like to express to the Secretary-General, Mr. Javier Pérez de Cuéllar, our admiration and great appreciation for the generous and tireless efforts he has made to find a political solution to the problem of Kampuchea based on the principles of the Charter.

(Prince Norodom Sihanouk,
Democratic Kampuchea)

Earlier this year the Secretary-General came to see for himself the full extent of the tragedy of our people and the serious risks for the region of an escalation of this war. The deadly attacks launched by the Vietnamese forces against the refugee camps inside our country at a time when the Secretary-General was visiting the Thai border area constitute an insult to the prestige and authority of our Organization.

My warmest thanks go, of course, to the President of the International Conference on Kampuchea, the Ad Hoc Committee and, in particular, to its President, Ambassador Massamba Sarré of Senegal, for the many efforts made since 1981 to arrive at a comprehensive, just and lasting political settlement of our problem. We hope with all our hearts that those noble efforts will be crowned with complete success. My sincere thanks go also to the other Committees and all persons concerned in our Organization, as well as to humanitarian organizations and charitable individuals who have dedicated themselves whole-heartedly for years to relieving the immeasurable sufferings and misery of our people.

Today the international community is fully aware of the fact that the distressing situation facing our refugees and separated families - not to mention the return to peace - can never be permanently resolved as long as the Socialist Republic of Viet Nam and its allies spurn the just resolutions of the General Assembly on Kampuchea. Our people, who wish only to live in peace, independence and friendship with all other peoples the world over, including the Vietnamese people, find themselves compelled to pursue the armed struggle until they bring about the total and unconditional withdrawal of the Vietnamese aggression forces from Kampuchea. Not only must our Coalition Government strengthen its unity and authority but, as I mentioned earlier, it must further enlarge national union in order to include all the increasing numbers of our compatriots who refuse to live

(Prince Norodom Sihanouk,
Democratic Kampuchea)

under the yoke of the Vietnamese colonialists. That policy has been dictated by our duty to ensure the survival of our nation and by the debt of gratitude we owe to this Organization and to our many friends who have warmly supported us in this distressing period of our history.

In conclusion I should like once again, on behalf of the people of Kampuchea and their Coalition Government, to pay a tribute to you, Sir, and to renew the expression of our faith in this Organization, which has so strikingly celebrated its fortieth anniversary. I should like also to express our deep conviction that the United Nations, which is the ultimate hope of small and weak peoples and nations, will always be on the side of our people and of other peoples who are fighting to recover their freedom and national dignity so that the ideals and principles of the Charter may triumph.

It is in that spirit that I respectfully appeal once more to all delegations in this General Assembly from States which love peace, justice and freedom to lend their noble support to the draft resolution on the situation in Kampuchea, sponsored this year by 58 Member States of our Organization. I have no doubt that the Assembly will vote by an even greater majority than last year in favour of the draft resolution and thereby for the law and justice upon which the United Nations is founded.

Mr. AL-BUTAINY (Oman) (interpretation from Arabic): Since we met last year at the thirty-ninth session we have witnessed no substantial progress towards the solution of any of the important questions on the agenda of the General Assembly. One of those questions is the situation in Kampuchea. Despite the glimmer of hope that can be discerned in the report of the Secretary-General and in the regional efforts made by the countries of the Association of South-East Asian Nations (ASEAN) the overall situation in Kampuchea remains unchanged.

(Mr. Al-Butainy, Oman)

As my Foreign Minister said recently when speaking in the general debate:

"In South-East Asia, the continued presence of Vietnamese military forces in Democratic Kampuchea has led to insecurity and instability in that area. The situation there presages a wide confrontation in the region that will have an adverse effect on international security and stability." (A/40/PV.8, p. 76)

The situation in Kampuchea, from both the humanitarian and political aspects, is still alarming. From the humanitarian point of view, the Secretary-General's report states:

"The year 1985 has been one of great difficulty and insecurity for the Kampuchean civilians who have sought refuge along the Thai-Kampuchean border." (A/40/759, para. 19)

The hardship and misery are not confined to those Kampucheans who have sought refuge on the Thai borders. On the contrary, the suffering of their brothers inside the country is just as acute and severe. Their living conditions are bad, and so are their agricultural and economic conditions.

(Mr. Al-Butainy, Oman)

In his report the Secretary-General provides an elaborate explanation in this regard. Moreover, health and sanitary conditions still give rise to grave concern in many parts of the country.

When we move to the political aspect of the question, the facts do not leave room for optimism. We share the Secretary-General's conviction that this problem cannot be solved by military means and that differences can only be bridged by a sustained dialogue on the basic elements for a comprehensive settlement. My delegation finds in the elements outlined by the Secretary-General in his report a sound basis for a lasting peace in that war-torn land. These elements include, first, withdrawal of all foreign forces from Kampuchea; secondly, exercise by the Kampuchean people of the right to determine their own destiny; thirdly, respect for the independence, territorial integrity and non-aligned status of Kampuchea; fourthly, ensuring the security and sovereignty of all States in the region; fifthly, international guarantees for and supervision of the implementation of the agreements reached.

The idea of a limited international conference is an excellent one that should be accorded its due importance and thorough preparations made for it. As the Secretary-General said,

"It should, however, be preceded by exploratory discussions aimed at achieving a common understanding" (A/40/759, para. 14) "on the main elements of a comprehensive political settlement" (ibid., para. 13).

The Sultanate of Oman, a member of the international community, is concerned over the situation in Kampuchea and therefore sponsors and supports relevant United Nations resolutions on the subject. The main reason for Oman's concern about this question is its firm belief in the need to respect the sovereignty of States, the principle of non-interference in their internal affairs, the right of

(Mr. Al-Batainy, Oman)

peoples to live in peace and to choose their own social, economic and political systems without compulsion or coercion or the imposition of force.

The people of Kampuchea had enjoyed stability and well-being under the leadership of Samdech Sihanouk, whose prominent role in international affairs cannot be denied by anyone. That people is fully worthy of its inalienable rights like all other peace- and liberty-loving peoples in the world.

Mr. ALBAN-HOLGUIN (Colombia) (interpretation from Spanish): No occasion is more appropriate for stressing the need for a satisfactory and peaceful settlement of the Kampuchean conflict than the present one when we are celebrating the fortieth anniversary of the United Nations.

During the general debate and the week of commemorative meetings, the countries of the international community reaffirmed their faith in the purposes and principles of the United Nations Charter and in the obligations they assumed under the Charter, in particular those relating to the need to refrain from the threat or use of force, to opt for the peaceful settlement of disputes, and to respect the decisions of the United Nations taken in accordance with the principles of international law.

Colombia would like first of all to reiterate to the parties to the conflict its firm belief in the importance of and need for dialogue in order to achieve a political negotiated solution of the situation in Kampuchea. So long as there is a sincere and authentic desire for dialogue, the positive evolution of the conflict will gather strength and cohesiveness. However, the determination and impetus to negotiate must come from Member States. When this will is put into action, the results achieved are considerable.

My country believes that a political solution of the problem of Kampuchea will also result in peace and stability for all South-East Asia, open up prospects for

(Mr. Alban-Holguin, Colombia)

freedom and progress for the Kampuchean people and promote the well-being and stability desired by all nations of the region.

Colombia is deeply concerned about the humanitarian aspect. According to information provided by the Office of the High Commissioner for Refugees, the number of displaced Kampucheans is growing daily. They are located in camps in Thailand in the hope of resettlement in third countries or guarantees that they can return safely to their homeland.

The survival of hundreds of thousands of persons living in such camps depends on the humanitarian assistance of the international community.

The 1978 invasion and the occupation of Kampuchea by Viet Nam since 1979, its systematic colonization and its attacks against civilian refugee camps have claimed many innocent victims and impeded the restoration of peace and stability in that country. Colombia shares the view of the overwhelming majority, which firmly believes that it is unacceptable for the problem of Kampuchea to be solved by military means. My Government would like to draw attention to two relevant facts.

On 21 September 1983, the Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the five members of the Association of South-East Asian Nations (ASEAN) issued an appeal for the independence of Kampuchea. That appeal which, as was rightly stated, is noteworthy for its moderate content and wording, invited Viet Nam to join the international community with a view to intensifying efforts aimed at finding a just solution to the problem of Kampuchea. More recently, with a similar sense of balance, ASEAN proposed to Viet Nam, with the support of the coalition government of Kampuchea, the holding of rapprochement talks.

Colombia earnestly urges Viet Nam to respond positively to these appeals and to the statements made by the overwhelming majority of State Members of the United Nations which are reflected clearly in the relevant resolutions adopted by the

(Mr. Alban-Holquin, Colombia)

General Assembly calling for the continuation of negotiations in the search for a political solution that would restore the independence and sovereignty of the Kampuchean nation.

Such a solution is also consistent with the interests of both regional and international peace and security.

My country strongly rejects, as it always had, military intervention or interference by one country in the affairs of others and is consequently of the view that foreign forces should be withdrawn from Kampuchea so that its people can freely determine their destiny and seek, in full independence, the path leading to peace and progress.

(Mr. Alban-Holguin, Colombia)

My Government would like to thank the Chairman of the Ad Hoc Committee, Ambassador Massamba Sarré of Senegal, for his constant efforts to promote a process of negotiation that would lead to the implementation of Declaration of the International Conference on Kampuchea and the relevant resolutions of the General Assembly.

We would also like to express our appreciation to the Secretary-General and his special representatives for their assistance and dedication in the search for a political settlement and a negotiated solution to the problem of Kampuchea, which is such a source of concern to the international community.

Colombia fully supports draft resolution A/40/L.4 and Corr.1, of which, as in previous years, it is a sponsor. The adoption of the draft resolution by an overwhelming majority would confirm the United Nations commitment to finding peaceful solutions to conflicts.

Mr. SARRE (Senegal) (interpretation from French): For the seventh year in a row the General Assembly is called upon to take up the situation in Kampuchea. A large number of speakers have already referred to this problem during the general debate and at the commemorative meetings held to celebrate the fortieth anniversary of our Organization. Their statements leave no doubt about the fact that the prolongation of this conflict constitutes a threat to peace, security and stability in South-East Asia and is a subject of constant concern for the whole international community.

From the beginning of this conflict our Assembly has taken a clear position on this question and on the ways for solving it. It proposed the convening of the International Conference on Kampuchea. That Conference, which was held in this very hall in July 1981, laid down the basic principles for a comprehensive political settlement. According to the Declaration adopted by the Conference, such a settlement was to ensure the total withdrawal of foreign troops, respect for the

(Mr. Sarré, Senegal)

right of the Cambodian people freely to decide their future, as well as the restoration of Kampuchea. The settlement was also to respond to the legitimate concerns of the countries of the region regarding their security and to include a commitment on the part of all States to non-interference and non-intervention in the internal affairs of Kampuchea.

Senegal associated itself with that Declaration and agreed to preside over the Ad Hoc Committee established by the International Conference on Kampuchea because it is convinced that the comprehensive settlement proposed by the Conference is basically in keeping with the principles of the United Nations Charter and those of the Non-Aligned Movement. It is also because Senegal, like most of the States of the international community, believes that the present conflict in Kampuchea derives from a violation of those principles. My Government does not derive from any enmity or hostility towards any particular country nor from any desire to condemn unjustly any other country. It is simply based on the fact that the international community cannot accept, under any pretext whatsoever, the use of force to overthrow the legally established régime or Government of a neighbouring country. Authorizing such actions would risk jeopardizing the security of many States, and most particularly of small countries that do not have large military means. It would also be tantamount to recognizing that might makes right and would, in the end, lead to a questioning of the norms governing international relations.

Since the adoption of the last General Assembly resolution on this subject, the situation in Kampuchea has, unfortunately, not undergone any fundamental changes. The past year, however, was marked, both from a military and diplomatic standpoint, by a series of developments that should be briefly mentioned here in order that the Assembly may have a better grasp of the current state of affairs.

(Mr. Sarré, Senegal)

In military terms, during the last dry season Vietnamese forces engaged in a large-scale offensive against the Cambodian resistance camps located along the border between Kampuchea and Thailand. That offensive, which all observers considered to be the largest in the last six years, forced some 230,000 Cambodian civilians in the border region to seek refuge in Thailand, thereby imposing sometimes unwarranted trials and tribulations. It has also led to many violations of the sovereignty and territorial integrity of Thailand. Such events, as is well known, have contributed to a deterioration of the situation and to an increase in tension in the region, and there can be no doubt that they cannot but impede the quest for a negotiated settlement of the problem of Kampuchea.

I should like here to pay a tribute to the Government of Thailand for its generosity and for the humanitarian spirit it has shown in welcoming the Cambodian civilians displaced by the hostilities. I would also like to emphasize the excellent work carried out by the United Nations and other international humanitarian organizations in assisting those refugees and enabling them to cope with their basic needs.

On the diplomatic level, the countries members of the Association of South-East Asian Nations (ASEAN) have continued to play an active role in promoting a comprehensive political settlement of the problem of Kampuchea. Indonesia, as the appointed spokesman for ASEAN, has continued the contacts with Viet Nam it initiated last year in order to work out a mutually acceptable basis for dialogue. In addition, acting upon a Malaysian initiative, the ASEAN countries, during their yearly ministerial meeting in July 1985 at Kuala Lumpur, put forward a proposal aimed at breaking the deadlock by the holding of proximity talks. That proposal was endorsed by the Coalition Government headed by Prince Sihanouk. Recently, His Highness the Prince also came out in favour of an informal meeting of all the

(Mr. Sarre, Senegal)

parties concerned. The efforts he has made to promote genuine national reconciliation in a free and independent Cambodia deserve our sympathy and support.

It is to be hoped that the other parties concerned will respond positively to these various initiatives. We have taken due note of the elements contained in the communiqué adopted at Phnom Penh on 16 August 1985, in particular the promise of a total withdrawal of foreign troops from Kampuchea by 1990. However, it does not seem reasonable to put off until the end of the present decade the settlement of a conflict that has already lasted too long and caused too much suffering. We also take note of the desire expressed by Viet Nam and Laos in their recent joint statement in document A/40/815 to find a just political solution to the question of Kampuchea. Such statement of intent are, of course, welcome. However, they should be judged in the light of events, and in that regard the next dry season will constitute an important test.

(Mr. Sarré, Senegal)

We must express our gratitude to the Secretary-General of our Organization for his important initiative at the beginning of the year in personally going to South-East Asia. The talks he held there with the leaders of Viet Nam, Laos and the ASEAN countries made it possible to obtain clarifications regarding the concerns of those countries. The action of the Secretary-General and the food for thought he gives in his report to the General Assembly (A/40/759) demonstrate his desire to participate actively in the search for a solution and to encourage the bringing together of the various viewpoints through the initiation of a constructive dialogue on the fundamental aspects of the problem. We hope that the Secretary-General and his Special Representative will continue to work and provide their good offices in the interest of a comprehensive political settlement of this question.

As in previous years, in 1985 the Ad Hoc Committee of the International Conference on Kampuchea, over which my country has the honour to preside, undertook consultations with a number of the Governments involved, in application of the mandate conferred by the Conference. Those consultations were intended to emphasize the constant concern of the international community with regard to the question of Kampuchea and to ensure the widest possible support for efforts to achieve a settlement of this problem. The Ad Hoc Committee reaffirmed at that time that one of its main objectives was to contribute to the beginning of negotiations on the implementation of the Declaration adopted by the International Conference on Kampuchea. I should like to express the appreciation of the members of the Ad Hoc Committee for the understanding we were shown and the encouragement we received in the various countries the Committee visited.

I should also like to draw the attention of the General Assembly to the annual report of the Ad Hoc Committee, which has been distributed in document A/CONF.109/9 of 16 September 1985. In that report the Committee appeals to

(Mr. Sarré, Senegal)

"those Member States which did not participate in the International Conference on Kampuchea to co-operate in any suitable way in the efforts to attain the goals of the Declaration on Kampuchea and the General Assembly resolutions on this question. It appeals once again to all parties concerned to act in such a way that conditions are created which could lead to a process of constructive dialogue. It is the Committee's hope that, in order to bring about such conditions, all parties would refrain from taking any action that would complicate further the situation in Kampuchea and thus jeopardize the chances for a fair and peaceful solution of the problem." (A/CONF.109/9, para. 30)

Senegal sincerely hopes that that appeal will at last be heeded. My country is convinced that it would be in the well-understood interests of all the parties to the conflict to reach a negotiated settlement leading to the establishment of an independent, neutral and non-aligned Cambodia. Such a settlement would make it possible to create the conditions necessary for peace and stability in South-East Asia and would fulfil the profound aspirations of all the peoples of the region.

Those are the fundamental objectives of draft resolution A/40/L.4 and Corr.1, on which the General Assembly must now take a decision. It was in that spirit that my delegation agreed to be among the 58 sponsors of the draft resolution, and it whole-heartedly recommends its adoption by the Assembly.

Mr. KHALIL (Egypt) (interpretation from Arabic): Egypt is following with special attention the developing situation in Kampuchea. It expresses anew its profound anxiety concerning that volatile situation, which affects peace and security not only in the South-East Asia region but in the world as a whole.

It is high time for the international community to fulfil its responsibilities, with a view to arriving at a comprehensive, just and lasting political solution to the question.

(Mr. Khalil, Egypt)

In keeping with its respect for the principles of international law, the provisions of the United Nations Charter and the principles of the Non-Aligned Movement and its absolute conviction of the need to strive to guarantee human rights and fundamental freedoms, foremost among which is the right of peoples to self-determination, Egypt supports the legitimate rights of the Kampuchean people and calls for increased efforts to arrive at the desired solution on the basis of the following elements: first, all Vietnamese forces should be totally and unconditionally withdrawn from all Kampuchean territory in accordance with a specific timetable; secondly, Kampuchea's independence and sovereignty over its entire territory should be restored; thirdly, the people of Kampuchea should be enabled to exercise its inalienable right to self-determination through free and fair elections under United Nations supervision, without foreign intervention; fourthly, it should be made possible for the Kampuchean refugees to return to their homes; fifthly, all countries should refrain from intervening in the internal affairs of Kampuchea and respect its neutrality and non-aligned status; and sixthly, the right of all the countries of the region to live in lasting peace should be guaranteed.

United Nations resolutions adopted by an overwhelming majority since 1979 - the date of the Vietnamese aggression against Kampuchean territory - have contained those six elements. Regrettably, however, the decisions of our international Organization have not been implemented. The struggling people of Kampuchea continues to suffer the scourge of foreign invasion and foreign occupation.

We followed with keen interest the statement made by President Norodom Sihanouk in the general debate at the present session of the General Assembly, in which he gave details of the tragedy that has beset the

(Mr. Khalil, Egypt)

Kampuchean people and supported his remarks with excerpts from the neutral international press, Amnesty International and the International Commission of Jurists. He emphasized his people's fervent desire for a fair and honourable political solution of the Kampuchean problem. He supported the proposal of the Association of South-East Asian Nations (ASEAN) for proximity talks with Viet Nam, with the possible participation of representatives of Phnom Penh Khmers in Hanoi's delegation. The President also expressed his regret at Viet Nam's rejection of all his Coalition Government's previous proposals, which were made in 1983 and 1984, and of ASEAN's proposals, all of which are aimed at bringing about peace and reconciliation.

President Norodom Sihanouk reminded the international community of the thrust of those proposals. In his statement today, he added a new and very constructive step, with three points that certainly clarify the situation.

(Mr. Khalil, Egypt)

It is truly regrettable that this earnest desire for peace is met with rejection and silence while the Kampuchean problem continues to deteriorate. During our celebration of the fortieth anniversary of the United Nations President Sihanouk said in his statement to the Assembly: "The United Nations remains the ultimate hope of oppressed peoples" (A/40/PV.18, p. 6). It has succeeded on numerous occasions in changing the course of history because of its patience, perseverance and wisdom. We associate ourselves with what President Sihanouk stated, and we sincerely and warmly express the hope that this Organization will achieve the goal it seeks in relation to Kampuchea.

Egypt strongly reaffirms its support for the Kampuchean cause and for the unstinting efforts being made by the Coalition Government of Democratic Kampuchea, under the wise leadership of President Sihanouk, to realize the desire of the Kampuchean people for freedom, independence and sovereignty.

The Egyptian delegation has, of course, examined the Secretary-General's report contained in document A/40/759, and we wish to avail ourselves of this opportunity to express our support for his good offices aimed at bringing about a comprehensive political settlement of the Kampuchean problem. The Secretary-General and his Personal Representative, Mr. Ahmed, have spared no efforts in contacting all the parties concerned in a bid to achieve the desired goals, in addition to fulfilling their responsibilities in co-ordinating humanitarian assistance for the people of Kampuchea.

The Secretary-General's visit to the region in January 1985 allowed him to make a direct assessment of the situation. We associate ourselves with those who called on him to pursue his endeavours, especially since he states in his report that the discussions he had suggested that a reasonable degree of convergence had emerged on the main elements of a comprehensive political settlement.

(Mr. Khalil, Egypt)

Egypt has examined the report of the Ad Hoc Committee of the International Conference on Kampuchea, contained in document A/CONF.109/9. In this regard, we wish to express our appreciation for the tireless efforts made by that Committee, under its Chairman, Ambassador Sarré. We join all peace-loving countries in calling upon the Ad Hoc Committee to continue its work.

We take this opportunity to reaffirm Egypt's determination to continue its support of the constructive role played by the ASEAN countries in the search for a peaceful solution of the Kampuchean problem. We wish to place on record our full support for the draft resolution submitted to the Assembly at this session, appearing in documents A/40/L.4 and Corr.1, as its provisions lay the foundation for a peaceful, comprehensive, just and lasting settlement of the Kampuchean problem.

Mr. RANA (Nepal): Seven years ago, in a region not very far from my own country, a small independent State, a Member of the United Nations, was subjected to massive external aggression. In fact even today it continues to suffer the gross indignity of foreign military occupation. It is quite natural, therefore, for the international community, committed to the principles of the Charter and peaceful relations among nations, to view the developments in Kampuchea with grave concern. Clearly, developments such as these, in the latter half of the twentieth century, are difficult to conceive, much less condone. This reminds us of the dark era of colonialism which, one presumed, was coming to a well-deserved end, but on which the curtain had in fact risen once again in Indo-China.

All the more distressing is that the party responsible for the intervention and atrocities in Kampuchea is none other than a nation that had once earned the respect of the international community for its own long and determined struggle against colonial domination. Oblivious to its own experiences and sacrifices in the cause of self-determination, this very country has not only seen fit to

(Mr. Rana, Nepal)

undermine the sovereignty and territorial integrity of an independent neighbour but has also embarked upon policies forcing thousands of indigenous people to flee their homes. This has created acute humanitarian problems besides posing an ominous threat to the peace and stability of other neighbouring States.

As we review the current situation in Kampuchea today, my delegation would, therefore, like first of all to reiterate Nepal's condemnation of foreign military intervention in Kampuchea. Convinced that peaceful coexistence, respect for the sovereignty, independence and territorial integrity of States and scrupulous adherence to the principle of non-interference constitute the basic elements of the international order as conceived in the United Nations Charter, Nepal believes that they cannot be ignored or violated under any pretext.

A way out of the tragic Kampuchean impasse must, therefore, begin from where it all started. In other words, no blueprint for a successful settlement of the Kampuchean problem can be worth anything if it does not begin with the unconditional withdrawal of all military forces from that country. Only when that is achieved will it be possible to think of what should be done thereafter to restore to the Kampuchean people their right to determine, peacefully, what kind of society or government they desire.

Views may well differ on how a comprehensive political settlement of the problem can be achieved in specific terms. There may also be different ways of achieving that goal. However, we are encouraged by the suggestion in paragraph 13 of the Secretary-General's report, contained in document A/40/759, referring to an outline of a possible solution. My delegation shares the Secretary-General's view that these elements provide a broad framework which could be progressively elaborated and defined to create mutual understanding and confidence among all parties concerned. At this juncture it may be fitting, therefore, to refer to the

(Mr. Rana, Nepal)

idea of convening a limited international conference to be attended by the five permanent members of the Security Council, all parties directly concerned and other mutually-acceptable countries.

It is also obvious that the formation of the Coalition Government headed by His Royal Highness Prince Norodom Sihanouk has brightened prospects for a negotiated political settlement. Indeed, we believe that it provides an opportunity that should not be missed. While reiterating our full support for the Coalition Government formed under Prince Norodom Sihanouk, we take this opportunity to underline once again that we welcome all peace initiatives which could result in the withdrawal of foreign military forces from Kampuchea and provide the Kampuchean people with an opportunity for a free expression of their right to self-determination.

(Mr. Rana, Nepal)

It is in that belief that Nepal, along with 58 other countries, has sponsored the draft resolution in document A/40/L.4 and Corr.1, which it hopes will, as in the past, receive the overwhelming support of Member States.

My delegation takes this opportunity to express its appreciation for the efforts of the United Nations and its specialized agencies, other international organizations and, in particular, the Government of Thailand for having generously rendered relief and humanitarian assistance to the Kampuchean people, who have been so affected by the continuing turmoil and agony in their country. We should also like to commend the Ad Hoc Committee on the International Conference on Kampuchea for its tireless efforts in creating a better international awareness of the need for a peaceful and negotiated settlement of the problem.

Mr. ALBORNOZ (Ecuador) (interpretation from Spanish): Ecuador, faithful to the fundamental principles of international law concerning the rejection of the use of force, of coercion and of coercive measures in relations between States, defends and applies the principle that wars do not create rights and that the conquest of territory by force is illegal and null and void. At the same time, my country supports the principle of self-determination of peoples as well as the principle of non-intervention. It has consistently advocated in international forums, and particularly at the United Nations, the need for - to use words spoken by the Foreign Minister of Ecuador during the general debate at the current session:

"the withdrawal of foreign forces from all territories which, directly or indirectly, are under occupation by foreign military forces".

(A/40/PV.11, p. 7)

The withdrawal of foreign forces from territories that do not belong to them is an essential prerequisite to ensuring that the peoples that are the original inhabitants of the territories in question can have a genuine possibility of

(Mr. Albornoz, Ecuador)

self-determination, that they can exercise the right to vote, and that, in conformity with their own sovereignty, they can freely determine their own destiny and display civic conduct that fully respects human rights.

That is true of Democratic Kampuchea, a State Member of the United Nations, under the leadership of the Coalition Government headed by Prince Norodom Sihanouk. That country has been the victim for a long time now of merciless foreign intervention by force. Its emergence into an era of peace and freedom is essential if genuine peace is to reign in South-East Asia and if the threat to international peace and security posed in that region of the world is to be diminished. The reduction of tension by the restoration of independence, sovereignty and territorial integrity to a fully recognized Kampuchea would be an achievement not only for that country but for the international community as a whole. Consequently, a conference of the concerned parties on this question would certainly yield beneficial results for the achievement of that praiseworthy goal.

For those reasons, the delegation of Ecuador has consistently supported the resolutions concerning the people of Kampuchea. Those resolutions are described in the very full and well-conceived report by the Secretary-General in document A/40/759. That report also describes the severe consequences that this regrettable situation has had for the displaced persons and refugees, and outlines a peaceful solution based on genuine negotiations.

Ecuador recognizes the right of the people of Kampuchea to determine their own destiny, as well as the duty of Member States not to interfere or intervene in Kampuchea's internal affairs. That is the only way in which a just and lasting solution can be found to its problem.

The meeting rose at 12.35 p.m.