

UNITED NATIONS

ECONOMIC
AND
SOCIAL COUNCIL



UN LIBRARY

EST 28 12 6

UN/SA COLLECTION

Distr.
GENERAL

E/CN.6/SR.656
5 October 1976

ENGLISH
Original: FRENCH

COMMISSION ON THE STATUS OF WOMEN

Twenty-sixth Session

SUMMARY RECORD OF THE 656th MEETING

held at the Palais des Nations, Geneva,
on Thursday, 30 September 1976, at 9.40 a.m.

Chairman: Mrs. GUEYE (Senegal)

CONTENTS

The United Nations Decade for Women: Equality, Development and Peace, 1976-1985
(agenda item 4) (continued):

- (b) The programme for the Decade and related questions including technical co-operation activities

This record is subject to correction.

Participants wishing to make corrections should submit them in writing to the Official Records Editing Section, room E.4108, Palais des Nations, Geneva, within one week of receiving the record in their working language.

Corrections to the records of the meetings of the Commission at this session will be consolidated in a single corrigendum to be issued shortly after the end of the session.

GE.76-89375

THE UNITED NATIONS DECADE FOR WOMEN: EQUALITY, DEVELOPMENT AND PEACE, 1976-1985
(Agenda item 4) (continued)

- (b) THE PROGRAMME FOR THE DECADE AND RELATED QUESTIONS INCLUDING TECHNICAL
CO-OPERATION ACTIVITIES (E/CN.6.594 and Add.1: E/CN.6/L.682, L/685, L/692,
L/693/Rev.1) (continued)

Working Paper prepared by the Secretariat (E/CN.6/L.682) - Section B. 3.(c)
Co-ordination of activities with United Nations Headquarters, between regional
commissions and with interested regional intergovernmental organizations outside
the United Nations system

1. The CHAIRMAN said that, if there were no objections, she would take it that the Commission adopted the heading of section B. 3.(c).

2. It was so decided.

Paragraph (c)(i)

3. Mrs. HAHN (Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations), supported by Miss TYABJI (India), thought that the Commission should delete the word "regional" in the second line and noted that, in the case of FAO, co-ordination took place at the national level.

4. Mrs. TALLAWY (Egypt) suggested that the words "regional inter-governmental organizations" should be inserted after the words "the regional commissions".

5. The CHAIRMAN said that in the absence of objections, she would take it that the Commission adopted (c)(i), as amended.

6. It was so decided.

Paragraph (c)(ii)

7. Ms. LORANGER (Canada) pointed out that the paragraph under consideration was almost identical with paragraph 1(d) adopted by the Commission at a previous meeting; she therefore proposed that it should be deleted.

8. Mrs. DEVAUD (France) felt that the paragraph would be more in place under the heading "Co-ordination of activities, etc." A paragraph already adopted by the Commission could not be deleted, but she suggested that it might be possible to take account of the comments made by the members of the Commission when the final text of the Convention was drawn up.

9. Mrs. BRUCE (Assistant Director, Centre for Social Development and Humanitarian Affairs) said she understood the concern of the Canadian delegation, but pointed out that co-ordination of the work of the International Research and Training Institute for the Advancement of Women was of great importance not only at the regional level but also at the international level, which was why the two paragraphs had been included.

10. Mr. EHSASSI (Iran) felt that the purpose of the two paragraphs was different, and therefore suggested that paragraph (c)(ii) should be retained in its present form.
11. Ms. LORANGER (Canada) withdrew her proposal to delete paragraph (c)(ii).
12. The CHAIRMAN said that, in the absence of objections, she would take it that the Commission adopted (c)(ii).
13. It was so decided.

Paragraph (d) - Expansion of technical co-operation activities to support the integration of women in development

14. The CHAIRMAN said that, as there were no objections, she would take it that the Commission adopted the heading of paragraph (d).

15. It was so decided.

Paragraph (d)(i), first area

16. Mrs. TALLAWY (Egypt) proposed the addition of the words "and for their access to technology, services, institutions and all other means for the economic and social advancement of their society".

17. Miss TYABJI (India) supported the Egyptian amendment, but suggested that it should be made a separate area, to follow the first.

18. Mrs. DEVAUD (France) endorsed the Egyptian amendment; however, she would have drafted it differently and included it in the third area. Accordingly, she proposed that the words "an economic role in the third area should be replaced by "an economic, social and political role corresponding to their training, in the interests of society as a whole".

19. Mrs. TALLAWY (Egypt) pointed out that her amendment dealt not only with training but also with access to technology, services and institutions, allowing women to contribute to the economic and social progress of the society in which they lived. She had doubts, moreover, whether the French amendment which alluded to the political role of women, could be included under the heading "Expansion of technical co-operation activities to support the integration of women in development".

20. Mrs. DEVAUD (France) said she realized that the Egyptian and French amendments were not identical; however, they contained the same idea and complemented each other. Perhaps the Egyptian and French delegations could agree on a joint amendment. Her own delegation's amendment sought to enable women who had received training to make use of it, for if they were to be restricted to minor posts where their knowledge was not used, they and society would have made a pointless investment. Moreover, she did not think it possible to dissociate women's economic role from their social and political roles.

21. Miss TYABJI (India), supported by Ms. HENDSCH (United States of America) and Mr. EHSASSI (Iran), recalled her suggestion that the Egyptian amendment should be made into a separate area and suggested the following wording: "Technological assistance for women, including full participation in community and extension services, particularly in the rural areas, including access to loans, credit facilities and co-operatives on the same basis as men."

22. Mrs. DEVAUD (France) said that, in the light of the amendment submitted by the Indian delegation, she withdrew her suggestion to amalgamate the Egyptian and French amendments; she would submit her amendment to the third area at the appropriate time.

23. The CHAIRMAN said that, in the absence of objections, she would take it that the Commission adopted the first area of paragraph (d)(i) as it appeared in the document under consideration, with the addition of the area proposed by the Indian delegation.

24. It was so decided.

Paragraph (d)(i), second area

25. The CHAIRMAN said that, as there were no objections, she would take it that the Commission adopted paragraph (d)(i), second area, by consensus.

26. It was so decided.

Paragraph (d)(i), third area

27. Miss TYABJI (India), supported by Mr. EHSASSI (Iran), proposed the addition of the phrase "including on-the-job training in production centres" after the words "in all sectors".

28. Mrs. SALYO (Indonesia) drew attention to paragraph (d)(v), which reflected the idea expressed by the Indian representative.

29. Miss TYABJI (India) withdrew her amendment in view of the Indonesian representative's observation.

30. Mrs. DEVAUD (France), supported by Mrs. NIKOLAEVA (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics), Mrs. TALLAWY (Egypt) and Mrs. COENE (Belgium), proposed that the words "an economic role" should be replaced by the words "an economic, social and political role corresponding to their training, in the interests of society as a whole".

31. The CHAIRMAN said that, in the absence of objections, she would take it that the Commission adopted by consensus the third area, as amended by the French delegation.

32. It was so decided.

Paragraph (d)(i), fourth area.

33. The CHAIRMAN said that, as there were no objections, she would take it that the Commission adopted the fourth area by consensus.

Paragraph (d)(i), fifth area

34. The CHAIRMAN said that, in the absence of objections, she would take it that the Commission adopted the fifth area by consensus.

35. It was so decided.

Paragraph (d)(ii)

36. Mr. EHSASSI (Iran) proposed that the English and Spanish versions should be brought into line with the French text, in which the words "resident representative" were in the plural.

37. Mrs. HUSSEIN (Egypt) thought it should be specified that requests for technical assistance should be formulated in the interest of women.

38. Mr. EHSASSI (Iran) pointed out that the words "in improving the position of women" at the end of the paragraph conveyed the idea expressed by the Egyptian representative.

39. The CHAIRMAN said that, as there were no objections, she would take it that the Commission adopted by consensus paragraph (ii), as amended by the Iranian delegation.

40. It was so decided.

Paragraph (d)(iii)

41. The CHAIRMAN said that, in the absence of objections, she would take it that the Commission adopted paragraph (d)(iii) by consensus.

It was so decided.

42. Miss GONZALES MARTINEZ (Mexico) wondered which Governments or international organizations should offer women the opportunity of participating in assistance activities in the developing countries.

43. Mrs. BRUCE (Assistant Director, Centre for Social Development and Humanitarian Affairs) said that the recommendation was a general one addressed to Governments as well as to UNDP, because there were few women among the experts responsible for the preparation of technical co-operation programmes among the developing countries.

44. Mr. EHSASSI (Iran) proposed that subparagraph (iv) should be amended to read: "the organizations of the United Nations system, especially UNDP, should offer better opportunities for the participation of women as technical experts ..."
45. Miss TYABJI (India) supported the Iranian proposal, but suggested that the words "and national Governments" should be inserted after "UNDP".
46. Mr. EHSASSI (Iran), supported by Miss GONZALEZ MARTINEZ (Mexico), endorsed the Indian delegation's suggestion.
47. The CHAIRMAN said that, if she heard no objection, she would take it that the Commission adopted the text of paragraph (d)(iv), as amended by the Iranian and Indian representatives.
48. It was so decided.

Paragraph (d)(v)

49. Ms. LAMINA (Madagascar) proposed that the words "Short-term training course" in the second and third lines should be replaced by the words "Accelerated training courses".
50. Miss TYABJI (India) was of the opinion that the words "Short-term training courses" referred to courses lasting three to six months. "Accelerated" training was an entirely different matter.
51. Mr. EHSASSI (Iran), Mrs. SALYO (Indonesia) and Begum FARIDI (Pakistan) shared the view expressed by the representative of India.
52. Mrs. DEVAUD (France) considered the amendment proposed by the representative of Madagascar to be most apt because accelerated training was training given to adults in a few months instead of the usual one or two years.
53. The CHAIRMAN said she thought the problem was one of terminology. She suggested that the English text should be left as it stood and that, in the French text, the words "de brève durée" should be replaced by the word "accélérée". If she heard no objection, she would take it that that wording of paragraph (d)(v) was adopted by consensus.
54. It was so decided.

Paragraph (d)(vi)

55. Miss GONZALEZ MARTINEZ (Mexico), speaking on behalf of several Latin American delegations, said that the wording was not at all clear. It did not specify to whom training in the preparation of project proposals for financial assistance would be provided. She assumed that such training was intended for women, but that should be stated explicitly. Moreover, a person trained in the preparation of a specific type of development project would certainly also be trained in the preparation of the corresponding financial assistance projects.

56. Mrs. HUSSEIN (Egypt) proposed that consideration of the text should be deferred because the Commission had before it an amendment by the United States delegation which elaborated on the matter, and its adoption might make paragraph (d) (vi) unnecessary.
57. That proposal was adopted.
58. Ms. HENDSCH (United States of America), introducing her delegation's revised amendment (E/CN.6/L.693/Rev.1), explained that it would become a new paragraph (d) (vii) to be added after paragraph (d) (vi). For the sake of brevity, her delegation had decided to delete the first paragraph of its amendment. In addition, at the beginning of the second paragraph, which would become the first paragraph, the words "A regional corps of women experts" should be replaced by the words "A corps of regional experts".
59. Mrs. NIKOLAEVA (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) pointed out that the United States amendment did not specify who would appoint and manage the corps of regional experts.
60. Ms. HENDSCH (United States of America) said that her delegation's amendment was intended to ensure that the persons entrusted with the implementation of programmes under the World Plan of Action in various United Nations bodies would have specialized knowledge of women's problems at the regional level.
61. Mrs. NIKOLAEVA (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) said she assumed that the proposed corps of regional experts would be an inter-agency body; however she failed to see how the Commission could request the specialized agencies to second experts to work under the Commission, which did not, in any case, have the necessary financial resources. The specialized agencies and, in particular, the ILO, were already dealing with women's problems, and in her view it was unnecessary to establish a new body for that purpose.
62. Mrs. SALYO (Indonesia) said that for both legal and financial reasons, she also had doubts about the desirability of establishing a new body; it would be better to request assistance from the specialized agencies which already had consultants. The matter should be studied in greater detail.
63. Miss TYABJI (India) said that, although she recognized the need for the type of assistance envisaged in the United States amendment, she thought that, instead of establishing a new body, it would be better to request the specialized agencies to perform the task in question and to report to the Commission in 1980 and at the end of the Decade.
64. Mrs. HUSSEIN (Egypt) and Miss GONZALEZ MARTINEZ (Mexico) also considered that a new body should not be established because it might duplicate the work of existing bodies and would require additional financial resources. They nevertheless felt that the United States amendment had the merit of highlighting the importance of the regional aspect of the question.
65. Begum FARIDI (Pakistan) emphasized that the consultative services envisaged in the United States amendment were genuinely needed.

66. The CHAIRMAN suggested that the United States delegation and the delegations which had made suggestions concerning the amendment contained in document E/CN.6/L.693/Rev.1 should hold consultations to arrive at a generally acceptable text.

67. It was so decided.

68. The meeting was suspended at 11.05 a.m. and resumed at 11.15 a.m.

69. Mrs. HUSSEIN (Egypt) read out the text on which delegations had agreed during the suspension of the meeting. The beginning of the United States amendment would be amended to read: "The United Nations specialized agencies, national Governments and non-governmental organizations, in co-operation with the regional commissions, should give attention, within their existing consultative services, to the need for creating a corps of experts to provide guidance to countries on request ...". Paragraph 2 would read: "Techniques for creating awareness among women's groups in order to enlist their full support for such projects".

70. Miss TYABJI (India) suggested the addition of the following sentence: "This corps of experts should be asked to report to the Commission on the Status of Women, both in 1980 and at the end of the Decade for Women, on the number of women's projects so assisted".

71. Mrs. HUSSEIN (Egypt) noted that the amendment proposed by the representative of India implied that the corps of experts would be an independent body; that was not the case however. If the Commission wished to receive reports on the matter, it would have to request them from the specialized agencies concerned.

72. Mrs. BRUCE (Assistant Director, Centre for Social Development and Humanitarian Affairs) shared that opinion. The Commission might make a more general recommendation, stating that it wished to be informed at regular intervals, for example, every two years, on projects for women and, if necessary, on the results achieved through the creation of the corps of experts.

73. Miss TYABJI (India) supported the Assistant Director's suggestions. The important thing was that reports should be submitted on the number of projects which had actually been assisted. The Secretariat could draft the final text on the matter.

74. Miss GONZALEZ MARTINEZ (Mexico) hoped that any suggestions made by the Assistant Director in the light of the Indian representative's proposals would take account of the fact that, in her delegation's opinion, the projects in question were not intended specifically for women, but projects prepared in accordance with the World Plan of Action. When Governments reported to the Secretary-General for purposes of review and appraisal, they might specify the cases in which they had requested the assistance in question. Misunderstanding might arise if reference was made to projects specifically for women, for projects in question were aimed at the advancement of women under the World Plan of Action.

75. Mrs. SNIDER (Observer for the Economic Commission for Africa), speaking at the invitation of the Chairman, considered that it would be advisable to add to the text a sentence inviting the members of the Commission to communicate the names of qualified persons who might be included in a list of available experts.
76. The CHAIRMAN said that, if she heard no objection, she would take it that, in the final draft of the programme under consideration, the Commission wished to take account of the suggestion made by the Observer for the Economic Commission for Africa.
77. It was so decided.
78. The CHAIRMAN said that, in the absence of objections, she would assume that the Commission wished to adopt the text proposed by the United States, as amended by the Egyptian and Indian representatives and the Observer for the Economic Commission for Africa.
79. It was so decided.
80. Mr. EHSASSI (Iran) proposed the deletion of paragraph (d) (vi), which was not clear.
81. Mrs. SALYO (Indonesia) supported the proposal made by Iran.
82. The CHAIRMAN said that, if she heard no objection, she would take it that the Commission decided to delete paragraph (d) (vi).
83. It was so decided.

Section entitled "National Action 1976-1980"

Introductory part

84. The introductory part was adopted.

Paragraph 1

85. Miss GONZALEZ MARTINEZ (Mexico), referring to the second subparagraph, said that it was for Governments to decide what the appropriate national bodies would be. It would therefore be enough to specify the responsibilities of such bodies, and the words "governmental authorities as well as labour market organizations and women's organizations" should be deleted.
86. Begum FARIDI (Pakistan) supported the comments made by the representative of Mexico.
87. Mrs. HUSSEIN (Egypt) thought it would be enough to say that national mechanisms should correspond to the main sectors in which women were to be integrated in development.

88. Miss GONZALEZ MARTINEZ (Mexico) proposed that the second subparagraph of paragraph 1 should be replaced by the following: "The appropriate national bodies should be entrusted with the responsibility of keeping national laws and regulations under continuing review and of reporting to Governments on the results achieved every second year for the purpose of ensuring that the objectives of the Decade are being achieved on a national basis". In order to take into account the suggestion made by the representative of Egypt, she proposed that the following new third subparagraph should be added to paragraph 1: "In preparing programmes for the implementation of the World Plan of Action, national bodies should take account of the opinion of the sectors concerned with the integration of women in development".

89. Mrs. HUSSEIN (Egypt) noted that the proposed text recognized that national machinery must correspond to the sectors involved.

90. Ms. HENDSCH (United States of America) considered that in the English text, the words "involved in" would be preferable to the words "concerned with" as a translation of the Spanish word "interesados".

91. Mrs. NIKOLAEVA (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) supported the text proposed by Mexico.

92. The CHAIRMAN said that, if she heard no objection, she would take it that the Commission decided to adopt paragraph 1, as amended by Mexico.

93. It was so decided.

Paragraph 2

94. Paragraph 2 was adopted by consensus.

Paragraph 3

95. Miss GONZALEZ MARTINEZ (Mexico), speaking on behalf of several Latin American countries, said she felt that all national plans for economic development should take into account the need to provide job opportunities for both men and women.

96. Mrs. DEVAUD (France) observed that in some countries women's labour was regarded as being of an auxiliary nature. She proposed the following wording for paragraph 3: "All national plans and programmes for economic development should include positive policies and targets for the employment of women as well."

97. Begum FARIDI (Pakistan) said she would not have time to submit the proposed text to her Government.

98. Miss TYABJI (India) proposed the adoption of the following text which might reconcile the French and Mexican positions: "All national plans and programmes for economic development should include positive policies and targets for increasing the employment of women in the public and private sectors".

99. Mr. EHSASSI (Iran) approved the Indian proposal.
100. Ms. FREDERICK (United States of America) suggested the addition of the words "on an equal basis with men" to the Indian proposal.
101. Ms. SANDLUND (Sweden) supported the amendments proposed by the Indian and United States representatives.
102. Mrs. SALYO (Indonesia) understood the reasons for the changes made in paragraph 3, but wondered if the wording should not be made more specific.
103. Miss TYABJI (India) proposed the addition of the words "for increasing the employment of women so as to achieve greater equality with men" after "positive policies and targets".
104. Mr. EHSASSI (Iran) pointed out that the idea of equality between men and women had already been expressed in the penultimate paragraph of the introductory part of the section under consideration.
105. Mrs. TALLAWY (Egypt) thought that the word "greater" before "equality" should be deleted.
106. Mrs. LAMINA (Madagascar) proposed the adoption of the first Indian draft amendment without the words "on an equal basis with men".
107. Ms. HENDSCH (United States of America) considered the words "so as to achieve equality with men" to be necessary.
108. Mrs. LAMINA (Madagascar) withdrew her proposal.
109. Miss ST. CLAIRE (Secretary of the Commission) read out paragraph 3 as amended by the Indian and the United States representatives: "All national plans and programmes for economic development should include positive policies and targets for increasing the employment of women in the public and private sectors so as to achieve equality with men".
110. The CHAIRMAN said that, if there were no objections, she would consider that the Commission wished to adopt paragraph 3 as amended.
111. It was so decided.

Paragraph 4

112. Mr. EHSASSI (Iran) proposed the deletion of the word "realistic", for it could not be implied that Governments adopted unrealistic targets.
113. Mrs. BOKOR-SZEGO (Hungary) and Mrs. NIKOLAEVA (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) supported the Iranian proposal.
114. The CHAIRMAN said that if there were no objections, she would consider that the Commission wished to adopt paragraph 4 as amended by the Iranian representative.
115. It was so decided.

Paragraph 5

116. Paragraph 5 was adopted by consensus.

C. Increased involvement of women in political life and in international co-operation and the maintenance of peace

International and Regional Action 1976-1980

117. Mr. LEHMANN (Denmark) said he wished to make a general observation concerning section C as a whole. He fully endorsed the heading of the section, which was aimed at promoting increased involvement of women in political life, international co-operation and the maintenance of peace. However, when it came to expressing that principle in terms of positive measures, the proposed programme tended to stray from the Commission's specific sphere of activity, namely, the United Nations Decade for Women, and into areas which were the province of other international bodies. For example, the measures designed to alleviate the condition of women and children in particular situations of hardship, emergency and armed conflict, mentioned in paragraph 3, fell within the province of the Conference on Humanitarian Law, which was concerned with protection of women and children, and the International Committee of the Red Cross, one of whose basic tasks was the continuing review of the Geneva Conventions.

1. Review of the nature and extent of women's participation in political life and in international co-operation and the maintenance of peace

Paragraph 1 (a)

118. Mrs. HUTAR (United States of America) proposed the addition of the words "under strict and effective international control" after the words "general and complete disarmament".

119. Mrs. NIKOLAEVA (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) wholeheartedly supported that proposal.

120. Paragraph 1 (a) was adopted as amended by consensus.

Paragraph 1 (b)

121. Mr. LEHMANN (Denmark) said that his delegation had voted against General Assembly resolution 3519 (XXX). He therefore proposed the deletion of the reference to that resolution whose provisions were highly controversial.

122. Mrs. COENE (Belgium) endorsed the remarks of the Danish representative regarding section C as a whole and supported his proposed amendment to delete the reference to General Assembly resolution 3519 (XXX) in paragraph 1 (b).

123. Mr. CALLWAY (United Kingdom) also supported the Danish amendment.

124. Mrs. NIKOLAEVA (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) noted that General Assembly resolution 3519 (XXX) had been adopted by a majority of Member States; the minority which voted against it should not try to impose its views on the majority. Delegations opposed to that resolution could certainly express reservations with regard to it, but they could not request the deletion of the reference to it in paragraph 1 (b). The Commission was obliged to respect the resolutions of the General Assembly and take all necessary steps to ensure their implementation.

125. Mrs. HIRLEMAN (France) supported the Danish amendment for the reasons given by the Danish representative.

126. Mrs. TILLFORS (Sweden) was also in favour of avoiding any reference to General Assembly resolution 3519 (XXX), on which his delegation had abstained, as he felt that it contained nothing that concerned the equality of women.

127. Mrs. FERRER GOMEZ (Cuba) thought that the reference to General Assembly resolution 3519 (XXX), should be retained, as it was precisely on the principles set forth in that resolution that the proposed study was based. There was no reason, as the Soviet representative had rightly noted, to delete a reference to a resolution adopted by the General Assembly.

128. Mrs. CADIEUX (Canada) supported the Danish amendment.

129. Mr. MICHEEL (German Democratic Republic) said he shared the views of the Soviet Union and Cuban representatives.

130. Mrs. HUTAR (United States of America) said that her delegation, which had voted against General Assembly resolution 3519 (XXX), felt that any reference to it was unacceptable.

131. Mr. LEHMANN (Denmark) said that there was no question of imposing the viewpoint of a minority, but of reaching a consensus on a very important aspect of the programme for the Decade by deleting a reference to a controversial resolution.

132. Miss TYABJI (India) agreed with the Danish representative.

133. Mrs. ROMANOVICH (Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic) considered that the reference to General Assembly resolution 3519 (XXX) was quite justified.

134. The CHAIRMAN proposed, as a compromise solution, that the reference to the terms of General Assembly resolution 3519 (XXX) in the body of paragraph 1 (b) should be deleted and that the resolution should only be mentioned in parentheses at the end of the paragraph.

135. Mrs. TALLAWAY (Egypt) saw no reason to delete the reference to General Assembly resolution 3519 (XXX) in paragraph 1 (b), as other General Assembly resolutions had already been mentioned in various parts of the working paper. She was therefore firmly opposed to the Danish amendment and requested that it should be put to the vote.

136. Mrs. NIKOLAEVA (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) thought it would be preferable to find a compromise solution. As the Chairman had suggested, the reference could be deleted and the resolution simply mentioned in parentheses at the end of paragraph 1 (b).

137. Mrs. BOKOR-SZEGO (Hungary), with a view to reconciling different points of view proposed the following clause: "in accordance with the provisions of the relevant General Assembly resolutions".

138. Mr. EHSASSI (Iran) said that his delegation, which had voted in favour of General Assembly resolution 3519 (XXX) had no difficulty in accepting the reference to that resolution in paragraph 1 (b). Like the Soviet representative, however, he thought that an effort should be made to achieve a consensus on that important aspect of the programme.

139. Mrs. NIKOLAEVA (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) said that, in a spirit of compromise, she would support the Hungarian proposal.

140. Mrs. HUTAR (United States of America) said she found it impossible to accept the Hungarian proposal.

141. Mrs. LORANGER (Canada) noted that the Commission had not yet finally adopted section I 1 (b), which contained a list of relevant General Assembly resolutions. Perhaps it would be enough to add General Assembly resolution 3519 (XXX) to that list and not mention it in the text under consideration.

142. Mr. LEHMANN (Denmark) supported the proposal of the Canadian representative.

143. Miss TYABJI (India) and Mr. EHSASSI (Iran) endorsed the Chairman's suggestion that the resolution should be mentioned in parenthesis.

144. Mrs. COENE (Belgium) expressed reservations concerning the solution suggested by the Chairman.

145. Mrs. BOKOR-SZEGO (Hungary) said she was prepared to withdraw her proposal in favour of the Chairman's.

146. Mr. CALLWAY (United Kingdom) thought that the Commission had reached a deadlock and would have to take a vote.

147. The CHAIRMAN proposed that consideration of paragraph 1 (b) should be deferred so that members of the Commission could try to reach a consensus.

148. It was so decided.

Paragraph 2 - Development of programmes to increase women's involvement in international co-operation and the maintenance of peace

Paragraph 2 (a)

149. Paragraph 2 (a) was adopted by consensus.

Paragraph 2 (b)

150. Paragraph 2 (b) was adopted by consensus.

Paragraph 2 (c)

151. Mrs. GONZALEZ MARTINEZ (Mexico) said she wished to comment on paragraph 2 (c) and requested that examination of the text should be deferred to the following meeting.

152. it was so decided.

Paragraph 2 (d)

153. Mrs. HUTAR (United States of America) proposed the addition of the words "strict and" before the word "effective", so as to use the same wording as was employed in paragraph 1 (a).

154. The United States amendment was adopted.

155. Paragraph 2 (d) was adopted as amended by consensus.

The meeting rose at 1 p.m.