



SUMMARY STATEMENT BY THE SECRETARY-GENERAL OF MATTERS OF WHICH
THE SECURITY COUNCIL IS SEIZED AND OF THE STAGE REACHED IN
THEIR CONSIDERATION

Pursuant to rule 11 of the provisional rules of procedure of the Security Council, the Secretary-General submits the following statement of matters of which the Security Council is seized and the stage reached in their consideration on 20 October 1951.

1. The Iranian question (see S/1456)
2. Special agreements under Article 43 and the organization of Armed Forces made available to the Security Council (see S/1456)
3. Rules of procedure of the Security Council (see S/1456)
4. Statute and rules of procedure of the Military Staff Committee (see S/1456)
5. The regulation and reduction of conventional armaments and Armed Forces (See S/1456)
6. Appointment of a Governor for the Free Territory of Trieste (see S/1456)
7. The Egyptian question (see S/1456)
8. The Indonesian question (see S/1456)
9. Voting Procedure in the Security Council (see S/1456)
10. Procedure in application of Articles 87 and 88 of the Charter with regard to the Pacific Islands under strategic trusteeship of the United States of America (see S/1456)
11. Applications for membership (see S/1456)
12. The Palestine question (see S/1456, S/1864, S/1878, S/1904, S/1912, S/2104, S/2114, S/2150, S/2164, S/2268, S/2280, S/2303 and S/2325)
13. The India-Pakistan question (see S/1456, S/1463, S/1465, S/1468, S/1472, S/1479, S/2025, S/2029, S/2037, S/2058, S/2070, S/2079, and S/2132)

At the 564th meeting on 18 October 1951, Dr. Frank P. Graham, the United Nations Representative for India and Pakistan, presented his report (S/2375) to the Council on the results of his efforts to obtain agreement of the Governments of India and Pakistan to a plan for effecting the demilitarization of the State of Jammu and Kashmir.

14. The Czechoslovak question (see S/1456)

/15. The
S/2398

15. The question of the Free Territory of Trieste (see S/1456)
16. The Hyderabad question (see S/1456)
17. Identic notification dated 29 September 1948 from the Governments of the French Republic, the United Kingdom and the United States of America to the Secretary-General (see S/1456)
18. International Control of Atomic Energy (see S/1456)
19. Complaint of armed invasion of Taiwan (Formosa) (see S/1774, S/1785, S/1831 S/1912 and S/1928)
20. Complaint of bombing by air forces of the territory of China (see S/1774, S/1785, S/1803 and S/1811)
21. Complaint of failure by the Iranian Government to comply with provisional measures indicated by the International Court of Justice in the Anglo-Iranian Oil Company case: (see S/2364)

Discussion was continued at the 560 - 563 and 565th meetings held on 15-19 October 1951.

On 12 October the representative of the United Kingdom submitted a revised draft resolution (S/2358/Rev.1) which called for the resumption of negotiations between the parties, in accordance with the provisional measures indicated by the International Court of Justice, unless mutually agreeable arrangements are made consistent with the purposes and principles of the United Nations Charter, and the avoidance of any action which would have the effect of further aggravating the situation or prejudicing the rights, claims or positions of the parties.

At the 561st meeting the representative of India and Yugoslavia introduced an amendment (S/2379) to the United Kingdom revised draft (S/2358/Rev.1) which, *inter alia*, proposed the deletion of the references to the provisional measures indicated by the International Court of Justice.

At the 562nd meeting the representative of Ecuador submitted a draft resolution (S/2380) which called for the Security Council, without deciding on its own competence, to advise the parties to re-open negotiations with a view to making a fresh attempt to settle their differences. At the same meeting the representative of the United Kingdom submitted a second revision (S/2358/Rev.2) to the United Kingdom draft resolution which incorporated the amendments proposed by the representative of India and Yugoslavia.

At the 565th meeting the representative of France proposed the adjournment of the debate on the revised United Kingdom draft resolution (S/2358/Rev.2) until the International Court of Justice had ruled upon its competence in the matter. This proposal was voted upon by the Council and adopted by the vote of 8 in favour, 1 against (USSR) with 2 abstentions (United Kingdom and Yugoslavia).

