



Asamblea General

Distr. general
10 de junio de 2016
Español
Original: inglés

Consejo de Derechos Humanos

32º período de sesiones

Tema 6 de la agenda

Examen periódico universal

Comunicación escrita del Defensor del Pueblo de la República de Letonia*

Nota de la Secretaría

La Secretaría del Consejo de Derechos Humanos transmite adjunta la comunicación presentada por el Defensor del Pueblo de la República de Letonia**, que se reproduce a continuación de conformidad con el artículo 7 b) del reglamento que figura en el anexo de la resolución 5/1 del Consejo, según el cual la participación de las instituciones nacionales de derechos humanos se basará en las disposiciones y prácticas convenidas por la Comisión de Derechos Humanos, incluida la resolución 2005/74, de 20 de abril de 2005.

* La institución nacional de derechos humanos tiene la acreditación de la categoría “A” ante el Comité Internacional de Coordinación de las Instituciones Nacionales para la Promoción y la Protección de los Derechos Humanos.

** Se reproduce en el anexo tal como se recibió, en el idioma en que se presentó únicamente.

GE.16-09512 (S) 150616 150616



* 1 6 0 9 5 1 2 *

Se ruega reciclar



Anexo

[Inglés únicamente]

Submission by the Latvia: Ombudsman of the Republic of Latvia

Written Statement for the 32nd session of the Human Rights Council of Ombudsman of the Republic of Latvia

Ms. President,

Distinguished members of the Human Rights Council, ladies and gentlemen, this statement is made on behalf of the Office of the Ombudsman of the Republic of Latvia, a national “A status” human rights institution.

The Ombudsman of the Republic of Latvia would like to thank the Member States for providing recommendations and the Latvian government for its constructive approach in assessing these recommendations.

Ombudsman would like to thank the Member States that urged Latvia to ratify the Optional Protocol to the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (OPCAT) and establish an independent national preventive mechanism. Ratification of the Optional Protocol has been highlighted by the Ombudsman repeatedly. Ratification of the Optional Protocol to the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment and the implementation of principles and control mechanisms contained therein would contribute significantly to the respect for human rights at closed-type institutions in Latvia. Please note that the institution of the Ombudsman cannot be equated with an independent preventive mechanism within the meaning of the Optional Protocol. The national preventive mechanism is obliged, not entitled, to pay frequent and systematic visits to institutions where persons are or could be limited and/or deprived of liberty. By establishing a national preventive mechanism, the State would be more efficient in preventing the potential risk of torture and/or ill-treatment.

The State has indicated that the recommendation to draw up adequate legal regulatory framework for mental health institutions and social care institutions has been complied with. Thus, the application of coercive measures without permission is now prohibited. However, the recommendation has not been complied with fully. A year and eight months have passed since the adoption of amendments to the Medical Law, but the Cabinet regulations are still to be drawn up. The regulations should establish procedures for the limiting of patients through restrictive means and contain lists of items, which must not be kept at or sent to psychiatric medical institutions. Adoption of the regulations is fundamentally necessary to ensure that treatment processes are carried out in accordance with human rights standards.

Latvia has received a recommendation to facilitate the granting of citizenship to children of non-citizen parents, provided that these children are not obtaining another nationality. In this regard, Ombudsman would like to inform that the amendments which entered into force on 1 October 2013 improved the procedure for granting citizenship, whereby children of non-citizens and stateless persons can now receive Latvian citizenship at the time of registration of their birth and upon the request of one of the parents. However, such granting of citizenship cannot be considered automatic, since the will of one parent must be taken into account. In Ombudsman opinion, this creates a situation, where children are still

born with the “non-citizen” status. Therefore, Ombudsman appeals that the legal framework be improved, so that children are granted Latvian citizenship automatically at birth, unless the parents renounce it.

In conclusion, the Ombudsman would like to declare its readiness for constructive cooperation with the Latvian government in the implementation of the recommendations. A report on the results of this implementation will be included in the next bulletin.

Thank you for your attention!
