



General Assembly

Distr.
GENERALA/40/953
27 November 1985

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

Fortieth session
Agenda items 88 and 116IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROGRAMME OF ACTION FOR THE SECOND DECADE
TO COMBAT RACISM AND RACIAL DISCRIMINATION

PROPOSED PROGRAMME BUDGET FOR THE BIENNIUM 1986-1987

Programme budget implications of the draft resolution
recommended by the Third Committee (A/40/861, para. 8)Report of the Fifth CommitteeRapporteur: Mr. Falk MELTKE (German Democratic Republic)

1. At its 48th meeting, on 27 November 1985, the Fifth Committee, pursuant to rule 153 of the rules of procedure of the General Assembly, considered the statement by the Secretary-General (A/C.5/40/42) on the programme budget implications of the draft resolution recommended by the Third Committee in paragraph 8 of its report (A/40/861). The related report of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions was presented orally by its Chairman.
2. Statements and comments made in the course of the Committee's consideration of this item are reflected in the relevant summary record (A/C.5/40/SR.48).

DECISION OF THE FIFTH COMMITTEE

3. The Fifth Committee decided, by a recorded vote of 102 to 2, with 3 abstentions, to inform the General Assembly that, should it adopt the draft resolution recommended by the Third Committee in paragraph 8 of its report (A/40/861), no additional appropriation would be required. Conference-servicing requirements would arise which are estimated, on a full-cost basis, at \$19,700. The actual appropriation that might be required in that respect would be considered in the context of the consolidated statement of conference-servicing requirements to be submitted to the General Assembly at its forty-second session.

4. The voting was as follows:

In favour: Afghanistan, Algeria, Angola, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Barbados, Belgium, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burma, Burundi, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, Democratic Kampuchea, Democratic Yemen, Denmark, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Egypt, Fiji, Finland, France, Gabon, German Democratic Republic, Ghana, Greece, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Guyana, Honduras, Hungary, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Italy, Ivory Coast (Côte d'Ivoire), Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lebanon, Liberia, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mauritania, Mexico, Mongolia, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, New Zealand, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Pakistan, Panama, Papua New Guinea, Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Qatar, Romania, Rwanda, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Singapore, Somalia, Spain, Sudan, Swaziland, Sweden, Thailand, Tunisia, Turkey, Uganda, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Arab Emirates, United Republic of Tanzania, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia.

Against: Israel, United States of America.

Abstaining: Germany, Federal Republic of, Netherlands, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

5. The representative of Colombia stated that, had his delegation been present during the vote, it would have voted in favour.
