UNITED NATIONS



# **Economic and Social Council**

Distr. GENERAL

TRADE/WP.7/GE.1/2001/15/Add.1 4 April 2001

**ENGLISH ONLY** 

#### ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE

COMMITTEE FOR TRADE, INDUSTRY AND ENTERPRISE DEVELOPMENT

Working Party on Standardization of Perishable Produce and Quality Development

<u>Specialized Section on Coordination of</u>
<u>Standardization of Fresh Fruit and Vegetables</u>
Forty-seventh session, Geneva, 15 to 18 May 2001

Item 4 of the Provisional Agenda

### PROPOSAL FOR A DRAFT UN/ECE STANDARD FOR PINEAPPLES

### **Transmitted by COLEACP**

**Note by the Secretariat**: This document contains a composite table of the replies to the outstanding questions received from the members of the working group. The comments from South Africa originally distributed as TRADE/WP.7/GE.1/2001/15 have been included into this table.

## UN/ECE WORKING GROUP INTERNAL DOCUMENT

(South Africa, Cameroon, Côte d'Ivoire, Spain, France, Portugal and COLEACP)

## **Draft Pineapple Standards REPLY FORM (composite)**

| Country |                                 | South Africa  | Cameroon                                     | Côte d'Ivoire  | Spain   | France     | Portugal   |
|---------|---------------------------------|---|--|--|---|------------|------------|
| Q.1     | Crown length                    | We suggest that the Codex standard be adopted i.e. with or without the crown. Length – 50 to 150 % of fruit length. | Approximately 1/3 of the length of the fruit | As commercial criteria vary, it is not useful to increase the standard beyond that proposed. (pineapples with crown)             | Not very much difference between European markets.  | (No reply) | (No reply) |
| Q.2     | Sugar<br>content                | We agree.   | Minimum 12° Brix                             | Yes  | Yes. I fully agree with<br>the COLEACP's<br>observation.  |            |            |
| Q.3     | Flesh<br>character-<br>istics   | We agree.   | Agree with COLEACP proposal                  | Yes  | Yes. I fully agree with<br>the two paragraphs<br>proposed by<br>COLEACP.  |            |            |
| Q.4     | Maturity<br>specific-<br>ations | We agree.   | Agree with COLEACP proposal                  | Yes  | The relevant question is not wether to have a <b>specific</b> Chapter or section in the standard for maturity req. The question is to have these req. clearly laid down anywhere. |            |            |
| Q.5     | Color-<br>ation scale           | We maintain our position.   | Agree with COLEACP proposal                  | The concept of colour is<br>an important part of the<br>marketing strategy in<br>certain markets. Its<br>mention is therefore an | Colour criteria should<br>be included in the<br>standard.<br>If there is a strong<br>oposition from some  |            |            |

| _   | r          |                          |                    |                            |                         | 1 |
|-----|------------|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|---|
|     |            |                          |                    | undoubted aid to the       | countries, then include |   |
|     |            |                          |                    | reciever's understanding   | it as optional, which   |   |
|     |            |                          |                    |                            | means that it is the    |   |
|     |            |                          |                    |                            | only possible           |   |
|     |            |                          |                    |                            | references to colour    |   |
|     |            |                          |                    |                            | when its use is so      |   |
|     |            |                          |                    |                            | decided by the          |   |
|     |            |                          |                    |                            | dispatcher.             |   |
| Q.6 | Minimum    | We agree with            | Agree with COLEACP | The text should remain     | Yes. I Agree with the   |   |
|     | size       | COLEACP 's               | proposal           | as it is                   | closet approach of the  |   |
|     |            | observation and would    |                    |                            | standard to trade       |   |
|     |            | like to recommend        |                    |                            | practises.              |   |
|     |            | that the minimum         |                    |                            |                         |   |
|     |            | weight of 660g as        |                    |                            |                         |   |
|     |            | proposed in the Codex    |                    |                            |                         |   |
|     |            | draft be adopted.        |                    |                            |                         |   |
|     |            | Provision should also    |                    |                            |                         |   |
|     |            | be made for a            |                    |                            |                         |   |
|     |            | minimum weight for       |                    |                            |                         |   |
|     |            | smaller varieties like   |                    |                            |                         |   |
|     |            | Queen. We propose        |                    |                            |                         |   |
|     |            | 250g.                    |                    |                            |                         |   |
|     |            | Ü                        |                    |                            |                         |   |
| Q.7 | Commer-    | This is not in line with | Agree with COLEACP | The provisions             | Indication of the tare  |   |
|     | cial spec- | other UNECE              | proposal           | concerning sugar           | weight of the           |   |
|     | ifications | standards and we are     |                    | content, coloration and    | packaging: Include, or  |   |
|     |            | maintaining our          |                    | weight cannot be           | at least, optional.     |   |
|     |            | position.                |                    | optional. It is imperative | Mentioning "store at    |   |
|     |            |                          |                    | that storage temperature   | 8°C" : Agree.           |   |
|     |            |                          |                    | is mentioned. The          | The minimum sugar       |   |
|     |            |                          |                    | mention of tare weight     | content should be       |   |
|     |            |                          |                    | is compulsory in any       | written on the carton   |   |
|     |            |                          |                    | case.                      | for the information of  |   |
|     |            |                          |                    |                            | the trade and of the    |   |
|     |            |                          |                    |                            | consumers : Agree.      |   |
|     |            |                          |                    |                            |                         |   |
| Q.8 | Any other  | see attached further     | None               | None                       | None                    |   |
|     | questions  | comments on the          |                    |                            |                         |   |
|     |            | UNECE pineapple          |                    |                            |                         |   |
|     |            | draft document.          |                    |                            |                         |   |
|     |            |                          |                    |                            |                         |   |